Unilateral central retinal artery occlusion as the sole presenting sign of Susac syndrome in a young man: case report

Oclusão unilateral da artéria central da retina como único sinal de apresentação da síndrome de Susac em jovem do sexo masculino: relato de caso

ROBERT ALEX EGAN

I read with interest the report of "Unilateral central retinal artery occlusion as the sole presenting sign of Susac syndrome in a young man: case report" by Apóstolos-Pereira et al. (1) Central retinal artery occlusion is very rare in Susac syndrome as evidenced by the paucity of reports in the clinical literature. The corpus callosum findings in this case are pathognomonic for the disease with the central callosal lesion and the 2 small spoke lesions in the posterior callosum. However, the fundus appearance is somewhat odd with the significant platelet-like embolic material in the arterioles. These are not Gass plaques as has been described previously (2). Did they disappear after his initial presentation? There are also characteristic fluorescein angiographic findings described previously (3). Was the typical autofluorescence pattern located remotely from the retinal artery occlusion? I am particularly interested in learning if the right eye showed this pattern prior to infarction; if so, can this be published? Were anti-endothelial cell antibodies sent?
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Fibrin-like material in the retinal arterioles and fluorescein angiography findings in Susac syndrome

Material semelhante à fibrina em arteríolas retínicas e achados de angiografia fluoresceínica na síndrome de Susac

MÁRIO LUÍS RIBEIRO MONTEIRO

We thank Dr. Egan for his comments regarding our paper (1) and for the opportunity to further discuss Susac syndrome (SS), a unique condition which has held our greatest interest for many years. Soon after the first two cases reported by Susac et al. (2), we examined four cases reported in two sequential publications (3,4) that allowed its characterization as "A microangiopathic syndrome of encephalopathy, hearing loss, and retinal arteriolar occlusions" (4). We would like to point out that, as a fellow of Dr. W. F. Hoyt, we shared cases with him and significantly influenced him in naming the condition SS at a Symposium in 1986 when another case was presented to him for discussion by Dr. Egan for his comments regarding our paper (1) and for the opportunity to further discuss Susac syndrome (SS), a unique condition which has held our greatest interest for many years. Soon after the first two cases reported by Susac et al. (2), we examined four cases reported in two sequential publications (3,4) that allowed its characterization as "A microangiopathic syndrome of encephalopathy, hearing loss, and retinal arteriolar occlusions" (4). We would like to point out that, as a fellow of Dr. W. F. Hoyt, we shared cases with him and significantly influenced him in naming the condition SS at a Symposium in 1986 when another case was presented to him for discussion by Dr. Egan for his comments regarding our paper (1) and for the opportunity to further discuss Susac syndrome (SS), a unique condition which has held our greatest interest for many years. Soon after the first two cases reported by Susac et al. (2), we examined four cases reported in two sequential publications (3,4) that allowed its characterization as "A microangiopathic syndrome of encephalopathy, hearing loss, and retinal arteriolar occlusions" (4). We would like to point out that, as a fellow of Dr. W. F. Hoyt, we shared cases with him and significantly influenced him in naming the condition SS at a Symposium in 1986 when another case was presented to him for discussion by
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Susac(5). Interestingly, very little has changed in our understanding of the disease for more than three decades. Dr. Egan discussed topics in which our knowledge has advanced, the main one being the findings on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). While MRI abnormalities were initially observed(6), subsequent advances in technology allowed to identify typical lesions in the corpus callosum(6), which are extremely important in the differential diagnosis and of which our recent case is an example(1). He also shares our point that central retinal artery occlusion is in very unusual and a more recently observed occurrence as the disease preferentially affects arterioles in the periphery of the retina(3,4).

As for the observation regarding the material presented in the arteries of our case (Figure 1A, B and E), although we admit that it was somewhat larger than usual, we do not think it argues against SS. In fact, early on we observed that “the blood column reflex of several arterioles was partially or totally substituted by long segments of fibrin-like white material” (see figure 2 in Monteiro et al.(4)). We have seen similar findings in other cases and it is our understanding that these segments of fibrin-like material are also characteristic of SS and differ from platelet emboli mainly because they are located along the arteriole and not at its bifurcation. These fibrin-like material frequently decreases in size or disappear during follow-up, as observed in our case (Figure 1C, D, F and G), sometimes resembling the small Gass plaques reported by Egan et al.(7), and suggesting that the two findings lie within a spectrum of the same phenomenon in SS.

We agree that fluorescein angiography is important not only to show arteriolar occlusions but also to show segmental arteriolar staining(6), as observed in our current case (Figure 1 F). This finding, supports our initial suggestion that SS was an autoimmune disease against some unknown antigen present in the endothelium which has a blood-tissue barrier mechanism, as observed in the retina, cochlea and brain(3,4). Finally, we did not observe abnormalities in the initially unaffected eye of our case but unfortunately a fluorescein angiography was not performed until the second eye was involved; nor did we test the patient for recently described anti-endothelial cell antibodies. On the other hand, we do share Dr. Egan’s interest in knowing whether arteriolar staining on fluorescein angiography or Gass plaques on fundus observation could precede retinal arterial occlusions and certainly hope that further studies will be able to clarify this issue.
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