Phacoemulsification and foldable acrylic IOL implantation in children with treated retinoblastoma

Facoemulsificação e implante de lente intraocular acrílica dobrável em crianças com retinoblastoma

INTRODUCTION

Retinoblastoma (RB) is the most common intraocular malignancy in childhood, occurring in approximately 1 in 20,000 live births[1]. The goals of management of RB are, first, to preserve life and, second, to salvage the eye and restore vision if possible[2]. Earlier diagnosis coupled with new therapeutic modalities such as External Beam Radiotherapy (EBRT), radioactive plaque and brachytherapy have allowed successful treatment avoiding enucleation in many cases[3,4]. About 20% to 87% of the patients treated with radiotherapy can develop a radiation-induced secondary cataract blocking the visual axis and therefore causing severe reduction of visual acuity (VA)[5,6]. Maintenance of a clear visual axis is important in order to allow the examination of the eye fundus and to observe the regressed retinoblastoma lesions[6].

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the visual outcome and ocular complications of the cataract surgery by phacoemulsification and foldable acrylic intraocular lens implantation (IOL) in children with radiation-induced cataract after treatment for RB.

METHODS

Six uniocular patients with secondary cataract due to radiation therapy for RB treatment were submitted to phacoemulsification and IOL implantation at the Congenital Cataract Section, Department of Ophthalmology, Federal University of Sao Paulo SP, Brazil. During surgery, a sample of aqueous humor (0.2 ml) was collected from the anterior chamber for analysis. Aspirated lens material (0.2 ml) was also sent for histopathological analysis.

Table 1 presents the age (in months) of patients at RB diagnosis, the different prescribed treatments, and the time interval between radiotherapy and the diagnosis of cataract. All patients had bilateral RB, and all of them had one eye previously enucleated. All eyes had good VA before cataract surgery, which was confirmed by slit-lamp exam, both at the time of treatment (EBT, alpha radiation, plaque, brachytherapy) and after enucleation. The VA was 20/40 or better in all patients, being 20/20 in four patients. Although only one patient had a VA of 20/60, all had bilateral vision. The visual axis had to be restored after enucleation to allow fundus examination. The patients' ages varied from 3 months to 5 years at RB diagnosis, and the interval between RB diagnosis and cataract diagnosis varied from 9 months to 23 months.

Table 1: Characteristics of patients at RB diagnosis and time interval between radiotherapy and cataract diagnosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age at RB diagnosis (months)</th>
<th>Time interval between RB and cataract diagnosis (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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inactive tumors when they presented for cataract surgery. Lens opacities hindered fundus examination in all patients.

Visual acuity was measured with Snellen acuity cards, Teller Acuity Cards or Lea Hyvarigen Acuity Cards depending on patient collaboration. Final best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was obtained at the last follow-up visit.

Calculation of the IOL power was based on previous studies of axial length growth in pseudophakic eyes of children with lamellar cataract, as follows: children aged 3 to 4 years had a 10% reduction of the calculated IOL power. Children between 4 and 6 years had an addition of 0.75% on the preoperative axial length in order to calculate the final IOL dioptries.

The same surgeon (MBT) operated on all cases using the same technique. A clear corneal tunnel incision was performed and a lateral paracentesis was used to allow the introduction of any additional instrument during phacoemulsification. Viscoelastic substance (Viscoat®) was used to fill the anterior chamber. An anterior continuous circular capsulorhexis followed by hydrodissection and hydrodeliniation were performed. Phacoemulsification or phacoaspiration of the lens was performed followed by a meticulous aspiration of lens material. A foldable acrylic IOL (AcrySof®) was implanted within the capsular bag. Next step included aspiration of the viscoelastic substance from the anterior chamber and injection of a miotic drug (Myostat®). One or two single sutures (mononylon 10-0) were used to achieve a safe closure of the corneal incision. Subconjunctival dexamethasone (0.5 mg/1 ml) and gentamicin (40 mg/1 ml) were injected at the end of surgery. Topical antibiotics and corticosteroids were applied for the first two weeks after surgery and tapered during 2 months of follow-up.

Visual acuity, binocular indirect ophthalmoscopy and slit lamp examination were assessed on all postoperative visits.

RESULTS

Patients were between 3 and 5 years-old (mean 4.9 years-old) at the time of cataract surgery. Three eyes presented nuclear cataract and three eyes presented posterior sub-capsular cataract. Mean time between radiotherapy and cataract diagnosis was 22.3 months. All patients included in this study showed initial VA worse than 20/200. All children improved VA after surgery (Table 2). Mean postoperative follow-up was 17.2 months (12 to 23 months).

A posterior paracentral capsule opacification (PCO) was observed during surgery in three eyes (patients #2, #4 and #5) but the capsule was left intact. No patient needed a Neodimium YAG laser posterior capsulotomy.

Patient #4 presented an inflammatory membrane anterior to the IOL that disappeared within two weeks after surgery.

One eye (patient #3) developed a paracentral mild PCO during the follow-up. This PCO did not affect VA or eye fundus examination (Figure 2).

Five eyes received a three-piece acrylic hydrophobic foldable IOL (MA60AC, Alcon AcrySof®) and one eye received a single-piece IOL (SA30AL Alcon AcrySof®).

Intraocular lens power ranged from +21 to +29 (mean +25.5 D). Refraction was obtained and glasses with protective polycarbonate multifocal lenses were prescribed for all patients.

Slit lamp examination postoperatively showed severe dry eye with fluorescein staining in two eyes.

All eyes achieved a clear visual axis after cataract surgery, allowing monitoring tumoral status (Figure 1, patient #6). None had recurrence or spread of the tumor during the follow-up time of this study. Histopathological analysis of aqueous humor and aspirated lens material showed no tumoral cells in the samples of all patients.

DISCUSSION

Retinoblastoma is the most frequent intraocular tumor in childhood(1) and early detection and treatment is essential. Ervenne and Franco(11) found that advanced age and delayed diagnosis increase the chance for extraocular disease that mandates significantly more aggressive treatment.

Time range between the appearance of first RB signs and the initial treatment as well as the management of RB influence the outcomes(4).

In the past, standard treatments for RB consisted of enucleation and EBRT. Systemic chemotherapy was generally reserved for patients with invasion of the optic nerve, choroid, orbit or with metastatic disease(1). Nowadays, chemoreduction has been widely used to avoid EBRT(5,6). Other management options in children include ra-

---

Table 2. Visual acuity before and after cataract surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age at surgery (years)</th>
<th>VA before cataract surgery</th>
<th>Follow-up (months)</th>
<th>Final BCVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20/570</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20/400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20/200</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>CF 2 m</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20/70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>CF 50 cm</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20/200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20/40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VA= visual acuity; Final BCVA= best corrected VA at last follow-up; CF= counting fingers vision; LP= light perception vision.

---

Table 1. Retinoblastoma diagnosis, therapy and time interval between radiotherapy and cataract development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age*</th>
<th>TTT</th>
<th>Cryo</th>
<th>Photo</th>
<th>Brachy</th>
<th>Chemo</th>
<th>RXT</th>
<th>Cataract†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = age in months at retinoblastoma diagnosis; TTT= transpupillary thermotherapy; Cryo= cryotherapy; Photo= phototherapy; Brachy= brachytherapy; Chemo= chemotherapy; RXT= radiotherapy; †= therapy yes; 0= therapy no; †= time interval between radiotherapy and radiation-induced cataract (in months).

---

Figure 1. Patient #6 - Eye fundus with inactive retinoblastoma: tumor status 20 months after cataract surgery and IOL implantation.
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Radiotherapy has been a useful modality in the treatment of RB, but lens irradiation may cause cataract induction, usually occurring 2 to 12 years after treatment. In our study we found a mean latency period of 22.3 months from radiotherapy to cataract diagnosis.

Treatment of radiation-induced cataracts is similar to treatment for pediatric cataracts. The implantation of an IOL by itself may not be considered for providing optimal visual rehabilitation after cataract surgery. All patients in this series improved VA, although other factors as tumor size and location, age of the patient and amblyopia may influence the final visual results.

Samples of aqueous humor and aspirated lens material were easily obtained during cataract surgery. Hadjistilianou et al., analyzed aqueous humor proteins in patients with RB and reported an increase in protein content in eyes with RB. In order to investigate malignant cells, the samples were collected and a histopathological analysis was performed. The results of our study showed the absence of malignant cells in the samples.

The role of posterior capsulotomy in spreading tumor cells is yet unknown but Brooks et al., recommended avoiding posterior capsulotomy to prevent an eventual seeding of the tumor. Although posterior capsularphoxis in children is advisable, we preferred to maintain the posterior capsule in order to respect the anatomical barrier between anterior and posterior eye segments. All children were older than 3 years-age at the time of surgery and might be able to collaborate to perform Neodnimun-YAG laser posterior capsulotomy if necessary.

Miller et al., described good results after pars plana lensectomy and IOL implantation in radiation-induced cataracts in children with RB.

Same authors reported a series of eleven eyes that underwent a primary IOL implantation after RB treatment. They concluded that IOL implantation seemed to be a safe method for aphakia correction. The authors advised penetrating the anterior chamber through a clear corneal incision to avoid direct contact with conjunctival blood vessels, scleral tissues, and vitreous management in order to reduce the risk of spreading tumoral cells.

Besides tumoral spread or recurrences, the complications expected in the removal of radiation-induced cataracts are similar to pediatric cataract surgery. In this case series no complications related to the RB occurred. All eyes studied did not develop tumor recurrence or dissemination during the follow-up period. Attention to dry eye signs and symptoms is a must in those irradiated eyes. Artificial tear drops and ointments were prescribed to two patients diagnosed with dry eye.

In three eyes a partial PCO was detected at the end of surgery, but posterior capsulotomy was not performed due to the risk of tumor dissemination. Despite the capsular opacification, indirect ophthalmoscopy was feasible and VA improved after surgery. Maintenance of a clear visual axis was obtained, allowing adequate view of the posterior pole in order to monitor the regressed tumoral lesions.

Intraocular lenses choice was important, IOL material and model are related to biocompatibility in adults and children. This study showed good results with acrylic hydrophobic IOLs.

Refractive results were within the expected calculated diopters. Future changes in refraction may occur in these growing eyes. IOL power calculation was based on previous data on axial lengths in children with cataracts. There are no studies of ocular growth in eyes with RB and cataracts. Predictive IOL power calculation and axial growth in eyes with RB need further investigation.

Hoehn et al., showed the effectiveness of cataract surgery to improve quality of life in affected children. The children in our study were all uniorcular patients and achieved an improvement of vision that could lead to better ambulation and better integration in the community and school.

CONCLUSION

No tumor spread or recurrences were observed in this series and improvement of VA was obtained in all patients. We conclude that although the long term risk-benefit is still unknown, phacoemulsification and foldable acrylic IOL implantation in eyes with previously treated RB seems to be a feasible, safe and effective treatment for radiation-induced cataracts, but the number of included patients is too low in order to establish an outcome complication profile.
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Figure 2. Patient #3 - posterior capsule opacification.