Phacoemulsification in posterior polar cataract
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To evaluate the results and complications of phacoemulsification surgery in eyes with posterior polar cataracts and compare the techniques of viscodissection and hydrodissection.

Methods: The medical records of 29 consecutive patients (16 males, 13 females) with posterior polar cataracts (44 eyes) who had undergone cataract surgery were retrospectively reviewed. Patients were divided into two groups according to the technique used; viscodissection was applied to the experimental group (group 1) and hydrodissection to the control group (group 2).

Results: The postoperative best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 0.19 ± 0.22 logMAR (mean ± standard deviation) (range 0.00-0.70) in group 1 and 0.25 ± 0.18 logMAR (range 0.00-0.70) in group 2. Although the mean postoperative BCVA in group 1 was greater than that in group 2, the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.165). The mean postoperative BCVA was significantly greater than the mean preoperative BCVA in both groups (p=0.00). Intraoperatively, posterior capsular rupture occurred during the removal of the cortex in three eyes (13%) of group 1 patients, with vitreous loss and anterior vitrectomy in one eye only. In group 2, six eyes (28.5%) presented posterior capsular rupture, and anterior vitrectomy was performed because of vitreous loss in three eyes. Although the percentage of posterior capsular rupture was greater in group 2, the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.027).

Conclusions: Complications in posterior polar cataract surgeries can be overcome by being careful throughout the surgery and using proper techniques. Viscodissection may be better for avoiding posterior capsular rupture than hydrodissection.
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INTRODUCTION

Posterior polar cataracts are white, well-demarcated, disc-shaped opacities located on the central posterior capsule and are a form of congenital cataract with autosomal dominant inheritance²,³.

Posterior polar cataract surgery is difficult for surgeons to perform because of the high risk of posterior capsular rupture, which itself stems from the opacity’s adherence to the capsule and the extreme thinness and fragility of the posterior capsule²,⁴. In the present study, we evaluated the results and complications of phacoemulsification surgery in eyes with posterior polar cataracts and compared the techniques of viscodissection and hydrodissection.

METHODS

The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (Selcuk University Faculty of Medicine; #2014/206). Informed consent was obtained from the patients for the cataract surgery. The study was carried out according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

In the present study, we retrospectively evaluated 44 eyes with posterior polar cataracts in 29 consecutive patients that had been operated upon between February 2006 and September 2010. Each patient underwent a complete ophthalmologic examination, including tests for uncorrected visual acuity, best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), and intraocular pressure (IOP), as well as slit-lamp biomicroscopy and fundus examinations, both preoperatively and postoperatively. The SRK II formula was used for the calculation of the intraocular lens (IOL) power.

Patients in our study were divided into two groups according to the technique used: viscodissection was applied to the experimental group (group 1) and hydrodissection to the control group (group 2). No patient showed any other related ocular pathologies or systemic disorders, and nuclei in all eyes were soft.
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RESULTS

Group 1 included 15 patients, of whom eight (53.3%) were male and seven (46.7%) were female. Eight patients (53.3%) had bilateral cataracts, whereas seven (46.7%) had a unilateral cataract. Their mean age was 40.52 ± 15.63 (±SD) (range 22-74) years, and the mean follow-up time was 21.91 ± 9.58 (8-36) months. Group 2 consisted of 14 patients, of whom eight (57.2%) were male and six (42.8%) were female. Seven patients (50%) had bilateral cataracts, and seven (50%) had a unilateral cataract. Their mean age was 44.66 ± 15.87 (24-71) years, and the mean follow-up time was 22.14 ± 8.44 (9-36) months. No statistically significant differences were found between the groups in terms of age, sex, laterality, and follow-up time (p=0.329, p=0.744, p=0.894, and p=0.962, respectively). In group 1, the size of the polar opacities was smaller than 4 mm in 18 eyes (78.2%) and larger than 4 mm in 5 eyes (21.8%). In group 2, it was smaller than 4 mm in 16 eyes (76.2%) and larger than 4 mm in 5 eyes (23.8%) (p=0.871). We did not detect any eyes with preexisting posterior capsule dehiscence in either of the groups preoperatively.

The mean preoperative BCVA was 0.69 ± 0.25 (0.30-1.00) logMAR in group 1 and 0.64 ± 0.23 (0.40-1.00) logMAR in group 2 (p=0.489). The mean postoperative BCVA was 0.19 ± 0.22 (0.00-0.70) logMAR in group 1 and 0.25 ± 0.18 (0.00-0.70) logMAR in group 2. Although the mean postoperative BCVA in group 1 was greater than that in group 2, the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.165). The mean postoperative BCVA was significantly greater than the mean preoperative BCVA in both groups (p=0.00). The mean preoperative IOP was 14.47 ± 2.59 (10-19) mmHg in group 1 and 14.90 ± 2.64 (10-19) mmHg in group 2 (p=0.595). The mean postoperative IOP was 14.34 ± 2.28 (11-18) mmHg in group 1 and 14.66 ± 2.51 (10-19) mmHg in group 2 (p=0.670).

Intraoperatively, posterior capsular rupture occurred during the removal of the cortex in three eyes (13%) in the group 1 patients, but vitreous loss requiring anterior vitrectomy occurred in only one eye; no rupture occurred during viscodissection in this group. Conversely, posterior capsular rupture occurred in six eyes (28.5%) in the group 2 patients; four of them occurred during hydrodissection, and two of them occurred during the removal of the cortex. Vitreous loss requiring anterior vitrectomy occurred in three eyes. Although the percentage of posterior capsular rupture was greater in group 2, the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.207). A polymethyl methacrylate sulfoxide IOL was implanted in one eye (4.3%) in group 1 and in three eyes (14.2%) in group 2 (p=0.258). No postoperative problems were observed in these eyes. In-the-bag IOLs were implanted in five other eyes due to relatively smaller ruptures. Capsular ruptures did not affect the outcomes. In eight eyes (34.7%) in group 1 and seven eyes (33.3%) in group 2, total polar opacities could not be cleared during surgery because of firm adherence of the opacity to the posterior capsule forming a plaque (p=0.920). Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy was performed on these eyes after the third month postoperatively to prevent inflammation.

Posterior capsule rupture risk during surgery is exceptionally high in posterior polar cataracts compared with other types of cataracts[16,19,20]. The incidence of posterior capsule rupture has been reported to be between 26% and 36%[15]. In our study, this ratio was 13% in group 1 and 28.5% in group 2.

Many techniques have been described to avoid complications and minimize this risk. Using viscodissection instead of hydrodissection may protect the posterior capsule at the beginning of the surgery[16,19,20]. In our study, we used the viscodissection technique in the group 1 patients, which involved the injection of a dispersive viscoelastic material into the capsule to separate the lens from the capsule and make it freely mobile. We used the hydrodissection technique in the group 2 (control group) patients. No posterior capsular rupture occurred during viscodissection; however, four ruptures occurred during hydrodissection. The other ruptures occurred during the removal of the cortex in both groups. Although the percentage of posterior capsular rupture was greater in group 2, this difference was not statistically significant. The mean preoperative BCVA in group 1 was greater than that in group 2, although the difference was not statistically significant. Overfilling the anterior chamber with viscoelastic material should be avoided, the bottle height should be lowered, and the power, vacuum, and flow rate should be decreased to avoid surging and overpressurization of the anterior chamber. Removal of the cortex and polishing of the capsule should be started from the periphery toward the center. Moreover, if there is a posterior plaque firmly adherent to the capsule, postoperative Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy should be performed[16,19,20].

Hayashi et al.[11] reported good results using pars plana lensectomy with IOL implantation on the remaining anterior capsule when...
polar opacities were larger than 4 mm in soft cataracts, and good results in intracapsular cataract extraction and scleral suturing of the IOL in hard cataracts.

Ghosh and Kirkby(15) reported that planned pars plana vitrectomy, lensectomy, and posterior chamber IOL implantation can be applied to diminish the rate of posterior capsule rupture in posterior polar cataracts.

Haripriya et al.(16) described a technique in which bimanual microphacoemulsification through two 1.4-mm incisions was performed for posterior polar cataracts. In this technique, the irrigation and aspiration handpieces were interchangeable, enabling the removal of the lens fragments without hydrodissection or nucleus rotation. Nagappa et al.(17) described a modified technique for epinucleus removal in posterior polar cataracts, in which the nucleus was removed, followed by the removal of the epinucleus from the quadrant opposite to the section by aspiration using a phaco probe. Then, hydrodissection was performed to dislodge the subincisional epinucleus, which was aspirated out. Lim and Goh(18) reported a modified epinucleus pre-chop technique for dense posterior polar cataracts. In this technique, the anterior epinucleus is first pre-chopped, followed by the removal of the posterior epinucleus and posterior polar plaque.

Hayashi et al.(1) reported that 4 of 10 posterior polar cataract patients with a unilateral opacity exhibited amblyopia. In unilateral cases in which the posterior polar opacity entirely obstructs the pupillary area, the possibility of amblyopia is assumed to be high. In our study also, four patients in group 1 and three in group 2 with unilateral cataract exhibited amblyopia, and postoperative visual acuities were low in these patients.

In conclusion, we can minimize the complications from posterior polar cataract surgeries by being careful throughout the surgery and by using proper techniques. Viscodissection is better for avoiding posterior capsular rupture than hydrodissection. We should also remember that the incidence of preexisting amblyopia is considerable in patients with unilateral posterior polar cataracts, and patients should be warned of it, since the postoperative visual expectancies of patients are generally high.
REFERENCES