Causes of interruption of bevacizumab therapy in age-related macular degeneration

Causas de interrupção do tratamento com bevacizumab em degeneração macular relacionada à idade
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To evaluate the rate and the causes of interruption of bevacizumab intravitreal therapy in patients with exudative age-related macular degeneration (AMD) in a referential eye-care center in Joinville, southern Brazil.

Methods: Retrospective, non-comparative, consecutive case series. Cases included all patients with exudative age-related macular degeneration who were treated with one or more bevacizumab intravitreal injections at Sadalla Amin Ghanem Eye Hospital between January, 2006 and January, 2008. Data were obtained from patients' medical records and telephone interviews. Discontinuity criterion was the absence of patient follow-up after a minimum of 3 months from the last ophthalmic examination.

Results: Eighty-two patients were treated. Among them, 24 (29.3%) interrupted follow-up inadvertently. The mean age was 75.2 years old (range 65-89 yo). Mean number of bevacizumab intravitreal injections was 2.0 (range 1-6). Nineteen patients answered to telephone questionnaires. The main alleged causes of discontinuity of therapy were unexpected poor visual results (8 cases, 42.1%), lack of information about follow-up visits (5 cases, 26.3%) and comorbidities (3 cases, 15.8%).

Conclusions: A high number of patients interrupted follow-up after beginning bevacizumab therapy. Many of them related avoidable causes for discontinuity of treatment. Efforts must be done to improve education of age-related macular degeneration patients, especially in relation to functional outcomes and prolonged follow-up care.
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INTRODUCTION

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is the leading cause of irreversible blindness among people over 55 years old in western countries. The occurrence of AMD increases with age, so it is expected to become more prevalent in developed countries as life expectancy continues to increase[1-5].

Although exudative (or neovascular) AMD is less common than dry AMD, occurring in approximately 10 to 20% of the patients, it is usually responsible for most severe cases of vision loss[6-7].

Choroidal neovascularization (CNV), the abnormal growth of new blood vessels from choriocapillaris into the subretinal space, is the hallmark of exudative AMD and its treatment has been challenging researchers and specialists during the last decades. In the 1990’s, Macular Photocoagulation Study showed that hot laser treatment could be beneficial for well-defined lesions (classic neovascular membranes)[8]. Nevertheless, as the same laser
energy delivered to destroy subretinal new vessels also damages neurosensory retina, there is a permanent vision loss where the shots are applied. So, nowadays, laser photoagulation is restricted to treatment of extrafoveal choroidal new vessels.

In 2000, photodynamic therapy (PDT) was approved for the treatment of some subtypes of CNV. It combines the use of an intravenous injected photosensitizing drug (verteporfin) with low-intensity laser light and damages choroidal new vessels through a photochemical reaction. Thus, PDT selectively ablates lesions while minimizing injury to adjacent structures. It has also been combined with intravitreal triamcinolone to permit more favorable results. Generally, results of PDT for subfoveal neovascular membranes have been limited to stabilization of the process and the visual acuity of AMD.

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) has been proved to be important in the development of abnormal vessels and on the increase of its permeability in many pathological conditions. VEGF is produced in response to low oxygen tension in the affected tissues. Studies have identified the isoform VEGF-A as one of the most important that determines the vascular changes. With greater understanding of the role of VEGF in the pathogenesis of neovascular AMD, drugs inhibiting the bioactivity of VEGF have been developed and introduced in the clinical setting. Nowadays, intravitreal anti-VEGF represents a new paradigm in exudative AMD therapy due to its better outcomes.

Bevacizumab (Avastin®) is a recombinant humanized full-length anti-VEGF derived from a murine antibody with a molecular weight of 148 kD. It was designed for endovenous administration and was approved for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer in 2004. It has also been frequently used as an off-label intravitreal treatment of neovascular AMD since 2006. Bevacizumab has a longer half-life than ranibizumab, the actual most efficient anti-VEGF approved by ANVISA for AMD treatment, and is supposed to require less frequent dosing.

Despite the better visual results achieved with bevacizumab therapy for choroidal neovascularization, its benefits seem to be temporary. Thereby, repeated injections are needed to reach and maintain the best visual acuity. Many patients give up antiangiogenic therapy at different times and have worse functional outcomes, regardless of the usual improvement observed after the beginning of the treatment.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the rate and the causes of interruption of bevacizumab intravitreal therapy in patients with exudative AMD in a referential eye-care center in Joinville, state of Santa Catarina, southern Brazil.

METHODS

This is a retrospective, non-comparative, consecutive case series. Cases included all patients with exudative AMD who were treated with one or more bevacizumab intravitreal injections at Sadalla Amin Ghanem Eye Hospital between January 2006 and January 2008. Previously treated eyes with hot laser, PDT or intravitreal triamcinolone were excluded. Demographics, logMAR best-corrected visual acuity testing (BCVA) and number of injections were obtained from patients’ medical records.

The causes of cessation of therapy were obtained through telephone interviews. The criterion of interruption of treatment was the absence of patient follow-up after a minimum of 3 months from the last ophthalmic examination.

RESULTS

Eighty-two patients were treated. Among them, 24 (29.3%) interrupted follow-up inadvertently. Thirteen patients were men (54.2%) and the mean age was 75.2 years old (range 65-89 yo). The treatment was unilateral in 19 patients (79.2%). Mean logMAR BCVA varied from 1.02 (SD 0.61) before treatment to 0.98 (SD 0.58) at the last ophthalmic evaluation (p>0.05).

Fourteen patients (58.3%) who interrupted follow-up had a BCVA improvement after initiating therapy. Mean number of bevacizumab intravitreal injections was 2.0 (range 1-6). Nineteen patients (79.2%) answered to telephone questionnaires. The alleged causes of discontinuity of therapy were unexpected poor visual results (8 cases, 42.1%), lack of information about follow-up visits (5 cases, 26.3%), comorbidities such as malignancy, Alzheimer’s disease and cerebral vascular disease (3 cases, 15.8%), difficulties in booking new appointments (2 cases, 10.5%) and travelling problems (1 case, 5.3%) (Figure 1).

Among the 8 cases described as having unexpected poor visual results, there were included 5 patients (26.3%) who related questionable cost-benefit relation.

DISCUSSION

Pharmacologic therapy with angiogenesis inhibitors has modified significantly the outcomes in many retinal vascular diseases, including exudative age-related macular degeneration.

Since 2006, the use of off-label intravitreal therapy with bevacizumab, an anti-VEGF humanized monoclonal antibody, has greatly expanded throughout the world. It combines effectiveness, safety and cost facilities.

Despite the favorable results of bevacizumab in a significant number of patients with exudative AMD, its small intravitreal half-life usually limits its effect. And, as AMD is a longstanding disease with frequent choroidal neovascularization recurrences, the patients often need several intravitreal injections, during months or years, to improve and maintain their best visual acuity.

In this study, among 82 patients treated with bevacizumab for neovascular AMD, an unexpected high number of patients gave up therapy at different moments (29.3%). And, most interestingly, many of them had stable or slightly improved BCVA before interruption of follow-up visits.
Although this study has some drawbacks, such as a retrospective design, a limited number of patients and time of follow-up and an acquisition of data related to discontinuity of treatment through telephone interviews, it was observed that the majority of alleged causes were preventable.

One factor that may avoid this unfavorable event is the correct education of the patients and their families about exudative AMD before initiating therapy. It is mandatory to explain adequately about the usual progression of the disease if left untreated, the importance of therapy and the necessity of frequent intravitreal injections and follow-up visits, at different intervals, to permit better results and visual stability.

Possibly, in the studied group of patients who gave up follow-up, a more satisfactory previous explanation could permit better adherence to treatment and, consequently, visual benefits. Nonetheless, it is necessary to emphasize that many patients come from distant places and difficulties in transportation and housing may interfere with the optimal regimen of ophthalmological assistance.

In addition, it is known that patients with AMD are frequently frustrated and depressed due to their inability to perform tasks that involve a good central vision and the lack of hope of significant functional improvements. An even greater frustration after a therapy that was supposed to permit better visual results might be an important cause of discontinuity of treatment.

Concerning the vascular cerebral disease that one patient alleged as the cause of cessation of bevacizumab therapy, the medical records showed that he had an earlier diagnosis of a vascular cerebral malformation and it did not have relation to the ocular treatment; the interruption of ophthalmologic follow-up occurred 1 year and 8 months after the intravitreal injection.

CONCLUSIONS

A high number of patients interrupted follow-up after beginning bevacizumab therapy for exudative AMD. Many of them related avoidable causes for discontinuity of treatment. Efforts must be done to improve information to AMD patients, especially in relation to functional outcomes and prolonged follow-up care.
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