Topical tacrolimus for the treatment of severe allergic keratoconjunctivitis in children
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Administration of eye drops containing antihistamines or sodium cromoglycate and its derivatives for the treatment of allergic keratoconjunctivitis is often insufficient and usually requires the addition of corticosteroids. However, the risk of complications, such as glaucoma and cataract, limits the use of corticosteroids to short courses, resulting in inadequate long-term treatment response. Immunosuppressive drugs have been considered as a valid alternative to steroids for atopic keratoconjunctivitis and vernal keratoconjunctivitis. This study aimed to evaluate the use of topical tacrolimus (TCL) in improving the clinical signs of severe allergic keratoconjunctivitis in children.

Methods: Patients with severe allergic keratoconjunctivitis associated with corneal epitheliopathy, gelatinous limbal infiltrates, and/or papillary reaction, along with a history of recurrences and resistance to conventional topical anti-allergy agents, were included in this open clinical trial. Patients were treated with 0.03% TCL ointment for ocular use. A severity score ranging from 0 to 9, with 9 being the highest and 0 the lowest, was assigned based on signs observed on biomicroscopy prior to and following TCL treatment.

Results: Analyses included 66 eyes of 33 patients. After a mean follow-up period of 13 months (range, 12-29 months), TCL treatment significantly decreased the mean symptom score severity for the right (from 5.56 ± 1.18 to 2.76 ± 1.5; p<0.001) and left (from 5.94 ± 1.16 to 2.86 ± 1.64; p<0.001) eyes. The mean symptom score for the right eye was 5.56 ± 1.18 and for the left eye 5.94 ± 1.16. After the treatment with TCL, a mean symptom score of 2.76 ± 1.5 for the right eye and 2.86 ± 1.64 for the left eye was observed. The mean follow-up time was 13 months (12-29 months).

Conclusion: Topical TCL was effective and significantly improved the clinical signs of allergic keratoconjunctivitis in children. Thus, it is a potential new option for severe and challenging cases of ocular allergy.
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RESUMO

Objetivos: O tratamento da ceratoconjuntivite alérgica baseado em colírios que contenham anti-histamínicos ou cromoglicato de sódio e seus derivados geralmente são insuficientes. A adição de corticosteróides geralmente é mandatória. No entanto, o risco de complicações como glaucoma e catarata limita o uso dos corticosteróides em curtos períodos de tratamento resultando em respostas inadequadas a longo prazo. Drogas imunossupressoras vêm sendo consideradas como uma opção terapêutica alternativa válida para as ceratoconjuntivite atópica (AKC) e ceratoconjuntivite vernal (VKC). Este trabalho tem como objetivo avaliar a melhora nos sinais clínicos durante o uso de tacrolimus (TCL) tópico em crianças com ceratoconjuntivites alérgicas.

Métodos: Pacientes com ceratoconjuntivite alérgica severa associada a ceratites, infiltrados limbares gelatinosos e/ou papilas gigantes, com história de recorrência e resistência ao tratamento anti-alérgico tópico convencional foram incluídos neste estudo. Os pacientes foram tratados com TCL 0,03% pomada tópica para uso ocular. Um escore variando de 0 a 9 foi atribuído para os sinais observados na biomicroscopia antes e depois do tratamento. O tempo de seguimento médio foi de 13 meses (12-29 meses).

Conclusão: O presente estudo sugere que o TCL tópico foi efetivo e demonstrou resultado satisfatório, com melhora nos sinais clínicos da ceratoconjuntivite alérgica em crianças, constituindo uma nova opção para o tratamento de casos severos de alergia ocular.

Descritores: Córnea; Tacrolim/uso terapêutico; Conjuntivite alérgica/quimioterapia

INTRODUCTION

Ocular allergies constitute a heterogeneous group of frequently recurring inflammatory diseases of the ocular surface, with manifestations that range from mild to severe. Allergic conjunctivitis encompasses seasonal conjunctivitis, perennial conjunctivitis, atopic keratoconjunctivitis (AKC), vernal keratoconjunctivitis (VKC), and giant papillary conjunctivitis(1). AKC and VKC are the most severe forms because of the possibility of visual impairment caused by corneal scarring, irregular astigmatism, cataract, and glaucoma(2,3). Glaucoma and cataract secondary to corticosteroid therapy are potential causes of blindness, which is a concern especially in young patients.

The treatment of ocular allergies involves a stepwise approach starting with preventative measures, such as avoidance of allergens, cold compress, and maintenance of a cool dry environment. Medical treatment, including preservative-free artificial tears, topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and topical antihistamines or mast cell stabilizers are used for milder cases. In contrast, more severe cases are treated with a combination of antihistamines or mast cell stabilizers and topical or systemic corticosteroids, which is given either as an intensive short-term therapy or as a long-term treatment regimen(4). The use of immunomodulators, such as cyclosporin A, is reserved for cases with steroid-dependent allergic keratoconjunctivitis or when the use of corticosteroids is contraindicated, for example in patients with advanced glaucoma(5). In severe cases refractory to medical treatment, surgical treatment, including excision of the tarsal papillae, superficial keratectomy with or without amniotic membrane graft, and eyelid surgery, may be performed.

Topical tacrolimus (TCL) is a potent immunosuppressive drug that is widely used to prevent allograft rejection of transplanted organs, such as the liver and kidneys(6). Its immunosuppressive effect

Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest: None of the authors have any potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Funding: No specific financial support was available for this study.

http://dx.doi.org/10.5935/0004-2749.20170052

Arq Bras Oftalmol. 2017;80(4):211-4
is a result of the reduction in IL-2 production by T lymphocytes via a mechanism of action that is similar with that of cyclosporin. Moreover, previous studies reported that TCL as more potent and better tolerated than cyclosporine. Moreover, topical TCL has been described to yield good results for AKC and other types of inflammatory diseases of the ocular surface. The other therapeutic indications for which TCL gave satisfactory results were inflammatory diseases, such as Mooren’s ulcer, giant papillary conjunctivitis, AKC, VKC, and anterior uveitis. There are two concentrations of TCL ointment approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of atopic dermatitis; these are the 0.1% preparation for use in patients over 16 years old and the 0.03% preparation for use in children over the age of 2 years. These reported benefits and few side effects of TCL, as well as the few available data in children, compelled us to carry out this work. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the use of topical TCL for the treatment of severe allergic keratoconjunctivitis in children.

METHODS

This prospective study evaluated the use of topical TCL in patients with severe ocular allergies. Patients who were on topical antiallergic treatment for severe allergic keratoconjunctivitis and who had suffered recent progression of signs and symptoms after discontinuation of topical corticosteroid therapy were included in the study. Allergic keratoconjunctivitis was defined as the presence of recurrent ocular surface inflammation characterized by keratitis, gelatinous limbal infiltration, and/or giant papillae on the tarsal conjunctiva. Patients under 7 years of age, those with diseases leading to scarring of the conjunctiva, those with infectious corneal ulcer, and those with a history of herpetic keratitis were excluded from the study. Patients who have undergone surgical excision of giant papillae on the tarsal conjunctiva and those who have used systemic immunosuppressors or supratarsal injection of corticosteroids within the previous 6 months were also excluded.

The use of a placebo control group in this trial was not allowed due to ethical considerations because it would have required some patients to receive nonuseful treatment. This design may have led to some bias in the estimation of treatment effect.

This study was undertaken at the Cornea and External Eye Disease Clinic, Department of Ophthalmology, Federal University of São Paulo, Brazil from January 2009 to July 2011. It was approved by the institute’s internal review board under reference #1912-10. All individuals who participated in the study and/or their legal guardians gave a written informed consent for the study.

The treatment protocol comprised application on both eyes of 0.03% TCL ointment (Ophthalmos, São Paulo, Brazil) twice daily for at least 1 year, olopatadine hydrochloride 0.2% (Patanol®) once daily, and an ocular lubricant containing polyethylene glycol (Oftane®) four times daily. All patients had been using olopatadine for at least 1 month before the treatment regimen was started. Topical corticosteroid therapy was discontinued but was resumed for a few days and with fast tapering when exacerbations occurred and when the above-mentioned drugs were unable to control the allergic process.

At each visit, all patients underwent detailed ophthalmologic evaluation, including visual acuity, intraocular pressure measurement, and slit-lamp biomicroscopic examination. Dilated fundus examination was performed on the first visit and was repeated as needed. The eyes were photographed for later comparison following TCL use.

Biomicroscopy included evaluation of the following items, in accordance with the score system shown in chart 1: papillary reaction, gelatious limbal infiltrates, and corneal epithelopathy. A score ranging from 0 to 9, with 9 being the highest and 0 being the lowest, was assigned to each eye prior to and following treatment to assess for any improvement in the patient’s clinical status (Figure 1). Classification was made in accordance with chart 1.

The patients were followed up at scheduled visits on days 1 and 15 then monthly thereafter, except in cases that developed exacerbations occurred, in which case the patients were evaluated weekly. The final evaluation took place on July 2011.

Data were presented as frequencies and proportions or means and standard deviations. Wilcoxon test was used to compare the symptoms in the right and left eyes prior to and following TCL use. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. The STATA software program, version 10 (College Station, Texas, USA), was used throughout the entire statistical analyzes. Data from each eye were analyzed separately to avoid the problem of rejecting useful data. Otherwise, the use of an overall summary of ocular findings for an individual may result in loss of relevant information and lead to less power and less precise estimates of effect, similar to when only one eye per individual is used.

RESULTS

The study included 33 patients, with a mean age of 12 ± 3.97 years (range, 7-16 years); 23 (69.7%) were men and 10 (30.3%) were women. The mean duration of keratoconjunctivitis symptoms was 5.8 ± 2.97 years (range, 1-15 years). VKC was diagnosed in 25 patients (75.76%), whereas AKC was seen in 8 patients (24.24%). Of the 25 patients with VKC, 5 (20%) had the limbal form, 9 (36%) had the palpebral form, and 11 (44%) had the mixed form. The associated diseases present prior to starting TCL treatment were rhinitis in 24 patients (72.73%), asthma in 14 (42.42%), dermatitis in 13 (39.39%), glaucoma in 6 (18.18%), and keratoconus in 4 (12.12%).

The mean follow-up duration was 13 months (range, 12-29 months). Of the 33 patients, 20 cases (60.61%) had successfully controlled disease and cessation of ocular allergy symptoms following TCL use, without recurrence of acute crisis (Figure 2). Recurrence was observed once in six patients (18.18%), twice in four patients (12.12%), and thrice in three patients (9.09%). As previously mentioned, short-course topical corticosteroids were administered as needed for some of these cases.

Four patients discontinued TCL because they developed irritation and burning sensation in the eyes. In one case, TCL was discontinued due to ethical considerations because it would have required some patients to receive nonuseful treatment. This design may have led to some bias in the estimation of treatment effect.

Four patients discontinued TCL because they developed irritation and burning sensation in the eyes. In one case, TCL was discontinued...
The effect of topical cyclosporine depends on its concentration, which ranges from 0.05% to 2%; the higher the concentration, the poorer the tolerability and compliance with the treatment, particularly in young patients. Daniell et al. showed that topical 0.05% cyclosporin failed to control VKC and AKC in steroid-dependent patients. In another study conducted on patients with VKC, topical cyclosporin at 1% and 2% was proven to be effective for a long-term control of the allergy. A systematic review suggested that topical cyclosporin may provide clinical and symptomatic relief from AKC and may help reduce topical steroid use in patients with steroid-dependent AKC.

In the present study, TCL was chosen for the treatment of severe allergic keratoconjunctivitis because of the poor tolerability to cyclosporine, ambiguous results, and of little experience with TCL at the beginning of the clinical trial. Following the use of topical TCL 0.03% for severe cases of allergic keratoconjunctivitis in children, there were significant improvements in clinical signs of corneal epitheliopathy, limbal involvement, and papillary reaction; this reduced the need for topical corticoids and the associated side effects.

Labcharoenwongs et al. published a clinical trial comparing topical TCL and cyclosporine for VKC; twice daily use of TCL ophthalmic ointment tended to be more effective than cyclosporine in improving the ocular signs. Systemic TCL, which is used to prevent organ rejection in individuals subjected to heart, lung, liver, kidney, pancreas, bowel, or bone marrow transplant, was reported to be 10-100 times more powerful than cyclosporine. In an in vitro study, the immunosuppressive effect of TCL was found to be up to 100 times more potent than that of cyclosporine. Topical TCL ointment and pimecrolimus cream have been commercially available for more than a decade and are the first and only drugs approved for chronic treatment of atopic dermatitis in pediatric patients because these are not associated with skin barrier compromise or increased percutaneous absorption. TCL at concentrations of 0.1% and 0.03% is currently available on the market for use in dermatology, with excellent results in atopic dermatitis.

A study conducted on patients treated with topical TCL evaluated cytology samples of the conjunctiva and found a statistically significant reduction in the number of eosinophils, neutrophils, and squamous metaplasia; no cases of cellular atypia were found. According to Attas-Fox et al., the blood level of TCL following topical use is negligible. Ohashi et al. reported that the use of topical TCL 0.1% for the treatment of allergic conjunctivitis was effective in improving the symptoms, in decreasing the size of the giant papillae on the tarsal conjunctiva, and in addressing corneal involvement. Tzu et al. evaluated the long-term effectiveness of the combination of topical cyclosporine drops and TCL ointment in the treatment of steroid-dependent AKC; there was improvement of the symptoms and signs, and the number of flare-up episodes requiring topical steroids was low.

The side effects of ocular use of TCL are generally tolerable, and only a few have reported the need to discontinue treatment. There have been no reports on increase in intraocular pressure associated with the use of topical TCL; therefore, this drug appears to be a good option for patients with glaucoma or history of increased intraocular pressure following the use of topical corticosteroids, as well as for those with cataracts induced by corticosteroids. Only 4 of the 33 patients in this study were unable to continue the use of TCL due to irritation and burning in their eyes. There are no data in literature describing the cause of TCL intolerance, as has been reported for cyclosporine.

In patients given this immunosuppressive therapy with TCL, the risk for potential adverse effects, including reactivation of herpes and malignancy, should be taken into consideration. Development of cutaneous herpes simplex after application of topical TCL for atopic dermatitis was reported in one study. In the present study, only one patient had an episode of ocular herpes after initiation TCL treatment. Although the use of topical calcineurin inhibitors, such as TCL, has
been reported to have a theoretical risk for malignancy, including lymphoma, analyzes of epidemiologic and clinical data failed to demonstrate a causal relationship between TCI use and malignancy or lymphoma risk. Despite this potential risk, these drugs are considered safe and remain to be the only approved long-term treatment medication for children 2 years and older with atopic dermatitis.

The present study suggested that topical TCL was effective and rendered satisfactory results, specifically significant improvements in the clinical signs of allergic keratoconjunctivitis, in children; it might be a new option for severe and challenging cases of ocular allergy. A longer follow-up time is required to evaluate the long-term reproducibility of these results. The absence of a control group for comparison with patients who received standard treatment for allergic conjunctivitis and the difference in treatment duration among patients may have led to some bias in the estimation of treatment effect.
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