Importance of intraocular pressure measurement at 6:00 a.m. in bed and in darkness in suspected and glaucomatous patients

A importância da medida da pressão intraocular às 6 horas no leito e no escuro em suspeitos e portadores de glaucoma
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To assess the importance of intraocular pressure measurement obtained at 6:00 a.m. in bed and darkness for the diagnosis and intraocular pressure control of primary open-angle glaucoma.

Methods: Retrospective analysis of the daily curve of intraocular pressure of suspects and glaucomatous patients under treatment. Suspects were classified as glaucoma suspects if they presented ≤19 mm Hg in isolated measurements and/or cup/disc ratio ≥0.7 in one or both eyes and/or asymmetry of cup/disc ratio ≥0.3 and a normal visual field. Each daily curve of intraocular pressure comprised five to seven IOP measurements with Goldmann application tonometer at 9:00 a.m., 12:00 p.m., 3:00 p.m. and/or 6:00 and 10:00 p.m. and/or 12:00 a.m. and the following day morning at 6:00 a.m. in supine position in bed and in darkness with Perkins tonometer before the patient had stood up. Only the daily curves of intraocular pressure that presented an intraocular pressure peak (difference between the higher and the lesser intraocular pressure value) >6 mm Hg were analyzed. In these daily curves, the average intraocular pressure and the standard deviation were calculated. The average intraocular pressure and standard deviation values were compared with the normal superior limits: average + two standard deviation of average intraocular pressure and standard deviation of intraocular pressure daily curve from normal patients of the same age group. Daily curves were considered abnormal when their average intraocular pressure and standard deviation values were above the normal superior limits. Secondary and congenital glaucoma were excluded.

Results: Daily curves of intraocular pressure of 565 eyes were analyzed, 361 suspected eyes and 204 eyes with primary open-angle glaucoma. In suspects, 64.3% presented an intraocular pressure peak at 6:00 a.m. in bed. In primary open-angle glaucoma, 68.6% presented an intraocular pressure peak at 6:00 a.m. in bed in 35.3% of the suspects and in 5.9% of primary open-angle glaucoma patients, the daily curve of intraocular pressure profile was inverted (lesser intraocular pressure at 6:00 a.m.).

Conclusions: Intraocular pressure peaks at 6:00 a.m. were responsible for the diagnosis of preperimetric glaucoma in 63.4% of suspects and revealed inadequate intraocular pressure control in 68.6% of eyes with primary open-angle glaucoma. The daily curves of intraocular pressure including the intraocular pressure measurement at 6:00 a.m. in bed and in darkness is of vital importance in doubtful cases in order to confirm the diagnosis of preperimetric glaucoma in suspects as well as for the adequate intraocular pressure evaluation of antiglaucomatous treatment.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Avaliar a importância da medida da pressão intraocular (Po) às 6 h no leito e no escuro para o diagnóstico de glaucoma pré-perimétrico e o controle do tratamento do glaucoma primário de ângulo aberto (GPAA).

Métodos: Análise retrospectiva da curva diária de pressão intraocular (CDPo) de suspeitos e glaucomatosos com perda de campo visual em tratamento. Suspeitos: Po de 19 a 24 mmHg e/ou relação escavação/disco (E/D) ≥ 0,7 num olho ou nos dois olhos e/ou assimetria de E/D ≥ 0,3 e campo visual normal. Cada curva diária de pressão intraocular: cinco a sete medições (tonômetro de Goldmann) feitas às 9:00, 12:00, 15:00 e/ou 18:00 e/ou 22:00 e/ou 24:00 h e na manhã seguinte às 6:00 h (tonômetro de Perkins) com o paciente em decúbito dorsal e no escuro, antes de levantar-se. Analisadas apenas as curvas diária de pressão intraocular que apresentavam pico de Po (diferença entre o maior e o menor valor de Po) > 6 mmHg. Nessas curvas diária de pressão intraocular, calculamos a pressão média (Pm) e a variabilidade (V) e as comparamos com os limites superiores da normalidade: média + dois desvios-padrões da Pm e da V obtidos no Serviço de pacientes normais do mesmo grupo etário. As curvas diária de pressão intraocular com Pm e/ou V acima dos limites superiores da normalidade foram consideradas anormais. Excluídas: CDPo’s de glaucomatosos secundário e congênito.

Resultados: Analisadas curvas diária de pressão intraocular de 565 olhos: 361 olhos de suspeitos e 204 de glaucomatosos. Picos de Po às 6:00 h foram encontrados em 64,3% dos suspeitos e em 68,6% dos glaucomatosos. Em 5,3% dos suspeitos e em 5,9% dos glaucomatosos, o perfil da CDPo foi invertido (menor valor de Po às 6:00 h).

Conclusão: Picos de Po às 6:00 h foram responsáveis pelo diagnóstico de glaucoma pré-perimétrico em 63,4% dos suspeitos e revelaram inadequado controle da Po em 68,6% dos olhos glaucomatosos. Em casos duvidosos, a medida da Po às 6:00 h no leito e no escuro com um tonômetro de aplanação é indispensável para confirmar o diagnóstico de glaucoma pré-perimétrico e para a adequada avaliação do tratamento antiglaucomatoso.

Descritores: Pressão intraocular/fisiologia; Hipertensão ocular; Glaucoma de Ângulo Aberto/diagnóstico; Ritmo circadiano/fisiologia; Tonometria ocular, Monitorização, fisiológica

INTRODUCTION

The current consensus states that the elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) is the main risk factor for the development and the progression of primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG)(1-4). Recently, it was demonstrated in the Advanced Glaucoma Intervention Study (AGIS) that long-term IOP fluctuation is associated with a progression of visual field loss in patients with low mean IOP but not in patients with high mean IOP(5). This fact has been well-
known since Maklakov’s research at the beginning of the 20th century using a Maklakov applanation tonometer(6).

It is widely recognized that the IOP varies throughout the twenty-four hours. Also, IOP reduction is the only goal in the treatment of glaucoma(2-4). Despite this, only a few ophthalmologists carry out a rigorous investigation of IOP using the daily curve of intraocular pressure (DCPo) by taking the IOP measurement at 6:00 a.m. with the patient in a supine position in bed and in darkness before the patient had stood up(5,6).

For some authors, the DCPo with the IOP measurement taken with an applanation tonometer at 6:00 a.m. in a supine position in bed and darkness has great importance to establish the diagnosis of suspected patients and the assessment of IOP control of glaucomatous patients(10-13).

However, other authors have studied the diurnal IOP variations by diurnal curves (DC) with 4-6 IOP readings generally taken between 8 a.m. and 6.30 p.m. of the same day(14).

Thiel (1925) was the first to report, without the benefit of the Goldmann applanation tonometer (GAT), that the IOP is more elevated in the morning between 5:00 and 7:00 a.m. before the patient stands up(15). He reported that the nocturnal IOP elevation is caused by the supine position that leads to cerebral and ocular venous stasis that reduces the aequous outflow(16).

Some authors did the DCPo with the IOP measurement taken at 6:00 a.m. in a supine position but they used the TonoPen or the pneumotonometer to measure the IOP(10,11,15).

This paper evaluates the importance of measuring the IOP at 6:00 a.m. in supine position in bed and in darkness using an applanation tonometer before the patient has stood up in the management of suspected and glaucomatous patients.

**METHODS**

A retrospective analysis of the DCPo of suspects and glaucomatous patients was performed. Suspects presented an IOP, without medication, ranging from 19 to 24 mmHg in an isolated measurement and/or cup/disc ratio (C/D) equal to or higher than 0.7 in one or both eyes and/or an asymmetry of C/D equal to or higher than 0.3 and a normal visual field. In suspects, the DCPo were carried out for diagnostic purposes. In glaucomatous patients, who presented a C/D ratio equal to or higher than 0.7 and a typical visual field loss, the DCPo were performed to assess the effectiveness of antiglaucomatous treatment in reducing the IOP. Glaucoma progression was not evaluated. All glaucomatous patients were using antiglaucomatous medications, such as prostaglandin analogs, α2-agonists or topic carbonic anhydrase inhibitors when admitted. Each DCPo consisted from five to seven IOP measurements performed with GAT at 9:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. and at 3:00 and/or 6:00 and 10:00 p.m. and/or 12:00 a.m. and in the morning of the following day at 6:00 a.m. with Perkins tonometer with the patient in a supine position in bed and in darkness and before he or she had stood up. IOP measurements were performed by two authors (SC and NC). Only the DCPo that presented an IOP peak (difference between the higher and the lesser IOP value) superior to 6 mmHg (abnormal IOP variation(16)) were considered. In these DCPo, the average IOP (Pm) and the standard deviation (variability - V) were calculated. Pm and V values were compared with the normal superior limits: average + two standard deviation of Pm and average + two standard deviation of V of the DCPo from normal patients of the same age and in our service(17). The DCPo were considered abnormal when their values of Pm and/or V were above the normal superior limits (Table 1). The DCPo of non-POAG were excluded.

**RESULTS**

Three hundred and thirty-one patients were enrolled (21.2%), representing the DCPo of 565 eyes equaling 206 (62.2%) suspects (361 eyes) and 125 (37.8%) POAG cases (204 eyes). We excluded 1229 (78.8%) out of the 1560 DCPo analyzed because they did not fill the inclusion criteria. Among the suspects, 64.3% of the eyes presented abnormal Pm and/or V when compared to those of the DCPo from normal patients of the same age group(23). This percentage of abnormal Pm and/or V value(s) was due to an abnormal IOP peak at 6:00 a.m. in supine position in bed and in darkness (Table 2). Therefore, based on the abnormal values of Pm and/or V and the normal result of the visual field, we definitely confirmed the diagnosis of preperimetric glaucoma in almost 2/3 of the suspects by measuring the IOP at 6:00 a.m. In glaucomatous patients, 68.6% of the eyes presented an abnormal Pm and/or V in the DCPo due to an abnormal IOP peak at 6:00 a.m. (Table 2). These data certainty revealed that these glaucomatous patients presented an inadequate IOP control. Moreover, in 5.3% of the suspects and in 5.9% of the glaucomatous, the DCPo profile revealed inverted values (lower IOP value at 6:00 a.m.).

**DISCUSSION**

POAG is a challenging disease in which the elevated IOP is the primary risk factor. Taking into account that up to now the only treatment for that optic neuropathy is IOP reduction, it is clear that a rigorous IOP investigation should be mandatory. Papers about DCPo have been published for many years(4-12). However, the majority of authors have studied the variations of the DC with 4-6 IOP readings generally taken between 8 a.m. and 6:30 p.m. of the same day(14). Some authors have done the DCPo including the IOP measurement at 6:00 a.m. in supine position, but using the TonoPen or pneumotonometer(15). TonoPen is an electronic tonometer and the pneumotonometer is an indentation tonometer and, therefore, neither are gold-standard instruments for IOP assessment because their reliability is variable(17-19). The OBF-pneumotonometer and the TonoPen can register false values because they are more influenced by corneal thickness than the GAT(17-19). The pneumotonometer is affected by ocular rigidity and the TonoPen tends to underestimate Goldmann’s IOPs in patients with IOP equal to or greater than 30 mmHg.

Some authors, using the pneumotonometer, found that the nocturnal supine IOP (11:00 p.m. - 7:00 a.m.) was a little lower than the diurnal upright IOP (7:00 a.m. - 11:00 p.m.), resulting in the diagnosis of 24 untreated early glaucomatous patients(19). In the present paper, in which only applanation tonometers (GAT and Perkins) were used, opposite results were obtained. Other authors analyzed 690 DC of the right eye out of a total of 2272 DC obtained from 1178 patients. They reported

---

### Table 1. Normal superior limits (average + two standard deviations) of the average IOP (Pm) and of the V of the DCPo in normal patients according to their age(17)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Pm</th>
<th>V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 to 25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14.62</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 to 35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15.93</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 to 45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.66</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 to 58</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.92</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DCPo= diurnal curve of intraocular pressure; Pm= average intraocular pressure; V= standard deviation; N= number of eyes

---

Calixto NS.
In this paper, the glaucoma progression was not due to the fact that in 40% of the cases, the highest IOP was found at the earliest morning measurement with 65% of peaks occurring before noon. Again, our data were completely different. There are more differences between the findings of the present paper and those of the works above. First, to the best of our knowledge, this paper analyzed the greatest sample of DCPos in which the IOP of suspected and glaucomatous eyes was measured at 6:00 a.m. with an applanation tonometer (Perkins) in bed and in darkness before the patient had stood up. Second, besides the important finding that the highest percentage of IOP peaks occurs at 6:00 a.m. in suspected (64.3%) and glaucomatous patients under inadequate treatment (65.8%), two other findings must be emphasized. Firstly, the relatively low percentage (23%) of IOP peaks at 9:00 a.m. and secondly, the even lower percentage of IOP peaks at the end of the morning (0.01% at 11:00 a.m.) and during normal office hours from 12:00 to 6:00 p.m. (8.8%). This is, precisely, the most common time period when most ophthalmologists perform the IOP measurements.

Undetected IOP peaks at 6:00 a.m. in bed and darkness can be responsible for the failure to substantiate an early diagnosis of preperimetric glaucoma. Also, some authors reported that IOP peaks can be responsible for glaucoma progression in some patients. In literature, a few papers show similar results to those of this paper. In the past, one author reported that the IOP variations are incomplete and, therefore, unable to demonstrate the true role of the IOP in suspected and glaucomatous patients.

To assure early glaucoma diagnosis and adequate IOP control in glaucoma patients, we always perform a DCPo with at least five IOP measurements including the IOP taken at 6:00 a.m. (at the hospital or home's patient) using the Perkins tonometer before the patient has stood up. In literature, a few papers show similar results to those of this paper. In the past, one author reported that the IOP variations are incomplete and, therefore, unable to demonstrate the true role of the IOP in suspected and glaucomatous patients.

In the most recent paper, the authors analyzed retrospectively the 24-hour IOP curves of 29 healthy subjects (10 young adults, 19 elderly) and 30 patients with untreated glaucoma (22). IOP measurements were taken at 9 a.m., 12, 3, 6, and 9 p.m. and at 12, 3, and 6 a.m., both in supine and sitting (GAT) positions. During the night, IOP measurements were made with an electronic tonometer (Tonopen XL) when the patient was in supine position and with a GAT when the patient was seated in front of a slit lamp. Peak, mean, and fluctuation of 24-hour IOP curves were compared with office-hour measurements obtained in subjects in the sitting position alone and with combined pressures obtained in the sitting and supine positions (four measurements in each body position from 9 a.m. to 6 p.m.). The percentage of subjects with estimates of all IOP parameters within a cutoff of ± 1 (peak and mean) and ± 2 mmHg (fluctuation) was calculated. They reported that office-hour sitting measurements correctly identified peak, mean, and IOP fluctuation in 10% of the young adults, 32% of the elderly control subjects, and 29% of the patients with glaucoma, whereas the combination of supine and sitting measurements correctly identified them in 30%, 85%, and 46% of the cases, respectively. It is noteworthy that office-hour measurements did not characterize any 24-hour parameter in 20% of patients with glaucoma. The authors recommended that supine and sitting IOP data be collected during office hours, at least in patients with abnormal applanation tonometric functional behavior (i.e., unexplained progression) to reduce their need for 24-hour monitoring. We are in total disagreement with these authors.

### Table 2. IOP Peak time (ΔΔΔΔΔ 6 mmHg) of 331 DCPos (565 eyes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>IOP Peak</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N= number of eyes; POAG= primary open-angle glaucoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspects</td>
<td>N 232</td>
<td>6:00 a.m. (bed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 140</td>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 140</td>
<td>12:00 p.m.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 140</td>
<td>3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 140</td>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 140</td>
<td>10:00 p.m.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 140</td>
<td>2:00 a.m.</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POAG</td>
<td>N 372</td>
<td>65.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 130</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 33</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 7</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 12</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 3</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 4</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 361</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In one study of 16 patients with normal pressure glaucoma suspicion, we found an abnormal DCPo in 14 (87.5%) of them. Only two patients had normal DCPos. However, one patient presented severe circulation alterations of both internal carotid arteries and the other presented pathological tonographic coefficients. As demonstrated in one paper dating from 1925, along with others, the data of this paper emphasize that is impossible to establish the diagnosis of normal-tension glaucoma without a complete and adequate IOP evaluation with its measurement taken with an applanation tonometer (Perkins) at 6:00 a.m. in bed and in darkness before the patient stood up. A substantial variation in the IOP is common in these patients, truly characterizing an abnormality which was not registered. Likewise, all epidemiological studies for evaluation of the diagnosis and treatment of this disease that do not take into account the IOP variations are incomplete and, therefore, unable to demonstrate the true role of the IOP in suspected and glaucomatous patients.
the 3 groups nor is consistent with visual field defects (23).

Unfortunately, most ophthalmologists manage their glaucomatous patients on the basis of sporadic IOP measurements that are taken during regular office hours, generally in the afternoon.

We think that is meaningless to follow suspected or glaucomatous patients under treatment performing the IOP measurements only in the afternoon.

CONCLUSION

On average, (65.8%) of the IOP peaks in suspects and glaucomatous patients with inadequate treatment were discovered by measuring the IOP at 6:00 a.m. in supine position in bed and in darkness before the patient stood up with an applanation tonometer. Also, at 6:00 a.m., on average, 5.6% of the eyes of suspects and glaucomatous patients with inadequate IOP control presented the lesser IOP value.

In dubious cases, in order to correctly diagnose preperimetric glaucoma in suspects as well as for the adequate evaluation of clinical treatment of POAG, the DCPO correctly performed is of vital importance.
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