Diode laser-assisted transcanalicular dacryocystorhinostomy: the effect of age on the results

**ABSTRACT**

**Purpose:** The aim of this study was to explore the effect of age on the success of transcanalicular diode laser-assisted dacryocystorhinostomy (TCDCR).

**Methods:** Seventy patients (70 eyes) who underwent transcanalicular diode laser-assisted dacryocystorhinostomy for the treatment of nasolacrimal duct obstruction as a primary surgery were included in this retrospective, nonrandomized study. The patients were divided into two groups according to age. Mean ages were 21.3 ± 3.3 in group 1 and 60.3 ± 7.3 in group 2. The records of the 3-, 6-, and 12-month follow-up examinations were evaluated, and the anatomical and functional outcomes were noted. Functional success was defined as the absence of epiphora as indicated by the patient. Anatomical success was determined as patency of the neo-ostium with irrigation.

**Results:** At the 3-month follow-up, 67% cases in group 1 showed anatomical success and 52% showed functional success; in group 2, the rates were 100% and 92%, respectively. Functional and anatomical success rates were the same for both the 6- and 12-month visits; 46% in group 1 and 76% in group 2. The results in group 2 were significantly better at all three follow-up visits (p<0.05).

**Conclusions:** This study clearly showed that the older patients experienced better transcanalicular diode laser-assisted dacryocystorhinostomy results than the younger patients. The diminished inflammatory response in the older population may be a possible contributing factor to these results.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Primary acquired nasolacrimal canal obstruction (NLDO), which presents with persistent epiphora and with or without dacryocystitis, is commonly observed in ophthalmology practice. For approximately 100 years, the gold standard treatment for NLDO has been conventional external dacryocystorhinostomy (DCR)(1,2). The goal of DCR surgery is to re-establish tear flow from the lacrimal system into the nasal cavity.

With the advances in laser and endoscopic techniques, endonasal and endonasal surgical procedures have become increasingly popular over the past decade. Cosmesis, short recovery time, the lower risk of morbidity, and the lack of impairment of the orbicularis oculi pump mechanism are some advantages of minimally invasive surgical approaches such as external DCR(5). Transcanalicular (or endonasal) laser DCR (TCDCR) is a recently developed surgical approach for treating NLDO based on the canalization of the upper lacrimal system(6-9). Typically, a laser probe is inserted through both canaliculi and advanced along the nasolacrimal duct to the lateral wall of the nasal fossa, where a transcanalicular nasolacrimal ostium can be created using laser energy. Different types of laser can be used in TCDCR but diode laser is one of the most useful(7) because it ablates the bone and the mucosa of the nasal fossa with less collateral damage.(8).

This study aimed to investigate the effect of age on the final success rates with TCDCR.
METHODS

Seventy consecutive diode laser DCR operations of 70 patients within a 16-month period were included in this study. The patients were divided into two groups based on age. Group 1 (under 45 years) consisted of 33 patients with a mean age of 21.3 ± 3.3 years (range, 20-25); 25 members of this group were enlisted soldiers in the Turkish army. Group 2 consisted of 37 patients with a mean age of 60.3 ± 7.3 years (range, 45-70).

The records of patients who underwent TCDCR as a primary surgery for NLDO at the Izmir Military Hospital between January 2010 and January 2013 were retrospectively reviewed after approval of the study by our Institutional Ethics Committee. The patients, all of whom complained of epiphora, underwent standard ophthalmic examinations, including nasolacrimal drainage system probing and irrigation. Indications for DCR were determined based on a history of epiphora and NLDO diagnosed by the irrigation of the nasolacrimal canal. The patients were referred to an otorhinolaryngologist prior to undergoing surgery to explore any nasal anatomical variations and pathologies that may have complicated the surgeries. Patients with a history of septoplasty, ethmoidectomy, or other nasal surgeries; significant sinus pathologies; septal deviation; concha bullosa; or middle turbinate hypertrophy were excluded. Patients with a postoperative follow-up period of at least 12 months were included. Informed consent was obtained for each patient, and the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki were followed.

All procedures were performed under local anesthesia by the same two ophthalmologists (FA and YY). One hour before the surgical intervention, a mixture of 10% xylacaine (Xylocaine pump spray 10%; Astra Zeneca, Istanbul, Turkey) and adrenaline- (Adrenalin 0.5 mg; Osel, Istanbul, Turkey) soaked cotton plugs were inserted into the nasal cavity. The operations were performed with a transcanalicular 940-nm diode laser (Quanta System, Solbiate Olona, Italy). The inferior and superior puncta were dilated, and a 600-µm laser fiber was passed through the canaliculi up to the sac. A 4-mm, 0-degree, angled, rigid nasal endoscope (Storz, Tübingen, Germany) was introduced into the nasal cavity, and the aiming beam was transmucosally identified (Figure 1 A). The lacrimal bone and nasal mucosa were evaporated using a laser with 8-12 W of power (3), and it can ablate bone and soft tissues without causing excessive collateral damage by using a 400-600-µ optical fiber. Variable success rates have been reported for TCDCR without causing excessive collateral damage by using a 400-600-µ laser in 2000 (10). This method is cost effective and can be utilized by a single ophthalmologist. The diode laser produces a wavelength of 980 nm and 7-20 W of power (3), and it can ablate bone and soft tissues without causing excessive collateral damage by using a 400-600-µ optical fiber. Variable success rates have been reported for TCDCR with diode lasers ranging from 64% to 90%; these values were based.

RESULTS

Group 1 included 28 male and five female patients and group 2 included 15 male and 22 female patients. The statistical difference in gender was significant (p<0.001). Differences between the groups for the duration and side of the operation, laser power, and total energy used were insignificant (Table 1).

In all three examination periods, the older patients had significantly better anatomical and functional success rates (p<0.001). Covariate analyses (ANCOVA) showed that operation duration, laser power, and total energy did not significantly affect the anatomical or functional success results during any of the examination periods (p>0.05). Similarly, logistic regression analysis showed that gender had an insignificant effect on the results (p>0.05).

DISCUSSION

In recent years, diode laser has emerged as the preferred laser for performing TCDCR. Eloy et al. first described TCDCR using a diode laser in 2000 (3). This method is cost effective and can be utilized by a single ophthalmologist. The diode laser produces a wavelength of 980 nm and 7-20 W of power (3), and it can ablate bone and soft tissues without causing excessive collateral damage by using a 400-600-µ optical fiber. Variable success rates have been reported for TCDCR with diode lasers ranging from 64% to 90%; these values were based.

Figure 1. A) Red reflex of the laser probe at lacrimal fossa. B) Ostium created by the diode laser. C) A silicon tube passing through the ostium.
on an absence of epiphora 3 months after surgery. However, the rates have improved over the years and have reached over 80%. Toti’s classic transcutaneous approach has shown higher success rates than minimally invasive procedures, as evidenced by a wider neo-ostium and less fibrosis. This may be explained by thermal damage from the laser energy causing more fibrosis at the neo-ostium and resulting in obstruction of the nasolacrimal pathway.

In this study, we investigated the effect of age on the success of TCDCR surgery. One of the most common reasons for TCDCR failure is stenosis and scarring of the ostium. A patent ostium with minimal scar tissue is the most critical factor for achieving final surgical success. This study showed that clinical outcomes were worse in the younger patient group than in the patients ≥45 years of age, probably due to more severe ostium fibrosis.

A recent study demonstrated that a high expression of heat shock protein 47 (a regulator of fibrosis) in scar formation was associated with the failure of endoscopic DCR. Camara and Santiago reported a high rate of surgical success with the use of mitomycin C. Because the number of fibroblasts decreases or because the fibroblasts degenerate with age, milder fibrovascular proliferations can be expected in older patients, which results in less scar tissue. In addition to a decline in cell number and function, diminished microcirculation contributes to poor tissue regeneration in older patients. Micrirculation provides the tissue with oxygen and supportive nutrients and regulates temperature and inflammatory responses; microcirculation can worsen with age. There is further evidence to support the adverse effects of age on wound healing. Fleming et al. stated that an accumulation of methylglyoxal and increased formation of advanced glycation end products during physiological aging could result in a retardation of wound healing.

In the literature, the number of studies primarily aimed at exploring the effect of age on DCR procedures has been rather small. Erdol et al. reported better external DCR results in older patients, while Kashkouli et al. found that age had no significant effect on the success of external DCR. Zenk et al. found that age did not have a significant effect on endonasal DCR, while two other studies reported that age had no effect on TCDCR. In short, no studies have reported that age has a proven effect on endonasal or transcanalicular surgery. However, it is important to remember that the age differences of the groups in those studies were not as clear-cut as the age differences in this study.

In this study, we found a very significant difference in TCDCR results between the younger and older patients. At the end of the twelfth month, both functional and anatomical success rates were 46% and 76% in the younger and older patients, respectively. The success rates, regardless of age, were 88%, 84%, and 83% in three different studies. Compared with those studies, the success rate of the younger group in this study was small.

In conclusion, this study clearly showed better TCDCR results in patients ≥45 years than younger patients. We believe that a possible contributing factor may be a diminished inflammatory response in the older population.
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