Femtosecond assisted intrastromal corneal ring (ISCR) implantation for the treatment of corneal ectasia

Avaliação dos resultados do implante do anel intraestromal com laser de femtosegundo no tratamento de ectasias corneanas

CRISTINA CARDOZO COIMBRA1, MARCOS TONELLI GOMES1, MAURO CAMPOS1, EDVALDO SOTER DE FIGUEIJOA JUNIOR1, EDUARDO PARENTE BARBOSA2, MYRNA SERAPIÃO DOS SANTOS2

ABSTRACT
Purpose: To assess the outcomes of intrastromal corneal ring (ISCR) implantation for the treatment of corneal ectasia.
Methods: Thirty-five consecutive patients with secondary corneal ectasia treated with keraring intrastromal corneal ring segment implantation aided by intralaser femtosecond technique (K-ICRS-FS) were evaluated. Visual acuity (logMAR), refraction, and astigmatism vector analysis were measured preoperatively and 3 months postoperatively using vector analysis as described by some authors.
Results: Visual acuity improved from 0.40 ± 0.20 to 0.25 ± 0.13 logMAR (p=0.0002), with reduction of the spherical equivalent from -5.41 ± 4.78 D to -2.83 ± 3.29 D (p=0.0002). Mean astigmatism reduction was 3.92 ± 2.52 D. K maximum decreased from 51.65 ± 5.83 D preoperatively to 48.58 ± 5.54 D (p=0.0001) 3 months after the surgery and K minimum decreased from 45.92 ± 4.64 D to 43.96 ± 5.06 D (p=0.0041). There were no intraoperative or postoperative complications.
Conclusion: Intrastromal corneal ring implantation with the use of a femtosecond laser was a safe procedure, with low risk of complications and significant improvement on visual acuity and topographic data in this setting of patients with secondary corneal ectasia.
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INTRODUCTION
Corneal ectasia is a bilateral, asymmetric, and progressive disease that causes corneal thinning and increase of the corneal curvature(1), inducing myopia and irregular astigmatism, and leading to progressive visual acuity decrease.

The most prevalent cause of ectasia is the keratoconus and the pellucid marginal degeneration. Keratoconus is characterized by corneal thinning and progressive increase of the corneal curvature, stromal thinning and irregular astigmatism(2), while pellucid marginal degeneration is characterized by inferior stromal thinning extending from 4 to 8 hours in a crescent shape(3).

The correction with spectacles provides good visual acuity in the early phases of the disease, while there is no important irregular astigmatism. In advanced cases, the use of rigid contact lenses is necessary to restore vision. With the progression of the ectasia, and low best corrected visual acuity despite the use of spectacles or contact lenses and/or intolerance to contact lens, the corneal transplant is indicated(4,5).

Since the 40’s, efforts have been made by several investigators to keep corneal ectasia stable with the purpose of postpone or avoid the corneal transplant. The intracorneal ring segment implants (ICRS) were idealized by Joaquim Barraquer in the 50’s with the aim of molding the corneal curvature producing stability to refractive procedures(6).

The ICRS implant acts inducing a significant corneal steepening followed by an adjacent corneal flattening that reduces the corneal power and might improve the visual acuity with or without correction. The main advantages of this procedure are: safety, reversibility and stability(7).
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Resumo
Objetivo: Avaliar os resultados do implante de anel intraestromal (Keraring®) com laser de femtosegundo para o tratamento de ectasias corneanas (ceratocône e degeneração marginal pelúcida).
Método: Estudo retrospectivo em 35 pacientes consecutivos com ectasia corneana submetidos ao implante de anel intracorneano (Keraring®). Acuidade visual (logMAR), refração, ceratometria e a análise vetorial do astigmatismo foram as variáveis analisadas. O túnel para o implante dos segmentos foi confeccionado com o laser de femtosegundo. Os pacientes foram seguidos pelo período mínimo de três meses.
Resultados: A acuidade visual corrigida melhorou de 0,40 ± 0,20 para 0,25 ± 0,13 (p=0,0002), com diminuição do equivalente esférico de -5,41 ± 4,78 D no pré-op. para -2,83 ± 3,29 D no pós-op. (p=0,0002) e média de 3,92 ± 2,52 D na análise vetorial do astigmatismo. O K máximo variou de 51,65 ± 5,83 D para 48,58 ± 5,54 D (p=0,000) e o K mínimo de 45,92 ± 4,64 D para 43,96 ± 5,06 D (p=0,0041). Não houveram complicações intra ou pós-operatórias.
Conclusão: Os resultados deste estudo mostraram que o implante do anel intraestromal é um procedimento seguro, com baixo risco de complicações, tendo determinado importante melhora na acuidade visual e nos dados topográficos de pacientes com corneas com ectasias corneanas.
Descritores: Topografia da córnea; Córnea/pathologia; Astigmatismo/fisiopathologia; Ceratocône/fisiopathologia; Ceratocone/cirurgia; Lasers de excimer/uso terapêutico; Implante de prótese; Refração ocular; Acuidade visual
Keraring® intrastromal implant (ISCR) (Mediphacos - Belo Horizonte), differs from available commercial options because it was especially designed for the treatment of corneal ectasia. It is positioned close to the pupillary axis producing a surface regularization and refraction correction. It is characterized by having a triangle transversal section which supposedly reduces glare complaints. It dimensions are 0.6 mm (bottom surface), arch segments from 90 to 210 degrees, variable thickness from 0.15 to 0.30 mm, inner diameter from 5.0 or 6.0 mm(3) and 5.6 or 7.0 (external diameter, of 5.0 and 6.0 respectively).

ICRS implant complications include extrusion, migration and superficialization of the implanted segments, besides the possible progression of the ectasic disease. Many of these complications can be reduced and even eradicated by the use of a femtosecond laser to make the tunnel instead of the manual technique(2).

The femtosecond laser acts through the photodisruption mechanism promoting a tissue dissection by forming carbon dioxide microbubbles and water vapor in a chosen depth. It has the benefit to create a tunnel with uniform and precise depth of 360 degrees, being therefore, safer and liable to less intraoperative complications than the manual technique(5,8).

**PURPOSE**
To assess the refractive outcomes of intrastromal ring (ISCR) implanted with femtosecond laser for the treatment of secondary corneal ectasia.

**METHODS**
This is a retrospective Clinical Study, approved by the Investigational Review Board - Ethics Committee of Verter Institute-CERPO (CAAE 0003 0389 000-08). The study protocol adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients included in this study were informed about the procedure: surgical technique, possible risks and complications and treatment alternatives.

Before the surgery, the patients that agreed to participate signed an informed consent form included in the study protocol and received a copy of it.

**PATIENTS SELECTION**
The data was obtained from the patients records at Hospital de Olhos Paulista - in the city of São Paulo from the period of January, 2009 to July, 2010.

The sample includes 35 eyes from 35 patients with corneal ectasia (keratoconus or pellucid marginal degeneration) that underwent ISCR implantation surgery with femtosecond laser.

**INCLUSION CRITERIA**
Patients with keratoconus grade I to IV or pellucid marginal degeneration that underwent ISCR implantation surgery with femtosecond laser.

**EXCLUSION CRITERIA**
Previous ocular surgeries; monocular status; corneal curvature > 65 D; severe corneal opacity and significant corneal healing in the pupillary region, ruptures in the Descement’s membrane (severe or healed hydropsy); other previous ocular diseases which can change the visual acuity or contraindicate the surgery such as herpetic ocular disease, dry eye, uncontrolled ocular atopic disease, cataracts, uveitis, indocyclitis, rubecosis iridis, glaucoma, previous retinal detachment, and retinal diseases with vascular change signs; systemic conditions such as Down’s Syndrome, pregnancy, and chemical dependency; patients who did not have a proper postoperative follow-up, not attending the visits or who did not perform the requested exams.

**Progressive grade classification adopted was the Krumeich et al**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stages</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>• Eccentric corneal curvature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Myopia and/or induced astigmatism ≤ 5.0 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Corneal curvature ≤ 48.0 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Vogt’s striae, no scars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>• Myopia and/or induced astigmatism between 5.0 and 8.0 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Curvature ≤ 53.0 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Absence of central healings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pachymetry ≥ 400 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>• Myopia and/or induced astigmatism between 8.0 and 10.0 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Curvature &gt; 53.0 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Absence of central healings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pachymetry from 200 to 400 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>• Non measurable refraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Curvature &gt; 55.0 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Central healings, perforation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pachymetry ≥ 200 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PREOPERATIVE ASSESSMENT**
The preoperative (preop) assessment was performed in both eyes and included a review of clinical and ophthalmologic history and a series of ocular exams (contact lenses users were requested to interrupt its use for at least two weeks before the exams): distance visual acuity (DVA) assessment (AV) with the room lights on, using standard visual acuity tables (Snellen and logMAR); objective and subjective refractions in photopic conditions; slit lamp biomicroscopy; application tonometry with Goldmann application tonometer (Haag-Streit, Berna, Suiza) connected to the slit lamp; ultrasonic pachymetry with Humphrey pachymeter and pachymetric maps of the Orbscan II device (Bausch & Lomb®, Rochester, New York, USA); anterior chamber depth was measured with the Orbscan II device (Bausch & Lomb®, Rochester, New York, USA); indirect ophthalmoscopy, (Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and 20 D lenses (Volk Optical Inc., Ohio, EUA).

**SURGICAL PROCEDURE**
The intrastromal ring implant surgery was performed by two surgeons (MSS and MTG) using the same surgical technique.

Nomograms provided by the manufacturer were used to choose the segment(s), based on the refraction, and topographic and pachymetric data of the patients.

**SURGICAL TECHNIQUE**
Topical anesthesia with 0.5% proximetacaine; asepsis and antisepsis with 10% povidone-iodine, and blepharostat positioning; 3% povidone-iodine solution for conjuctival sac antisepsis; subjective refractions in photopic conditions; slit lamp biomicroscopy; and a series of ocular exams (contact lenses users were requested to interrupt its use for at least two weeks before the exams): distance visual acuity (DVA) assessment (AV) with the room lights on, using standard visual acuity tables (Snellen and logMAR); objective and subjective refractions in photopic conditions; slit lamp biomicroscopy; application tonometry with Goldmann application tonometer (Haag-Streit, Berna, Suiza) connected to the slit lamp; ultrasonic pachymetry with Humphrey pachymeter and pachymetric maps of the Orbscan II device (Bausch & Lomb®, Rochester, New York, USA); anterior chamber depth was measured with the Orbscan II device (Bausch & Lomb®, Rochester, New York, USA); indirect ophthalmoscopy, (Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and 20 D lenses (Volk Optical Inc., Ohio, EUA).
Under a microscope, with the aid of a blepharostat, the positioning of the segments was performed according to the manufacturer nomograms and placement of therapeutic contact lenses.

**Postoperative medication**

The topical postoperative (postop) therapy was performed with 0.1% dexametasone eye drop (Maxidex®, Alcon Laboratórios do Brasil, São Paulo, Brazil) q.i.d. for 2 weeks; 0.3% gatifloxacin eye drop (Zymar®, Allergan Laboratórios do Brasil, São Paulo, Brazil) q.i.d. for 1 week; hypromellosis associated with dextrane 70 (Fresh tears®, Allergan Laboratórios do Brasil, São Paulo, Brazil) q.i.d. for 4 weeks, maintaining its use as required.

**Postoperative assessment**

Postoperative return visits were scheduled for the 1st and 7th days and 1st, 2nd and 3rd months.

- D 1: VA, biomicroscopy
- D 7: VA, biomicroscopy; tonometry
- D 30: VA, biomicroscopy, tonometry
- D 60: VA, biomicroscopy, tonometry
- D 90: VA, biomicroscopy, refraction, tonometry, Orbscan.

**Ocular findings and complications**

During the postoperative assessments, all ocular findings with or without clinical significance and those which could mean a threatening to the visual function (complications), as well as the treatment used, when deemed necessary, were documented.

**Statistical analysis**

The data were presented as mean ± standard deviation and proportions for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Pre- and postoperative comparisons were performed with t-student and Wilcoxon paired tests. Vector analysis of the astigmatism was performed to detect its magnitude change and used procedure described by Jaffe, Clayman[1]. Comparisons between the groups (ectasia grade) were made with the Kruskall-Wallis test and multiple comparisons were corrected by the Bonferroni method. The statistical significance level was 0.05. Analyses were performed with Stata v.10 software (College Park, Texas, EUA).

**RESULTS**

This study included 35 eyes of 35 patients. Twenty-two (62.8%) male and 13 (37.2%) female participated, with a mean-age of 29.6 ± 8.9 years. All patients completed a 3 month follow-up.

**Type and stages of ectasia**

Thirty-three (94.3%) patients with keratoconus and 2 (5.7%) patients with pellucid marginal degeneration participated in the study. Among keratoconic patients, 10 (30.3%) were classified as grade I, 10 (30.3%) as grade II, 8 (24.2%) as grade III and 5 (15.2%) as grade IV.

**Visual acuity**

Twenty-four patients (68.6%) used spectacles, 10 (28.6%) contact lenses and 1 (2.8%) patient did not use any type of refraction correction.

There was a statistically significant improvement of the visual acuity in 82% of the patients on the third postoperative month. The corrected visual acuity improved from 0.4 ± 0.20 to 0.25 ± 0.13 (logMAR), p=0.0002 (Figure 1).

Among the patients with keratoconus, an improvement on visual acuity was noted in all groups, being statistically significant just in the grades II and III (p=0.015 and 0.014, respectively) (Table 1).

**Spherical equivalent**

There was a statistically significant decrease (p=0.0002) in the spherical equivalent from -5.41 ± 4.78 D to -2.83 ± 3.29 D with the use of intrastromal ring (Table 2).

**Topographic data**

A statistically significant reduction in the K-maximum (preop= 51.65 ± 5.83 D, postop= 48.58 ± 5.54 D, p=0.000) and in the K-minimum (preop = 45.92 ± 4.64 D, postop= 43.96 ± 5.06 D, p=0.0041) was noted (Table 2).

**Pachymetry**

Regarding the central pachymetry and the pachymetry in the thinnest point of the cornea, no statistical difference was noted. The central pachymetry varied from 473.94 μm ± 52.61 μm in the preop to 479.77 μm ± 53.14 μm in the postop, (p=0.2236). Thinnest point measured ranged from 447.42 μm ± 52.47 μm in the preop to 447.6 μm ± 68.42 μm in the postop, p=0.9848.

**Anterior chamber depth**

The depth variation of the anterior chamber was statistically significant, from 3.19 mm ± 0.27 in the preop to 3.16 mm ± 0.28 in the postop (p=0.0480).

**Posterior elevation**

Regarding the posterior elevation, it was noted a statistically significant reduction of it, from 54.54 ± 4.19 D in the preop to 53.37 ± 3.32 D in the postop (p=0.0016).

**Astigmatism vector analysis**

The astigmatism change was performed through a vector analysis. The change magnitude of the astigmatism with the intracorneal ring implant for the study population was: mean of 3.92 ± 2.52 D; median of 2.92 D e range of 0.98 - 10.91 diopters. There was no significant difference among the several grades of ectasia (Table 3).

**Complications**

There were no surgical complications in this study. Only one patient needed to exchange the intrastromal ring of 5 mm by one of 6 mm due to halos observation.
DISCUSSION

Studies regarding the use of intrastromal ring in the keratoconus treatment have been very frequent in the last years. This technique is becoming a more attractive solution to patients whose the alternative was the corneal transplant. Among the main advantages of this surgery, we highlight the corneal positive aspheric preservation, the lack of surgical scarring in its central portion and its reversible characteristic. In the event of an unexpected result, penetrating keratoplasty has been described with good outcomes. Besides, there is no problem in performing the corneal transplant in case the ring surgery does not achieve the expected result(12-14).

A statistically significant reduction of the spherical equivalent was noted in our sample leading to improvement of the visual acuity in 82% of the patients after the ring implant, which was also found in other studies(2,7,8,15-17), showing the efficacy of the method to correct corneal ectasias, previously corrected only with transplant. This improvement was statistically significant in groups which had keratoconus grade II, III and in both cases of pellucid marginal degeneration. Alió et al., (2005) had also shown better results in these keratoconus groups(18). In our study, only 3 eyes showed a worsening of 1 line of vision and in 3 eyes maintained the visual acuity, as reported by Alió et al., (2005) with 5 patients and Boxer et al., (2003) with 2 patients(18,19).

In the present study, a significant reduction in the topographic data as maximum K and minimum K was also noted. Previous studies showed a corneal flattening of approximately 4 diopters, very close to the one found in this study, which was 4.6 diopters(2,6,8,16). In gene-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Variation of the visual acuity (logMAR) before and after the intrastromal ring implant, according to the keratoconus grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ectasia grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VA= visual acuity

Table 2. Variation of the spherical equivalent and keratometry before and after the intrastromal ring implant in patients with corneal ectasia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative (D)</th>
<th>Postoperative (D)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>-5.41 ± 4.78</td>
<td>2.83 ± 3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-maximum</td>
<td>51.65 ± 5.83</td>
<td>48.58 ± 5.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-minimum</td>
<td>45.92 ± 4.64</td>
<td>43.96 ± 5.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SE= spherical equivalent; D= diopters; K= keratometry

Table 3. Astigmatism vector analysis with the intrastromal ring in patients with corneal ectasia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade I</th>
<th>Grade II</th>
<th>Grade III</th>
<th>Grade IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Astigmatism, mean ± dp</td>
<td>5.59 ± 3.85</td>
<td>2.99 ± 1.32</td>
<td>3.12 ± 1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>5.38 (1.65-10.91)</td>
<td>3.05 (0.98-5.50)</td>
<td>2.83 (1.81-6.78)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kruskall-Wallis test, Bonferroni method

Figure 2. Patient submitted to ICRS implant. There was an improvement in the maximum K of 5.6 D (preop=51.9 D and postop=46.3 D), and, consequently, in the spherical equivalent which reduced from -3.25 D to -0.50 D and the visual acuity which was from 0.48 to 0.09 (logMAR), besides the increasing in the corneal thickness (preop=441 μm, postop=445 μm).
Femtosecond assisted intrastromal corneal ring (ISCR) implantation for the treatment of corneal ectasia

It occurs due to an important corneal flattening in the first days after the implant and the measures become stable approximately 3 months postoperatively (Figure 2).

The mechanism of ICRS is the addition of material in the corneal periphery, an arc-shortening effect on the corneal lamellae, flattening the central cornea. For the correction of astigmatism the end point of each segment may produce additional tending (20). With the corneal flattening after the surgical procedure, patients can experience an important reduction of the corneal irregularity and astigmatism, making possible the use of soft contact lenses with a good visual acuity and satisfactory fitting (21). In this study, the astigmatism vector analysis showed a mean improvement of 3.92 ± 2.52 diopters.

During the study, no intraoperative complications such as corneal perforation or incomplete tunnel formation were noted. No postoperative complications such as migration of the segments, as already reported (22,23), were noted. It is probably due to the use of the femtosecond laser to create the stromal tunnel. Its use makes the procedure safer (more uniform tunnel depth), faster and more comfortable to the patient and to the surgeon (24), although the results regarding visual acuity are similar to the ones found when the manual technique is performed by experienced surgeons (25,26,27). One patient needed to exchange the intrastromal ring of 5 mm by one of 6 mm due to halos observation.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study showed that the intrastromal ring implant Keraring® with femtosecond laser is a safe procedure, with low risk of complications, determining important improvement on visual acuity and topographic data of patients with corneal ectasia.
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