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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To verify Ophthalmology resident’s and supervisor’s perceptions regarding learning and teaching strabismus surgery. Design: Descriptive Study. Methods: Subjects: 1st and 2nd year residents. Each resident studied the standard strabismus surgery technique on a CD-ROM, then performed a rabbit rectus muscle recession and a strabismus surgery on a patient. A structured questionnaire was handed to the residents, and the authors made observations including variables referring to the surgical technique applied, self-evaluation of this technique and if appropriate training was given. Results: Thirty-eight questionnaires were analysed. All 1st year residents (R1) had had some difficulty remembering the surgery sequence and 69.6% of 2nd year residents (R2). Concerning the surgical material handling, 93.3% of R1 and 52.2% of R2 had had any difficulty. The residents reported that during the surgery in humans, 50% remembered the experimental stage and that this training completely fulfilled their needs for 47% and partially for other 47%. Conclusion: Experimental surgery, more practice opportunities and resident’s supervision may improve the teaching of strabismus surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

Strabismus surgery may have complications, like scleral perforation and muscle loss. Scleral perforations’ incidence varies between 0.13% and 12%⁴⁻⁵. This incidence, with the improvement of surgical techniques and the use of modern needles, is much lower⁶⁻⁷. To better define the perforation incidence, Simon et al. reported 728 cases in 553,565 surgeries, an incidence of 1.32/1000, but perforations were twice as common with residents or fellows operating⁸. The surgical training of a resident in the area of Ophthalmology should have maximal attention on the teaching program because of its complexity due to the difficulty in standardizing a training method. Defining teaching competencies’ methods and evaluation tools are necessary⁹. The surgical experience must feature a progressive development of surgical practice⁹, but the individual learning abilities vary a lot inside a resident group. Surgery residents enter residency programs with varying levels of knowledge and skills⁹.

In the United States (US) the residency program, including Ophthalmology, is accredited by an organization called Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME). Changes in the health care environment have created a demand for a new set of competencies in residency training¹⁰ defined by ACGME. These competencies are: patient care, medical knowledge, practice-based learning and improvement, interpersonal and communication skills, professionalism, systems-based practice¹⁰. The “American Board of Ophthalmology” added another competency: surgery¹⁰. Therefore, significant modifications from its present form to produce competent surgeons for the future are required¹¹. Lee, Volpe¹⁰ proposed methods and curriculum changes to conform the medical teaching to ACGME requirements, including the laboratorial surgery practice. Surgery conferences and assistant surgeon observation are also fundamental. Self-evaluation and performance questionnaires have been used to study surgical education. The self-evaluation is an important teaching strategy¹²⁻¹³ and a tool used and validated by previous studies¹⁴. Some authors have studied and validated a resident’s perception questionnaire that works like an educational evaluated tool in surgery environment, detecting areas to be improved¹¹. The use of controlled educational settings to help surgery residents acquire basic knowledge and skills regarding adverse events should enhance patient safety and improve outcomes¹⁵. Our aim was to verify Ophthalmology resident’s and supervisor’s perceptions about learning and teaching strabismus surgery, acquiring subsidies for strabismus learning improvement.
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METHODS
Descriptive study. Subjects: The inclusion criteria were first and second year Ophthalmology residents in 2005 and 2007 of the University Hospital, who signed the informed consent. The exclusion criteria were residents who did not want to participate or did not answer the questionnaire. The study involves animal experimentation (rabbits), according to ethical principles from the Regional Committee and The Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO/USA). This project was approved by the Ethical Committee from this Institution. Each resident studied the standard horizontal rectus muscle recession surgery technique on CD-ROM, and under supervision, performed a rabbit rectus muscle recession. Then, he or she did a strabismus surgery on a patient at Clinical Hospital. A structured questionnaire was handed to the residents after the procedure, including variables referring to the surgical technique applied, self-evaluation of this technique and if appropriate training was given (Appendix I). The authors evaluated the residents’ performance during the surgery regarding the level of difficulty, anxiety, shaky hands and insecurity (Appendix II). The data was entered into the EPI-INFO (Epidemiological Information) program and had its frequency analyzed.

RESULTS
Forty-three residents were evaluated but only 38 questionnaires were analysed (5 were not handed in). Fifteen were R1 (40%) and 23 were R2 (60%). Twenty-four were male (63%) and 14 (37%) were female. Thirty-six per cent had already done a strabismus surgery. None of the first year residents (R1) had ever done a strabismus surgery before and 63% of the second year residents had already done at least one. When asked about what they remembered during the surgery in humans, they could choose more than one answer (Graphic 1). Furthermore, about the recollection during the patient’s surgery, 29% had no difficulty remembering the surgical instruments and was always present for 95%. He always encouraged engagement and was always present for 95%. He always encouraged engagement, if he offered positive feedback, if he was present and available for clarifications, if he shared his professional experience, if he offered positive feedback, if he was present and available for clarifications, if he encouraged engagement, if he maintained a respectful atmosphere for 95% and always fulfilled their needs.

Concerning the strabismus surgery training, the residents suggested changes: 55% in working hours, 37% that the details should be approached in a deeper manner, 50% a more detailed discussion, 84% more practice opportunities, 16% patient surgery and complication presentations, and 16% a resident’s responsibilities clarification.

Forty-three supervisor’s charts concerning the resident’s surgical procedures were analysed. Nineteen were R1 (44%), and 24 were R2 (56%). Seventy-two per cent had some difficulty remembering which materials had been used, 88% remembering the procedure sequence, 70% handling the materials, 88% passing the scleral stitch, 84% comparing with the cataract surgery 87% felt less or not anxious at the surgery in humans, they could choose more than one answer (Appendix I). Furthermore, about the recollection during the patient’s surgery, 29% had no difficulty remembering the surgical instruments and was always present for 95%. He always encouraged engagement, if he offered positive feedback, if he was present and available for clarifications, if he shared his professional experience, if he always fulfilled their needs.

Concerning the strabismus surgery training, the residents suggested changes: 55% in working hours, 37% that the details should be approached in a deeper manner, 50% a more detailed discussion, 84% more practice opportunities, 16% patient surgery and complication presentations, and 16% a resident’s responsibilities clarification.

Forty-three supervisor’s charts concerning the resident’s surgical procedures were analysed. Nineteen were R1 (44%), and 24 were R2 (56%). Seventy-two per cent had some difficulty remembering which materials had been used, 88% remembering the procedure sequence, 70% handling the materials, 88% passing the scleral stitch, 84% more practice opportunities, 16% patient surgery and complication presentations, 84% more practice opportunities, 16% patient surgery and complication presentations, 84% more practice opportunities, 16% patient surgery and complication presentations, 84% more practice opportunities, 16% patient surgery and complication presentations, 84% more practice opportunities, 16% patient surgery and complication presentations, 84% more practice opportunities, 16% patient surgery and complication presentations.
Appendix II. Residents’ performance during surgery (evaluated by the supervisor)

- Level of difficulty for each item separately: remembering the instruments, remembering the surgery sequence, handling of surgical material, passing the scleral stitch, evaluating the dot tension, evaluating the final muscle position, evaluating the final eye position in the orbit, evaluating the passive forces:
  - A lot
  - Some
  - A little
  - None
- Impression during the surgery (for each item separately): nervousness, security, haste, initiative, shaky hands and inattention:
  - Very
  - More or less
  - A little
  - None

Table 1. Second year’s residents (R2) answering about their difficulties remembering the surgery sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Difficulty</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. All residents answering if the surgery technique training completely fulfilled their needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training fulfilled their needs</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completely</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>47.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>47.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I had no training</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

evaluating the dot tension, 84% evaluating the final muscle position, 95% evaluating the final eye position in the orbit, and 100% evaluating the passive forces.

Comparing the questions, we observed that the residents who felt secure performing the strabismus surgery also felt that experimental surgery completely or almost completely fulfilled their needs, but of the residents who felt a little insecure (8 residents), 25% (2 residents) acknowledged that it did not.

Twelve residents had already done strabismus’ surgery before and only one of those (18%) recalled the experimental practice during the surgery, but considering those who had never done a strabismus’ surgery before (21), 66% (14 residents) remembered the experimental training. Considering those who had already done a surgery before, 18% felt nervous, 33% had shaky hands and 25% tachycardia. The residents that had never done a surgery before reported: 43% felt nervous, 19% shaky hands and 5% tachycardia.

Comparing the resident’s answers and the supervisor’s impressions, despite the latter’s observations like anxiety (63%), shaky hands (65%) and some insecurity (98%), 51% of residents did not report any of these feelings.

DISCUSSION

This study describes the resident’s perceptions regarding teaching and their own surgery’s learning through an anonymous questionnaire. Hence, each resident had freedom to express his impressions regarding knowledge and difficulty, and also regarding their instructor and supervision.

The number of first year residents with some difficulty remembering the procedure sequence or handling the instruments is higher than the number of the second year residents with these hardships. We may assume that it is because of a bigger practical opportunity that the second year residents had during residency, who also felt more secure about performing surgery. The experimental surgery combined with observation and discussions could decrease anxiety and improve performance.

There is a consensus that the residents entering training programs in surgery must have minimum surgical practice before starting their second residency year. Even studying in books and Atlas and performing as assistant surgeon, the first surgery on patients can cause distress, anxiety or frustration if the resident does not feel prepared. The improvement on technical skills should occur in an initial learning stage and the surgery training focus should be on new teaching methods and knowledge transfer.

Traditionally, technical skills have been learned by the resident as an assistant surgeon in the operating room, which may not be the best occasion to learn. The environment can be hostile and stressful, and the teaching cannot be patterned. Training outside the operating room offers solutions to some of these problems and accelerates the learning curve. An experimental education module helps relieve some anxiety during the first surgery in humans and the feedback allows the supervisor to encourage the resident to correct his errors. Furthermore, it is an attempt to combine knowledge and technical, interpersonal and professional skills. The experimental surgery on animals is performed in controlled settings, this being important in the learning process which can develop skills, increasing the resident’s self-confidence, basic prerequisites to reduce complication rates.

The supervisor’s impressions and the resident’s answers diverged concerning nervousness, shaky hands and insecurity during the surgery on the patient. Since the answers were evaluated on an anonymous questionnaire, we believe that the resident, despite feeling nervous or insecure, preferred not to make any comment, as self-assurance. The supervisor is also important when he gives positive reinforcement for a first year insecure resident or a second year resident, who is much more confident but nevertheless needs positive reinforcement for a first year insecure resident or a second year resident, who is much more confident but nevertheless needs self-assurance. The supervisor is also important when he gives positive reinforcement for a first year insecure resident or a second year resident, who is much more confident but nevertheless needs self-assurance.

The residents recalled during the surgery especially what they had been learning in the experimental stage and what they had pre-
viously practiced. Although only 50% pointed out the experimental surgery as important, this was the most efficient way to learn, according to the questionnaire.

According to Lee et al., learning and retention rates after lectures alone are poor, and seminars, web-based or interactive presentations may improve retention rates. Other authors studied the impact of standardized patient (SP) use to improve abilities on clinical exams, showing a significant positive impact on the student group using this learning method. On surgical practices, the animal surgery can help enhance attention and learning. Scott et al., studying laparoscopic surgery learning, give evidence that bench models with simulations may improve resident’s technical skills.

Brewster et al., created an educational model to integrate technical and clinical skills, emphasizing interpersonal and communication abilities, as well as professionalism. They used standardized patients, a bench model simulation, and a five-point scale using global rating forms to study resident’s performance during training. The appropriate surgical training of a resident in the area of Ophthalmology is still considered a complex and challenging subject that should not be overlooked.

Regarding resident’s perceptions about teaching and learning strabismus surgery with self-evaluation, the residents claimed that during surgery in patients, they recalled what had been taught in the experimental stage (50%) and that this training totally fulfilled their needs for 47%, and partially for other 47%. In order to improve teaching techniques, 84% suggested more practice opportunities and 55% increased work hours.

The supervisor’s evaluation of resident’s attitude during strabismus surgery describes symptoms like nervousness (63%), shaky hands (65%), and some insecurity (98%), factors that were not reported by some residents, since 51% did not point out any feeling, showing a divergence between self-criticism and the supervisor’s evaluation.

This preparation - including experimental surgery, along with more practice opportunities and resident’s supervision - may improve strabismus surgery teaching.

**CONCLUSION**

The experimental surgical practice of strabismus in rabbits may represent an important form of practical learning which should precede surgery in patients, so that the resident can become familiar with instrument manipulation techniques and with ocular tissues.

We suggest new projects in this area like multicenter and controlled studies, to test the surgical resident’s improvement and the application of new training methods.
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