Impact of wildfire smoke in Buenos Aires, Argentina, on ocular surface

Efeitos da fumaça de incêndios na superfície ocular em Buenos Aires, Argentina

MARTIN BERRA\(^1,2\), GUSTavo GALPERIN\(^1,2\), LAURA DAWIDOWSKI\(^3\), JULIA TA\(^4\), ISABEL MARQUEZ\(^5\), ALEJANDRO BERRA\(^6,4\)

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To evaluate the acute impact of the wildfire smoke episode in 2008 on the ocular surface of subjects living in the Metropolitan Area of Buenos Aires (MABA).

Methods: A total of 86 subjects were evaluated. Group 1 comprised patients from a public ophthalmology hospital (N=35) and Group 2 comprised healthy volunteers (N=51). All subjects answered a questionnaire on ocular symptoms and underwent ophthalmologic examination (bulbar conjunctival hyperemia, corneal fluorescein staining, rose bengal vital staining, tear break-up time (TBUT), Schirmer test, tear lysozyme, and impression cytology) during and after the acute episode. Concentrations of carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO\(_2\)), and particulate matter (PM) were measured before, during, and after the acute episode.

Results: Both groups showed a statically significant increase in ocular symptoms and bulbar conjunctival hyperemia and a statically significant decrease in tear break-up time during the acute episode. Group 1 showed more severe symptoms and a statistically significant increase in fluorescein and rose bengal staining intensities during the acute episode. We found a significant negative correlation between ocular symptoms and tear break-up time. During the episode, the levels of CO, NO\(_2\), and particulate matter in MABA were four times higher than the usual average levels for the same period in 2007 and 2009.

Conclusions: Increased air pollution from the burning of biomass is associated with a decrease in the stability of the tear film (TBUT), generating areas of ocular surface exposure that may be the cause of the increased feeling of irritation. Group 1 was more affected by not having a healthy ocular surface, and thus consulted an ophthalmologist. Cytological changes in the conjunctiva were not observed, which could be due to the short duration of the episode.
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INTRODUCTION

The Metropolitan Area of Buenos Aires (MABA) comprises Buenos Aires city and 24 districts, with an estimated population of 14 million, being the 10th largest megalopolis in the world and the 3rd in Latin America. By its 200 km\(^2\), Buenos Aires is the largest city in Argentina, with a population of approximately 3 million people.

The Delta Islands of the Paraná River, approximately 112 miles away from the city of Buenos Aires, pasture burning for grazing livestock is a fairly common practice. Between April 16\(^{th}\) and April 24\(^{th}\) 2008, there was a series of wildfires that affected over 70,000 hectares. The extent of the fire, the drought, and the wind direction generated a thick cloud of smoke that caused the most severe episode of acute air pollution in the MABA ever recorded. The northern and northeasterntern areas of the province of Buenos Aires, including MABA, had been swathed in thick smoke (Figure 1 A, B). It is estimated that the wildfires of the Delta of the Paraná River affected approximately 20 million people. The smoke was so dense in the city of Buenos Aires that on April 18\(^{th}\), the airports and highways were closed, and classes in all schools were suspended (Figure 1 B, C). The levels of carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO\(_2\)), and particulate matter (PM) were measured before, during, and after the acute episode.
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To be included in G1 and G2, subjects had to give their informed consent before being enrolled in the study, had to be between 18 and 65 years old, and had to have lived and worked in MABA between March and May 2008, far from areas close to stationary sources of significant emissions, such as factories. The exclusion criteria for G2 included having a previous history of ocular surface disease or using contact lenses or any systemic or topical ocular drugs.

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Hospital Oftalmologico Pedro Lagleyze, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

**Ocular symptoms questionnaire**

All subjects answered a symptoms questionnaire composed by questions that assessed the presence of ocular burning, dryness, foreign body sensation, irritation, and itching. Subjects were asked to grade the intensity of the symptoms on a scale of 1 to 3 (1 = minor, 2 = mild, and 3 = severe). We also investigated if any factor worsened the symptoms, such as the time of the day of exposure, staying in- or outdoors, if it was the first time they experienced the symptoms, and if they were using any eye drops to improve the ocular symptoms.

**Ophthalmologic examination**

The usual ocular surface tests available in the hospital and our standard of care in our institution were used to evaluate the subjects.

**Bulbar conjunctival hyperemia**

Bulbar conjunctival hyperemia was recorded using five levels of severity from grade 0 (normal) to grade 4 (severe) with a slit lamp (SL-8Z; Topcon Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The inter-subject variation in grading was determined to be 1.0 scale units. Interpretation of grading levels was as follows: 0 = Normal, 1 = Trace, 2 = Mild, 3 = Moderate, and 4 = Severe.

**Conjunctival rose bengal staining**

Fluorescein staining with cobalt blue light was used to assess the presence or absence of corneal keratitis. Corneal fluorescein staining was evaluated with fluorescein strips (Diagnóstico Ocular, Buenos Aires, Argentina), which were wetted with 0.9% sodium chloride and gently applied to the inferior fornix. The cornea was divided into five regions (central, superior, inferior, nasal, and temporal), and each region was graded by a scale, with values ranging from grade 0 (normal) to grade 4 (severe) Grades of each area were added to produce a final score.

**Conjunctival rose bengal vital staining**

Vital staining with rose bengal was performed by instilling one drop of 1% rose bengal solution (Farmacia Magister, Buenos Aires, Argentina) into the inferior fornix. The staining pattern was evaluated and graded according to the Van Bijsterveld scoring system on a scale that ranges from 0 to 9. Scores higher than 4 were considered abnormal.

**Tear break-up time**

Tear break-up time (TBUT) was measured by instilling one drop of 1% fluorescein solution (Farmacia Magister, Buenos Aires, Argentina) into the inferior fornix. The subject was asked to blink several times.
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and then stop, at which point TBUT was assessed by monitoring the time (in seconds) elapsing to the appearance of the initial black spot on the cornea under slit lamp examination with a cobalt blue filter(16). Values of 10 seconds or below were considered abnormal(17).

**Schirmer’s I test**

Subjects were evaluated by Schirmer’s I test without topical anesthesia. One graded sterile Schirmer strip (Ophthalmos®, Brazil) was placed in the lateral canthus of the inferior lid margin of both eyes, and the subjects were instructed to keep their eyes closed during the test. After 5 minutes, the length of wetting was measured in millimeters, and values of 10 mm or less were considered abnormal(18).

**Tear lysozyme concentration**

Tears were collected by gently applying a 5-mm diameter filter paper disc in the inferior conjunctival cul-de-sac of both eyes for one minute with eyes closed. Samples were kept at -20°C until processed. To determine tear lysozyme concentration, we used the Micrococcus lysodeikticus (ATCC 4698, M3770; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) agar diffusion assay(19) in Mueller Hinton agar plates (Bio Merieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France). Each disc was placed in the plate with the M. lysodeikticus (2 × 10⁶ CFU/mL) suspension gel, and the inhibition halo was measured after 24 hours. To calculate the lysozyme concentration, a standard curve was obtained using identical discs wetted with 10,000, 1,000, 100, and 10 µg/mL of lysozyme (ATCC 4698, L6876; Sigma-Aldrich) diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA). Values of 1000 µg/mL or below were considered abnormal(19-20).

**Impression cytology**

Semicircular filters, approximately 15 mm diameter, (Polyvinylidene Fluoride -PVDF-, 22-µm pore size; Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA, USA) were applied to the inferior tarsal and bulbar conjunctiva after instillation of one drop of topical anesthetic (tetracaine) in each eye, and any excess fluid was wiped away. The paper fragments were gently pressured with the blunt end of the forceps, and the fragments were peeled off and immediately immersed in tubes containing absolute ethanol. After fixation, specimens were rehydrated in 70% ethyl alcohol, then placed successively in periodic acid-Schiff reagent, sodium metabisulfite, Gill’s hematoxylin, and Scott’s tap water. Specimens were then rinsed with 95% alcohol and absolute alcohol. Xylene was used to make the filter paper transparent. Slides were examined under a conventional light microscope, using 400x magnification. Morphometric analysis was performed using a point-counting technique, PAS positive areas were counted across 10 random microscopic high-power fields (HPF) on a 100-point, and 50 lines grid on a video system coupled to the microscope. The result for each subject was calculated as the average of the 10 HPF counts. A single investigator performed all the observations and was blinded to the origin of the samples(18).

Ocular damage was based on the scale of Nelson, comprising degrees of severity. This scale is based on the density of goblet cells and appearance of epithelial cells (grade squamous metaplasia). Level 0 is considered normal; 1, slightly altered; 2, moderately altered; and 3, severely altered(21).

**Assessment of air pollutants during and after the acute episode**

Average CO and NO₂ concentrations over cumulative periods of three minutes were measured. The calibration of the equipment was made with high quality certified synthetic air. Non-dispersive infrared absorptiometry was used to measure CO with an HORIBA monitor, model APMA-360, using a gas standard of 12 ppm to fix the span scale calibration. The HORIBA monitor APNA-360 was used for measuring NO₂ on the basis of the chemiluminescence method. The equipment was calibrated with a NO gas standard of 99.7 ppm diluted with a 1:100 ratio by means of an HORIBA device, SGGU,514. These measures were performed before, during, and after the acute episode. Data from particulate matter (PM) were obtained from the ambient agency of the city of Buenos Aires.

**Statistical analysis**

Results are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. When a test was performed in both eyes, the mean of the two measurements was used in the statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using the T-test for independent samples to compare data between groups and the T-test for paired samples to compare data within groups. Linear regression was used to analyze the association between symptom levels and tear break-up time values. All tests were performed with a significance level of 0.05 using SPSS Statistics 17.0. (Copyright® 2007 Sun Microsystems, Inc., 4150 Network Circle, Santa Clara, California 95054, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Ocular symptoms questionnaire**

The individuals of group G1 were patients who went spontaneously to the Emergency Unit of Hospital Oftalmologico Pedro Lagleyze with ocular complaints on April 19th, 2008. The 35 patients in G1 included 25 women and 10 men, with an average age of 42.5 ± 10.1 years. A total of 31/35 patients had a history of ocular surface pathology: Eighteen suffered from dry eyes (seven had Sjögren’s syndrome), 10 from allergic conjunctivitis, and three suffered from ocular pemphigoid. Four patients had no history of ocular surface pathology but were included in this group because their ocular surface complaints were of a severity sufficient to attend hospital. During the acute episode, all patients (G1A) experienced at least three of the symptoms evaluated (burning, dryness, foreign body sensation, itching, and irritation). Burning, foreign body sensation, and irritation were the most frequent and severe symptoms (100%) referred during the acute episode. A total of 83% experienced the symptoms during the whole day; 66% reported worsening of the symptoms when outdoors; and only 11% experienced these symptoms for the first time. There was a significant difference in the frequency and severity of the symptoms when the patients were compared over time, i.e., during vs. after the acute episode (G1A vs. G1B, p<0.01; Figure 2).

![Figure 2. Severity of symptoms during and after the acute episode.](image)

The figure depicts the mean grade of the reported symptoms ± the standard deviation of the mean for each of the two groups at two different time points (during (19th of April) and after the episode (22nd of May)). G1A = patient data during the episode; G1B = patient data after the episode; G2A = healthy volunteers data during the episode; G2B = healthy volunteers data after the episode.
A total of 51 healthy volunteers were evaluated, including 23 women and 28 men, with an average age of 39.1 ± 11.9 years. During the acute episode, 79% of healthy volunteers (G2A) experienced at least three of the symptoms evaluated. The most frequent and severe symptom was ocular irritation (80%). Most people reported sustaining ocular irritation during the whole day (53%) or at the end of the day (41%). In addition, 65% reported worsening of the symptoms when outdoors and 57% experienced these symptoms for the first time. There was a significant difference in the frequency and severity of the symptoms when the healthy volunteers were compared over time, i.e., during vs. after the acute episode (G2A vs. G2B, p<0.01; Figure 2).

**OPHTHALMOLOGIC EXAMINATION**

Table 2 shows the values obtained from G1A-B (N=35) and G2A-B (N=51) for all tests performed.

The patients (G1) showed a statistically significant increase in bulbar conjunctival hyperemia (G1A vs. G1B, p=0.0061), corneal fluorescein staining (G1A vs. G1B, p<0.0001), and rose bengal vital staining (G1A vs. G1B, p<0.0001) during the acute episode.

The healthy volunteers (G2) only showed a statistically significant increase in bulbar conjunctival hyperemia (G2A vs. G2B, p=0.0001). No statistically significant differences were found in corneal fluorescein staining (G2A vs. G2B, p>0.05) or rose bengal vital staining (G2A vs. G2B, p>0.05) during the acute episode.

No statistically significant differences within the groups were found when analyzing the values obtained by Schirmer’s I test, tear lysozyme, and impression cytology.

The healthy volunteers showed statistically significant differences when compared with patients for the entire test performed during and after the acute episode (G1A vs. G2A and G1B vs. G2B, p<0.05).

Both patients (G1) and healthy volunteers (G2) showed a statistically significant (p<0.0001) decrease in TBUT values during the acute episode. We also observed a negative correlation between severity of symptoms and TBUT values (Figure 3), significant (p<0.05) for the symptoms dryness and irritation (Table 3).

**POLLUTANT LEVELS**

During April 16th to April 20th, the measured mean levels of CO, NO2, and PM concentrations were four times higher than the usual average levels for this time of the year. Figure 4 depicts the concentrations of these substances before, during, and after the episode. Hourly measured values of CO reached 17 ppm on April 17th, which is 8.5 times as much as the average value (approximately 2 ppm) registered in the area. Moreover, the 8 hours average value was greater than the standard value recommended by the WHO Air Quality Guidelines (AQG) established by the World Health Organization(22) in 29 opportunities. For NO2 and PM, average levels are usually not exceeding 50% of the maximum levels recommended by the WHO, but during the acute episode, the concentrations were twice as high as those recommended in the WHO guidelines(23,24).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we assessed the impact of acute exposure to high levels of pollutants produced by pasture burning on the ocular surface of subjects living in an affected megacity.

Previous studies have demonstrated that ocular symptoms are a frequent finding in people exposed to the smoke generated by fires, including wildfires(25,26).

During the acute episode, the patients who attended the hospital emergency room and the healthy volunteers experienced ocular symptoms, an increase in bulbar conjunctival hyperemia, and a reduction in TBUT values.

These results indicate that the patients showed more severe symptoms and a greater reduction in TBUT values. Meanwhile, Schirmer’s I test, tear lysozyme, and impression cytology showed no differences.

Low TBUT values and high concentrations of air pollutants (PM10, NO2, and CO) measured during the acute episode indicated an influence of high concentrations of air pollutants on tear film stability; this result is similar to a previous study(23).

The smoke generated by pasture burning is a complex mixture of potentially hazardous substances, including particles composed of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, aldehydes, organic acids, organic compounds, nitrogen oxides, and sulfur(26). These substances are directly in contact with the tear film and apparently cause tear film instability. The reported symptoms of burning, foreign body sensation, itching, and irritation are possibly caused by direct

![Figure 3. Correlation between severity of symptoms and tear break-up time (TBUT). There was a statistically significant correlation between the reported severity of ocular burning, dry eye, foreign body sensation, itching and irritation, and mean TBUT values. Values are expressed as means ± 0.95 confidence intervals.](image)
toxicity or as a consequence of ocular dryness caused by tear film instability.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, we demonstrated that acute exposure to high levels of air pollution causes tear film instability without remodulation of the ocular surface. Furthermore, the perceptions of symptoms of normal subjects were not severe enough to trigger a visit to the emergency unit. In contrast, patients who suffered from dry eyes, allergic conjunctivitis, Sjögren’s syndrome, and ocular pemphigoid experienced these symptoms with a higher degree of severity, triggering a visit to the emergency unit. This indicates that this last group of patients is more susceptible to developing and/or suffering from these ocular symptoms.
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