Comparison of rimonabant and sibutramine treatment effects on food compulsion in rats
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the therapeutic effect of rimonabant, a new drug which is a selective antagonist of CB1 receptors, with the sibutramine.

Methods: It is an experimental clinical trial, prospective, placebo controlled. Our test was performed in 38 rats, adults females with a hyper caloric diet. We collected their blood 3 times and weighted them once a week. We divided the rats in 3 groups: Rimonabant, Sibutramine and Control. Statistic analysis has been made through ANOVA test, Tukey test and t Student test.

Results: The Rimonabant group demonstrated a significant reduction of the weight increase in rats. The Sibutramine group showed a significant reduction on blood glycemia compared to Rimonabant group and Control group.

Conclusions: Rimonabant showed to be more effective than Sibutramine by decreasing weight gain. Sibutramine has been more effective than Rimonabant and Control groups by decreasing the blood glycemia.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Comparar o efeito do rimonabanto, nova droga seletivamente antagonista dos receptores CB1, com a sibutramina.


Introduction

World Health Organization classifies obesity as a global epidemic. For the first time in history, the number of people over weight has passed the number of those who are malnourished (one billion and two hundred million in the world). These figures are alarming. Countries that were recently concerned with hunger and food scarceness already present an alarming increase of obese people. Brazil é a good example; one in every three Brazilians is already overweight.

For a long time, obesity was considered a problem of wealthy people. It was believed that in countries in development the government had to worry with poverty and hunger only. In the last few years, while obesity is still growing fast in wealthy countries, a phenomenon called “nutritional transition” is happening in less developed countries. As misery is being eradicated among low budget populations, obesity arises as the most frequent and important public health problem and even more serious than malnutrition.

The huge industrial food production, especially the ones ready to be consumed, and media empathizing cooking pleasures in television or on the web makes us trapped in this pattern.
Genetic factors, individual and family intake habits and social conditioning collaborate for an inadequate behavior. It is the physician’s duty to keep this subject as the first topic of discussion and concerns in order to have better solutions.

Obesity by its features and multifactorial etiology is a condition that has gained attention and has been studied in several specialties, especially by psychiatry and psychology. However, in Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition (DSM IV-TR) there are no criteria to identify and evaluate obesity as a psychiatric disorder, even in the eating disorders category, although its patients present behavior disorders and psychic conflicts that are food related. Even though obesity is not considered an eating disorder, some authors have included it didactically in this category by its similar aspects with eating disorders because it also has features of those disturbances, presenting psychological syndrome associated which might need psychiatric follow up.

General goals of the treatment of eating disorders are: normal intake pattern, maintenance of expected weight for age and height, discontinue of restrictive and purgative measures, better self body image and solve alterations of psychological, social and family follow up of all affected by the disease.

Obesity brings along other serious diseases: Type II diabetes, arterial hypertension, dyslipidemia, arthritis, hyperuricemia, arthritis, tumors, sleep apnea, arteriosclerosis, ischemic cardiopathy, psychiatric distress, premature death.

It is known that weight loss of 5 to 10% of body weight is associated to less cardiovascular risk and reduces type II diabetes incidence.

Current anti-obesity agents can be divided in two main groups: those that act in appetite modulation (anorexigen and appetite suppressant with effects noradrenergic and serotonergic, respectively) and those that promote the decrease of fat absorption by the intestine. Recent researches show that rimonabant, a cannabinoid receptor agonist, provides weight loss because acts in appetite modulation as well as acts directly in the peripheral adipose tissue.

Sibutramine hydrochloride monohydrate is a drug used orally to treat obesity that leads to weight loss through a double mechanism: decrease of food intake by appetite modulation and appetite suppressant and preventing decrease of energetic burn followed by weight loss. Sibutramine is a powerful reuptake of serotonin, noradrenalin and dopamine inhibitor. It causes an impact in food intake increasing satisfaction feeling.

Sibutramine has been appropriate for patients with periodic eating disorder which disorders in serotonergic system can result in depression associated to food compulsion episodes that are characteristic of periodic eating disorder. It is important to highlight that the drug is metabolized in the liver by the cytochrome enzyme system 450 (CYP3A4 isoenzyme).

The first specific agonist of endocannabinoid CB1 receptor was discovered in 1994 and was denominated as SR141716 or Rimonabant. This substrate has been studied as an appetite modulator and as agent to control tabagism and, especially, to control risk factors linked to visceral obesity. The CB1 is the most abundant GPCR receptor (G-protein-Coupled-Receptor) in the brain and peripheral nervous system. Attention must be paid when it is present in the adipose tissue.

Endocannabinoid system is a physiologic system present in the brain and in peripheral tissues (including adipocytes) that affects energetic balance, lipid, glycide metabolism and body weight. Also regulates through the neurons of mesolimbic system food intake highly tasty like sugar and fats.

This new product promotes a relative decrease of hunger, helps satisfying the appetite and induces more fat burn, especially visceral abdominal fat.

This study aims to compare Rimonabant and Sibutramine effects in food intake and weight loss of rats and also to determine the effects of these drugs on lipid and glycide profile.

Methods

This prospective experimental study was performed on 38 female adult Wistar rats weighting 145g to 240g. They were maintained on a 12-h light-dark cycle in a temperature-controlled (22°C) colony room and had free access to food and water. The experiments were performed according to the Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals, and the Ethics Committee for Experiments on Animals of ULBRA approved all procedures.

Three groups were studied:

- **Control group** (14 rats) – received standard food + hypercaloric during eight weeks; using saline (NaCl 0.9%) as vehicle in the maintaining the same diet for the following 4 weeks.

- **Rimonabant Group** (12 rats) 10 mg/Kg/day (Sanofi-Aventis) – received standard food + hypercaloric during 8 weeks, Rimonabant was used for the following 4 weeks, maintaining the same diet.

- **Sibutramine Group** (12 rats) 5 mg/Kg/day – received standard food + hypercaloric during 8 weeks, sibutramine was used for the following 4 weeks maintaining the same diet.

The gain weight diet (hypercaloric) was a mix of food: toasted peanut bars with sugar, commercial candy bars, chocolate cookie, granola and baconzitos. This diet composition contains pure protein, sugar, amid and fat in the following proportions: 5.6%, 42.3%, 11.3% and 24% and the standard ration for rats contains 18.9%, 4.7%, 30.7% and 6.5% respectively. The energetic value of the hypercaloric diet was 452 Kcal/week per rat. Rats of the control group received saline only.

The drugs were administrated via gavage in NaCl 0.9% in 5ml/Kg of volume. Drug administration was made orally (gavage) through stainless steel tube (rigid). This tube reached the esophagus as the animal as follows: the head was immobilized, it was in the vertical position without immobilizing the rest of the body and the tube was introduced laterally in the mouth with a syringe attached to it until reaches the posterior wall of the mouth (throat). A movement moving the syringe towards the superior part of the mouth of the rat intending to make hypertextension of the neck, once no resistance was found, the tube was introduced more deeply.

Three blood draws were made, one in nocturne fast for collecting in the morning, via orbital cavity as follows: the animal was put down and placed in ventral deep. Blood was draw directly inside the tubes. This procedure was made as follows: the animal was put down and placed in ventral decubitus immobilizing the head with the left hand and pressuring it against the table. The capillary glass was introduced in the orbit with the right hand in 45° to the nose in a horizontal plane and...
pressuring in circles to tear the orbital cavity membrane. When the cavity was reached, the blood flowed through the capillary of the tube allowing blood flow slowly in the tube walls. After the draw of the desired volume, the capillary was removed and digital pressure was made in the eye with help of gauze. The ocular globe had no harm.

These draws were made before the hypercaloric diet, before the beginning of the treatment, and after the treatment of the three groups for total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL, LDL and glycemia by conventional biochemistry techniques.

At the end of the experiment the animals were sacrificed in a carbonic gas chamber and its bodies were wrapped in plastic bags and disposed in hazard waste.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative variables were described (average and standard deviation) and the groups were compared among each other by the ANOVA test. Later the Tukey test was made for multiple comparisons. The variables were compared inside each group moments before and after by the student t test for paired samples. Groups were compared during the modifications as the time passed by variance analysis for repeated measures. The level of significance used was 5% (p<0.05).

Results

During the hypercaloric diet (8 weeks) the rats presented significant weight gain. Through the ANOVA method, the weight average in the beginning of the hypercaloric diet was 189.97g and onset treatment was 283.94g with p< 0.001 (Figure 1, Table 1). Comparative analysis of the three groups showed no statistical significant difference of variables: total cholesterol, HDL and LDL (p<0.05).

**FIGURE 1** - Weight average comparison of three groups in hypercaloric diet on set, on set of drugs and at the end of treatment
TABLE 1 - Comparison between the groups on set the treatment and after 60 days of hyper caloric diet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control (SD)</th>
<th>Sibutramine (SD)</th>
<th>Rimonabant (SD)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age/ Days</strong></td>
<td>111.71 (± 7.48)</td>
<td>112.16 (± 11.10)</td>
<td>110.33 (± 4.69)</td>
<td>0.848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight / Grams</strong></td>
<td>283.35 (± 13.84)</td>
<td>294.33 (± 21.23)</td>
<td>274.25 (± 31.25)</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glycose</strong></td>
<td>151.71 (± 14.76)</td>
<td>158.41 (± 25.82)</td>
<td>152.08 (± 23.32)</td>
<td>0.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cholesterol</strong></td>
<td>59.35 (± 10.69)</td>
<td>72.00 (± 11.31)</td>
<td>59.83 (± 6.76)</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HDL</strong></td>
<td>25.42 (± 6.42)</td>
<td>35.75 (± 12.22)</td>
<td>25.50 (± 7.83)</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LDL</strong></td>
<td>80.35 (± 15.04)</td>
<td>78.83 (± 14.05)</td>
<td>73.58 (± 10.25)</td>
<td>0.421</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sibutramine ≠ Control and Rimonabant in cholesterol e LDL variables.

According to the collected data, we can state that there was statistical significant difference in variables: weight and glycose of the animals studied. The weight variable had statistical significant difference between the Rimonabant group X control group (p=0.023) as displayed in Table 2. The glycose variable had a decrease of glycose in the Sibutramine group, which was statistically significant when compared to the control group and the Rimonabant group (p=0.015) (Figure 2).

TABLE 2 - Comparison between on set and end of the treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before (SD)</th>
<th>After (SD)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Before (SD)</th>
<th>After (SD)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>p Group Integration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight</strong></td>
<td>283.35 (± 13.84)</td>
<td>300.28 (± 18.27)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>294.33 (± 21.23)</td>
<td>304.10 (± 24.42)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>274.25 (± 31.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glycose</strong></td>
<td>151.71 (± 14.76)</td>
<td>165.64 (± 37.12)</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>158.41 (± 25.82)</td>
<td>111.00 (± 24.88)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>152.08 (± 23.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cholesterol</strong></td>
<td>59.35 (± 10.69)</td>
<td>62.00 (± 7.79)</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>72.00 (± 11.31)</td>
<td>77.33 (± 13.54)</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>59.83 (± 6.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HDL</strong></td>
<td>17.85 (± 3.65)</td>
<td>17.00 (± 2.00)</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>20.41 (± 3.50)</td>
<td>15.66 (± 5.15)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>19.66 (± 1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LDL</strong></td>
<td>25.42 (± 6.42)</td>
<td>24.21 (± 4.72)</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>33.62 (± 11.87)</td>
<td>30.62 (± 8.36)</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>25.50 (± 5.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Triglycerides</strong></td>
<td>80.35 (± 15.04)</td>
<td>104.28 (± 32.85)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>78.83 (± 14.05)</td>
<td>186.41 (± 101.51)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>73.58 (± 10.25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variables are described by average ± (Standard Deviation) and compared by t Student Test for paired samples.

SD = Standard Deviation

*Significance obtained by variance for repeated measures

Weight: The difference was seen between Rimonabant group X Control Group.

Glucose: The difference was seen between Sibutramine group X Control Group and Sibutramine group X Rimonabant group.

p = Significance level.
Discussion

According to Repetto7 “facing recent obesity pandemia and the difficulty in changing life style, pharmacological therapy to treat obesity is indicated. It must be clear that the first goal in obesity treatment is to reduce morbidity and mortality associated to overweight and improve life quality of the obese patient. The choice of the better agent to be indicated must come from a careful evaluation of important points such as: age of the patient, associated morbidies, drug interaction, presence of eating disorder or depression, financial aspects, side effects, risk of addiction, among others. It is extremely relevant to have the patient’s conscience about the need of long term treatment, aiming the maintenance of the weight loss”.

The special diet with high fat concentrations and carbohydrates induces obesity in rats producing a phenotype similar to the human obesity syndrome and dyslipidemia.

The pharmacotherapy of periodic eating disorder is a field that have experimented a promising development in the last few years. Pharmacological studies made in periodic eating disorder have presented progressive refinement. Periodic eating disorder is a clinical entity emergent that responds to pharmacological treatment.

Aiming pharmacological aid to treat obesity, this work is inside the most modern pharmacological parameters.

Rimonabant11 is the first CB1 receptor select agonist because it is a new drug in the market and has little time of clinical use and still needs tests comparing to other preexisting drugs, that is the reason why this research was elaborated.

Rucker et al.12 study concluded that Rimonabant decreased HDL concentrations and triglycerides more, although increased blood pressure and heart rate. Rimonabant improved HDL concentrations and triglycerides, blood pressure and glycemia in diabetic patients. In the present study Rimonabant showed to be more effective than Sibutramine to reduce weight gain, similar to Rucker et al.12 study, although Sibutramine showed to be more effective regarding the reduction of glycemia.

Bronander and Bloch13 showed the efficacy of Rimonabant in the increase of HDL, reducing triglycerides, decreasing insulin levels and improving glycose in diabetic patients which did not occurred in this research regarding the lipid profile.

Nisoli and Carruba14 described that patients that are using Sibutramine did not present statistical significance for glycemic control despite the fact that they have demonstrated mild decrease of glycemia. They demonstrated sibutramine efficacy in the onset of weight loss and maintenance of this loss in two years of this clinical trial. In this study there was no weight loss in rats using sibutramine, however, there was a significant reduction related to glycose just like occurred in the Fias et al.15 work.

Christensen et al.5 showed in meta-analysis that rimonabant reduces in average 10% of body weight in one year of treatment compared with a placebo and suggests that is similar or even better than other drugs anti-obesity that already exists, such as sibutramine.

FIGURE 2 - Glycose comparison at the three phases of the study. There was significant decrease in Sibutramine group
In the study made by Vickers et al.\textsuperscript{16} it was demonstrated that sibutramine reduces significantly food intake and increases the weight in rats. This result was not seen in our experiment, although can be perceived a tendency to weight loss.

During the experiment was observed that the groups which were taking the drugs do not consumed all food offered when compared to control group, which consumed all food in a period of 24 hours. It was noticed that female rats of rimonabant group became more agitated, making its management more difficult. Also, it was observed that the feces became pastier when compared to the control group and sibutramine group. This observation can be a data a future study aiming scientific clearance.

Conclusions

Rimonabant was more effective in reduction of weight gain when compared to the control group and sibutramine group. In the sibutramine group had considerable decrease of weight gain when compared to the control group. A significant decrease of glycemia occurred in the sibutramine group.
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