Posterior cortical atrophy - a prototypical case of dementia beginning with visual symptoms: case report

INTRODUCTION

Posterior cortical atrophy (PCA) or progressive posterior cortical dysfunction syndrome (PPCD) is a rare but disabling dementia syndrome described for the first time by Benson et al. in 1988. This progressive dementia initially presents with visual disturbances and is characterized by visuospatial and visual perceptual impairment, visual agnosia, including some or all features of Balint's syndrome and Gerstmann's syndrome, with a later onset of cognitive decline generally associated with typical Alzheimer's disease. PCA is assumed as a clinical syndrome in which Alzheimer's disease seems to be the major (but not exclusively) pathologic cause, being also described in associations with Huntington's disease, Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease and cortico-basal degeneration. Visual hallucinations occur in up to 25% of patients who are diagnosed with posterior cortical atrophy.

We report a typical case of a patient with posterior cortical atrophy who presented initially with ophthalmologic complaints in order to present this rare dementia subtype as a relevant differential diagnosis to other primarily ophthalmologic complaints.

CASE REPORT

A 55-year-old, right-handed, 11 years of schooling, married businesswoman with a three-year history, characterized by early onset of visual impairment not associated with anterior visual pathology, was brought to the ophthalmologic department by her husband for visual disturbances characterized by visuospatial and visual perceptual impairment. The husband complained that patient could not read perfectly anymore because of the “difficulty following the lines of text while reading.” Besides that, the patient could not see what was in front of her and, when reaching for objects (such as door handle or sink tap), she missed them, and relied more on her touch than on eye sight. Two years after disease onset, she began progressive spatial disorientation, affecting her ability to drive a car. Family members noticed that she had begun having difficulty in performing home tasks one year ago. She needed help in instrumental activities of daily living, for instance, she was unable to use the phone, because she did not see the handset cradle and the numbers, she was unable to light the oven, she had difficulty climbing stairs. Throughout the course of the disease, she became confused with right and left hands, the symptoms deteriorated and she had to be aided in basic activities of daily living, presented word-finding difficulties and worsened decision-making. She was treated with cholinesterase inhibitor (donepezil 10 mg daily) associated with memantine (20 mg daily), but responded very poorly. No family history of dementia was reported.

On examination, she scored 22 out of 30 points on the MMSE. She had difficulty in performing manual tasks under visual guidance bilaterally (optic ataxia), jerky intrusions when attempting to perform...
smooth pursuit eye movements (ocular apraxia) and could not see two objects at the same time (for instance, two pencils of different colors on the table) (simultanagnosia). When the examiner showed some dark line drawings pointing at one for her to name, she could only see the examiner’s fingernail. She had difficulties in performing commands that required right and left orientation. There were normal optic fundi, brisk symmetric tendon reflexes and no frontal releasing signs. On subsequent examinations, the visual signs and language functions, especially naming and fluency, reading and writing, deteriorated. She presented bilateral finger agnosia, and acalculia. She presented a severe difficulty on confrontation field examination, because most of the time she could not see the target (simultanagnosia, ocular apraxia). Insight and social adequacy were strictly preserved. A general physical exam was unremarkable, but notable for the absence of other neurological signs.

Neuropsychological evaluation evidenced intense visuospatial and visual analytic deficits. She could not perform the Hooper Visual Organization Test or the Colored Progressive Raven Matrices. The visual impairment precluded the evaluation of the other cognitive domains, especially language functions. She was also unable to recognize properly the components of the Biscuits’ Theft Figure. Severe difficulty was also seen in the Block Design Test, Trail Making A and Stroop tests. Laboratory blood screening work up for dementia performed according to international consensus was unremarkable. The MRI showed focal atrophy featured by prominent bilateral parieto-occipital atrophy. The FDG-PET showed prominent bilateral hypometabolism of parietal and occipital regions (Figure 1). The diagnosis of progressive posterior cortical dysfunction syndrome (PPCD) was made based on clinical and imaging grounds.

**DISCUSSION**

The patient’s visual impairment was initially attributed by her family to being an ophthalmologic problem. The most disabling symptom in our case was visual spatial/visual perceptual deficits and included features of the Balint’s syndrome, and visual disorientation, with presenting complaints such as “difficulty climbing stairs,” “difficulty finding the handle of a car” or “difficulty following the lines of text while reading.” These symptoms may suggest a primarily ophthalmologic disorder and add to the fact that PCA rarely presents with memory complaints; this clinical syndrome may not evoke promptly the diagnosis of a dementia disorder, thus postponing its correct treatment. For that reason, it is essential to rule out primary ophthalmologic causes when diagnosing PPCD (6, 7). In fact, according to some authors (8), PPCD can sometimes be misattributed to ocular causes, such as cataract or macular disease.
PPCD is capable of damaging both the dorsal (related to spatial analysis) and ventral (related to spatial recognition) visual processing streams, where both deficits can be found in the same patient (7). Both lower and higher level visual impairments contribute to the complex visual symptoms associated with PPCD, as reported by one study (8) that investigated tasks of visual acuity, line orientation, contour integration, and rotated object comparison. PPCD patients had low performance when compared to controls in low level visual functions of visual acuity and line orientation, suggesting that early visual processing areas including V1 were impaired, as well as higher level visual functions of contour integration, mediated by extrastriatal areas, and the most complex task of object rotation, relying on processing within inferior temporal, parietal, and frontal cortices (9). Visual field defects (VFD) may also be found in PPCD (10). VFD in PPCD are characterized by homonymous visual field defects or bilateral constriction. This observation probably reflects a posterior shift of cortical pathology to the primary and early secondary visual cortices in PPCD (10). VFD testing should be considered in older patients with unexplained visual complaints, particularly when associated with difficulty driving, which may indicate the possibility of PPCD and prompt early neurobehavioral evaluation.
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