**Demodex folliculorum** on the eyelash follicle of diabetic patients


**ABSTRACT**

**Purpose:** To compare the prevalence of *Demodex folliculorum* on the eyelashes of patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy and healthy volunteers.

**Methods:** Type 2 diabetic patients with proliferative retinopathy and age- and gender-matched healthy volunteers (group control) underwent a slit lamp examination under a light microscope. The mites were recognized based on its morphology and peculiar movement. The results were expressed in "positive" when at least one mite on one lash was found and "negative" when no mite was identified. The Chi-square test was used for comparing mites' presence in both groups.

**Results:** Forty-two patients were included in each group. The age ranged from 50 to 60 years old, with a mean of 56.4 ± 5.2 years. The male:female ratio was 0.6:1. There was no statistically significant difference with regard to age and gender in both groups (p>0.05). *Demodex folliculorum* was significantly more prevalent in diabetic patients (54.8%) than in control patients (38.1%) (p=0.048).

**Conclusion:** *Demodex folliculorum* was more prevalent in diabetic patients than in healthy volunteers, independently of gender and age.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The *Demodex* sp. is a microscopic elongated mite considered the most common permanent ectoparasite of humans[1]. It has been observed in almost all age, racial and geographical groups[2]. *Demodex* feed on sebum and inhabit skin areas with active sebaceous excretion such as cheeks, forehead and nose[3] and has been implicated in several skin diseases, for instance, acne vulgaris, rosacea, basal cell carcinoma and pityriasis folliculorum[4].

In the eyelid, *Demodex folliculorum* can be found in the eyelash follicle and has been suggested as the etiologic agent of blepharitis. Indeed, several studies have demonstrated higher prevalence of *Demodex* on the eyelid of symptomatic patients with blepharitis compared to a control group[5,6]. However, since these mites are frequently found in healthy subjects, their pathogenicity remains controversial[7].

*Demodex* infestation was also associated with immunodeficiency and various reports have been described this organism in biopsy sample obtained from skin inflammatory conditions in immunosuppressed patients with HIV infection[8] or cancer[9]. In addition, some studies have found higher mite density on the skin surface of potential immunosuppressed subjects, such as hemodialysis[10] and diabetic patients[11].

The aim of the present study was to compare the prevalence of *Demodex folliculorum* on the eyelashes of patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy and on a normal control group.

**METHODS**

This study was approved by the institutional research ethics committee and written informed consents were obtained from all participants. This research is in compliance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Type 2 diabetic patients in laser treatment for proliferative retinopathy and age- and gender-matched healthy volunteers (group control) were invited to participate. Exclusion criteria included pregnancy, diagnosis of diabetes under five years, prior eyelid surgery, known cause of immunosuppression (e.g. HIV infection, hemodialysis), current treatment for blepharitis and concomitant ocular or systemic disease that could interfere with the results of the study.
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**RESUMO**

**Objetivo:** Comparar a prevalência de *Demodex folliculorum* nos cílios de pacientes com retinopatia diabética proliferativa e voluntários normais.

**Métodos:** Pacientes com diabetes tipo 2 e voluntários com mesma distribuição de sexo e idade (grupo controle) foram submetidas a exame com lâmpada de feixe. Três cilios com secreção “em colarete” foram removidos de cada palpebra com pinça delicada. Os cilios foram corados com fluorescina e a presença de *Demodex folliculorum* foi verificada por visualização direta através de microscópio de luz. As larvas foram reconhecidas baseadas em sua morfologia e movimentos peculiares. Os resultados foram expressos em “positivo” quando foi encontrada pelo menos uma larva em um cílio e “negativo” quando nenhuma larva foi encontrada. O teste de Chi quadrado foi utilizado para comparar a presença das larvas nos dois grupos.

**Resultados:** Quarenta e dois pacientes foram incluídos em cada grupo. A idade variou de 50 a 60 anos com média de 56,4 ± 5,2 anos. A relação masculino:feminino foi de 0,6:1. Não houve diferença estatisticamente significante com relação ao sexo e idade entre os dois grupos (p>0,05). *Demodex folliculorum* foi significativamente mais prevalente em pacientes com diabetes (54,8%) que no grupo controle (38,1%) (p=0,048).

**Conclusão:** *Demodex folliculorum* foi mais prevalente em pacientes diabéticos que em voluntários normais, independentemente do sexo e da idade.

**Descritores:** Befarite; Diabetes mellitus; Folliculte; Doenças palpebrais; Ácaros; Infestações por ácaros.

**INTRODUÇÃO**

O *Demodex* sp. é uma ácaros microscópicos que considerado o mais comum parassita permanente de humanos[1]. Ele foi observado em praticamente todos os idade, raça e grupos geográficos[2]. *Demodex* e eleus na sebá e habita áreas de pele com atividade ecrinase como bochechas, testa e nariz[3] e tem sido implicado em vários distúrbios cutâneos, por exemplo, acne vulgar, rosácea, câncer de pele e pústulas folliculares[4].

No olho, *Demodex folliculorum* pode ser encontrado na folhetagem ciliar e foi sugerido como o agente etiológico de blefarite. De fato, vários estudos demonstraram prevalência maior de *Demodex* no olho de pacientes com blefarite comparado a um grupo controle[5,6]. No entanto, já que esses ácaros são frequentemente encontrados em pessoas saudáveis, sua patogênesis permanece controversa[7].


O objetivo do presente estudo foi comparar a prevalência de *Demodex folliculorum* nos olhos de pacientes com retinopatia diabética proliferativa e voluntários normais.

**MÉTODOS**

Este estudo foi aprovado pela comissão de pesquisa de ética de pesquisa e consentimentos informados foram obtidos de todos os participantes. Esta pesquisa está em conformidade com os princípios da Declaração de Helsínquia.

Type 2 diabetic patients in laser treatment for proliferative retinopathy and age- and gender-matched healthy voluntaries (group control) were invited to participate. Exclusion criteria included pregnancy, diagnosis of diabetes under five years, prior eyelid surgery, known cause of immunosuppression (e.g. HIV infection, hemodialysis), current treatment for blepharitis and concomitant ocular or systemic disease that could interfere with the results of the study.

**Financiamento:** Não houve apoio financeiro específico para este estudo.

**Declaração de interesses de conflitos de interesse:** L.S.F.F. Yamashita, Nada; A.J. Cariello, Nada; N.M.A. Geha, Nada; M.C. Yu, Nada; A.L. Hofling-Lima, Nada.

**Endereço de correspondência:** Angelino Julio Cariello. Sadatla Amin Ghanem Eye Hospital. Rua Abdon Batista, 146 - Joinville (SC) - 89003-010 - Brazil - E-mail: angelino55@yahoo.com

All subjects underwent a slit lamp examination at a magnification of X25 where three eyelashes containing cylindrical dandruff (Figure 1) were removed from each lid by fine forceps (one eyelash from each third of the eyelid) and placed separately on a glass slide. One drop of fluorescein solution was added and covered with a coverslip. Subsequently, the presence of Demodex was analyzed in the samples under a light microscope at a magnification of X40 and X100 (Figure 2). The examination was always performed by the same ophthalmologist (AJC) immediately after the sampling. The mites were recognized based on its morphology and peculiar movement. The results were expressed in positive (with at least one mite on one lash) and negative (no mite identifiable) and the Chi-square test was used for comparing mites presence in both groups.

RESULTS

Forty-two patients were included in each group. The age ranged from 50 to 60 years old, with a mean of 56.4 ± 5.2 years. The male:female ratio was 0.6:1. There was no statistically significant difference with regard to age and gender in both groups (p>0.05).

Demodex folliculorum was significantly more prevalent in diabetic patients (27.4% of the total population studied) than in control patients (19.0% of the total population studied) as shown in the table 1 (p=0.048). There was a tendency to find Demodex in aged patients. The mean age of positive and negative patients for Demodex were 58.2 ± 1.8 and 54.0 ± 2.8 years, respectively (p=0.09).

In the control group, Demodex was more prevalent in females, but this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.125). In the diabetic group, male gender emerged as protector risk factor (p=0.048).

DISCUSSION

Blepharitis is a commonly progressive chronic illness conside-
red one of the most found ocular disorders in clinical practice. The physiopathology is not entirely known and it represents a therapeu-
tic and diagnostic challenge[12]. Different factors are involved in
the pathogenesis of chronic blepharitis, including alteration of the ocular microflora, reaction to exotoxins, allergic response to anti-
gens, changes in the dynamics of the tear film and dysfunction of
the meibomian gland[10].

Demodex mites have also been associated with blepharitis and
several pathological mechanisms have been suggested. The mites
can cause a direct damage in the epithelial cell at the lash follicle[1],
induce a reactive hyperplasia and hyperkeratinization[13,14] or mecha-
nically block of the orifices of meibomian glands[15]. Bacteria were
found inside and on the surface of Demodex mites. Some of them,
such as staphylococci, produce exotoxins that can directly contri-
bute to unspecific irritative symptoms or induce a host immune
reaction[15]. In addition, proteins of the mites and their debris may
also elicit a host delay hypersensitivity reaction[13].

The data about the prevalence of Demodex in diabetic patients
are scarce. Akdeniz et al. found a significantly higher mean mite density
and bigger mite mean size on cheeks biopsy of diabetic patients
compared with a control group[5]. Clifford et al. analyzed the preva-
ence of Demodex on eyelashes of 256 subjects and also concluded
that mites were more abundant in patients with diabetes[10].

Various reports of Demodex infestation in association with ac-
quired immunodeficiency syndrome and cancer chemotherapy[6,10]
and the higher prevalence of Demodex in potential immunosup-
pressed subpopulations, such as pregnant[16] and hemodialysis pa-
tients[17], have suggested that immunological deficiencies may faci-
litate the overgrowth of the mites. Patients with diabetes have an
increased risk for infections, but the exact mechanisms of the immu-
nocompromised state are unclear.

Several abnormalities might contribute to the increased sus-
ceptibility and severity of infections in diabetic patients, including
lower chemotactic activity of neutrophils[17], reduced function of
mastocytes[18], poor leukocyte-endothelial cell interactions and an
decreased quantity of leukocytes in inflammatory lesions[19], low ox-
idants compounds generation, a reduction in lymph node retention
capacity[20] and reduced release of cytokines, such as tumor necrosis
factor alpha, interleukins and prostaglandins[21].

In the present study we demonstrated that patients with active
proliferative retinopathy showed higher prevalence of Demodex
eyelashes infestation. The retinopathy is a severe microvascular dia-
betic complication that attack specially patients with long-term di-

Table 1. Prevalence of Demodex sp. in diabetic patients and a
healthy control group matched by age and gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diabetic patients N (%)</th>
<th>Control group N (%)</th>
<th>Total N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demodex positive</td>
<td>23 (27.4%)</td>
<td>16 (19.0%)</td>
<td>39 (46.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demodex negative</td>
<td>19 (22.6%)</td>
<td>26 (31.0%)</td>
<td>45 (53.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>42 (50.0%)</td>
<td>42 (50.0%)</td>
<td>84 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = percentage of the total population of the study, including patients with diabetes and healthy voluntaries, N=84.
severe and poor glycemic control and that are expected to be in greater risk of immunosuppression.

Increased sebum production has been correlated with Demodex density\(^\text{29}\) and could be another speculative mechanism involved in diabetic patients. An experimental study showed systemic dilatations of hair follicles and altered lipid synthesis in the sebaceous glands of diabetic rats\(^\text{24}\). However this hypothesis is controversial, since others studies have demonstrated that patients and mice with diabetes have a decreased sebaceous gland activity\(^\text{25, 26}\).

Obviously, for ethic and cosmetic conditions, a generalized epilation of the eyelid is not advised. A simple random epilation may constitute a sampling bias. To improve the chance to detect Demodex, the eyelashes with cylindrical dandruff were preferred and fluorescein dye was used to improve the microscopic evaluation as previously described\(^\text{27}\).

Demodex infestation has a global distribution without race preference, but it is predominant in females and increases with advancing age\(^\text{29}\). Although the control group was composed by age- and gender-matched voluntary, there was a tendency to find Demodex in aged patients and in the women. The blockage of the meibomian orifices by greasy eye makeup and hormonal alterations are possible factors involved in the higher prevalence in women.

CONCLUSION

Diabetes Mellitus showed to be a risk factor for Demodex folliculorum infestation of the eyelid, independently of gender and age. Further clarification of the role of Demodex in the physiopathology of blepharitis and the influence of metabolic disturbances are still required.
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