Comparison between actual and predicted postoperative stair-climbing test, walk test and spirometric values in patients undergoing lung resection
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To assess whether the tests - Forced Expiratory Volume at one second (FEV1), 6-minute walk test (6MWT) and stair-climbing test (SCT) showed proportional changes after the resection of functioning lung. Methods: Candidates for pulmonary resection were included. Spirometry, 6MWT and SCT were performed preoperatively (pre) and at least 3 months after surgery (pos). SCT was performed on a stairway with a total ascent height of 12.16m. The time taken to climb the total height the fastest possible was defined as stair-climbing time (SCT). Number of functioning segments lost, was used to calculated predicted postoperative (ppo) tests values. Pre, ppo and pos values for each test were compared. Data were analyzed by repeated-measure ANOVA with significance level set at 5%. Results: A total of 40 patients were enrolled. Pulmonary resection results ranged from gain of 2 functioning segments to loss of 9. Pre, ppo and pos values were respectively: preFEV1 = 2.6±0.8L, ppo FEV1 =2.3±0.8L, and pos FEV1= 2.3±0.8L, (pre FEV1 > ppo FEV1 = pos FEV1); pre6MWT = 604±63m, ppo6MWT = 529±103m, pos6MWT = 599±74m (pre6MWT = pos6MWT > ppo6MWT); preSCT = 32.9±7.6s, ppoSCT = 37.8±12.1s, posSCT = 33.7±8.5s (preSCT = posSCT < ppoSCT). Conclusion: In our group of patients, pulmonary resection led to loss of lung function measured by spirometry, but not to exercise capacity measured by stair-climbing and walk tests.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Verificar se os testes: Volume Expiratório Forçado no 1º segundo (VEF1), Teste da Caminhada de 6 minutos (TC6) e Teste de Escada (TE) se alteram proporcionalmente ao pulmão funcionante ressecado. Métodos: Foram incluídos pacientes candidatos a toracotomia para ressecção pulmonar. No pré-operatório (pré) e no mínimo três meses após a cirurgia (pós), realizaram espirometria, TC6 e TE. O TE foi realizado em escada com 12,16m de altura. O tempo para subir todos os degraus o mais rápido possível foi chamado tempo de escada (tTE). Os cálculos dos valores dos testes preditos para o pós-operatório (ppo) foram realizados conforme o número de segmentos funcionantes perdidos. Os valores pré, ppo e pós foram comparados entre si para cada teste. Estatística: foi utilizada a análise de variância para medidas repetidas (ANOVA), com significância de 5%. Resultados: Foram estudados 40 pacientes. A ressecção pulmonar variou desde o ganho de dois segmentos funcionantes até a perda de 9. Os valores pré, ppo e pós foram comparados respectivamente: VEF1 pré = 2,6±0,8L, ppo = 2,3±0,8L, pós = 2,3±0,8L (VEF1 pré > VEF1 pós), TC6 pré = 604±63m, ppo = 529±103m, pós = 599±74m (TC6 ppo = TC6 pré > TC6 pós); tTE pré = 32,9±7,6s, ppo = 37,8±12,1s, pós = 33,7±8,5s (tTE ppo < tTE pré). Conclusão: Nas ressecções pulmonares, este grupo de pacientes perdeu função pulmonar medida através da espirometria, mas não perdeu a capacidade de exercício, medida através dos testes de escada e caminhada.

Descritores: Testes de Função Respiratória. Testes de Função Cardíaca. Espirometria.
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Introduction

Isolated thoracotomy is known to reduce pulmonary volumes and capacity for several weeks after surgery. In a resection of functioning lung tissue, such a reduction is permanent. Thus, surgery may be contraindicated when predicted postoperative (ppo) lung volumes are minimal and not compatible with life. According to some investigators, ppo values are lower than actual postoperative values1, this can carry surgery contraindications in patients that could tolerate the surgery. The results of exercise tests have been considered stronger prognostic predictors than spirometry, which only assesses lungs at resting and is, therefore, recommended for preoperative screening2. A very efficient test for the evaluation of exercise performance is ergospirometry. However, few are the centers where ergospirometers are available. Other tests, such as the stair-climbing test (SCT), the 6-minute walk test (6MWT) or the stair-ergospirometry. However, few are the centers where efficient test for the evaluation of exercise performance is comparable to spirometry4. In the 1990s, a number of investigators reported its use to measure preoperative cardiopulmonary performance. Olsen et al.5 reported that patients unable to climb three flights of stairs had a high surgical risk. Holden et al.6, in turn, classified risk according to the number of steps climbed, while Pate et al.7 established the height achieved without encouragement as the test parameter.

In 2007, Cataneo and Cataneo8 suggested the use of the parameter stair-climbing time (SCT), defined as the time taken to climb a stairway of known height with verbal encouragement. They demonstrated that, in comparison with VO_{2max} measured by ergospirometry, SCT had good accuracy. In the same year, Brunelli et al.9 associated the height achieved with postoperative morbidity and mortality. They demonstrated that patients climbing less than 12 m had higher rates of cardiopulmonary complications, and concluded that SCT is very good in stratifying surgical risk and should be used in all candidates for lung resection, as it is simple, inexpensive and effective, but large prospective studies need to be undertaken before stair climbing can be advocated in a standardized way. Cataneo et al.10 also showed that beyond SCT, the 6MWT had good accuracy when compared with the VO_{2max} of ergospirometry and SCT and 6MWT combined showed nearly 100% sensitivity or specificity.

In this study, ppo FEV1 was preoperatively calculated based on the amount of functioning parenchyma to be resected. In the literature, however, no means to calculate SCT and 6MWT ppo values are reported.

The main aim of this study was to assess whether FEV1, 6MWT and SCT showed proportional loss of function following pulmonary resection.

Methods

After approval by the Research Ethics Committee of Botucatu Medical School–UNESP, all candidates for thoracotomy for pulmonary resection, who were older than 18 years of age, and hospitalized in the Thoracic Surgery Ward of Botucatu Medical School Hospital, were invited to participate in this study. Exclusion criteria included severe arterial hypertension (systolic arterial pressure > 200mmHg and diastolic arterial pressure > 110mmHg), decompensated heart failure, infarction within the past 90 days instable angina, decompensated COPD, electrocardiogram showing complete left bundle branch block, walking difficulty (orthopedic, neurological, vascular abnormalities), inability to undergo the walk test and any acute condition.

Spirometry was followed by 6MWT and SCT. An obligatory rest period of 20 minutes was observed between 6MWT and SCT. Because inability to complete the walk test was an exclusion criterion, 6MWT was performed before SCT.

Data collected before and after exercise testing included: arterial blood pressure, respiratory rate, heart rate (HR) and oxygen saturation (O_2Sat). HR and O_2Sat were monitored throughout testing. O_2Sat was measured with a portable digital pulse oxymeter (Nonin Onyx 9500-Fingerpulsoximeter)6.

All three tests (spirometry, SCT and 6MWT) were repeated at least 3 months after surgery, when pulmonary volumes and capacity were expected to be no longer reduced as a result of thoracotomy.

Spirometry was performed according to the American Thoracic Society guidelines (ATS). A Med-Graphics Pulmonary Function System 1070 was used with the patient in the sitting position. Forced vital capacity was measured at least three times choosing the curve with the highest FEV1.

The 6-minute walk test, which was also performed according to ATS guidelines, consisted of measuring the distance covered by the patient in meters after six minutes of encouraged walking in the shade along a flat 120-m corridor.

SCT was based on our previous study4,10. It was performed in the shade, on a staircase of 30° in incline which consisted of six flights, each flight having twelve steps (72 steps in total) measuring 16.9cm. Total ascent height was 12.16m. Patients were asked to climb all the steps in the shortest possible time with verbal encouragement between flights. Testing was stopped only for fatigue, limiting dyspnea, thoracic pain, or exhaustion. The time taken to climb the stairs (SCT) was expressed in seconds.

Functioning and non-functioning pulmonary segment: a pulmonary segment was considered non-functioning when atelectasis, fibroatelectasis, tumor or peri-tumor radiopacity were radiologically detected; and bronchial obstruction > 75% at bronchoscopy. Half of the segment was considered functional if obstructions ranged from 50 to 75%, whereas the entire segment was considered as functional when obstructions were < 50% and peripheral radiological abnormalities were absent. The total number of functioning segments (FS) was assumed to be 19 (10 in the right lung and 9 in the left lung).

Calculation of ppo values: the predicted postoperative values (ppoV) for FEV1 and the distance walked in the 6MWT were calculated by rule of three direct using the values obtained preoperatively (preV) as follows: ppoV = preV x (FS – resected FS) ÷ FS. Predicted postoperative stair-climbing time (ppoSCT) was estimated by rule of three inverse as follows: ppoSCT = preSCT x FS ÷ (FS – resected FS), where preSCT is preoperative stair-climbing time.

Statistical analysis: pre and postoperative results were analyzed using descriptive statistics. Spirometric, 6MWT and SCT data were compared using parametric statistics. Preoperative,
Results

A total of 40 patients were assessed before and after surgery. Patient age ranged from 18 to 70 years (mean±SD = 48±16). Twenty-two were male, 35 had lung cancer and 5 had lung infectious sequelae. FEV₁ mean ± SD was 84.0 ± 25.3%. Both pre-and postoperatively, three patients showed desaturation >4% during exercise testing, though no clinical repercussions were deemed extreme enough to terminate the test.

Number of functioning segments after surgery: in only one case, two segments were gained due to bronchial patency by sleeve resection. In eight cases, there was no segment loss as all segments resected were already non-functioning before resection. The number of segments lost was one in 11 cases, two in five cases, three in one case, four in three cases, five in nine cases, seven in one case, and nine in one case.

FEV₁ postoperative was smaller than FEV₁ preoperative, but 6MWT postoperative and SCt postoperative were similar to 6MWT preoperative and SCt preoperative. FEV₁, 6MWT distance and SCt preoperative (pre), predicted postoperative (ppo), and postoperative (pos) values expressed as mean and standard deviation, as well as p-values and test interpretation for all patients in one group are shown in Table 1.

The analysis of the patients separated into two groups revealed no statistical difference in pre, ppo and pos FEV₁, 6MWT distance and SCt when the number of resected segments was less than four. However, when four or more segments were resected, the statistical interpretation was the same as that for all patients in one group.

The correlations between ppo and actual pos FEV₁, 6MWT distance and SCt are depicted in Figures 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The best correlation was between ppo FEV₁ and pos FEV₁, and the worst correlation was between ppo 6MWT and pos 6MWT.
FIGURE 2 - Linear correlation between ppo6MWT and pos6MWT. \( r = 0.3977 \) (p<0.01). Linear regression: \( \text{pos6MWT} = 447.17 + 0.29 \text{ppo6MWT} \)

FIGURE 3 - Linear correlation between ppoSCt and posSCt. \( r = 0.6618 \) (p<0.001). Linear regression: \( \text{pos SCt} = 16.14 + 0.46 \text{ppoSCt} \)

**Discussion**

Of the three parameters assessed in this study, \( \text{FEV}_1 \) showed the best linear correlation between predicted and actual postoperative values. No significant differences were observed between ppo and postoperative \( \text{FEV}_1 \). Thus, considering exclusively the functioning pulmonary segments as lost when calculating ppo \( \text{FEV}_1 \), seems to be acceptable. To date, the majority of studies available do not make it clear whether only functioning segments are considered as lost when ppo function is calculated. When functioning and non-functioning segments removed are taken into account, the predicted postoperative value is likely to be smaller than the actual postoperative value. Zeiher et al. in a retrospective study, demonstrated that ppo\( \text{FEV}_1 \) underestimates the actual postoperative \( \text{FEV}_1 \) by 250 ml in patients undergoing lobectomy, and proposed adding 250 ml to the calculated ppo value. These findings were probably caused by the non-separation of functioning from non-functioning resected segments. Counting an occluded segment as a functioning segment removed does yield a lower ppo value, but, rather than underestimation, this is a case of miscalculation. In the case of exercise tests, however, the rather weak correlations found indicate that postoperative performance depends on factors other than pulmonary volumes. The fact that the actual postoperative values for 6MWT and SCT variables were higher than those predicted favors those patients who are taken to surgery with minimum ppo values.

Scintillography can increase the sensitivity of the method as calculation using simple arithmetic mean assumes that each
In our center, there are few stair flights, but the measurement of time makes it possible to compare our results with those obtained in any other study also using time as a variable. Time correlates with the constant height achieved, allowing the calculation of average speed. An analogy might be established between SCT and 6MWT: while the first focuses on a fixed height to assess time, the latter focuses on a fixed time to measure distance.

In this study, FEV₁ could be roughly calculated on the basis of the number of functioning segments lost. However, stratifying the patients into 2 groups according to the number of functioning segments removed revealed that FEV₁ calculation was more precise when the number of segments was 4 or more. Similarly, other investigators have reported a strong correlation between predicted and actual postoperative values when more than 3 segments were resected, and no correlation when resections were smaller, but they did not make it clear whether functioning segments alone were considered as lost. Among our patients, no significant difference between ppo and postoperative values was found after small resections, even when only resected functioning segments were taken into account. Perhaps, differences were so slight that significance would not be reached unless a much larger number of patients were assessed, but the calculation of such small losses did not reveal any significant difference between preoperative and ppo values either. This probably occurred because, in many cases, the segments resected were already not functioning before surgery and, therefore, could not be considered as lost. Even more sophisticated calculation methods using ventilation and perfusion scintillography have not been able to adequately predict ppoFEV₁.

Several permanent or transient reasons could hinder the adequate prediction of lung volumes by spirometry after pulmonary resection. Ventilation disorders induced by secretion, atelectasis, edema, infection or even physiological alveolar collapse can alter lung function.

The fact that preoperative exercise testing parameters remained similar to those measured after resection shows that, in spite of FEV₁ loss, our patients kept their exercise capacity, being able to perform the less stressing 6MWT as well as the more vigorous SCT.

Similar findings have been reported by others who observed that, although FEV₁ and VO₂max values decreased after pulmonary resection, the correlation between FEV₁ fall and VO₂max fall was weak. Thus, they concluded that fall in FEV₁ was a poor predictor of the fall in exercise capacity after lung resection, and that lobectomy had little or no effect on VO₂max. Notwithstanding, recent studies have stressed the importance of exercise testing even for the prediction of hospital costs. These investigators showed that reduced exercise capacity is associated with longer hospital stays and costs among patients undergoing pulmonary resection.

It is probable that the postoperative exercise test results reported here remained at preoperative levels because the performance of our patients was well within the acceptable range, in contrast with the patients studied by Brunelli et al., who were not able to climb 12m at their own pace, and thus generated a 2.5-fold increase in hospital costs as compared with those who climbed 22m. Indeed, patients with no cardiopulmonary reserve require better preoperative support.
Conclusion

This study demonstrated that, anatomical calculations could accurately predict postoperative FEV\(_1\) values, but overestimated the loss of exercise capacity as measured by stair-climbing test and 6 minute walk test.
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