Evaluation of the heme oxygenase-1 expression in esophagitis and esophageal cancer induced by different reflux experimental models and diethylnitrosamine

Avaliação da expressão da Heme Oxigenase-1 em esofagite e câncer de esôfago induzidos por diferentes modelos experimentais de refluxo e diethilnitrosamina

Cleber Rosito Pinto KruelI, Luis Felipe Ribeiro PintoII, Tania Cristina Moita Blancio, Theresa Christina Barja-FidalgoIV, Levi Lourenzo MeloV, Cleber Dario Pinto KruelVI

I MD, General Surgeon, Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil. 
II PhD, Professor of Biochemistry, UERJ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. 
III PhD, Fellow, UERJ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. 
IV PhD, Associate Professor of Pharmacology, UERJ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. 
V MD, General Surgeon, UFRGS, Porto Alegre-RS, Brazil. 
VI PhD, Full Professor of Surgery, UFRGS, Porto Alegre-RS, Brazil.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To study the expression of heme-oxygenase-1 (HO-1), an enzyme induced by oxidative stress, in specimens obtained from an experimental model in rats that evaluated the role of gastric and duodenal reflux in esophageal carcinogenesis. 

Methods: Esophageal specimens embedded in paraffin obtained from different experimental groups of rats were used for immunohistochemistry analysis of HO-1 expression. The rats had been divided into the following groups and were killed after 22 weeks: (1) cardioplasty to induce acid reflux; (2) esophagoduodenal anastomosis to induce duodenal reflux; (3) no treatment; (4) cardioplasty + diethylnitrosamine (DEN); (5) esophagoduodenal anastomosis + DEN; and (6) DEN. The study sample comprised 3 specimens from each group with the most severe histopathological lesions found on each study branch.

Results: The expression of HO-1 was observed only in rat specimens submitted to esophagoduodenal anastomosis (Groups 2 and 5), and the analysis of mean fluorescence intensity revealed a significant increase of HO-1 expression (4.8 and 4.6 fold, respectively) when compared with the control group (Group 3) (p<0.05). The main target for HO-1 induction was the inflammatory cells inside the tumor or in subepithelial areas. Rats exposed to gastric reflux had no HO-1 expression.

Conclusions: Reflux esophagitis induced by reflux of duodenal contents, which provoked considerable oxidative stress, may play an important role in esophageal carcinogenesis. Acid reflux did not induce oxidative stress in this experimental model.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Estudar a expressão da HO-1 (enzima induzida pelo estresse) em diferentes peças esofágicas obtidas de um estudo experimental em ratos que avaliou o papel do refluxo gastroesofágico e duodeno esofágico na carcinogênese experimental.

Métodos: Blocos de parafina contendo peças de esôfago provenientes de um estudo experimental com ratos foram utilizados para verificar a expressão imunohistoquímica da HO-1. Os ratos haviam sido divididos nos seguintes grupos: (1) Cardioplastia com o objetivo de promover refluxo ácido, (2) Anastomose esofagoduodenal para indução de refluxo misto (ácido e biliar), (3) sem tratamento (controles), (4) cardioplastia + dietil-nitrosamina (DEN), (5) Anastomose esofagoduodenal + DEN, (6) DEN. Amostras contendo três peças de cada grupo com as lesões histopatológicas mais graves encontradas em cada braço do estudo foram escolhidas para avaliação da expressão imunohistoquímica da HO-1. 

Resultados: A expressão da HO-1 foi observada somente nas peças de esofagite de refluxo submetidas à anastomose esofagoduodenal (Grupos 2 e 5) e análise da intensidade média da fluorescência demonstrou uma diferença significativa na expressão da HO-1 nesses grupos quando comparada com o grupo controle (4,8 e 4,6 vezes respectivamente) (p<0,05). As células inflamatórias localizadas dentro dos tumores e nas regiões adjacentes ao epitélio foram as que mais intensamente expressaram a HO-1. Ratos expostos ao refluxo ácido (gástrico) apresentaram pouca ou nenhuma atividade da HO-1.

Conclusões: Esofagite de refluxo induzida pelo refluxo com conteúdo duodenal provocou considerável estresse oxidativo, que parece exercer um papel importante na carcinogênese esofágica. O refluxo puramente ácido não foi capaz de induzir estresse oxidativo nesse modelo experimental.
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Introduction

Esophageal cancer is the eighth most common cancer worldwide. It has received considerable attention in recent years because of the rapid increase of the adenocarcinoma histological subtype in Western countries\(^1\).\(^2\). The prognosis remains poor and the 5-year survival rate is 10%\(^2\). Therefore, it is important to understand the pathogenesis and develop strategies to prevent this deadly disease.

The development of esophageal adenocarcinoma follows a metaplasia-dysplasia-carcinoma sequence. Chronic reflux is a risk factor for esophageal metaplasia (Barrett’s esophagus) and adenocarcinoma\(^1\).\(^2\). However, only 10% of individuals with reflux develop Barrett’s esophagus (BE), and the estimated lifetime risk for a middle-aged individual with BE to develop adenocarcinoma is 10-15%\(^6\).\(^7\). Factors other than acid reflux alone might also contribute to the progression from normal epithelia to BE and cancer. In patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease, the concentration of bile acids in the esophageal reflux correlates with the degree of esophageal mucosal injury\(^8\). Moreover, mixed reflux of gastric and duodenal juices is more common in patients with BE than in normal subjects\(^9\). The precise mechanisms by which duodenal reflux causes esophageal injury and cancer are unclear, but one of the driving forces may be oxidative stress\(^10\)-\(^11\).

Chronic inflammation induced by biological, chemical and physical factors has been associated with increased risk of human cancer at various sites, including the esophagus\(^12\)-\(^15\). One mechanism that may contribute to the development of esophageal cancer is the activation of inflammatory cells that induce and activate several oxidant-generating enzymes. These enzymes produce high concentrations of free radicals and oxidant species. Prolonged exposure to this environment may lead to host cell injury and DNA damage that might initiate tumor formation\(^16\).

Human cells, when exposed to chronic inflammation and oxidative stress, up-regulate anti-oxidant enzymes such as heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2). These enzymes may directly mediate inflammatory reaction or contribute to the resolution of inflammation\(^17\).\(^18\). HO-1 plays a key role in cellular homeostasis as a mechanism that may contribute to the development of esophageal cancer is the activation of inflammatory cells that induce and activate several oxidant-generating enzymes. These enzymes produce high concentrations of free radicals and oxidant species. Prolonged exposure to this environment may lead to host cell injury and DNA damage that might initiate tumor formation\(^16\).

This study investigated HO-1 expression in distal esophagus in different reflux experimental models\(^29\). It tested the hypothesis that acid reflux should be accompanied by duodenal juice to induce oxidative damage.

Methods

Tissue samples from experimental model of esophageal carcinogenesis in rats

A total of 100 Wistar rat esophageal specimens embedded in paraffin were available from a previous experimental study conducted in our institution to evaluate histopathological lesions caused by gastric and duodenoesophageal reflux, isolated or in association with diethylnitrosamine (DEN) in an experimental esophageal carcinogenesis model\(^28\).

The rats were divided into five groups of 20 animals each, and a control group was formed with other 10 animals. Groups 1 and 4 comprised esophageal specimens obtained from rats submitted to a cardioplasty to induce gastroesophageal reflux. Gastroesophageal acid reflux was induced by a 6 mm longitudinal incision in all layers of the anterior esophagogastric junction, which was transversally sutured with 7-0 Prolene stitches similar to those used in the Heinecke-Mikulicz pyloroplasty (Figure 1A). An esophagoduodenostomy was performed in groups 2 and 5 to induce duodenoesophageal reflux into the distal esophagus. After the release of duodenum and the ligation of gastroesophageal junction, a 1-cm-long lateral esophagoduodenal anastomosis was performed with 6-0 Prolene stitches (Figure 1B). Operated animals in group 4 and 5, as well as a group that did not undergo any surgical intervention (Group 6), received a carcinogen, diethylnitrosamine (DEN) obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA; N-nitrosodiethyamine, Sigma Chemical 0756) (100 ml flask, density = 0.95g/ml, molecular weight 102.1, chemical formula = C4H10N2O). The carcinogen (DEN) was diluted in drinking water and administered to the animals at 5mg/kg/day, considering a daily mean water consumption of 40ml per animal. Group 3 (10 rats) were not exposed to any treatment. This experimental study lasted 22 weeks and originated esophageal specimens embedded in paraffin that were classified into different histological stages in a study published by Melo et al.\(^29\).

- **Stage I**: normal esophagus, light chronic esophagitis, low or moderate epithelial hyperplasia.
- **Stage II**: marked epithelial hyperplasia, moderate or marked chronic esophagitis, papillomatosis, ulceration.
- **Stage III**: Barrett’s esophagus (intestinal metaplasia);
- **Stage IV**: mild dysplasia
- **Stage V**: moderate or marked dysplasia
- **Stage VI**: adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma

According to the results previously published by Melo et al.\(^29\) the following histopathological lesions were available for HO-1 immunohistochemistry analysis, divided in six different groups:

- **Group 1 - Cardioplasty**: 18 specimens (4 Stage II and 14 Stage I)
- **Group 2 - Esophagoduodenostomy**: 18 specimens (2 Stage VI, 1 Stage III, 11 Stage II and 1 Stage I)
- **Group 3 - Tap Water (controls)**: 10 specimens (Stage I)
- **Group 4 - Cardioplasty + DEN**: 17 specimens (10 Stage II and 7 Stage I)
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Group 5 - Esophagoduodenostomy + DEN: 17 specimens (13 Stage VI and 4 Stage II)
Group 6 - DEN: 20 specimens (10 Stage II and 10 Stage I)

**FIGURE 1** - Model of gastroesophageal reflux (cardioplasty) (A) and model of duodenoesophageal reflux (esophagoduodenostomy) (B)

**Immunohistochemistry assay for HO-1 expression and quantification**

HO-1 immunoreactivity was evaluated in a sample containing three different esophageal specimens from each group; the specimens selected had the most severe histopathological changes found in each experimental branch. This sample consisted of three esophageal specimens from group one with papillomatosis and ulceration (Stage II), two with adenocarcinoma (Stage VI) and one with columnar epithelium (Stage VI) from group two, three control specimens (Stage I) from group three, three Stage II specimens from group four, three with squamous cell cancer (Stage VI) from group five, and three Stage II specimens from group six.

HO-1 expression was evaluated by immunohistochemistry. Paraffin sections (4 µm) were cut, deparaffinized with xylene and rehydrated. Tissue sections mounted on glass were rehydrated in PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 min, washed with PBS and incubated with 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma Chem. Co, St. Louis, MO) followed by incubation with polyclonal anti-HO-1 antibody (1:50; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) overnight at 4°C. Subsequently, tissue slices were washed three times with PBS and incubated with biotin-conjugates anti-rabbit (1:50; Santa Cruz) followed by incubation with streptavidin-conjugated FITC (1:50; Caltag Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) for 1 hour at room temperature. Slides were mounted using a solution of 20 mM propyl gallate and 80% glycerol in PBS. Microscopic analysis of images was performed using an epifluorescence microscope (Olympus BX40, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with appropriate filters.

Immunoreactivity to HO-1 was classified by two observers as positive (presence of cellular fluorescence) or negative (unspecific immunolabeling in keratin layer and absence of cellular fluorescence activity). Images were captured using a cooled-charged-coupled device camera (Photometrics, Tucson, AR, USA); the intensity of immune reaction was quantitatively assessed from original images using the Image-Pro Plus 4.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Bethesda, MD, USA), and gray images were obtained using Adobe Photoshop software (Adobe, San José, CA, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

The Fisher exact and the chi square tests were used for statistical analyses. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

**Results**

**Correlation between HO-1 expression and histopathological features**

Groups that were not exposed to duodenal contents did not show HO-1 immunoreactivity in the esophagus. Even when Stage II grade lesions were examined, no HO-1 cell expression was observed in groups one, two, four and six (Figures 2 and 3). In all sections from rats submitted to esophagoduodenal anastomosis (Groups 2 and 5), the results from analysis of mean fluorescence intensity revealed a significant increase of HO-1 expression (4.8 and 4.6 fold, respectively) (Figure 2) when compared with controls (Group 3). These values were similar to mean fluorescence intensity analyzed in tumor slices (5.5 fold) (Figure 2). To identify the cells with HO-1 immunoreactivity, the same esophageal area in HE and immunofluorescence labeled slices were examined simultaneously. The comparison of samples revealed that positive HO-1 expression was not uniformly distributed in tissue slices. HO-1 expression was found in inflammatory cells (macrophages, eosinophils and lymphocytes) directly beneath the epithelium or in erosion areas. Squamous cell epithelium did not express HO-1 as observed in adjacent areas with intense inflammatory cells (Figure 4). All HO-1 immunoreactivity was distributed in the distal one-third or two-thirds of the esophagus and no immunoreactivity was found in the upper one-third of the esophagus, not even in the animals with adenocarcinoma.
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In adenocarcinoma tissue slices, HO-1 was expressed in glandular cells and also in tumoral stroma, but the main immunoreactivity was detected in macrophages and other inflammatory cells (eosinophils and lymphocytes) (Figure 5). Severe inflammation and high HO-1 expression in inflammatory infiltrate (Figure 4) were found also in areas without tumor cells in esophageal tissue from animals exposed to duodenal reflux. However, squamous cell epithelium did not express HO-1 in esophageal tissue with adenocarcinoma. The same profile was observed in tissue with squamous cells carcinoma, where HO-1 expression was intense in inflammatory cells, but not in tumor cells.
In addition, when samples from animals exposed to acid reflux were analyzed together (n=6) and samples from those exposed to duodenal juice as another group (n=6), HO-1 expression was significantly different between these two types of reflux models (p<0.05; Table 1).

**TABLE 1 - Correlation between histopathological features and HO-1 expression in distal esophagus in different reflux experimental models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Histopathological Analysis</th>
<th>HO-1 expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1: Gastroesophageal reflux (n=3)</td>
<td>1-Stage II; 2-Stage II; 3-Stage II</td>
<td>All negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2: Duodenoesophageal reflux (n=3)</td>
<td>1-Stage III; 2-Stage VI; 3-Stage VI</td>
<td>All positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3: Control (n=3)</td>
<td>1-Stage I; 2-Stage I; 3-Stage I</td>
<td>All negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4: Gastroesophageal reflux plus DEN (n=3)</td>
<td>1-Stage II; 2-Stage II; 3-Stage II</td>
<td>All negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5: Duodenoesophageal reflux plus DEN (n=3)</td>
<td>1-Stage VI; 2-Stage VI; 3-Stage VI</td>
<td>All positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6: DEN (n=3)</td>
<td>1-Stage II; 2-Stage II; 3-Stage II</td>
<td>All negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

Despite advances in the understanding of the association between esophageal adenocarcinoma and gastroesophageal reflux, few studies have investigated their underlying causes. Studies have shown that duodenogastric reflux per se causes intestinal metaplasia and adenocarcinoma in rats, even without exposure to carcinogens. In contrast, gastric contents do not induce this metaplasia-carcinoma sequence. Moreover, esophageal exposure to a mixed type of reflux containing gastric and duodenal juices has been implicated in the development of Barrett’s esophagus, and this may explain why some patients do not progress to metaplasia and cancer. Although the precise mechanisms by which reflux of duodenal contents causes esophageal injury and predisposes to neoplasia are not completely understood, there is considerable evidence that chronic inflammation and oxidative damage may play a role in this type of tissue injury.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS), superoxide anion ($O_2^-$), hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radicals and nitric oxide (NO) are oxidant molecules constantly generated in vivo and known to be implicated in signaling pathways regulating cell growth and cell redox control. However, they might be produced in excess in chronic inflammatory processes and, thus, exert detrimental effects, such as lipid peroxidation and DNA damage. The progression of oxidative stress damage has been implicated in human cancer. In distal esophagus, Silvo et al. observed that the reflux disease-metaplasia-carcinoma sequence revealed progressively increased oxidative stress, which suggested a link between oxidative damage and malignant transformation of esophageal epithelium.
Under physiological conditions, tissues do not express HO-1, an adaptive response to protect cells from oxidative damage. Data suggest that this important cytoprotective effect also occurs in tumor cells because of anti-apoptotic and angiogenic properties. In our study, rats exposed to duodenal reflux developed cancer and expressed HO-1 in a pattern similar to the one found by Chen et al. the less intense glandular cell immunoreactivity seen in our study might be attributed to the fact that we did not offer any iron supplements to the rats. As inflammatory areas with high HO-1 expression were found far from malignant lesions, we agree with other authors that the progression of esophagitis-metaplasia-adenocarcinoma sequence is associated with oxidative stress. However, the histological changes seen in animals exposed to acid reflux were less severe than those in animals exposed to additional duodenal reflux, and it was clear that acid reflux was not sufficient to induce significant oxidative stress in this experimental model. Thus, the difference in HO-1 expression in these two models of reflux might explain why acid reflux did not induce esophageal adenocarcinoma.

Although gastroesophageal reflux disease has not been associated with squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus, studies with rats have shown that duodenal content reflux into the esophagus induces squamous cell dysplasia and adenocarcinoma in the same way as when a co-carcinogen and a carcinogen are given simultaneously. In addition, chronic thermal injury of the esophagus caused by hot beverage abuse may be involved in esophageal cancer, as demonstrated in an experimental study and a population area. Accordingly, our results suggest that the chronic inflammatory process mediated by duodenal reflux may play a role in the development of squamous cell carcinoma.

Conclusion

Duodenal reflux may act as a co-carcinogen in esophageal squamous cell pathogenesis in rats, which may be mediated by oxidative stress, as suggested by HO-1 expression during histological malignant transformation. Additional studies should focus on oxidative stress, because HO-1 may be a useful biomarker of risk factor for malignant progression.
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