Testing intravitreal toxicity of rapamycin in rabbit eyes

Toxicidade intravitrea da rapamicina em olhos de coelhos
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To evaluate retinal toxicity of varying doses of rapamycin when injected intravitreally in rabbits. Rapamycin is a potent immunosuppressive agent with significant antitumor and antiangiogenic properties, clinically approved for prevention of organ transplant rejection. Methods: Twelve New Zealand albino rabbits were divided into four groups. Four different doses of rapamycin were prepared in 0.1 ml: 20 μg, 50 μg, 200 μg, and 1000 μg. Each concentration was injected in one eye of three rabbits, and 0.1 ml volume of sterile BSS was injected into the contralateral eye of the three rabbits. Slit-lamp and fundoscopic examinations were performed and the animals were observed for 2 weeks for signs of infection, inflammation, and toxicity. A baseline ERG was performed before drug treatment and at day 14, after which the rabbits were euthanized. Histology of the enucleated eyes was studied to look for retinal toxicity. Results: ERG results showed some decrease in scotopic response; however this was not dose related. ERG results were normal at 20 μg. Histological results showed no retinal toxicity in all groups. Conclusion: Although ERG changes were identified at dosages between 50-1000 μg, the histology of all groups up to 1000 μg did not show any discernable abnormalities.

Keywords: Choroidal neovascularization; Sirolimus/toxicity; Uveitis; Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1; Rabbits

INTRODUCTION

Treatment of severe ocular inflammation may require long-term use of immunosuppressive drugs. Long-term use of these drugs is problematic because of their adverse side effects. To decrease these adverse side effects, intravitreal administration is a possible treatment option.

Rapamycin (sirolimus; A.G. Scientific, San Diego, CA) is a cyclic macrolide antibiotic isolated from the fungus Streptomyces hygroscopicus. It is a potent immunosuppressive agent, clinically approved for prevention of organ transplant rejection. It has been used in sirolimus eluting stents in patients with coronary artery disease, as it also has antiproliferative effects on smooth muscle cells and on arterial intimal thickening. Similar to cyclosporine and tacrolimus, it is an inhibitor of T cell activation. However, it has no effect on the calcineurin/calmodulin complex and binds to intracellular receptors known as immunophilins. Rapamycin inhibits two protein kinases-termed the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR 1 and mTOR 2) - associated with cell cycle progression in the G1 phase. Rapamycin interferes with cell cycle progression in a later stage than cyclosporin and FK 506, blocking not the proliferation-stimulating factors but the proliferation signal that is induced by these factors.
Rapamycin has significant antitumor and antiangiogenic activities. Several rapamycin-related compounds are in phase I, II and III clinical trials in patients with renal cancer and other malignancies\(^{(6)}\). In an in vivo experiment with rats, rapamycin dramatically inhibited tumor growth, with a marked decrease in tumor vascularization\(^{(5)}\). Guba et al. demonstrated the anti-VEGF activity of sirolimus in a murine tumor model\(^{(10)}\). Its antiangiogenic properties were also demonstrated in a 1995 study by Olsen et al. who showed that rats receiving sirolimus following orthotopic allogenic corneal grafts had reduced amounts of corneal neovascularization\(^{(9)}\). Recently, it was demonstrated that rapamycin significantly reduced the extent of neovascularization in both experimental choroidal neovascularization (CNV) and retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) in mice\(^{(10)}\).

Rapamycin has been shown to reduce inflammation in animal models of experimental autoimmune uveitis, in combination with tacrolimus and cyclosporine\(^{(11-12)}\). Recent investigation has revealed that rapamycin is an effective and potent immunosuppressive treatment for patients with non-infectious uveitis and can reduce the need for long-term supplementary corticosteroid therapy\(^{(13)}\).

One possible option of treatment for chronic non-infectious uveitis, choroidal, or retinal neovascularization could be an intravitreal injection of rapamycin based on its immunosuppressive and antiangiogenic properties. However, retinal toxicity is a primary concern when using intravitreal injections. The purpose of our study was to analyze the retinal toxicity of rapamycin at various doses.

---

**METHODS**

**Animals**

Twelve New Zealand albino rabbits weighing between 2 and 3 kg were used for this study. The rabbits were treated according to guidelines of the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO). Slit-lamp and indirect fundoscopic examinations were performed on all eyes prior to the study and on days 1, 7, and 14 after intravitreal injection. Any rabbit that demonstrated corneal or lens opacity or retinal damage prior to the study was excluded. Rabbits were anesthetized before all procedures using approximately 1 ml of a mixture of ketamine hydrochloride (50 mg/kg) and xylazine hydrochloride (5 mg/kg). The eyes were dilated by topical application of phenylephrine (2.5%) and tropicamide (0.5%). Topical anesthesia was applied using proparacaine (0.5%).

**Intravitreal injections**

All procedures were performed under sterile conditions using an operating microscope for visualization. Anterior chamber tap was performed with a 25 G needle withdrawing 0.1 cc of aqueous fluid to reduce intraocular pressure and to minimize drug reflux following injection. Intravitreal injection was performed using a 30 G needle attached to a tuberculin syringe inserted bevel up approximately 2 mm posterior to the limbus. Four different doses of rapamycin were prepared in 0.1 ml: 20 μg, 50 μg, 200 μg, and 1000 μg. Each dose was injected in one eye of three rabbits, and 0.1 ml volume of sterile BSS was injected into the contralateral eye of the three rabbits. Slit-lamp and fundoscopic examinations were performed and the animals were observed for 2 weeks for signs of infection, inflammation, and toxicity.

**Electrophysiological tests**

ERG using the UTAS-2000 system (LKC Technology, Gaithersburg, MD) was performed prior to and 14 days after intravitreal injection. Rabbits were dark-adapted for at least 30 minutes after pupillary dilation. Unipolar contact lenses (ERG jet electrodes) were put on both corneas with Goniosol (IOLab Corporation, Claremont, CA); the negative electrode was placed in the subcutaneous space of the forehead, and the ground electrode was clipped to the earlobe with some electric gel. The dark-adapted scotopic response (step 1, Rod response), scotopic flash response (step 2, Maximal response, cone+ rod) and (after waiting 3 minutes) light-adapted photopic response (step 4, Cone response) were recorded. The average of five sweeps was determined for each step. The difference between a and b waves was calculated for each step. The baseline was compared to the response 2 weeks after injection.

**Histological examination**

Following the final ERG session, all rabbits were euthanized with an intravenous injection of sodium pentobarbital. The eyes were enucleated and fixed in Karnovsky fixative for 48 hours and then processed, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for light microscopy.

**RESULTS**

**Clinical examination**

No corneal opacity, cataract, vitreous hemorrhage, retinal detachment, or optic atrophy was seen in any of the rabbit eyes by fundoscopic examination.

**Electrophysiological tests**

Follow-up ERGs showed some decrease in 2 of 3 eyes varying in the amplitude of the following groups: 50 μg, 200 μg, and 1000 μg. However, this decrease was not dose related and was never extinguished (Figures 1, 2).

**Histological examination**

Histological examination of the eyes and retinas showed no toxic damage; in particular there was no necrosis, gliosis, degeneration of photoreceptors or neurons, retinal detachment, or inflammation in any of the eyes examined at any dosage (Figures 3, 4).
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Figure 1 - ERG step 1, 2, and 4 of one rabbit eye before (left) and 14 days after (right) intravitreal injection of 200 μg rapamycin. There is a decrease in the amplitude of step 1.

Figure 2 - ERG step 1, 2, and 4 of one rabbit eye before (left) and 14 days after (right) intravitreal injection of 1000 μg rapamycin. There is a non-significant decrease in step 1 and 2, and an increase in amplitude in step 4.

Figure 3 - Normal retinal histology in rabbit eye after intravitreal injection of 200 μg rapamycin (H&E stain)

Figure 4 - Normal retinal histology in rabbit eye after intravitreal injection of 1000 μg rapamycin (H&E stain)
DISCUSSION

Rapamycin appeared to be non-toxic to the retina in albino rabbits; however, we observed some decrease in ERG amplitude, mainly in the scotopic response in a few eyes. The ERG findings were not uniform in each group nor were they dose related. We think this finding might have been related to reduced body temperature occurring in a cold room in anesthetized animals during dark adaptation\(^{14-15}\). Hypothermia decreases general body metabolism, leading to a reduction in the rate of chemical reactions and the amplitude of the ERG in mice and rabbits\(^{14-15}\).

Another possibility for this slight decrease in the a-wave amplitude is that rapamycin (a lipophilic compound) might be localized in the region of photoreceptor or a pigment epithelium, thus creating some dysfunction of the photoreceptor. Further studies including immunohistochemical assays of drug localization should be done to confirm this possibility.

Maybe the histology is not sensitive enough since doses below 50 \(\mu\)g did not cause any ERG changes. We can have some functional damage without any change in the histology. Nevertheless, normal ERG does not rule out functional and possible structural changes at the level of ganglion cells or the nerve fiber layer. The lack of changes by light microscopy does not exclude possible alterations at a submicroscopic level. Further studies must be done to evaluate this possibility.

An important characteristic of rapamycin is its antiangiogenic property.

Numerous clinical and experimental observations have indicated that ischemia or hypoxia triggers retinal neovascularization through an excessive production of angiogenic factors. Among multiple factors, many investigators have reported vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) to be an important\(^{16-17}\) and major stimulus responsible for neovascularization in exudative age-related macular degeneration (ARMD)\(^{18}\).

Intravitreal injections of VEGF inhibitors such as pegaptanib\(^{19-20}\), ranibizumab\(^{21-22}\), and bevacizumab\(^{23}\) have been commonly used to treat CNV.

Intravitreal injection of rapamycin may have a potential in the treatment of CNV in ARMD. The antiangiogenic properties of rapamycin are associated with a decrease in VEGF production and a reduction in the response of vascular endothelial cells to stimulation by VEGF\(^{24-25}\). The mechanism by which rapamycin elicits its effects is not clear. mTOR is an upstream activator of hypoxia inducible factor 1\(\alpha\) (HIF-1\(\alpha\)), a transcription factor that mediates the transcriptional regulation of VEGF\(^{24-25}\). In hypoxic cells, rapamycin can interfere with HIF-1\(\alpha\) activation by increasing the rate of its degradation\(^{24}\).

Other proangiogenic agents such as basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), platelet derived growth factor B (PDGF-B), nitric oxide synthase, and angiopoietin are upregulated by hypoxia\(^{26}\). Hypoxia can stimulate gene expression by different mechanisms including gene transcription, regulation at the translational level, and mRNA stabilization. Rapamycin affects mTOR, thereby influencing all these pathways\(^{26-27}\). Therefore, rapamycin may also be exerting its effects through additional non-VEGF-related pathways.

Our study showed that rapamycin did not cause any histologic changes to the rabbit retina when injected intravitreally up to a concentration of 1000 \(\mu\)g. Further studies are warranted to evaluate the long-term safety of high doses of this drug. Intravitreal rapamycin may offer another option for the treatment of CNV in ARMD, retinal neovascularization, and chronic uveitis.
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Resumo – Avaliação da toxicidade da injeção intravitrea de diferentes doses de rapamicina para o tratamento de uveite. O estudo foi realizado comparando animais tratados com doses de rapamicina de 0,1 mL (dos 4 grupos: “controle”, 10, 50, e 1000 \(\mu\)g). Não houve diferença estatisticamente significativa em relação aos parâmetros de observação (amplitude do a-onda, resposta à estimulação sifilética e resposta à estimulação de superfície). Portanto, a dose de 1000 \(\mu\)g pode ser usada para o tratamento de uveite, têm a toxicidade da injeção intravitrea de rapamicina levou a alterações eletroretinográficas no olho contralateral. Isso sugere a necessidade de estudos adicionais para a avaliação longo prazo da toxicidade de altas doses de rapamicina.


