Risk factors of age-related macular degeneration in Argentina
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ABSTRACT

Purposes: To assess the risk factors of age-related macular degeneration in Argentina using a case-control study.

Methods: Surveys were used for subjects’ antioxidant intake, age/gender, race, body mass index, hypertension, diabetes (and type of treatment), smoking, sunlight exposure, red meat consumption, fish consumption, presence of age-related macular degeneration and family history of age-related macular degeneration. Main effects models for logistic regression and ordinal logistic regression were used to analyze the results.

Results: There were 175 cases and 175 controls with a mean age of 75.4 years and 75.5 years, respectively, of whom 236 (67.4%) were female. Of the cases with age-related macular degeneration, 159 (45.4%) had age-related macular degeneration in their left eyes, 154 (44.0%) in their right eyes, and 138 (39.4%) in both eyes. Of the cases with age-related macular degeneration in their left eyes, 47.8% had the dry type, 40.3% had the wet type, and the type was unknown for 11.9%. The comparable figures for right eyes were: 51.9%, 34.4%, and 13.7%, respectively. The main effects model was dominated by higher sunlight exposure (OR [odds ratio]: 3.3) and a family history of age-related macular degeneration (OR: 4.3). Other factors included hypertension (OR: 2.1), smoking (OR: 2.2), and being of the Mestizo race, which lowered the risk of age-related macular degeneration (OR: 0.40). Red meat/fish consumption, body mass index, and iris color did not have an effect. Higher age was associated with progression to more severe age-related macular degeneration.

Conclusion: Sunlight exposure, family history of age-related macular degeneration, and an older age were the significant risk factors. There may be other variables, as the risk was not explained very well by the existing factors. A larger sample may produce different and better results.
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METHODS

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) causes 5% of global blindness and 1% of visual impairment. In the early stages of AMD, deposits of drusen are observed in the retina between the retinal pigment epithelium and choroid in the macular region. The disease progresses to more advanced stages, leading to 2 types of late AMD: geographic atrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium and photoreceptor cells (dry AMD) and aberrant choroidal neovascularization (wet AMD), which leads to central vision loss.

AMD is the leading cause of blindness among the elderly in developed countries with prevalence of late AMD at 1.2-1.7%. While AMD has generally been a greater issue in developed countries, studies from India suggest similar prevalence (1.4-1.8%) for late AMD as the population continues to age in developing countries. China, on the other hand, has a considerably lower prevalence as confirmed by the Beijing Eye Study. AMD was considered untreatable until argon laser treatment and, later, photodynamic therapy were applied.

INTRODUCTION

AMD was considered untreatable until argon laser treatment and, later, photodynamic therapy were applied. Today, intravitreal an-
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ti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) therapy is the preferred treatment, which has decreased the annual incidence of visual impairment due to AMD by 32-50% in some developed countries[13,14]. Anti-VEGF therapy is effective, although it does not restore vision to previous levels in the majority of subjects treated, and it requires multiple injections that are very costly[15].

Over the past 2 decades, efforts have been made to identify associations between AMD and risk factors with varied results, but it is generally agreed that older age, female gender, Caucasian race, and family history of AMD are significant unmodifiable risk factors to developing the disease and/or progressing to late AMD and smoking might be a modifiable risk factor. An algorithm was developed to predict which subjects with early/intermediate AMD are most likely to progress to late dry/wet AMD, by assessing the following variables: 6 genetic variants, age, sex, education, baseline AMD grade, smoking, Body Mass Index (BMI), and nutritional supplement use[17]. Multivariate risk models were next modified to additionally include time-varying rates of progression of up to 12 years and macular drusen size in both eyes at baseline to follow the disease progression in the Age-Related Eye Disease Study[18]. Of the 2,937 subjects who participated in study, 819 progressed to late AMD during the 12-year follow-up period. Age, smoking, BMI, genetic variants, advanced AMD in one eye and drusen size in both were independently associated with progression[19].

In Latin America, AMD treatment is very costly, and little research has been done on the disease[20]. Approximately 8.3% of the population of Latin America and the Caribbean is 65 years or older[21]. Argentina has one of the highest elderly populations in the region with up to 13.1% being 65 years or older, and 97% of the population of Argentina is White (mainly of Spanish and Italian descent) and 3% is Mestizo (of mixed White and Indigenous race), Indigenous, or of another race[22,23]. Despite its significantly aging population, there have been no studies to date on AMD in Argentina, where epidemiological studies on blindness suggest that AMD may be the cause of 3-4% of blindness[24]. The objective of this case-control study is to assess the risk factors for the development and progression of AMD in Argentina.

METHODS

The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and the IRB of the Fundación Hugo D. Nano in Buenos Aires, Argentina determined that it was exempt from formal IRB review.

Fundación Nano in Buenos Aires, Argentina contacted ophthalmologists throughout the country to participate in the study, and 28 participated, including 12 retina specialists and 2 general ophthalmologists. The ophthalmologists considered all new patients seen over a 2-month period in 2011 at their respective outpatient clinics for the survey on the possible risk factors of AMD provided that they did not have maculopathy. After patients voluntarily consented, surveys were conducted by their respective ophthalmologists during their consultations. The participating ophthalmologists and their subjects were from Buenos Aires City (the Federal Capital) and 5 interior provinces: Gran Buenos Aires, Santa Cruz, Santa Fe, Entre Ríos, and Córdoba.

In the survey, subjects answered questions, when applicable, on their age, gender, race, iris color, systemic hypertension (defined as systolic blood pressure ≥130), diabetes (Type 1 or Type 2 and type of treatment), cholesterol, status of AMD in each eye, family history of AMD, smoking and exposure to smoking, red meat consumption, fish consumption, and antioxidants intake. Weight, height, and abdominal diameter were measured at the time of the survey to calculate BMI, and the status of AMD in each eye was also measured during the same consultation.

The treating ophthalmologists submitted the subjects to ophthalmological examination, classified AMD in each eye based on the standard classification of the disease, and also performed an Amsler grid test on each eye. Early AMD was classified as a presence of a few medium-sized drusen and/or pigment abnormalities[25]. Intermediate AMD was classified as presence of at least one large drusen, numerous medium-sized drusen, and/or geographic atrophy that did not extend to the center of the macula. Advanced non-neovascular AMD was classified as presence of drusen and geographic atrophy extending to the center of the macula. Advanced neovascular AMD was classified as presence of choroidal neovascularization and any of its potential sequelae, including subretinal fluid, lipid deposition, hemorrhage, retinal pigment epithelium detachment, and a fibrotic scar[26]. Subjects with no history or signs of AMD were selected as controls.

Risk analysis was performed with a main effects model for logistic regression and ordinal logistic regression. Antioxidant status (taking antioxidants or not) was omitted from the potential list of variables because about 15% of data were missing for the cases and controls. Age and gender were checked but not included in any simple logistic regression models as they are controlled for in the case matching. Race was categorized as White, Mestizo, and other (Indigenous, Black, or Asian), with White as reference. BMI was calculated by dividing weight in kilograms by the square of height in meters and categorized as being normal/slightly underweight (>18.5 kg/m² and <25 kg/m²), overweight (25-29.9 kg/m²), and obese or morbidly obese (>30 kg/m²)[27]. Systemic hypertension was categorized as present or not, with no hypertension as reference. Diabetes was categorized as present (Type I or Type II) or not, with no diabetes as reference. Diabetes treatment was categorized as none, diet, oral drugs, and insulin, but treatment was only used if diabetes was a significant factor. Smoking was categorized as current smoker or not; packs per day (0, <1, 1-2, or ≥2); smoking years (0 years; <10 years; 10-20 years, and >20 years); and years of giving up smoking (0 years, <10 years, 10-20 years, >20 years, and never smoked). Lives with smoker and lived with smoker were employed as dichotomous variables (present or not). Sunlight exposure was classified as an ordinal 2-level factor (light to moderate: 0-2 hours per day of sunlight exposure and moderate to heavy: >6 hours per day). Red meat consumption was classified as an ordinal 4-level factor (never, once a week, twice a week, and 3 or more times a week). Iris color was categorized as brown, light to blue, and intermediate, with brown as reference. AMD was categorized as present or not for each eye. For the logistic regression, AMD was classified as present for the cases only and not for the controls. AMD type was categorized as none, dry, wet, or unknown, but was only used in the ordinal logistic regression. Fundus status for either eye was not used in the logistic regression.

In the logistic regression main effects model, all variables were initially entered into a single block, and those with a p value >0.15 were removed. Non-significant variables (p>0.05) were then removed singly with each remaining significant variable in a single block. Variables with a p value less than 0.05 were significant. Retention of variables in the model was determined by Wald significance and block significance (chi square). Non-linearity of retained variables was not examined; for a small dataset these would need to be modeled in a mixed model although some idea of non-linearity could be obtained from the adjusted odds ratios (ORs).

In the ordinal logistic regression main effects model, the most severe AMD indication in either eye was taken as the score (1-4) for the dependent variable. All variables were entered into a single block and those with a p value >0.05 removed. The variables age and BMI categories were retained.

RESULTS

There were 175 cases and 175 controls, of whom 236 (67.4%) were female. The mean ages of the cases and controls were 75.4 years (SD:
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7.75) and 75.5 years (SD: 7.87), respectively, showing that the cases were well matched to controls age-wise.

Of the cases, 154 (88.0%) had AMD in their right eyes, 159 (90.9%) had AMD in their left eyes, and 138 (78.9%) had AMD in both eyes. Of the cases with AMD in their right eyes, 80 (51.9%) had the dry type, 53 (34.4%) had the wet type, and in 21 (13.7%), the type was unknown. The comparable figures for left eyes were: 76 (47.8%), 64 (40.3%), and 19 (11.9%), respectively. The severity of AMD in each eye is shown in tables 1A and 1B based on the complete data for each eye available at the time of analysis.

With regard to demographics of all subjects, 284 were White (81.1%), 52 Mestizo (14.9%), and 14 of other races (4.0%). Fifty-eight percent reported on the surveys that they had systemic hypertension (n=203), and 49 reported to have diabetes (14.0%), of whom 7 controlled their diabetes through diet (2.0%), 38 through oral drugs (10.9%), and 4 with insulin (11.1%). Almost one-third of subjects had a BMI that indicated they were slightly overweight or of normal weight (n=116, 33.1%), 142 (40.6%) were overweight, and 92 (26.3%) were obese or morbidly obese. Fifty-four subjects (15.1%) had given up smoking for more than 20 years. Other data on smoking were too inconsistent to provide reliable results.

The majority had brown irises (222, 63.4%), while 80 (22.9%) had light-to-blue color, and 48 (13.7%) had intermediate color irises.

Fifty-two subjects (14.9%) said they had a family history of AMD. The majority had brown irises (222, 63.4%), while 80 (22.9%) had light-to-blue color, and 48 (13.7%) had intermediate color irises.

The majority had brown irises (222, 63.4%), while 80 (22.9%) had light-to-blue color, and 48 (13.7%) had intermediate color irises.

Table 1. A) Severity of age-related macular degeneration in each eye for logistic regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMD severity</th>
<th>Right eye n (%)</th>
<th>Left eye n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>43 (26.9)</td>
<td>40 (24.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>31 (19.4)</td>
<td>25 (15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced non-neovascular</td>
<td>32 (20.0)</td>
<td>43 (26.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced neovascular</td>
<td>54 (33.7)</td>
<td>55 (33.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The table above shows severity for the eyes with complete data at the time of the logistic regression analysis. Three eyes did not have complete data and were not included. AMD= age-related macular degeneration.

Table 1. B) Severity of age-related macular degeneration in each eye for ordinal logistic regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMD severity</th>
<th>Right eye n (%)</th>
<th>Left eye n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>43 (26.9)</td>
<td>38 (23.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>31 (19.4)</td>
<td>25 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced non-neovascular</td>
<td>32 (20.0)</td>
<td>41 (25.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced neovascular</td>
<td>54 (33.7)</td>
<td>47 (29.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The table above shows severity for the eyes with complete data at the time of the ordinal logistic regression analysis. AMD= age-related macular degeneration.

Interpretation of the main effects model shows that it is dominated by higher sunlight exposure (OR: 3.3) and a family history of AMD (OR: 4.3) (Table 3). The unknown category for AMD family history was also very significant because it most likely contains many subjects who have a family history of AMD. Other factors include systemic hypertension (OR: 2.1) and smoking (OR: 2.2). Finally, being of the Mestizo race lowers the risk of getting AMD (OR: 0.40).

For the ordinal logistic regression, the final main effects model had a Nagelkerke R² of 0.263, a model fitting p value of 1.9 x 10⁻⁷, with significant parameters listed in table 4. In this ordinal logistic

Table 2. Fish and meat consumption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumption rate</th>
<th>Meat n (%)</th>
<th>Fish n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>26 (7.4)</td>
<td>94 (26.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a month</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>60 (17.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a week</td>
<td>80 (22.9)</td>
<td>134 (38.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twice a week</td>
<td>137 (39.1)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three or more times a week</td>
<td>107 (30.6)</td>
<td>62 (17.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Main effects model, logistic regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>B coefficient</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>-0.924</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.20-0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mestizo</td>
<td>-0.931</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.12-1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-1.710</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.08-0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>2.122</td>
<td>1.31-3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>2.187</td>
<td>1.09-4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate-to-heavy sunlight exposure</td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>1.5 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>3.281</td>
<td>1.91-5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMD familial history</td>
<td>-0.924</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.20-0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.465</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>7.9 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>4.329</td>
<td>2.09-8.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>5.1 x 10⁻¹</td>
<td>2.907</td>
<td>1.74-4.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*B= logistic coefficients; †SE= standard error; ‡P (value)= significance; §OR= odds ratio; ||CI= confidence intervals. The reference for race is White, for hypertension no hypertension, for smoking status no smoking, for sunlight exposure light-to-moderate exposure (0-6 hours of sunlight exposure per day), and for age-related macular degeneration (AMD) familial history no history of AMD.

Table 4. Main effects model, ordinal logistic regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>B coefficient</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI category</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>1.320</td>
<td>0.61-2.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>1.320</td>
<td>0.61-2.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>1.320</td>
<td>0.61-2.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age category (years)</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>1.320</td>
<td>0.61-2.860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*B= coefficients for the predictor variables; †P (value)= significance; OR= odds ratio; CI= confidence intervals; BMI= body mass index reference is normal or slightly underweight (18.5 kg/m² and <25 kg/m²); *reference is <65 years.
regression, an OR > 1 means an association with a lower score or less AMD severity, while an OR < 1 indicates an association with higher scores or higher AMD severity.

As expected, age shows a steady dose-response relationship with higher age associated with progression to more severe AMD. Higher BMI, however, appears somewhat protective in AMD progression (only obese BMI is significant), which might indicate selection bias, but the explanation for this is unknown.

**DISCUSSION**

Increased sunlight exposure and a family history of AMD were the most significant risk factors in developing AMD that were observed in this study in addition to hypertension, smoking, and being white. An older age was a significant risk for disease progression.

Sunlight exposure has produced inconsistent results as a risk factor in other research. A study carried out in the South of France, which used ambient solar radiation to test for an association of more sunlight exposure with AMD, did not conclude that there was an effect; however, a Canadian study considered sunlight exposure to be a possible risk factor.[5,20]

For family history, the results of the unknown variable (OR: 2.9) are also significant and suggest that many of these subjects may indeed have a family history of AMD. It is, therefore, very important that greater attention in Argentina be given to raising awareness of AMD and its risks not only with subjects, but with their families, who should be informed of the association between family history and AMD. These results and recommendations were also confirmed by another case-control study in the UK, which found that family history was associated with a 12-fold increase in the odds for disease.[5]

Being of the Mestizo race reduces the risk of developing AMD (OR: 0.40). Other Hispanic populations have also been found to have a reduced risk of developing late AMD.[5] The US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III was a national representative population-based, cross-sectional study that looked at the prevalence and risk factors for AMD in non-Hispanic whites, non-Hispanic blacks, and Mexican Americans. The rates were overall not very different, but late AMD was higher among whites, White and black women were more likely to develop it then their male counterparts. However, Mexican-American women did not have an increased risk.[5] That said, the proportion of the Mexican population that is Mestizo is 60%, and only 9% is white, whereas 97% of the population of Argentina is White and only 3% is Mestizo, Indigenous, or of another race.[21] (It should be further noted that the majority of subjects in this study were white (81%), which is a close representative proportion of the demographics of the country). Therefore, from a national, demographic perspective, almost the entire population of Argentina has an increased risk of developing AMD.

It is interesting to note that red meat consumption, fish consumption, BMI, and iris color did not result in an association with AMD. The previously mentioned Canadian study determined that family history, obesity, and smoking were significant risk factors, and a lighter colored iris (and sun exposure) was a possible factor.[5] Fish consumption as a risk factor has also produced mixed results in other studies. Studies in the United States (US) and Australia found that fish consumption reduces the risk of developing AMD, whereas another study, a Canadian study considered sunlight exposure to be a possible risk factor.[5,20]
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