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ABSTRACT

Several entities must be considered when a patient presents with a white dot syndrome. In most cases these can be distinguished from one another based on the appearance or distribution of the lesions, the clinical course, or patient variables such as age, sex, laterality, and functional and image examinations. In this paper we review the distinctive and shared features of the white dot syndromes, highlighting the clinical findings, diagnostic test results, proposed etiologies, treatment, and prognosis.

INTRODUCTION

The so-called white dot syndromes (WDS) are a group of ocular disorders characterized by the presence of whitish lesions in the choroid, retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), and/or sensory retina. Despite the fact that many infectious and noninfectious inflammatory diseases may present with multifocal chorioretinal lesions, the entities included in the WDS share some features which make them a particular group of ocular disorders (1-2). In fact, the WDS would be better labeled as idiopathic inflammatory multifocal chorioretinopathies, since with the exception of diffuse unilateral subacute neuroretinitis, their causes are still unknown. The WDS group is composed of the following diseases: acute posterior multifocal placoid pigment epitheliopathy, serpiginous choroiditis, diffuse unilateral subacute neuroretinitis, birdshot chorioretinopathy, multiple evanescent white dot syndrome, punctate inner choroidopathy, multifocal choroiditis and panuveitis, and acute idiopathic exudative polymorphous vitelliform maculopathy.

The correct diagnosis of WDS is important because the management is totally different from one another. Some of them are self-limited and have good visual outcomes without treatment, while others are associated with serious retinal and choroidal sequelae, which can result in severe visual loss even after adequate immunosuppressive therapy.

The aim of this paper is to review the distinctive and shared features of the WDS, highlighting the clinical findings, diagnostic test results, proposed etiologies, treatment, and prognosis.

ACUTE POSTERIOR MULTIFOCAL PLACOID PIGMENT EPITHELIOPATHY (APMPPE)

APMPPE is the prototype of WDS and was first described by Gass in 1968(3). The condition typically affects young healthy adults, between 20-50 years of age. Some series show that women are more affected than men(4).
Most patients have bilateral involvement, but unilateral cases have been reported. A history of a viral-like illness, two or three weeks before the onset of the ocular symptoms has been described, but it is not a rule. Mild anterior chamber cells are occasionally seen but vitreous cells are present in one third of affected eyes. The fundus picture is characterized by multiple, circumscribed, yellow-white lesions situated primarily in the posterior pole at the level of the RPE (Figure 1). Within a couple of weeks the acute lesions are replaced by varying degrees of RPE atrophy and hyperpigmentation.

The diagnosis is based on the typical fundoscopic and fluorescein-angiographic findings. Fluorescein angiography shows hypofluorescence of the lesions in the early phase followed by late staining. The hypofluorescence is probably related to both the gray-white opacification of the RPE and choroidal nonperfusion. In fact, it has been suggested that there is an obstruction at the level of the precapillary arterioles due to choroidal vasculitis. Interestingly, indocyanine green angiography (ICG) of patients with APMPPE reveals hypofluorescence of the active and healed lesions, highlighting the role of choroidal nonperfusion or infarction of the choroid.

The cause of APMPPE remains speculative. The relative frequency of an upper respiratory tract infection in the recent past points to a possible viral etiology. Nevertheless, APMPPE may be just an unspecific fundus picture, since it has been described in association with syphilis, sarcoidosis, tuberculosis, Crohn’s disease, Wegener’s granulomatosis, and other less frequent disorders. Therefore, in patients with an APMPPE-like fundus in Brazil, we do recommend systemic investigation to rule out infectious disorders. Special attention must be given to patients with APMPPE and severe headache or other neurologic sign or symptom, because these features may indicate cerebral vasculitis.

In general no treatment is necessary for APMPPE; the disease seems to be self-limited. Some ophthalmologists use systemic corticosteroids in cases in which the macula is affected, but no convincing evidence exists that corticosteroids speed visual recovery or improve visual outcome. Although many patients initially present with severe visual loss, a final visual acuity of 20/40 or better is the rule. Despite this good final visual acuity, an impressive number of patients continue to complain of scotomas, metamorphopsia, decreased vision, floaters and chronic redness of the eye. Recurrences of APMPPE are rarely seen. Choroidal neovascularization (CNV) may complicate the picture in some cases.

**SERPIGINOUS CHOROIDITIS**

Serpiginous choroiditis (SC) is a rare, usually bilateral, chronically recurring inflammatory disease that affects the inner choroid and the RPE. SC usually begins in the peripapillary area and spreads centrifugally, in a snake-like manner, over a period of months or years (Figure 2). In rare instances the lesions begin in the macular region, and this clinical presentation is associated with a worse visual prognosis. The presentation is in the fourth to sixth decades. Men and women are equally affected.

Ophthalmoscopically the active lesion appears as yellow-gray areas contiguous with, or satellites to, existing areas of chorioretinal atrophy. Fluorescein angiography shows early hypofluorescence and late hyperfluorescence of active lesions. ICG also shows hypofluorescence of the active lesions. It is possible that hypofluorescence of the lesions could result from a combination of choroidal nonperfusion and blockage by exudation or edema at the level of the outer retina, RPE and choriocapillaris. Interestingly, ICG angiogram.
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The white dot syndromes (WDS) are a group of ocular inflammatory conditions characterized by the presence of white spots on the retina. Despite their common name, these lesions are not typically seen on routine fluorescein angiography.

Although early reports suggested that infectious agents may play a role in the pathogenesis of SC (e.g., herpes viruses, tuberculosis), its cause is still unknown. Nevertheless, the main controversy about SC is related to its treatment. The therapy with immunosuppressive agents is regarded as the best option to treat active SC. Indeed, current evidences suggest that immunologic mechanisms are involved in the pathogenesis of SC. Histopathologic studies have demonstrated diffuse and focal infiltrates of lymphocytes in the choroid, particularly at the margin of the serpiginous lesions, suggesting an inflammatory component of the disease. This is the rationale for the use of antinflammatory and immunomodulatory therapies for SC.

Some authors believe that systemic and periocular corticosteroids are enough for the treatment of SC; however, recent long-term follow-up studies have suggested that therapy with immunosuppressive agents is the best option to treat active SC, as steroids alone did not prevent recurrences. In SC it is important to prevent recurrences to preserve retinal function, especially if the lesion is near the macular region. Previous papers on the natural course of the disease have demonstrated that loss of vision is common because of repeated recurrences of choroidal inflammation. Therefore, the International Uveitis Study Group (IUSG) is recommending the use of immunosuppressive agents in patients with active SC. They believe that although this disorder may initially respond well to corticosteroids, the long-term prognosis and the morbidity associated with this disease are unacceptable. Unfortunately, no controlled trials comparing various treatments with these drugs are available to date. Hooper and Kaplan suggested the use of a combination of azathioprine (AZA), cyclosporine, and prednisone, the so-called “triple therapy”, for the treatment of active SC. They have observed a rapid remission of active disease in five patients. However, recurrence of activity occurred in two patients after treatment was stopped but resolved after treatment was resumed. Araujo et al., and Christmas et al., also reported relative success of this “triple therapy” in some patients with active SC. In a recent paper, Vianna et al., suggested that AZA (125 mg/day), in combination with oral corticosteroids, was a safe and effective drug to treat active SC. Alkylating agents (chlorambucil and cyclophosphamide) have already been described to be efficient in the management of active SC.

However, because of the potentially severe systemic side effects (including malignancies), the treatment with these agents is recommended for those patients with acute bilateral foveal-threatening lesions. Besides the high rate of recurrences, another important problem related to SC is CNV. This devastating complication is observed in up to 35% of cases, and may be managed by laser photocoagulation. Indocyanine-mediated photorefractive photocoagulation in combination with intraocular corticosteroids may be an optional therapy to manage such cases. Many cases of SC are relentlessly progressive, and foveal destruction eventually occurs. It has been postulated, however, that the rate of recurrence and other complications (e.g. CNV) may be decreased by long-term immunosuppressive therapy.

Central visual loss has been reported to be as high as 50% of involved eyes. However, most patients with SC maintain central function in at least one eye.

DIFFUSE UNILATERAL SUBACUTE NEURORETINITIS (DUSN)

DUSN is an ocular inflammatory syndrome caused by a single subretinal nematode described initially by Gass et al. Occurring mainly in children and young adults, it affects one or, rarely, both eyes and usually results in severe loss of vision if left untreated. Unilateral visual loss, often with central or paracentral scotoma, is the primary symptom. Ophthalmoscopically, DUSN has two distinct stages. The early stage is characterized by inflammatory signs such as papillitis, multifocal chorioretinitis, and mild to moderate vitritis. Fluorescein angiography demonstrates that the active lesions are hypofluorescent in the early frames and stain at a later time. Mild leakage may occur from the optic nerve. ICG in DUSN demonstrates hypofluorescence of the lesions in the early frames. However, unlike what is seen in fluorescein angiography, a few lesions remain hypofluorescent at the late times of the ICG examination. Electroretinography (ERG) amplitudes are moderately to severely reduced.

The late stage is characterized by narrowing vessels, optic nerve pallor, and focal or diffuse changes in the RPE. At this stage vision is usually hand movements.

The causative agent of DUSN is often suspected to be toxocarial species, although ascarides from a variety of animal species can probably produce the disease. Studies by Souza et al., suggest that Ophidascarid, Polydelphis, Travas-soascaris, and Hexametra must be considered as the etiological agent of DUSN in Brazil. The diagnosis of DUSN in its early stage is important, because identification and destruction of the worm with laser photocoagulation prevents further visual loss and can occasionally lead to improved vision. Direct visualization of the nematode is generally made on clinical examination with fundus contact lenses. Another possibility is to use a scanning laser ophthalmoscope, which provides a high contrast image that may facilitate visualization of the worm. Unfortunately, most patients in Brazil come to be examined in very late stages of the disease. In a series of 70 patients with DUSN from an endemic area of Northwestern Brazil, only four were diagnosed in the early stage of the disease. Besides the high degree of suspicion required to diagnose early-DUSN, other factors may delay treatment. For example, locating the worm in the retina can be a tedious and time-consuming task requiring many visits. Another complicating factor is that DUSN may mimic toxoplasmosis (outer punctate), tuberculosis or syphi-
lis, diseases not uncommonly seen in South America. Souza et al., recently proposed an effective treatment using high doses of albendazol (400 mg/day-30 days) for the cases where the worm is not found (32). The treatment of late-stage DUSN is more complex. In fact, Garcia et al., reported on the relative inefficiency of laser treatment to improve vision of patients with late-stage DUSN (28). However, the authors emphasize that every patient with DUSN must be carefully evaluated in order to have the worm located and destroyed by laser, since this approach may avoid further visual loss.

**BIRDSHOT CHORIORETINOPATHY**

Birdshot chorioretinopathy (BC) is an uncommon form of chronic intraocular inflammation characterized by multiple, hypopigmented, postequatorial fundus inflammatory lesions (33). This disease was first reported by Ryan, Maumenee in 1980 (33). According to these authors, the ocular fundus of affected patients resembled the scatter of a shotgun blast (birdshot). In fact, BC is not a new disorder since there are cases previously reported in the French literature, with the descriptive names of *choriorétinopathie en taches de bougie* (candlewax spots) and *choriorétinopathie en grains de riz* (rice grain pattern chorioretinopathy) (34-35). BC affects both eyes in virtually all cases and occurs in adults past the fourth decade of life, females more than males (33,36). Patients complain of blurred vision, floaters, central and peripheral photopsias, and later, nystagmus and color blindness. The ocular fundus is characterized by scattered cream-colored or whitish hypopigmented lesions, cystoid macular edema, vasculitis, papillitis and chronic vitritis, but with minimal or no anterior segment involvement (Figure 4) (33). The creamy lesions typically are small and less than one disc diameter in size, and are initially located inferior and nasal to the optic disc.

Fluorescein angiography reveals disc staining, vascular leakage, and cystoid macular edema (36). The hypopigmented patches usually do not show any significant change in normal background choroidal fluorescence. In the late phases of the angiogram, the hypopigmented lesions may appear mildly hyperfluorescent. In fact, these lesions are much more prominent ophthalmoscopically than angiographically. On the other hand, ICG shows the lesions very well as areas of blockage in the early to midphases of the angiogram, which may persist up to the late phases (37-38). The ERG reveals bilateral, moderately to severely depressed rod and cone function (39). Visual fields confirms the overall depression of retinal function (40). In fact, both tests (ERG and visual fields) are currently used in a systematic way (every six months) in order to detect...
disease reactivation\(^{40}\). As the most common complication of BC is chronic macular edema, occurring in over 50% of the cases, optical coherence tomography (OCT) has become another important examination to evaluate the macular region of affected eyes\(^{41}\).

The cause of BC is unknown, but virtually all patients with this disorder are HLA-A29 positive\(^{42}\). This is the highest association of any HLA antigen with a human disease. The strong association with the HLA-A29, and the lymphocyte reactivity to retinal S-antigen suggests that BC may be an autoimmune disease.

The mainstay of treatment of BC patients has been the use of either periocular or systemic corticosteroids\(^{36}\). However, the long-term use of systemic corticosteroids at the dose required to suppress the choroidal inflammation is likely to produce severe side effects, therefore, an immunosuppressive agent is warranted. Some recent papers suggest that immunosuppressive therapy would be the best option to manage BC patients\(^{43-44}\). Uncontrolled case series have suggested that low-dose cyclosporine may be effective for BC. Vitale et al. found that the vitritis and the visual acuity were controlled in approximately 85% of the patients treated with cyclosporine\(^{43}\). In contrast, in the same study, only 46% of the BC patients treated with corticosteroid therapy alone experienced an improvement in visual acuity during the follow-up period. However, due to cyclosporine’s toxicity, other less toxic immunosuppressive therapy options were evaluated.

Mycofenolate mofetil (MMF), an anti-metabolite agent, was used by Baltatzis et al., in patients with chronic ocular inflammatory disorders (including patients with BC). The inflammatory process was controlled in 75% of cases\(^{46}\). In this study, the control of ocular inflammation with MMF as monotherapy was achieved in 35 (65%) patients, and a steroid-sparing effect was achieved in 29 (54%) patients. Additionally, Vianna et al., reported that MMF was effective in a BC patient refractory to AZA\(^{45}\). Other treatment options are subtenon and/or intravitreal triamcinolone for refractory cystoid macular edema (CME)\(^{41}\).

The prognosis is variable, but is better than in most other disorders with posterior uveitis. Visual loss may occur following chronic CME, CNV, vitreous hemorrhage, cataract and optic atrophy\(^{36,46}\).

**MULTIPLE EVANESCENT WHITE DOT SYNDROME (MEWDS)**

MEWDS, initially described in 1984 by Jampol et al., is an acute, multifocal, usually unilateral retinopathy affecting mainly young women\(^{47}\). A flu-like illness is present in about half of the cases.

Patients with MEWDS present with acute, unilateral, painless visual loss. The visual acuity ranges from 20/20 to 20/400 and most patients complain of the presence of a scotoma and associated photopsias, often in the temporal visual field. Ocular findings include a variable amount of vitritis, macular and/or optic disc edema, and, characteristically, several yellow-white dots at the level of the deep retina or RPE in the posterior pole (Figure 5). Besides the typical retinal lesions, a characteristic granular appearance of the fovea is present acutely, and the fovea usually does not return to a normal appearance\(^{45}\).

Fluorescein angiography reveals early and late hyperfluorescence of the white dots\(^{47}\). Increased fluorescence of the optic disc may also be seen. Interestingly, OCT image in acute MEWDS may reveal macular edema, a sign just recently proposed as a possible cause of decreased vision in this disorder\(^{48}\). ICG demonstrates multiple, round, hypofluorescent spots in the posterior pole\(^{49}\). The number of spots observed on ICG may be more numerous than those seen ophthalmoscopically. The visual field typically reveals an enlarged blind spot and the ERG amplitudes are reduced\(^{50}\). Overall, these features suggest that MEWDS affects the outer retina, the RPE and the choroid.

It has been suggested that the decreased vision observed in eyes with MEWDS may be related to transient metabolic disturbances at the level of the RPE - photoreceptor complex. Indeed, during the acute phase of the disease, the ERG a-wave and early receptor potential amplitudes are decreased in most affected patients, which suggests a primary involvement of the outer segments of photoreceptors\(^{51}\). Multifocal electroretinogram shows areas of depression which correspond to scotomata while full field ERG shows a general depression\(^{52}\).

Keunen, Van Norren have used foveal densitometry and color matching to show that, even in those few patients with normal vision, the photoreceptors seemed to be affected (53). The proposed mechanism of vision loss in MEWDS is by decreased sensitivity of the photoreceptors, resembling the effect of anoxic ischemia. The final resting points of these new ideas are a decrease in the ERG a-wave amplitude and the scotomas in the visual field when the ERG dip is noted. This in vivo instance of anoxia/asepsis may reflect diffuse retinal dysfunction.

MEWDS is characterized by a flu-like syndrome, consisting of an acute, multifocal, usually unilateral retinopathy affecting mainly young women. It is important to recognize and differentiate from other posterior uveitis syndromes, such as Birdshot, which is a chronic, bilateral condition with prominent choroidal inflammation. MEWDS is often self-limiting and resolves within weeks to months, but can occasionally recur. The management of MEWDS involves a combination of systemic corticosteroids and immunosuppressive agents, with cyclosporine and mycophenolate mofetil being the most commonly used. Other treatments may include immunomodulatory therapies and photodynamic therapy for refractory cases. The prognosis is generally good, with most patients recovering vision and resolution of retinal lesions.
ERG findings, abnormalities exist during the active stage of MEWDS at the level of the cone photoreceptor outer segments. Nevertheless, focal ERG studies revealed delayed recovery of oscillatory potential, which also implies some inner retinal involvement. As previously mentioned in this paper, macular edema may also play a role in the transient decreased visual acuity.

MEWDS has a self-limited course. Therefore, no specific treatment is necessary. The visual prognosis is excellent, although visual field loss, photopsia and metamorphopsia may take considerably longer to resolve (several months). Recurrences are rare and CNV may compromise the visual prognosis.

**PUNCTATE INNER CHOROIDOPATHY (PIC)**

PIC, which was initially described by Watzke et al., in 1984, is a bilateral, ocular inflammatory disease that affects young healthy myopic women. Patients with PIC have acute symptoms of blurred vision, central or paracentral scotoma, photopsias, and sometimes peripheral visual fields loss. On ophthalmoscopic examination, small, yellow-white lesions (up to 300 µm in diameter) at the level of the choroid or RPE are present in the posterior pole (Figure 6). Often a serous detachment of the retina overlies active lesions. Most of the lesions are located in the posterior pole or near periphery, but typically not in the far periphery. There are no cells or other signs of inflammation in the vitreous or anterior chamber. Patients with PIC usually have visual field defects such as central or paracentral scotoma, an enlarged blind spot or large areas of temporal visual field loss corresponding to numerous nasal chorioretinal scars. Fluorescein angiography of acute lesions reveals early hyperfluorescence and staining, and leakage into the subretinal space if an overlying serous detachment is present. ICG angiography reveals early blockage by acute, active, yellow lesions in the choroid, with late staining of these lesions. ICG shows hypofluorescent lesions which may cluster around the optic disc. Visual field testing may demonstrate scotomata that correspond to chorioretinal lesions or associated serous detachment of the retina and RPE. Large temporal field defects that do not correspond to visible fundus lesions are sometimes seen. Additionally, visual fields may reveal an enlarged blind spot as one of the initial manifestations of the disorder.

**MULTIFOCAL CHOROIDITIS AND PANUVEITIS (MCP)**

MCP, firstly described by Nozik, Dorsch in 1973, is an inflammatory disease of unknown cause characterized by fundus lesions similar to those seen in presumed uveitis. It is an inflammatory disease of unknown cause characterized by fundus lesions similar to those seen in presumed ocular histoplasmosis syndrome, however, unlike the latter, vitreous and anterior chamber inflammation are present in affected eyes. MCP occurs predominantly in women between the second and sixth decades of life. The disease is bilateral in the majority of patients, although frequently it presents asymetrically, and many involved second eyes may be asymptomatic. Patients with MCP may experience decreased visual acuity and/or photopsia. Most affected eyes have a variable amount of anterior segment inflammation and vitritis. This is an important feature to differentiate MCP from PIC. Ophthalmoscopically, choroidal lesions range in size up to 350 µm, arranged singly or in clumps located in the posterior pole and/or periphery (Figure 7). Acute lesions are yellow or gray and situated at the level of the RPE or deeper in the choroid. Older lesions are atrophic, “punched out”, with variable amounts of pigment around or inside them. MCP tends to be a chronic disorder with recurrent bouts of inflammation. The treatment of MCP will depend on the degree of the intraocular inflammation. Topical corticosteroids and a mydriatic agent alone, or in combination with a posterior subtenon injection of corticosteroids may be an adequate therapy for mild to moderate cases. In more severe cases, oral prednisone and/or immunosuppressive agents are warranted. In
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fact, the wide spectrum of intraocular inflammation seen in eyes with MCP, suggests that the strategy for treatment must be modeled for each particular case. CME refractory to topical, periocular and systemic therapy may be managed with intravitreal corticosteroids. Photodynamic therapy has been recently used successfully to treat CNV associated with MCP\(^{(63)}\).

It has been reported that the visual acuity of patients with MCP decreases with time\(^{(61)}\). The visual loss can occur secondary to the inflammation itself (CME, CNV and cataract) and/or from corticosteroid-induced complications such as cataract and glaucoma. In some cases, subretinal fibrosis may develop and resemble subretinal fibrosis uveitis syndrome (SFS), a rare and visually devastating disorder\(^{(63)}\). One can consider MCP and SFS as a unique disease but with a different intensity of subretinal fibrotic reaction.

Intriguingly, acute zonal outer occult retinopathy (AZOOR) has already been reported in association with MCP\(^{(64-65)}\). Therefore, Gass has proposed that MCP (along with PIC, MEWDS, acute macular neuroretinopathy, acute annular outer retinopathy and acute idiopathic enlarged blind spot syndrome) be grouped within which he called the “AZOOR complex”\(^{(64)}\). He has suggested that these diseases represent part of a spectrum of what is probably a single disease. In fact, AZOOR is seen in young females who complain of photopsia and present with peripheral loss of visual field, often in abrupt episodes. The disease may be bilateral or unilateral and may have a recurrent course. The fundus is initially normal, although areas of peripheral and peripapillary retina may develop mottled pigmentation, vascular narrowing and sheathing. Nevertheless, these patients do not show neither white dots and/or plaques in the active phase of the disease nor chorioretinal scars in later stages. Affected eyes never get CNV. Patients with AZOOR have not been shown to respond to corticosteroids. For these reasons we agree with Jampol’s opinion that each disease of the so-called AZOOR complex is a separable entity with different prognosis and different therapeutic regimens\(^{(66)}\). In fact, the rare occurrence of two or more of these diseases in the same patient at different times (for example MEWDS and PIC) may be at least partially explained by the common genetic hypothesis of autoimmune/inflammatory disease proposed by Becker in 2001\(^{(67)}\).

This hypothesis states that patients with one of the AZOOR complex disorders share common nondisease specific gene clusters at specific genetic loci that predispose the patient to immune dysregulation and autoimmune disease\(^{(68)}\). The interplay between immune dysregulation, specific environmental triggers and major histocompatibility antigens, explain some of the variability of the clinical course.

**ACUTE IDIOPATHIC EXUDATIVE POLYMORPHOUS VITELLIFORM MACULOPATHY (AIEPVM)**

AIEPVM is a rare disorder initially described by Gass et al., in 1988\(^{(69)}\). Typically, patients report intense headache and bilateral moderate vision loss. Ophthalmoscopy reveals multiple, round, yellow-white subretinal lesions at the level of the RPE, associated or not with serous detachment (Figure 8). In the acute phase of the disease, the round lesions show hyperfluorescence during the early phases of the fluorescein angiogram and late staining. Interestingly, the same pattern of fluorescence occurs in ICG\(^{(70)}\). In both fluorescein angiography and ICG the hyperfluorescent round lesions correspond to the ophthalmoscopically seen lesions. Optical coherence tomography reveals anterior displacement of the photoreceptor layer by a hypereffective subretinal layer overlying a hypore-
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Reflective space above the RPE-choriocapillaris complex under all lesions and no subretinal fluid. The ERG amplitudes are usually normal, but the electrooculogram (EOG) may have a decrease of the Arden ratio. The above mentioned features indicate that the pathologic process is primarily located at the level of the RPE and choriocapillaris.

The cause and pathophysiology of AIEPVM still remain obscure. The best management of this disorder has been not established yet. Gass et al., treated two AIEPVM patients with systemic corticosteroids who later developed polymorphous, yellow subretinal deposits. On the other hand, Vianna et al. reported one patient who recovered full vision without treatment. The authors speculate that corticosteroids may play a role in the natural course of AIEPVM, worsening the ocular picture.

In fact, little is known about this entity, but the ocular features and the benign, self-limited clinical course suggests that AIEPVM is an acquired inflammatory disorder. For these reasons, AIEPVM has been recently suggested to be included in the WDS group.
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