Longitudinal measurements of luminance and chromatic contrast sensitivity: comparison between wavefront-guided LASIK and contralateral PRK for myopia
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: The present study aimed to compare the postoperative contrast sensitivity functions between wavefront-guided LASIK eyes and their contralateral wavefront-guided PRK eyes.

Methods: The participants were 11 healthy subjects (mean age=32.4 ± 6.2 years) who had myopic astigmatism. The spatial contrast sensitivity functions were measured before and three times after the surgery. Psycho and a Cambridge graphic board (VSG 2/4) were used to measure luminance, red-green, and blue-yellow spatial contrast sensitivity functions (from 0.85 to 13.1 cycles/degree). Longitudinal analysis and comparison between surgeries were performed.

Results: There was no significant contrast sensitivity change during the one-year follow-up measurements neither for LASIK nor for PRK eyes. The comparison between procedures showed no differences at 12 months postoperative.

Conclusions: The present data showed similar contrast sensitivities during one-year follow-up of wave-front guided refractive surgeries. Moreover, one year postoperative data showed no differences in the either of wavefront-guided LASIK or wavefront-guided PRK on the luminance and chromatic spatial contrast sensitivity functions.
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INTRODUCTION

The visual performance for perception of fine detail depends on both neural factors of the visual system and on the optical quality of the eye(1). Conventional refractive surgeries, for defocus and astigmatism correction, are known to change the optical quality of the eye(2) by modifying corneal curvature, therefore, increasing aberrations, diffractions, and scatter light in the optical system(3). These changes may cause a reduction in the contrast sensitivity and halos, as a result, a set of symptoms such as night vision disturbances may be referred by the subjects during the postoperative evaluation(4).

It has been shown that the emerging refractive surgery technologies based on wavefront analysis avoid high order aberrations besides eliminating refractive errors(5-7). Spatial contrast sensitivity thresholds measured after refractive surgeries for myopia were significantly improved after wavefront-guided (WFG) LASIK eyes compared with the performance of conventional LASIK eyes, despite their postoperative normal visual acuity which remained similar for both techniques(8). The implication is that a more sensitive visual test, such as the measurement of contrast sensitivity thresholds for a range of spatial frequencies, has the ability to detect visual abnormalities in subjects with normal visual acuity but complaining of visual disturbances. The measurement of luminance contrast sensitivity function enables a wide spectrum of the spatial visual performance to be estimated, while visual acuity tests usually determines only one extreme point of the function(9). In addition, chromatic contrast sensitivity function might be measured to verify the possibility of threshold changes due to chromatic aberrations(5).

A study comparing the quality of vision after conventional LASIK and PRK showed that both techniques achieved similar results in low-contrast visual acuity tests at one year postoperatively(10). Previously,
we found no visual disturbance for either WFG-LASIK eyes or contralateral WFG-PRK eyes in measures of intraocular straylight and contrast sensitivity using VCTS 6500 charts one year postoperatively. In order to evaluate whether luminance and chromatic spatial contrast sensitivity thresholds may be impaired after WFG refractive surgeries and to determine whether LASIK and PRK may affect differently this visual function, we performed a longitudinal evaluation - preoperative, and 3, 6, and 12 months postoperative - of luminance, red-green, and blue-yellow spatial contrast sensitivity functions after WFG-LASIK in one eye and WFG-PRK in the contralateral eye.

**METHODS**

The study was performed in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and the procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Psychology and of the Medical School (University of São Paulo). All participants provided informed consent. This is a prospective randomized study of 22 eyes of 11 participants (6 males and 5 females; mean age = 32.4 ± 6.2 years) with mean preoperative spherical equivalent refraction of -2.50 (±0.99) diopters in the WFG-LASIK eyes and -2.35 (±0.93) diopters in the WFG-PRK eyes. The inclusion criteria were: corrected distance visual acuity ≥0.0 logMAR, spherical equivalent refraction <5.00 diopters, no preexisting ocular pathology, previous surgery, and ages between 21 and 40 years. The wavefront analysis was performed using the OPDCAT platform (NIDEK Co Ltd, Gamagori, Japan) with a 5.0-mm optical zone and an additional 3.5 mm transition zone. All participants were operated by the same physician and they were randomized to receive WFG-LASIK in one eye and WFG-PRK in the contralateral eye. The pupil size was 6 mm and all participants were at least 15 days without their contact lenses.

Psychophysical experiments were performed as described in detail in previous studies using Psycho for Windows V2.36 software and a graphic board VSG 2/4 (Cambridge Research System, Cambridge, UK). This system generated the stimuli on a 19-inches CRT monitor with 100 Hz frame rate, 800x600 pixels spatial resolution (Sony Electronics, Tokyo, Japan). The luminance stimuli consisted of horizontal sinusoidal achromatic gratings (4x4º square field) displayed on a mean luminance grey background (34.4 cd/m²) and presented at five spatial frequencies: 0.85, 2.62, 4.76, 7.93, and 13.1 cycles/degree (cpd). The red-green and blue-yellow stimuli consisted of horizontal sinusoidal equiluminant gratings (4x4º square field) presented at four spatial frequencies: 0.85, 2.62, 4.76, and 7.93 cpd played against a background having the same mean luminance and the mean chromaticity of the stimulus. The highest contrast was provided by the following chromaticity points: red u’ = 0.258, v’ = 0.454; green u’ = 0.133, v’ = 0.469; blue u’ = 0.210, v’ = 0.397; and yellow u’ = 0.188, v’ = 0.551 (CIE 1976).

The test consisted of a sequence of five spatial frequencies (for the luminance test) and four spatial frequencies (for the chromatic tests). The program presented a certain contrast at each spatial frequency and the subject responded “yes” if he/she perceived the stimulus and “no” if he/she did not perceive the stimulus using keyboard keys. This procedure continued until a response had been recorded for all spatial frequencies in a random sequence. In each subsequent sequence, the contrast was changed for each spatial frequency according to a staircase procedure. The contrast sensitivity was estimated using the values of the lowest contrast which produced a positive response. At the end of the test, after 35 sequences, the programme produced the contrast sensitivity threshold for each spatial frequency by taking the mean of, at least, six reversal trials. The equiluminant condition was obtained with a previous measurement of the heterochromatic flicker photometry (20 Hz). The red-green and blue-yellow stimuli were equated in luminance using this measurement.

According to Shapiro-Wilk test there was statistical difference between our data and the normal distribution, therefore, we used the nonparametric Sign Test to compare contrast sensitivity thresholds between eyes and the ANOVA with Post Hoc Tukey test to compare the longitudinal data (Statistica 6.0, StatSoft, USA). The level of significance accepted was p < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

All participants (22 eyes) were tested before the surgery and at 12 months follow-up. At 3 months follow-up 10 participants (20 eyes) were tested and at 6 months follow-up eight participants (16 eyes) were tested.

Figure 1 shows longitudinal results of luminance contrast sensitivity thresholds at five spatial frequencies. At the lowest spatial frequency (0.85 cpd) the thresholds remained very similar throughout the follow-up for the WFG-LASIK eyes (black) while the WFG-PRK eyes showed higher thresholds and more variability (grey). At 2.62 cpd and 4.76 cpd the results showed higher thresholds in the 12 months measurements for both WFG-LASIK eyes and WFG-PRK eyes. At higher spatial frequencies (7.93 cpd and 13.1 cpd) WFG-LASIK eyes showed relatively constant contrast sensitivity thresholds and WFG-PRK eyes showed a fluctuation across measurements, with no significant difference between the results from WFG-LASIK eyes and WFG-PRK eyes (p > 0.05; Sign test). In addition, there were no significant differences in the luminance contrast sensitivity follow-up for either WFG-LASIK or WFG-PRK eyes (preoperative vs three months: p > 0.7; preoperative vs six months: p > 0.6; and three months vs six months: p > 0.8; ANOVA with Post Hoc Tukey test). The comparison between WFG-LASIK and WFG-PRK one year after the surgeries (Figure 1, last graph) also showed no differences in the luminance contrast sensitivity function (p > 0.05; Sign test).

![Figure 1. WFG-LASIK eyes (black) and WFG-PRK eyes (grey) showed relatively constant luminance contrast sensitivity thresholds across successive measurements. There was no significant luminance spatial contrast sensitivity difference during the follow-up measurements, either for the WFG-LASIK or WFG-PRK eyes. The comparison between WFG-LASIK and WFG-PRK at 12 months follow-up for the luminance spatial contrast sensitivity (bottom right) showed no difference.](image-url)
Red-green (Figure 2) and blue-yellow (Figure 3) contrast sensitivity thresholds were also quite similar throughout the follow-up with no statistical differences for both techniques ($p>0.05$; Sign test). There were no significant changes in the red-green contrast sensitivity thresholds for WFG-LASIK eyes (preoperative vs three months: $p>0.8$, preoperative vs six months: $p>0.8$, and three months vs six months: $p>0.9$; ANOVA with Post Hoc Tukey test) or WFG-PRK eyes (preoperative vs three months: $p>0.2$, preoperative vs six months: $p>0.7$, and three months vs six months: $p>0.3$; ANOVA with Post Hoc Tukey test).

Blue-green contrast sensitivity thresholds did not show statistical differences for WFG-LASIK eyes (preoperative vs three months: $p>0.2$, preoperative vs six months: $p>0.2$, and three months vs six months: $p>0.7$; ANOVA with Post Hoc Tukey test) or WFG-PRK (preoperative vs three months: $p>0.2$, preoperative vs six months: $p>0.6$, and three months vs six months: $p>0.3$; ANOVA with Post Hoc Tukey test) during the follow-up. The comparison between WFG-LASIK eyes and WFG-PRK eyes one year after the surgeries showed similar red-green and blue-yellow thresholds ($p>0.2$; Sign test; Figures 2 and 3, last graphs), as found for the luminance thresholds.

One subject showed contrast sensitivity thresholds decreased at 12 months follow-up compared with preoperative measurements for the higher spatial frequencies in both eyes in the luminance, red-green, and blue-yellow contrast sensitivity functions. A participant had lower thresholds in the WFG-LASIK eye and another participant had lower thresholds in the WFG-PRK eye at 12 months after the surgeries for the luminance test. Two other participants showed lower thresholds in the red-green contrast sensitivity function in both WFG-LASIK and WFG-PRK eyes comparing the preoperative measurement with the 12 months postoperative measurement. These changes were not statistically significant. Six participants (54.5% of the subjects) had equal or better contrast sensitivity thresholds comparing preoperative and 12 months postoperative measurements in both eyes at all spatial frequencies tested for the luminance, red-green, and blue-yellow tests.

**DISCUSSION**

Contrast sensitivity functions may be considered a more detailed measurement to study the quality of vision since it provides information that is not accessible by standard clinical visual acuity tests. The present study showed that WFG refractive surgeries (LASIK and PRK) did not significantly impair luminance or chromatic contrast sensitivity thresholds in the range of spatial frequencies tested (0.85 cpd to 13.1 cpd). Moreover, the psychophysical performance after WFG-LASIK and WFG-PRK surgery did not differ for luminance and chromatic spatial contrast sensitivity at 12 months follow-up. From 11 subjects tested in the present study, six showed equal or higher contrast sensitivity thresholds at all spatial frequencies tested one year after the surgeries, either in the luminance or in the chromatic protocols. The other five participants showed similar results but with lower thresholds at some spatial frequencies tested. However these differences were not statistically significant.

The radial keratotomy (RK) and the conventional PRK were shown to reduce contrast sensitivity thresholds. The conventional LASIK, known to provide a better visual outcome and shorter recovery time than RK and conventional PRK techniques, shows temporary depression of contrast sensitivity thresholds. A previous study performed measurements of luminance contrast sensitivity using the same stimulus generator and software used in the present study. They also studied longitudinal measurements (one year follow-up) testing...
seven spatial frequencies (from 0.3 cpd to 20.5 cpd), nevertheless a higher mean luminance compared to the present study was used. The authors only tested luminance contrast sensitivity thresholds in conventional LASIK eyes and they found significantly depressed thresholds after surgery with a return to normal values after six months.

In the present study of WFG surgeries no significant contrast sensitivity depression was found after WFG-LASIK for any spatial frequency tested, even in the first measurement after the surgery (three months postoperatively), indicating that conventional LASIK and WFG-LASIK may have different effect on luminance contrast sensitivity function. This is in agreement with one study showing significantly improved contrast sensitivity thresholds after WFG-LASIK compared to conventional LASIK one month after surgery. The wavefront analysis enabled to measure individual patterns of optical aberrations and allowed their correction during refractive surgical procedures. Here we showed that it also has an effect in the quality of vision.

The use of luminance stimuli to measure contrast sensitivity thresholds is more typical and it has shown to be a valuable tool for testing the quality of vision after refractive surgeries. However, less is known about the effects of refractive surgeries on chromatic contrast sensitivity thresholds. Red-green and blue-yellow contrast sensitivity for a range of spatial frequencies can be measured by matching the luminance content of the two colors in the stimulus and in this case it is possible to obtain an equiluminant condition. As found for the luminance domain, the human visual system depends on the optical integrity, besides the neural factors, to present the threshold since 11 is a limited number of subjects. Nevertheless, one should consider the homogeneity of the sample tested. The investigators team and the equipments as well as the surgical procedures and the application of the tests were not changed during the follow-up. The contrast sensitivity thresholds obtained after refractive surgical procedures were compared with their own preoperative results instead of comparing with a database of normal subjects, since there is potential interindividual threshold variability. In addition, one eye was compared with the fellow eye. In this case it was possible to compare the individual fluctuation of thresholds and the subjective visual symptoms individually. Another consideration is that the subjects tested in the present study had an average age of 32.4 (±6.2) years old and an average refractive error of -2.50 ± 0.99 diopters (WFG-LASIK eyes) and -2.35 ± 0.93 diopters (WFG-PRK eyes). Different results might have been found in another age group or in subjects with higher spherical equivalent refraction.

Some consideration may be taking into account when comparing the effects of WFG-PRK and WFG-LASIK. In surface ablation, the benefits of the WFG treatment may be masked by epithelial hyperplasia. Micro sculptures are made on the anterior surface of the cornea in order to provide an asymmetric and customized correction. However, the customized ablation can be penalized by the action of compensatory epithelial hyperplasia, a common finding in the surface ablations healing process which may mask the effects of such micro sculptures. On the other hand, LASIK may induce new ocular aberrations only by making the flap. In the present study it was not determinative to favor one or another technique since the luminance and chromatic spatial contrast sensitivity were similar for both LASIK and PRK eyes.

The present study reported the effects of WFG refractive surgeries on spatial contrast sensitivity functions and showed no luminance and chromatic spatial contrast sensitivity impairment in subjects who underwent simultaneous WFG-LASIK and contralateral WFG-PRK. Moreover, one year postoperative data showed no difference between WFG-LASIK eyes and WFG-PRK eyes in the luminance and chromatic spatial contrast sensitivity functions.
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