A prospective study demonstrating the effect of 5% povidone-iodine application for anterior segment intraocular surgery in Paraguay
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To determine the conjunctival bacterial flora in cataract surgery patients and the effect of presurgical irrigation with 5% povidone-iodine solution. Methods: Three samples from the inferior conjunctival fornix of the eye to be operated were obtained at baseline before washing (T₀) with 10 ml 5% povidone-iodine solution, immediately after washing (T₁), and upon completion of surgery (T₂). Bacteria from the samples were inoculated in blood agar, chocolate agar, and thioglycolate broth. Results: A total of 221 patients (n=224 eyes) with a mean age of 67 ± 13 years were included in the study. Bacteria from the (T₀) samples were successfully grown in 73.2% of the thioglycolate agars, 21.0% of the blood agars, and 19.2% of the chocolate agars. Compared with (T₁) samples, the use of povidone-iodine wash translated into a 60.8% reduction (from 73.2% to 12.5%) in colonization of the conjunctiva (p<0.001), while no significant difference was found between the (T₁) and (T₂) samples. Conclusion: Conjunctival irrigation with a 5% povidone-iodine solution effectively reduces conjunctival flora and is therefore strongly recommended as a means of preventing postsurgical endophthalmitis following cataract surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

Cataract surgery is one of the most common ophthalmologic procedures, and endophthalmitis, although rare, is one of its most devastating complications. The incidence of this complication has been reported between 0.082% and 0.16% in 41,654 eyes operated on for cataract over a 10-year period¹-².

It has been shown that organisms isolated from the vitreous of patients with postsurgical endophthalmitis are genetically indistinguishable from those found on the eyelids, conjunctiva, or the nose³. For example, in the Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study, 68% of cultures grown from 105 patients with postsurgical endophthalmitis yielded intraocular microorganisms indistinguishable from those of the eyelid⁴. Moreover, the conjunctival flora consists of the same organisms that most often cause postsurgical endophthalmitis. In one study of 300 patients of all ages it was found that the conjunctiva was colonized in 48.4% of the persons tested, predominantly (96%) by Gram-positive organisms. Of these, 67% were Staphylococcus, almost 20% were Corynebacterium, and 9% were Streptococcus...
In another investigation carried out at the Wills Eye Hospital, cultures of the vitreous from 497 patients with postsurgical endophthalmitis were studied over a period of 11 years (from 1989-2000). Of the positive cultures grown in the last 5 years, 95% were Gram-positive bacteria, 56% were coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* (*Staphylococcus epidermidis* 91%), and 13% were *Staphylococcus aureus*.

One of the objectives of prophylaxis against postsurgical endophthalmitis is to reduce the quantity of bacteria on the eyelid and conjunctiva, since it is thought that the majority of causative microorganisms originate there. Povidone-iodine solution is a fast, effective, low-cost disinfectant that attacks a great variety of bacteria, fungi, protozoa, and viruses. Its mechanism of action is the release of free iodine that bonds with essential enzymes and cytoplasmic proteins. The use of 10% povidone-iodine solution for prophylaxis against endophthalmitis in cataract surgery is performed by applying the solution to the operative field, including the eyelids, eyelashes, and periocular area, followed by washing of the tarsal and bulbar conjunctiva with 10 ml of 5% povidone-iodine solution; the wiping action itself seems to remove some bacteria. Each sample was grown in 3 different culture media: a 5% blood agar plate (BAP) and a chocolate agar (CHOC) plate under aerobic microaerophilic conditions, and another chocolate agar plate under anaerobic conditions (ANA). After swabbing these plates, the swabs were then placed in a thioglycolate (THIO) broth. The BAP, CHOC, and ANA plates were observed for 3 days; the thioglycolate medium was observed for 5 days. All culture media were incubated at 35°C. The date on which bacterial growth was observed was recorded, and the colonies in the culture dishes were counted. Biochemical identification of the isolates was carried out using classic methods.

Data were recorded on an Excel 6.0 electronic spreadsheet and later analyzed using the EPI INFO 2004 statistical package. The chi-square test was used to determine if a significant reduction of microbial contamination occurred after antiseptic washing (a $p$ value $<$0.05).

### RESULTS

The study included 221 patients (224 eyes, with samples taken from both eyes for three patients) with a mean age of 67 ± 13 years, with 58% (n=127 patients) being female and 42% (n=94) male, and 46.9% from rural areas and 52.7% from urban areas.

The percentage of bacterial growth in the conjunctiva before presurgical irrigation with povidone-iodine ($T_0$) was 73.2% in thioglycolate, 21.0% in blood agar, and 19.2% in chocolate agar. Following irrigation with povidone-iodine ($T_1$), and following surgery ($T_2$), the percentage of bacterial growth fell considerably (Figure 1).

In the $T_3$ samples, the conjunctival flora comprised coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* (CoNS) in 105 out of 164 cultures (64.0%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (SA) in 34 out of 164 cultures (20.7%), while *Streptococcus viridans*, *Enterococcus*, and *Corynebacterium* were observed at 6.7%, 5.4%, and 4.2%, of 164 cultures, respectively (Table 1). The number of samples in which multiple species were identified from the cultures was 23, 3, and 1 for the time periods $T_0$, $T_1$, and $T_2$, respectively.

Comparing the bacterial growth in thioglycolate between $T_0$ and $T_1$, the use of povidone-iodine wash translated into a reduction from 73.2% to 12.5% in colonization of the conjunctiva ($p<0.001$), while no significant difference was found between the $T_1$ and $T_2$ samples.

### DISCUSSION

It is believed that the principal sources of bacteria causing endophthalmitis following cataract surgery are the eyelids and the conjunctiva. To our knowledge, no well-executed prospective studies have been performed in Paraguay regarding this complication.

One preventative measure that can be taken is to reduce as much as possible the quantity of conjunctival bacteria on the eyelid prior to surgery. In some countries the use of antibiotics for that purpose has become generalized. In our depart-
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ment that practice is not routinely recommended, which is why our results are not confounded by antibiotic use.

The percentage of positive cultures obtained from thioglycolate broth found prior to application of the povidone-iodine (T₀) was 73.2%, a figure similar to that determined by studies in other countries(7-9). Coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* (64% of positive cultures; 46.9% of eyes) were the most commonly isolated bacteria, although this figure is notably less than that reported in other countries (approximately 75%)(9-10). *Staphylococcus aureus*, one of the principal microorganisms causing postsurgical endophthalmitis, was isolated in the study from 15.2% of eyes, a finding worth noting because its literature prevalence is usually reported as less than 5%(7,8-10). Perhaps the difference is due to the high prevalence of staphylococcal blepharitis observed in older patients in our setting and frequently inadequate patient compliance with treatment.

Of all measures intended to reduce the risk of endophthalmitis, the most strongly recommended is the presurgical application of 5% povidone-iodine to the conjunctival fornices because of the significance of the results obtained(11). For example, some authors found a 91% reduction in the number of colonies and a 50% reduction in the number of bacterial species when 30 eyes were treated(12). A United Kingdom study of 100 patients, which compared the effects of 1% and 5% povidone-iodine solutions, demonstrated that irrigation with the 5% solution led to a 60% reduction in colony forming units, compared to a 16.7% reduction using the 1% solution. This result was significant (p<0.05), and the difference more evident when the initial bacterial load was higher(13). In our study, irrigation of the conjunctival fornices with 5% povidone-iodine achieved a major reduction (60.8%) in conjunctival flora. This result supports its use in the prevention of postsurgical endophthalmitis.

### Table 1. Microorganisms (species and number of cultures identified in each instance) isolated from the positive thioglycolate cultures at the 3 sampling times for the 224 samples. Percentages are based on the number of positive cultures for each sampling time (N=164 for T₀; N=28 for T₁; and N=29 for T₂) and therefore do not add up to 100%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>T₀</th>
<th>T₁</th>
<th>T₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coagulase negative <em>Staphylococcus</em></td>
<td>105</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus viridans</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterococcus</em> spp.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Corynebacterium</em> spp.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterobacter aerogenes</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacillus</em> spp.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Proteus</em> vulgaris</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas stutzeri</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Citrobacter</em> koseri</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acinetobacter</em> spp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterobacter</em> agglomerans</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterobacter</em> cloacae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella</em> spp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Propionibacterium</em> acnes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Proteus</em> mirabilis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas</em> aeruginosa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus</em> spp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count of microorganisms isolated</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T₀= prior to povidone-iodine wash; T₁= immediately after povidone-iodine wash; T₂= after surgery
In Paraguay, povidone-iodine is easy to acquire and apply, and is affordable, making it an ideal prophylactic against post-surgical endophthalmitis. No adverse reactions related to allergies or defects in the surface of the eye have been reported. Endothelial damage due to povidone-iodine has been described in experiments with rabbits\(^{14}\); however, neither this study nor any others\(^{15}\) have reported any case of the solution coming into contact with the corneal endothelium of a patient. On the other hand, because there were still a considerable number of positive cultures (12.9%) from postsurgery patients in spite of the use of povidone-iodine, it is obvious that methods should be sought to further reduce conjunctival flora. It would also be useful to investigate the susceptibility of the organisms detected to available antibiotics to determine the potential risk they represent. The study employed a relatively large number of patients and utilized rigorous sampling and culture methodologies carried out by a single person, thereby making the results comparable and reproducible.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The study shows the usefulness of conjunctival washing with 5% povidone-iodine solution without antibiotic prophylaxis in reducing conjunctival flora and presumed reduction of post-surgical endophthalmitis following cataract surgery. The obtained results support the recommendation for practice to be used routinely in ophthalmologic surgery due to its antisepctic effectiveness, affordability, and ease of acquisition.

**RESUMEN**

Objetivo: Determinar la flora bacteriana de la conjuntiva en los pacientes a ser sometidos a cirugía de catarata y el efecto del lavado prequirúrgico con yodo povidona al 5% sobre dichos microorganismos. Métodos: Se tomaron 3 muestras del fondo de saco conjuntival del ojo a ser operado de catarata antes (T\(_1\)) de la irrigación de la conjuntiva con 10 ml de yodo povidona al 5%, como control, inmediatamente después (T\(_1\)) y al término de la cirugía (T\(_2\)). Se realizaron cultivos en agar sangre, agar chocolate y en caldo de tioglicolato. Resultados: Se incluyeron en el estudio 221 pacientes (n=24 ojos) con un promedio de edad de 67 ± 13 años. El porcentaje de crecimiento bacteriano en (T\(_1\)) fue de 73,2% en tioglicolato, 21% en agar sangre y 19,2% en agar chocolate. Al compararlos con (T\(_2\)) el efecto de la yodo povidona se tradujo en una reducción del 60,8% (de 73,2% a 12,5%) en la colonización conjuntival (p<0.001). Entre la muestras de los tiempos T\(_1\) y T\(_2\) no hubo diferencia significativa en cuanto al crecimiento bacteriano. Conclusión: El lavado conjuntival con yodo povidona al 5% reduce de forma efectiva la flora conjuntival por lo cual se recomienda fuertemente como método preoperatorio, como una de las medidas preventivas de la endoftalmitis posquirúrgica en cirugías de catarata.

**Descriptors:** Endoftalmitis, prevención & control; Extracción de catarata; Povidona yodada; Agentes antibacterianos; Segmento anterior del ojo; Conjuntiva/microbiología; Cuidados preoperatorios
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