Bilateral *Acanthamoeba* ulcer in a user of disposable soft contact lenses: a tragic incident or a consequence of the aggressive policy of soft contact lens trading?
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### ABSTRACT

This is the report of a case of bilateral *Acanthamoeba* keratitis in a 19-year-old woman who bought a pair of disposable soft contact lenses in a boutique. She wore this same pair of lenses for 3 months daily without the appropriate care. This led to bilateral corneal transplantation with cataract extraction and also trabeculectomy in the right eye. When last seen, both grafts were crystal clear but the visual acuities were far from satisfactory. She also had bilateral secondary glaucoma, barely controlled by topical medication. Actually, the physical features and the wearing time characteristics of the disposable soft contact lenses created unprecedented difficulties to the medical surveillance of their wearers. Without the right assistance they tend to become careless regarding routine cleaning. They also feel free to buy less expensive lenses, to use saline instead of lens solutions, to violate the limits of wearing time and to extend the use over the sleeping period. Additionally, the aggressive marketing and the wide distribution of these lenses increase the chances that economically or educationally unprepared people will acquire them. The question that remains is: Is the present case an accidental event or an example of what is likely to happen in the future if the indiscriminate selling of disposable soft contact lenses continues to evolve?
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### INTRODUCTION

*Acanthamoeba* keratitis, although not very common, is gaining importance due to the widespread use of soft contact lenses and the increasing negligence with their cleaning and care¹⁻⁴. Since it is frequently misdiagnosed as bacterial, fungal or herpetic keratitis, the correct treatment is often delayed for weeks or months⁵⁻⁶. When keratoplasty is required, the risk of rejection and glaucoma is higher due to the intense inflammatory activity of the condition⁷⁻⁸.

### CASE REPORT

In June 12, 2005 a 19-year-old woman from Porto Velho, Brazil, sought treatment at the Eye Clinic of our School of Medicine. She had acquired...
bilateral corneal ulcer after wearing for three months the same pair of monthly disposable soft contact lenses (Acuvue®; Johnson & Johnson, USA). The patient bought them in a boutique. She learned the handling and care of these lenses inside the car of the dealer. At first, she used the right lens solution but when it finished she changed to saline solution. For eight months she used various eyedrops whose name she did not know. On the first visit at our service she was using 0.3% gatifloxacin ophthalmic solution (Zymar®; Allergan, Brazil) and 1% prednisolone acetate eyedrops, four times daily each.

The visual acuity in both eyes was 0.01. Slit lamp examination demonstrated a bilateral deep disciform infiltrate affecting the center and the intermediate portion of the cornea. These two regions were divided by a deep annular stromal thinning (Figure 1). The anterior chambers were formed but the irises were barely visible. The eyes were fairly hyperemic and the eyelids moderately edematous. Symptoms included pain, photophobia and tearing, although not intense. The anxiety of the patient was extreme.

The history and clinical examination suggested the diagnosis of Acanthamoeba keratitis, which was later confirmed by laboratory analysis. Because of the extent of corneal involvement the decision was to begin with clinical treatment and to postpone corneal transplantation. After corneal scrapings were obtained for diagnostic purposes, therapy was initiated with 0.02% polyhexamethylene biguanide and 0.1% propanidide isethionate (Brolene; Aventis, Kent, UK) eyedrops hourly along with 200 mg ketoconazole in tablet form, two times daily. This therapy was tapered off over a period of four months.

Three days later, pain and vision became worse in the left eye. The cornea of this eye became thinner, almost exposing the Descemet membrane. To avoid perforation a corneal transplantation was scheduled. Meanwhile, temporary therapeutic soft contact lenses were fitted in both eyes. On June 22, the corneal transplantation was canceled because of a massive left orbital hemorrhage during peribulbar anesthesia. On June 25, the surgery was finally performed under general anesthesia. The surgical procedure was difficult owing to the rise of intraocular pressure (IOP) during surgery. The inflamed iris, which partially adhered to the lens and to the peripheral cornea, caused many episodes of bleeding. In addition, when an attempt was made to disengage peripheral synechiae a huge tear occurred in the temporal iris insertion, creating almost a double pupil. The diameters of the recipient bed and graft were 8.5 and 9 mm, respectively.

On July 5, the patient had a spontaneous corneal perforation of the right eye accompanied by intense pain. On July 8, we carried out a penetrating corneal transplantation in this eye under general anesthesia. The corneal melting became evident during surgery. The iris and the lens were totally covered by a dense and adherent fibrin membrane. The lens also showed visible signs of cataract. The diameters of the recipient bed and graft were 7.75 and 8.25 mm, respectively.

On August 26, the left eye underwent a new intervention to correct herniations of the iris through the corneal surgical wound at 7 and 11 o’clock, respectively. On August 27, the IOP of this eye was found to be 30 mmHg. A regimen of 0.2% brimonidine tartrate and 0.5% timolol maleate combination eyedrops (Combigan®; Allergan, Brazil) three times daily was instituted along with 250 mg acetazolamide in tablet form twice a day.

On September 8, because the IOP of both eyes was 30 mmHg, oral acetazolamide was administered every 6 hours. Combigan® eyedrops were also introduced in the right eye. Steroid drops, initiated after the corneal transplantations and oral fluoxetine prescribed by the psychiatrist, were discontinued.

On February 14, the patient was submitted to phacoemulsification, lens implantation and trabeculectomy in the right eye. On March 6, the right and left IOP were 20 and 18 mmHg, respectively, even though the patient was using bilaterally Combigan® eyedrops every 8 hours and 0.004% travoprost (Travatan®; Alcon, Brazil) at night. The corneas were crystal clear with no signs or symptoms of infection. The visual acuity of both eyes with eyeglasses was 0.2. The patient had to return to her home town and was lost to follow-up.

DISCUSSION

Our Institution receives mainly low-income patients who, as a rule, do not wear contact lenses. Consequently, the majority of our cases of corneal ulcers are not related to these lenses. In fact, until 2003 only 5% of the cases recorded as having infectious ulcers were associated with contact lenses. Among them, only one case had a diagnosis of Acanthamoeba. However, in the last two years eight new cases of Acanthamoeba keratitis were recorded in our Service, all of them involving wearers of disposable soft contact lenses. Table 1 describes their characteristics.

The present case is number 6 in table 1. This case has various peculiarities: (1) this was the only patient who could not afford to buy the lens solution on a regular basis. All other cases consisted of middle-class people in a position to follow rigorously the protocol of contact lens wear and care. With the exception of cases 2 and 6, all had free access to doctors, without further expenses; (2) this was the only patient whose lenses were fitted by unauthorized personnel and in an inap-
This scenario changed completely with the advent of disposable lenses. The following features of these lenses probably contributed to this change: 1) they are easier to fit; 2) their replacement is not regularly monitored by the fitter; 3) their acquisition characterizes better the purchase of a commodity than the purchase of a service; 4) the wearers can buy them without medical surveillance.

Since conventional soft contact lenses were originally made with three different curvatures they required keratometry and slit lamp analysis of the lens movements for fitting. Disposable lenses practically eliminated these procedures. This encouraged the wearers to try different lenses by themselves without expert assistance. Additionally, the high rate of replacements, inherent to these lenses, rendered impractical the systematic surveillance of their substitution. Therefore, the concept that the fitter should monitor all contact lens replacements was insidiously undermined.

Our legislation does not allow ophthalmologists to sell optical aids. The classical justification of these professionals for charging the contact lenses is that the charge is for the fit and not for the product. With the advent of disposable lenses this excuse vanished. For example, when selling a box with three pairs of lenses, even if the patient is examined in each transaction, the fitter will be acting in only one of every three replacements; two of these replacements will be charged without any medical service. In practice, the problem is even greater. Once the doctors accepts the idea that not all replacements must be monitored they easily go one step further: they compromise medical surveillance. When this happens, the patient can no longer identify differences between acquisitions characterizes better the purchase of a commodity than the purchase of a service; 4) the wearers can buy them without medical surveillance.

Also working against medical surveillance is the trading policy of some big contact lens suppliers based on a two-step strategy: first, they persuade the ophthalmologists of a target region to make their patients switch from conventional to disposable lenses. Once this objective is fulfilled, the marketing focus is transferred from the medical offices to the optical shops and pharmacies. With larger number of sale spots and

### Table 1. Features of the disposable soft contact lenses in eight cases of *Acanthamoeba* keratitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Lens material</th>
<th>First purchase</th>
<th>Subsequent purchases</th>
<th>Care solution</th>
<th>Use of saline</th>
<th>Wearing time (days)</th>
<th>Wearing during sleep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Etafilcon A</td>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>Optical shop</td>
<td>Renu*</td>
<td>Regularly</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Sporadically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Etafilcon A</td>
<td>Optical shop</td>
<td>Optical shop</td>
<td>Opti-free*</td>
<td>Regularly</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Etafilcon A</td>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>Optical shop</td>
<td>Opti-free*</td>
<td>Regularly</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Sporadically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Etafilcon A</td>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>Optical shop</td>
<td>Renu*</td>
<td>Regularly</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Methafilcon A</td>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>Complete*</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Etafilcon A</td>
<td>Boutique</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Renu*</td>
<td>Once</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Etafilcon A</td>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>Optical shop</td>
<td>Renu*</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Always</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Etafilcon A</td>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>Renu*</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Never</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = Bausch-Lomb, Greenville, SC; = Alcon, São Paulo, Brazil; = Allergan, Guarulhos, Brazil

### Notes

1. Etafilcon A: A new disposable soft contact lens introduced in our country, the absolute majority of the fittings is the better prices become the most popular, independently of the competitive drives of the free market, the price of the fittings was thought to be relatively unaffordable by a considerable part of the population.

2. Etafilcon A: A new disposable soft contact lens introduced in our country, the absolute majority of the fittings was that the control of the contact lens market belonged to the ophthalmologist. Being protected from the competitive drives of the free market, the price of the fittings was thought to be relatively unaffordable by a considerable part of the population.

3. Etafilcon A: A new disposable soft contact lens introduced in our country, the absolute majority of the fittings was that the control of the contact lens market belonged to the ophthalmologist. Being protected from the competitive drives of the free market, the price of the fittings was thought to be relatively unaffordable by a considerable part of the population.

4. Etafilcon A: A new disposable soft contact lens introduced in our country, the absolute majority of the fittings was that the control of the contact lens market belonged to the ophthalmologist. Being protected from the competitive drives of the free market, the price of the fittings was thought to be relatively unaffordable by a considerable part of the population.

5. Etafilcon A: A new disposable soft contact lens introduced in our country, the absolute majority of the fittings was that the control of the contact lens market belonged to the ophthalmologist. Being protected from the competitive drives of the free market, the price of the fittings was thought to be relatively unaffordable by a considerable part of the population.

6. Etafilcon A: A new disposable soft contact lens introduced in our country, the absolute majority of the fittings was that the control of the contact lens market belonged to the ophthalmologist. Being protected from the competitive drives of the free market, the price of the fittings was thought to be relatively unaffordable by a considerable part of the population.

7. Etafilcon A: A new disposable soft contact lens introduced in our country, the absolute majority of the fittings was that the control of the contact lens market belonged to the ophthalmologist. Being protected from the competitive drives of the free market, the price of the fittings was thought to be relatively unaffordable by a considerable part of the population.

8. Etafilcon A: A new disposable soft contact lens introduced in our country, the absolute majority of the fittings was that the control of the contact lens market belonged to the ophthalmologist. Being protected from the competitive drives of the free market, the price of the fittings was thought to be relatively unaffordable by a considerable part of the population.
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Our theory is that with the decline of medical surveillance due to the advent of disposable lenses, the wearers tend to be careless regarding routine care. They also feel free to buy less expensive lenses, to use saline instead of lens solutions, to violate the scheduled wearing time and to extend wear to sleeping time. Table 1 shows all of these events. In fact what this table shows is the general behavior of our contact lens wearers, independent of the presence of any complication. Apart from statistical analysis, it is impressive to observe that the only cases in which the wearers strictly followed the protocol were those monitored by ophthalmologists since the beginning (cases 5 and 8).

It seems unquestionable that disposable lenses increased the opportunity for people to wear contact lenses. One question arises here: is the present report the mere result of a tragic incident or is it an example of what is likely to happen in the future if the selling of disposable soft contact lenses continues to reach persons economically or educationally unprepared to wear them?

RESUMO

Este é o relato de uma paciente do sexo feminino, de 19 anos, com ceratite bilateral por *Acanthamoeba*, devido ao uso de lentes de contato descartáveis, adquiridas em uma butique. Fez uso do mesmo par de lentes durante três meses, sem os cuidados adequados. Foi submetida a transplante penetrante de córnea e facectomia bilateral, além de trabeculectomia no olho direito. Quando vista pela última vez, os enxertos estavam transparentes, mas a visão de ambos os olhos não era satisfatória. Ela também apresentava glaucoma secundário bilateral, controlado precariamente com medicação tópica. Ocorre que, as características físicas e o regime de uso das lentes de contato gelatinosas descartáveis criam dificuldades adicionais para a vigilância médica dos seus usuários. Sem a assis-

tência correta, eles relaxam com a rotina de limpeza, compram lentes mais baratas, usam soro fisiológico ao invés das soluções adequadas, violam os limites de uso e dormem com as mesmas. Além disso, o “marketing” agressivo e a ampla distribuição dessas lentes aumentam as chances de que pessoas, não preparadas econômica e educacionalmente, venham ter acesso às mesmas. A pergunta que fica é: Seria o presente caso um evento acidental ou um exemplo do que pode acontecer no futuro caso as lentes de contato descartáveis continuem sendo vendidas indiscriminadamente?
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