Long-term results of photorefractive keratectomy for myopia and myopic astigmatism

INTRODUCTION

Excimer laser photorefractive keratectomy (PRK) has been widely used for myopic corrections in the last decades. Since the approval of PRK for myopia up to -7.00D, in 1996, by the US Food and Drug Administration, interest on excimer laser surgery and the need for long-term follow-up has increased.

Several well-designed studies report the results of PRK but few describe long-term outcomes, which is of particular importance to assess refractive stability, efficacy and potential late-phase complications. For best results, it is necessary to understand each aspect of postoperative changes.
Recently, PRK is gaining new interest in custom ablations due to some advantages when compared to laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK). In addition, PRK may provide better outcome following wavefront-guided ablation in certain cases, such as thin corneas, large pupils or patients at increased risk for ocular trauma, than when such treatment is buried under a LASIK flap.3

This retrospective study was conducted to assess the longitudinal results of PRK surgery, including visual acuity, refraction and vector analysis, in two groups of patients who completed at least four-year follow-up and underwent myopic and compound myopic astigmatism correction.

METHODS

A retrospective non-randomized study of 120 eyes (71 patients) who completed at least four-year follow-up was performed from September 1994 to January 1998, at the Vision Institute, Refractive Surgery Sector, Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP). The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the UNIFESP. The informed consent was obtained from all patients after through explanation of the procedure and its potential benefits and risks.

Mean age was 29 ± 7.7 years (20 to 53 years). Females accounted for 60.0% (72 patients) and males for 40.0% (48 patients). All completed at least 4-year follow up and the longest lasted 8 years; in that, 49% completed 4 to 5 years, 26.7% 5 to 6 years, 17.5% 6 to 7 years and 6.6% 7 to 8 years. Mean follow up was 55 months.

Patients were divided into 2 groups: group 1; spherical equivalent (SE) up to -4.00 diopters (D), (n=85 eyes, mean SE -2.42 ± 0.86 D) and group 2; SE > -4.00 D (n=35 eyes, mean SE -4.45 D).

The inclusion criteria were absence of ocular pathology, no previous ocular surgery, refractive astigmatism less than 1.50 D, refractive stability and at least four-year follow-up.

The Summit Apex Plus® excimer laser was used to perform the following ablation profiles: PRK spheric ablation, PARK (toric ablation) and PRK multizone ablation with standard laser parameters including an energy fluence of 180 Mj/cm² and a repetition rate of 10 Hz.

The PRK procedure was performed under topical anesthesia, with the patient fixating a target light within the delivery system. A 7.0-mm optical zone centered over the central pupil was marked. The corneal epithelium was removed manually with a blunt PRK spatula within the laser optical pathway oriented according to the attempted astigmatic correction. In cases of photoastigmatic (PARK) ablation profile, photoablation was delivered through a polymethyl methacrylate erodible astigmatic mask inserted within the laser optical pathway oriented according to the attempted astigmatic correction.

In multizone ablation profile, tree zones between 4.5 and 6.0 mm were chosen based on the refractive correction and corneal thickness. Fifty percent of the full correction was made in the smallest zone; the remaining 50% of the correction and astigmatism was blended into the 2 other zones.

After surgery, a bandage contact lens was placed on the eye until the epithelium was healed. A topical antibiotic was administered four times a day for one week and corticosteroid regimen was prescribed four times a day for one month.

All eyes were analyzed in terms of uncorrected and best corrected visual acuity (UCVA/BSCVA) and cycloplegic refraction.

Pre and postoperative data, linear regression and astigmatism vector analysis were calculated using the Refractive Surgery Consultant Elite database software.8 Vector analysis was used to represent a surgically induced refractive change (SIRC) by doubled angle plots that provide a useful means to visually evaluate cylinder outcomes. They are created for determining cylinder values to avoid having axis shifts misrepresent astigmatic changes.

Linear regression was used for evaluation of the relationship between intended and achieved correction by Pearson’s correlation coefficient (R) and regression coefficient (b) with a confidence interval of 1.0.

RESULTS

Efficacy

The mean postoperative note in UCVA was 20/20 or better in 46.4% and 20/30 or better in 82.0% of group 1 and 20/20 or better in 34.7% and 20/30 or better in 77.0% of group 2 at 4 years follow-up (Figure 1).

Safety

The BSCVA presented no change (<1 line) in 75% in group 1 and 97.1% in group 2. None of the patients lost more than one line in group 1 compared to 13% in group 2.
11.9% of eyes in group 1 and 2.9% in group 2 gained one or more lines after 4 years of follow-up (Figure 2).

**Stability**

Mean postoperative SE over time is shown in figure 3. In group 1, a long with all the follow-ups, the mean SE was closer to emmetropia and in group 2, there was a hyperopic shift between 1 and 3 months and by 1-year, the mean SE was very close to emmetropia.

Refraction appeared to stabilize up to 1-year, however, between 12 months and 48 months a slight regression was observed. The final mean SE was -0.17 ± 0.60D (group 1) and -0.65 ± 1.04D (Group 2).

**Predictability**

The comparison between the two groups for the postoperative showed a SE refraction of +/- 0.50 D, was respectively for groups 1 and 2 as follows: 64.7% and 57.1% in the first month, 55.3% and 54.3% within 3 months, 67.9% and 54.3% at one year and 67.9% and 54.3% at the last postoperative data (Table 1).

A statistically significant positive correlation (p<0.005) was found between achieved versus attempted refractive correction in both groups according to postoperative 1 month, 3 months, 1year, ≥4 years: group 1 (r=0.881, r=0.947, r=0.944, r=0.925) and group 2 (r=0.957, r=0.964, r=0.918, r=0.925).

The scattergram (Figure 4) reported by the Refractive Surgery Consultant Elite database software, show us, at the first postoperative month, group 1, the amount of correction that was achieved (regression formula was Y=0.99X, with a significant p<0.0005 and r=0.881). On the other hand at the same follow-up period, a hypercorrection was observed in G2 with SE > -4.0 D; mean attempted correction was -4.45 D and -5.23 D was achieved (Y=1.15X, p<0.0005, r=0.957). Both groups presented slightly undercorrection in absolute cylinder at this period. At 3 months and one year of follow-up the scattergram values for both groups were very close to what had been planned (p<0.0005). In the last postoperative analysis (at least 4 years), G1 mean attempted correction was -2.44 D and -2.34 D was achieved (Y=0.94X, p<0.0005, r=0.925) and G2 mean attempted was -4.45 D and -3.82 D was achieved (Y=0.85X, p<0.0005, r=0.925). Both groups showed a small amount of under correction at this last follow-up.

A statistically significant positive correlation was found between achieved versus attempted refractive correction in both groups (r=0.925, p<0.0005).

The mean surgical induced refractive change (SIRC) value for group 1 was 0.58±0.60D (1 year postop) and 0.61 ± 0.47D (≥4 years postop). The mean SIRC value for group 2 was 0.76 ± 0.56D (1 year postop) and 0.64 ± 0.42D (≥4 years postop). Double angle plots at ≥4 years can be observed for group 1 and 2, respectively, in figure 5.

![Figure 2 - Postoperative best corrected visual acuity in an over 4-year follow-up](image)

![Figure 3 - Mean spherical equivalent in both groups over time](image)

| Table 1. Postoperative manifest refraction between the two groups |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                  | PRK1        | PRK2        | PRK1        | PRK2        | PRK1        | PRK2        | PRK1        | PRK2        |
| SE (D)            | 1 month N (%)| 3 months N (%)| 1 year N (%)| ≥ 4 years N (%)| 1 month N (%)| 3 months N (%)| 1 year N (%)| ≥ 4 years N (%)|
| +/-0.5            | 55 (64.7) | 20 (57.1)  | 47 (55.3) | 19 (54.3)  | 57 (67.9) | 19 (54.3)  | 57 (67.9) | 19 (54.3)  |
| +/-1.0            | 72 (84.7) | 24 (68.6)  | 79 (92.9) | 30 (85.7)  | 80 (95.2) | 25 (71.4)  | 79 (94.0) | 29 (82.9)  |
| <-1.0             | 6 (7.1)   | 0          | 2 (2.4)   | 0          | 2 (2.4)   | 4 (11.4)   | 4 (4.8)   | 6 (17.1)   |
| >+1.0             | 7 (8.2)   | 11 (31.4)  | 4 (4.7)   | 5 (14.3)   | 2 (2.4)   | 6 (17.1)   | 1 (1.2)   | 0          |

SE= spherical equivalent; D= diopters
DISCUSSION

Long-term follow-up is essential in refractive surgery for evaluation of stability and efficacy. The main goal of our study was to evaluate long-term refractive outcomes of patients submitted to PRK.

The results of this study show that the procedure was safe, effective and moreover, stable.

Previous studies have commented on the safety and efficacy of using the excimer laser photorefractive keratectomy for the correction of myopic astigmatism (Table 2). Uncorrected visual acuity of 20/40 or better was reported in 55% to 93% of the eyes (1-2) and 88.5% in another study (3). Visual acuity of 20/25 or better was achieved in 62.4% of myopic eyes up to -6.50 D (4).

Our experience with the Summit Apex Plus excimer laser in the correction of compound myopic astigmatism compares favorably with these results.

Some authors have reported in a PRK 6-year follow-up study that induced refractive change for mild-to-moderate myopia stabilizes between 3 and 6 months and remains stable for up to 6 years (5).

Our study showed that 67.9% (Group 1) and 54.3% (Group 2) were within ± 0.5 D of emmetropia. These values are consistent with the FDA requirements for predictability that require 50% within ± 0.5 D of emmetropia.

A consistent trend toward under correction is observed in the analysis of 12 months after PARK, of both compound myopia and astigmatism (7).

In the presenting study we also observed similar correlation between achieved and attempted correction in both groups. The achieved correction underestimated attempted correction in the first month up to the last follow-up period. Four years follow-up of multizone PRK for myopia of -6.00 to -10.00 D showed visual acuity of 20/40 in 79.2% (5).

When PRK was performed in myopias up to -6.00 D the results improved to 97% of 20/40 (6).

According to one of the largest PARK prospective studies (6), 6097 eyes were assessed 12 months postoperatively and compared with those in 3004 eyes that had spherical PRK, in a total of 9101 eyes. They report that excimer laser PARK was an effective treatment for compound myopic astigmatism, but predictability decreased and complications increased as the attempted astigmatic correction increased.

One of the longest prospective studies has shown that refractive stability was maintained for up to 12 years in the treatment of mild to moderate myopia. There was no evidence of progressive time-dependent hyperopic shift, late regression or late-onset corneal haze after PARK (7).

After 5 and 8 years of follow-up for moderate myopia, two studies have reported the same postoperative spherical equivalent of ±1.00 D (11-12). We demonstrated general stability of <0.50 D in the spherical equivalent up to 48 months, however, a slightly refractive late regression in both groups was observed between 1 year and at least 4-year follow-up.

Like any surgical technique, PRK has presented advantages and some disadvantages. Some advantages of PRK when compared to LASIK are the risk associated with the intraoperative and postoperative flap-related complications in LASIK. The short-term problems associated with PRK were...
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Pain in the first 24 hours; a delay in the visual recovery lasting 3 to 5 days until epithelial healing; haze - a transient loss of corneal transparency, for a period of weeks to months after surgery\(^{13-14}\). The corneal healing response that manifested as haze\(^{15}\) was reported particularly with higher amounts of myopia; therefore, this may be one of the reasons PRK has been used most often for lower amounts of myopia.

A study about the functional outcome and patient satisfaction from the long-term results of PRK is presented in Table 2.

### Table 2. Long-term results of PRK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Preoperative (D)</th>
<th>VA results</th>
<th>Postoperative (SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams(^3)</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>59 eyes</td>
<td>G1: -6 to-10</td>
<td>G1: 20/40 - 88.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al. (^4)</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>201 eyes</td>
<td>G1: -2.25 to -6.50</td>
<td>G2: 20/40 - 77 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephenson et al. (^5)</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>83 patients</td>
<td>G2: -7.00 to -12.50</td>
<td>≥20/25 - 62.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haw, Manche (^6)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>93 eyes</td>
<td>G1: -6.50 to -10.25</td>
<td>Mean SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kesnikibora (^7)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>92 eyes</td>
<td>mean SE</td>
<td>≤20/20 - 62 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens et al. (^8)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>200 eyes</td>
<td>mean SE</td>
<td>≤20/40 - 95 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shah, Chatterjee (^9)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>9101 eyes</td>
<td>mean SE</td>
<td>≤20/20 - 58 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajan et al. (^10)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>12 years</td>
<td>93 eyes</td>
<td>mean SE</td>
<td>≤20/20 - 95 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honda et al. (^11)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>15 eyes</td>
<td>Mean SE</td>
<td>-0.39 ± 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pietila et al. (^12)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>82 eyes</td>
<td>Low: &lt; -6</td>
<td>-1.11 ± 1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamazaki et al. Present study</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>120 eyes</td>
<td>G1: -4</td>
<td>G1: 20/20 - 94 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D= diopters; VA= visual acuity; SE= spherical equivalent; G= group

---

Each circle in a double angle plot represents one diopter. The cylinder axis is clearly marked. Each cross is the cylinder value for one eye and the dot is the “centroid” or distribution average. SIRC plot (preop - postop) or the achieved correction shows the cylinder changes affected by treatment.
fraction after photorefractive keratectomy\(^{16-17}\), and on the overall satisfaction after PRK for low to severe myopia appears to be very appropriate. Night vision problems seem to constitute significant secondary effects that deserve further investigation.

**CONCLUSION**

Excimer laser PRK and PARK used in this study are effective and safe for low to moderate compound myopic astigmatism, and the accuracy and predictability compares favorably with other data in the peer-reviewed literature.

**RESUMO**

**Objetivo:** Relatar os resultados a longo prazo da cirurgia de ceratectomia fotorefrativa em miopia e astigmatismo miópico.

**Métodos:** Estudo retrospectivo de 120 olhos operados de ceratectomia fotorefrativa com um mínimo intervalo de 4 anos de seguimento pós-operatório (máximo de 96 meses e seguimento médio de 55 meses). Dividimos em grupo 1 (G1) com equivalente esférico (SE) até -4.00 dioptrias (D) e grupo 2 (G2) SE > -4.00 D. O excimer laser Summit Apex Plus\(^a\) foi usado na ablação. Foram obtidas acuidade visual não corrigida (UCVA) e melhor acuidade visual corrigida (BSCVA) e refração sob cicloplegia. Os dados foram analisados utilizando o programa Refractive Surgery Consultant Elite database\(^a\).

**Resultados:** G1 com 85 olhos (49 pacientes) apresentaram SE médio de -2.42 D e o G2 com 35 olhos (22 pacientes) e SE médio de -4.45 D. No G1 94% e 82,9% no G2 estavam entre ±1,00D de emetropia em 4 anos. A acuidade visual não corrigida foi de 20/30, ou melhor, em 82,0% nos olhos de G1 e 77,0% de G2 no último seguimento. Nenhuma paciente perdeu mais do que uma linha de visão no G2 comparado com 13,0% em G1. Ganho de linha de visão após 4 anos foi respectivamente 11,9% em G1 e 2,9% em G2. Correlação positiva estaticisticamente significante foi encontrada entre correção refrativa programada versus atingida em ambos os grupos (\(r=0,925\), \(p<0,0005\)).

**Conclusão:** Ceratectomia fotorefratativa foi um procedimento cirúrgico seguro e estável para corrigir erros refractionais miópicos, sem mudança significativa no equivalente esférico médico da refração pós-operatória em longo prazo.

**Descritores:** Ceratectomia fotorrefrativa por excimer laser; Miopia/cirurgia; Astigmatismo/cirurgia; Resultado de tratamento; Acuidade visual
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