Mapping traction strength of the anterior rectus sheath in cadaver
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INTRODUCTION

Laparotomy is one of the most common surgical procedures in medical practice¹⁻⁶. Median incision is the main access to the abdominal cavity. The incidence of hernias varies from 0 to 6% of all laparotomies⁷. This complication may be related to factors such as patient’s age, malnutrition, obesity, infection, jaundice, anemia, diabetes, renal insufficiency and neoplasias. Other factors such as the use of cytostatics and corticosteroids, as well as mechanical factors that lead to an increase in abdominal pressure⁷, may also be involved in the incidence of this type of abdominal wall defect.
The tension at the aponeurotic edges of the defect is an important factor of dehiscence and incisional hernia formation. Despite the fact that tension is involved in the physiopathology of incisional hernia, and the existence of a high number of surgical techniques that have been developed with the purpose of decreasing the tension at the edges of the musculoaponeurotic defect, few studies about the tension exerted by the musculoaponeurotic components at the edges of incisional hernia were found in the literature. However, no study mapped the tension on the anterior rectus sheath.

The hypothesis tested in this study is as follows: is there any region of lower tension of the anterior layer of the rectus abdominis muscle sheath, which could be preferably corrected with the use of local tissues. On the other hand, areas with higher tension could be preferably corrected with mesh.

Therefore, the objective of this study is to map and compare the traction force necessary for medial mobilization in various levels of the anterior rectus sheath in cadavers.

Methods

The present study was approved by the committee of ethics in research of the Federal University of São Paulo (UNIFESP-EPM). Twenty four adult male cadavers, with the abdominal wall intact and without prior preservation techniques, were dissected from September 2005 to May 2006. Age ranged from 22 to 59 years (mean – 30 years), the time since death varied from 6 – 20 hours (mean - 10 hours). The environmental temperature in the period prior to dissection varied from 20 to 24 °C. The following cadavers were excluded from the study: those who were less than 18 years and more than 60 years old, those with more than 24 hours since death, those that had been submitted to low temperatures or previous laparotomies, those with hernias of the abdominal wall, and cadavers with intraperitoneal disease, which would restrict the mobility of the abdominal wall.

The cadaver was placed in the supine position, and an incision was made from the xiphoid appendix to the symphysis pubis. The subcutaneous tissue was incised down to the linea alba and it was undermined to expose the myoaponeurotic layer. The upper limit of undermining was marked by the tenth rib, and the lower limit by the inguinal ligament. Laterally, the limit of this dissection was the semilunar line. Complete excision of the linea alba was performed throughout its thickness. Traction loops of 10 mm in diameter were made in the anterior rectus sheath, using 2-0 nylon sutures. These loops were fixed to the anterior aponeurosis at a point located 10 mm laterally to the edge of the area where the linea alba was excised. These loops were placed at seven levels: 2, 4, 6 and 8 cm below the lower edge of the umbilicus and 3, 6 and 9 cm above the upper edge of the umbilicus (Figure 1). Each loop at the anterior rectus sheath was mobilized 10 mm in the medial direction, using an analog dynamometer (Crown® Dynamometer, Brazil). After this mobilization, the traction was measured with the dynamometer. The distance of mobilization of the aponeurotic point was measured with an analog pachymeter (Universal® Pachymeter with 20 cm ruler, Japan). (Figure 2)

All traction measurements performed using a dynamometer was repeated by a second researcher. In cases on which there was disagreement, the evaluation of a third researcher was requested.

The measurement closest to that obtained by the third observer was the one considered. The points studied were broken down as shown in Table 1.
TABLE 1 – Breakdown of data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supraumbilical</td>
<td>9 cm</td>
<td>N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 cm</td>
<td>N2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 cm</td>
<td>N3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infraumbilical</td>
<td>2 cm</td>
<td>N4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 cm</td>
<td>N5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 cm</td>
<td>N6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 cm</td>
<td>N7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values obtained in each level were inserted in the formula used to calculate the traction index\(^{5,6,10}\). The traction index is the ratio between the traction (force) measured at the aponeurotic point, and the distance on which the point was mobilized. The formula below represents this ratio:

\[
TI = \frac{F}{D}
\]

**TI** - Traction index (kg/cm)
**F** - Traction force in the point studied
**D** - Mobilization distance from the aponeurotic point (cm)

The average of the TI obtained at both sides was calculated for each level. The average values for each level in all the cadavers were compared using Friedman’s analysis of Variance for \( p \leq 0.05 \).

**Results**

The average traction values obtained at the various levels were compared, and are shown in Figure 3. There was no statistical significant difference among the studied levels.

![Comparison between the measurements of the traction indices for each level.](image)

**FIGURE 3** – Measurements for traction indices obtained at the various levels Friedman’s analysis of variance (\( p \geq 0.05 \)). \( p = 0.4492 \). Friedman’s analysis of variance - no statistically significant difference.

**Discussion**

The physiopathology of incisional hernia is multifactorial and involves the presence of comorbidities as well as a variety of closure techniques. The correction of incisional hernias by primary suture of the musculoaponeurotic edges of the defect is related to a high recurrence rate\(^1\). This fact is largely due to excess tension in the suture line. Thus, the aim of the various studies and techniques described for reconstruction of the abdominal wall is to reduce the tension of the suture line. These techniques include the use of synthetic materials (meshes), use of the hernial sac itself (“Lázaro da Silva” technique)\(^{12}\), advancement of musculoaponeurotic flaps (technique of “components separation”)\(^{13}\), muscular, musculocutaneous and musculofasciocutaneous flaps\(^5\), use of autologous and heterologous grafts\(^6\), and tissue expansion techniques such as progressive pneumoperitoneum and tissue expanders\(^7\).

Cadavers at room temperature (22ºC) were used in this study in order to minimize the effects of low temperatures on the tissues, as refrigeration could affect the traction measurements. Therefore, this variable did not influence the results\(^{13}\). To ensure uniformity of the sample, only male cadavers were studied. No cadavers over 60 years of age were studied, due to the possibility of tissue flaccidity of these individuals.

Excision of the *linea alba* in the cadavers proved to be an effective experimental method for the study of the physiopathogenesis and treatment of incisional hernias of the median line, and this model is based on the methodology described by Nahas and Ferreira\(^{10}\). The traction measurement was performed at infraumbilical points 2, 4, 6 and 8 cm from the lower edge of the navel, and at points 3, 6 and 9 cm from the upper edge of the navel, in the anterior sheath of the rectus abdominis muscle. The distribution of the aponeurotic points was designed to enable a uniform mapping of the entire anterior rectus sheath. This aponeurosis is an anatomical structure which plays an important role in the closure of median abdominal incisions. This experiment simulated the anatomical reality found by the surgeon when closing a median incision.

Traction strength was expressed numerically by the regional traction coefficients. The traction coefficient\(^5\) enables measurements to become comparable, regardless of the traction distance. The comparison of regional coefficients in the various levels of the anterior layer did not reveal any statistical significant difference, which means that there was no difference in resistance to medial traction between the points studied. Therefore, there is no region where anterior aponeurosis could be closed with less tension.

The technique of “components separation”\(^{13}\) was conceived as an effective alternative for reducing the tension of the suture line, with the use of autologous tissues. It was systematically evaluated in cadavers\(^5\) and other techniques using different musculoaponeurotic components were developed and evaluated\(^{5,14,15}\) using a methodology described by Nahas *et al.*\(^5\). Although this study does not propose any technical variation, the method was developed based on this study.

The present study enables studies to be guided in the sense of carrying out comparative studies of traction of the anterior and posterior layers of the rectus sheath, which can facilitate the choice of aponeurosis in which reconstruction can be achieved with less tension.
Conclusion

There is no variation in tension along the whole extension of the anterior rectus sheath.

References


Conflict of interest: none
Financial source: none

Correspondence:
Fabio Xerfan Nahas
Division of Plastic Surgery, Department of Surgery
Federal University of São Paulo
Rua Napoléão de Barros, 715/4º andar
04024-0022 São Paulo - SP Brazil
Phone: (55 11)5576-4065 / 5576-4118
fabionahas@uol.com.br

Received: January 20, 2010
Review: March 23, 2010
Accepted: April 28, 2010

How to cite this article