Methylene blue administration in the compound 48/80-induced anaphylactic shock. Hemodynamic study in pigs

Administração de azul de metileno no choque anafilático induzido por composto 48/80. Estudo hemodinâmico em suínos.
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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To verify if the methylene blue (MB) administration prevents and/or reverses the compound 48/80 (C48/80)-induced anaphylactic shock in pigs.

METHODS: Female Dalland pigs were anesthetized and had the hemodynamic parameters recorded during the necessary time to administer some drugs and observe their effect. The animals were randomly assigned to one of the five groups: 1) control; 2) MB: the animals received a bolus injection of MB (2 mg/kg) followed by continuous infusion of MB (2.66 mg/Kg/h delivered by syringe infusion pump); 3) C48/80: the animals received a bolus injection of C48/80 (4 mg/kg); 4) C48/80+MB: the animals received a bolus injection of C48/80 (4 mg/kg) and 10 minutes after the C48/80 administration the animals received a bolus injection of MB (2 mg/kg) followed by continuous infusion of MB (2.66 mg/Kg/h delivered by syringe infusion pump); 5) MB+C48/80: the animals received a bolus injection of MB (2 mg/kg) and 3 minutes later they received a bolus injection of C48/80 (4 mg/kg).

RESULTS: The intravenous infusion of MB alone caused no changes in the mean arterial pressure (MAP) showing that the administered MB dose was safe in this experimental model. The C48/80 was effective in producing experimental anaphylactic shock since it was observed a decrease in both MAP and cardiac output (CO) after its administration. The MB did not prevent or reverse the C48/80-induced anaphylactic shock in this model. In fact, the MAP of the animals with anaphylactic shock treated with MB decreased even more than the MAP of the animals from the C48/80 group. On the other hand, the C48/80-induced epidermal alterations disappeared after the MB infusion.

CONCLUSION: Despite our data, the clinical manifestations improvement brings some optimism and does not allow excluding the MB as a possible therapeutic option in the anaphylactic shock.
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RESUMO

OBJETIVO: Verificar se a administração de azul de metileno (AM) previne e/ou reverte o choque anafilático induzido por composto 48/80 (C48/80) em suínos.

MÉTODOS: Porcos fêmeas Dalland foram anestesiados e tiveram os parâmetros hemodinâmicos registados durante o tempo necessário para administrar algumas drogas e observar seu efeito. Os animais foram aleatoriamente distribuídos em um dos cinco grupos: 1) controle, 2) AM: os animais receberam uma injeção em bolus de AM (2mg/kg), seguido de infusão contínua de AM (2,66mg/Kg/h por bomba de infusão de seringa); 3) C48/80: os animais receberam uma injeção em bolus de C48/80 (4mg/kg) e 10 minutos após a administração de C48/80 os animais receberam uma injeção em bolus de AM (2mg/kg), seguido de infusão contínua de AM (2,66mg/kg/h por bomba de infusão de seringa); 5) AM+C48/80: os animais receberam uma injeção em bolus de AM (2mg/kg) e três minutos depois, receberam uma injeção em bolus de C48/80 (4mg/kg).

RESULTADOS: A infusão intravenosa de AM não causou mudanças na pressão arterial média (PAM), mostrando que a dose de AM administrada foi segura neste modelo experimental. O C48/80 foi eficaz na indução do choque anafilático experimental, uma vez que foi observada redução na PAM e débito cardíaco (DC), após a sua administração. O AM não preveniu ou reverte o choque anafilático induzido por C48/80 neste modelo. Na verdade, a PAM dos animais com choque anafilático tratados com AM diminuiu mais do que o PAM dos animais do grupo C48/80. Por outro lado, as alterações epidérmicas induzidas pelo C48/80 desapareceu após a infusão do AM.

CONCLUSÃO: Apesar dos resultados a melhora clínica das manifestações anafiláticas permite considerar a possibilidade do azul de metileno como opção terapêutica no tratamento do choque anafilático.


Introduction

It is currently known that nitric oxide (NO) is associated with vasoplegic reactions in sepsis1-11, anaphylaxis12-17 and cardiopulmonary bypass related systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). NO is a powerful endogenous vasodilator. The nitric oxide synthases (NOS) are the enzymes responsible for converting L-arginine in NO and L-citrulline. Experimental studies in rabbits demonstrated that the NOS inhibition by L-arginine analogs reverses anaphylactic hypotension18. But, these inhibitors cause cardiac output decrease and pulmonary vascular resistance increase13,14,19. Also, it is important to emphasize that L-arginine analogs inhibit both endothelial (eNOS) and inducible (iNOS) NOS isoforms. The ideal would be inhibit specifically the iNOS, which is responsible for vasoplegic reactions, preserving the eNOS activity, which has a vital role for the microcirculation physiology1,13. The NO synthesis inhibition is still a matter of controversy and, even a matter of bioethics. So, the blockade of the NO effects on the vascular smooth muscle, via guanylyl cyclase (GC) inhibition, would be a reasonable strategy20. The GC increases the cyclic guanosine 3’,5’-monophosphate (cGMP) levels promoting vasodilation. In some studies, the methylene blue (MB), a GC inhibitor, reversed the anaphylactic shock16,17,21. Since these studies involved a small number of patients, the MB effectiveness and safety have been questioned. Therefore, the present study aimed to verify if the MB administration prevents and/or reverses the compound 48/80 (C48/80)-induced anaphylactic shock in pigs.

Methods

Animal preparation and hemodynamic parameters
Female Dalland pigs (22-26 kg) were induced to anesthesia with intramuscular administration of midazolan (15 mg/kg, Dormid®, Cristália Produtos Químicos Ltda., SP, Brazil) and tiletamine/zolazepam (10 mg/kg, Telazol®, Fort Dodge, IA, EUA). Maintenance was achieved by total intravenous anesthesia using sufentanil (100 μg/h, Fastfán®, Cristália Produtos Químicos Ltda., SP, Brazil) and propofol (10 mg/Kg/h, Propovan®, Cristália Produtos Químicos Ltda., SP, Brazil) delivered by syringe infusion pump (Syringe Infusion Pump, Harvard Apparatus, MA, EUA). Pancuronium bromide (6 mg/h, Pancuron®, Cristália Produtos Químicos Ltda., SP, Brazil) was used as a muscular relaxant. Tracheostomy was performed on all animals immediately after induction of anesthesia. Volemia maintenance was achieved with intravenous infusion of sodium chloride 0.9% (5 mL/kg/h). A Swan-Ganz CCOmbo CCO/SvO2 744HF75 (Edwards Lifesciences, CA, EUA) catheter was placed in the right
jugular vein and into the lumen of the main pulmonary artery. The left carotid was simultaneously catheterized. Mean arterial pressure (MAP), pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP), pulmonary capillary pressure (PCP) and central venous pressure (CVP) were recorded by the MP System 100 A (BioPac System, Inc., CA, EUA). Cardiac output (CO), systemic vascular resistance (SVR) and pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) were obtained by the Vigilance System (Edwards Lifesciences LLC, CA, EUA). After instrumentation, a period of 20 minutes was allowed for anesthesia stabilization. After that, the hemodynamic parameters and the clinical condition were recorded for 15 minutes.

**Experimental design**

The animals (n=30) were randomly assigned to one of the five groups:

1. control: the animals received the same volume of the vehicle used to prepare the drugs in the other groups at the same time points;
2. MB: immediately after the 20 minutes stabilization the animals received a bolus injection of MB (2 mg/kg) followed by continuous infusion of MB (2.66 mg/Kg/h delivered by syringe infusion pump);
3. C48/80: immediately after the 20 minutes stabilization the animals received a bolus injection of C48/80 (4 mg/kg);
4. C48/80+MB: immediately after the 20 minutes stabilization the animals received a bolus injection of C48/80 (4 mg/kg) and 10 minutes after the C48/80 administration the animals received a bolus injection of MB (2 mg/kg) followed by continuous infusion of MB (2.66 mg/Kg/h delivered by syringe infusion pump);
5. MB+C48/80: immediately after the 20 minutes stabilization the animals received a bolus injection of MB (2 mg/kg) and 3 minutes later they received a bolus injection of C48/80 (4 mg/kg).

**Statistical analysis**

The results are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) and were analyzed using analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) and Bonferroni post-test (Prism 4.0, GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Values were considered to be statistically significant at p values smaller than 0.05.

**Results**

**Clinical observations**

There were no clinical alterations after MB injection in the MB group.

Five from six animals in the C48/80 group presented cutaneous hyperemia, vomits and sphincters liberation approximately 2 minutes after the injection of this compound. Livedo reticularis and cyanosis appeared a little bit later, persisting until the end of the experiment, when ascites and intestinal ischemia and distention were also observed.

Four from six animals in the C48/80+MB group presented cutaneous hyperemia, vomits and sphincters liberation about two minutes after the C48/80 administration, but after the MB infusion the epidermal alterations disappeared, and there was an apparent better peripheral perfusion.

None of the six animals in the MB+C48/80 group presented clinical changes after the MB injection, but all of them presented cutaneous hyperemia (which decreased slow in two animals) and five of them presented vomits and sphincters liberation after C48/80 administration.

**Hemodynamic observations**

**Mean arterial pressure (MAP)**

Only one animal in the MB group had, immediately after MB injection, an increase in MAP which was spontaneously normalized in about 10 minutes. However, there were no changes in MAP between the control and the MB groups, showing that the administered MB dose was safe in this experimental model (Figure 1A).

The C48/80 group presented a hypotension which was statistically significant from 5th to 14th minute in the comparison with the control group (Figure 1A).
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FIGURE 1A - Mean arterial pressure (MAP) in the control, methylene blue (MB) and compound 48/80 (C48/80) groups. All values are means ± SEM (n=6 in each group) and represent the MAP variation (ΔMAP = MAP_t – MAP_t=0) in each time point (t varies from 0 to 15 minutes). * p<0.05, * p<0.01 in the comparison between control and C48/80 (One-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s Multiple Comparison Test).

The C48/80+MB group presented a larger decrease in the MAP than the C48/80 group with statistical difference between the 6th and the 9th minute (Figure 1B).

There were no differences between the C48/80 and MB+C48/80 (Figure 1B).

FIGURE 1B - Mean arterial pressure (MAP) in the compound 48/80 (C48/80), C48/80 followed by methylene blue (C48/80+MB) and methylene blue followed by C48/80 (MB+C48/80) groups. All values are means ± SEM (n=6 in each group) and represent the MAP variation (ΔMAP = MAP_t – MAP_t=0) in each time point (t varies from 0 to 15 minutes). * p<0.05 in the comparison between control and C48/80 (One-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s Multiple Comparison Test).

Pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP)

The control group presented a stable PAP (Figure 2A). In the MB group, the PAP decreased a little from 5th to 7th minute, but it was completely recovered in the 12th minute. However, these differences did not reach statistical significance in comparison to the control group (Figure 2A).

FIGURE 2A - Pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP) in the control, methylene blue (MB) and compound 48/80 (C48/80) groups. All values are means ± SEM (n=6 in each group) and represent the PAP variation (ΔPAP = PAP_t – PAP_t=0) in each time point (t varies from 0 to 15 minutes). * p<0.05 in the comparison between control and C48/80 (One-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s Multiple Comparison Test).

The C48/80 group presented a lung hypertension in the beginning of the experiment (statistically significant in the 2nd and 3rd minutes compared to the control group) returning to the basal levels in the 4th minute (Figure 2B).

There were no statistical differences between the C48/80 and the C48/80+MB or MB+C48/80 (Figure 2B).
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FIGURE 2B - Pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP) in the compound 48/80 (C48/80), C48/80 followed by methylene blue (C48/80+MB) and methylene blue followed by C48/80 (MB+C48/80) groups. All values are means ± SEM (n=6 in each group) and represent the PAP variation (ΔPAP = PAP_t - PAP_0) in each time point (t varies from 0 to 15 minutes).

Pulmonary capillary pressure (PCP)

Except for the 1st minute when the C48/80 showed an increase in the PCP compared to the control group, there were no statistical differences between the control and the MB or C48/80 (Figure 3A).

FIGURE 3A - Pulmonary capillary pressure (PCP) in the control, methylene blue (MB) and compound 48/80 (C48/80) groups. All values are means ± SEM (n=6 in each group) and represent the PCP variation (ΔPCP = PCP_t - PCP_0) in each time point (t varies from 0 to 15 minutes). * p<0.05 in the comparison between control and C48/80 (One-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s Multiple Comparison Test).

In the same way, it can be observed a statistically increase in the PCP only in the 9th minute for the MB+C48/80 in comparison to the C48/80 group, without any other difference between the C48/80 and the C48/80+MB or MB+C48/80 (Figure 3B).

FIGURE 3B - Pulmonary capillary pressure (PCP) in the compound 48/80 (C48/80), C48/80 followed by methylene blue (C48/80+MB) and methylene blue followed by C48/80 (MB+C48/80) groups. All values are means ± SEM (n=6 in each group) and represent the PCP variation (ΔPCP = PCP_t - PCP_0) in each time point (t varies from 0 to 15 minutes). * p<0.05 in the comparison between control and C48/80 (One-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s Multiple Comparison Test).

Central venous pressure (CVP)

There were no changes in the CVP values between the control and the MB or C48/80 groups (Figure 4A), neither between the C48/80 and the C48/80+MB or MB+C48/80 (Figure 4B).

FIGURE 4A - Central venous pressure (CVP) in the control, methylene blue (MB) and compound 48/80 (C48/80) groups. All values are means ± SEM (n=6 in each group) and represent the CVP variation (ΔCVP = CVP_t - CVP_0) in each time point (t varies from 0 to 15 minutes).
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Central venous pressure (CVP)

FIGURE 4B - Central venous pressure (CVP) in the compound 48/80 (C48/80), C48/80 followed by methylene blue (C48/80+MB) and methylene blue followed by C48/80 (MB+C48/80) groups. All values are means ± SEM (n=6 in each group) and represent the CVP variation (ΔCVP = CVP_t − CVP_t=0) in each time point (t varies from 0 to 15 minutes).

Cardiac output (CO)

The control group demonstrated a stable CO and the MB group did not differ from it. The C48/80 group presented a statistically significant decrease in the CO from 8th to 15th minute compared to the control group (Figure 5A).

The CO of both C48/80+MB and MB+C48/80 groups were not different from the control group (Figure 5B).

Systemic vascular resistance (SVR)

FIGURE 5A - Cardiac output (CO) in the control, methylene blue (MB) and compound 48/80 (C48/80) groups. All values are means ± SEM (n=6 in each group) and represent the CO variation (ΔCO = CO_t − CO_{t=0}) in each time point (t varies from 0 to 15 minutes). * p<0.05, # p<0.001 in the comparison between control and C48/80 (One-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s Multiple Comparison Test).

Comparing the SVR values, there were no statistical differences between the C48/80 and the C48/80+MB or MB+C48/80 (Figure 6B).

FIGURE 5B - Cardiac output (CO) in the compound 48/80 (C48/80), C48/80 followed by methylene blue (C48/80+MB) and methylene blue followed by C48/80 (MB+C48/80) groups. All values are means ± SEM (n=6 in each group) and represent the CO variation (ΔCO = CO_t − CO_{t=0}) in each time point (t varies from 0 to 15 minutes).

FIGURE 6A - Systemic vascular resistance (SVR) in the control, methylene blue (MB) and compound 48/80 (C48/80) groups. All values are means ± SEM (n=6 in each group) and represent the SVR variation (ΔSVR = SVR_t − SVR_{t=0}) in each time point (t varies from 0 to 15 minutes). * p<0.05 in the comparison between control and C48/80 (One-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s Multiple Comparison Test).
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FIGURE 6B - Systemic vascular resistance (SVR) in the compound 48/80 (C48/80), C48/80 followed by methylene blue (C48/80+MB) and methylene blue followed by C48/80 (MB+C48/80) groups. All values are means ± SEM (n=6 in each group) and represent the SVR variation (ΔSVR = SVRₜ – SVRₜ₀) in each time point (t varies from 0 to 15 minutes).

Pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR)

The control group demonstrated a stable PVR. Although the other groups showed not a stable, but a variable PVR, there were no statistical differences between the control versus MB or C48/80 (Figure 7A) and between the C48/80 versus C48/80+MB or MB+C48/80 (Figure 7B).

FIGURE 7A - Pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) in the control, methylene blue (MB) and compound 48/80 (C48/80) groups. All values are means ± SEM (n=6 in each group) and represent the PVR variation (ΔPVR = PVRₜ – PVRₜ₀) in each time point (t varies from 0 to 15 minutes).

FIGURE 7B - Pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) in the compound 48/80 (C48/80), C48/80 followed by methylene blue (C48/80+MB) and methylene blue followed by C48/80 (MB+C48/80) groups. All values are means ± SEM (n=6 in each group) and represent the PVR variation (ΔPVR = PVRₜ – PVRₜ₀) in each time point (t varies from 0 to 15 minutes).

Discussion

The C48/80 has been used to produce experimental anaphylactic shock18,22. Our data showed that this compound was effective in inducing anaphylactic shock in pigs since both MAP and CO decreased after C48/80 administration. Curiously, in the first two minutes after the C48/80 injection, the animals presented a hypertensive crisis (without statistical significance) and a possible explanation for this is the direct stimulation of the hypothalamus hypophysis axis23. The CO reduction could be related to the negative inotropic effect of the C48/80 24 and could explain the CVP trend to increase. In addition, the majority of the animals exposed to C48/80 presented cutaneous hyperemia, vomits, sphincters liberation, livedo reticularis, cyanosis, ascites and intestinal ischemia and distention.

The histamine-mediated NO production has been ruled as one of the most important pathophysiological mechanisms in the cardiovascular manifestations during anaphylaxis. It was demonstrated that the Nω-nitro-L-arginine-methylester (L-NAME), a non-selective NOS inhibitor, reduced the mortality in C48/80 or bovine serum albumin-induced anaphylactic shock in mice25. Other studies also showed that the L-NAME diminished the hypotension and the mortality rates in mice and rats20,26. Despite these good results, the NOS inhibitors use to treat the anaphylactic shock is not completely accepted since these drugs can reduce the CO, increasing the mortality13,14,19. It was demonstrated that although the L-NAME had attenuated the hypotension it did not...
improve cardiac depression in anaphylaxis in dogs. Furthermore, the bronchial production of NO is important to counteract the anaphylactic bronchoconstriction, and the NOS inhibition could impair this clinical condition. Indeed, a clinical trial, evaluating the NOS inhibition to treat the septic shock, had to be discontinued due to increase in mortality. These concepts seem to discourage the NO production inhibition and open a discussion concerning the inhibition of the NO effects on the vascular smooth muscle to treat the anaphylaxis. In this context, the MB, recognized as a GC inhibitor able to abolish the NO/cGMP-dependent smooth muscle vasodilatation, emerged as a possible therapeutic strategy.

The MB has been used in various clinical conditions, for example, as an antiseptic for the urinary tract and in the methemoglobinemia and malaria treatment. It has also been demonstrated that MB can reduce the anaphylactic shock-induced hypotension in clinical and experimental studies. A previous study of our laboratory demonstrated that the MB reduced the hypotension and increased the survival time in a model of C48/80 induced-anaphylactic shock in rabbits. In addition, some case reports demonstrated that the MB, administered when the conventional therapy failed, reversed the hypotension in the anaphylactic shock. Furthermore, the MB was also effective in improving the clinical signs in a different entity, the anaphylaxis with no cardiovascular collapse. However, there are a limited number of researches addressing the MB as a therapeutic option in the anaphylactic shock.

The present investigation showed that the intravenous infusion of MB alone caused no changes in the hemodynamic and clinical parameters showing that the administered MB dose was safe in this experimental model. Our results therefore corroborate other clinical data mentioning the MB therapeutic safety, which was used to revert catecholamines resistant hypotension in SIRS and anaphylaxis. The main goal of this study was to evaluate the MB effect on prevention and treatment of experimentally induced anaphylactic shock. Unfortunately, we showed that the MB did not prevent or reverse the C48/80-induced anaphylactic shock in this porcine model. In fact, in some time points the MAP of the animals from the C48/80 group. On the other hand, the C48/80-induced epidermal alterations disappeared after the MB infusion. However, the preventive use of MB had no or minimal effect on the cutaneous hyperemia evoked by C48/80. These results completely oppose our previous study in which rabbits and bigger MB doses were used.

Concerning the lung circulation, although without statistical significance, our results showed a PVR trend to increase in the pigs treated with MB after the development of anaphylactic shock. These data deserve attention when using MB in the clinical setting, because if this increase is not due to a right ventricular dysfunction, it can be consequence of an undesirable lung hypertension, which was not observed in heart surgery patients infused with MB to treat vasoplegic syndrome. Despite our data, the clinical manifestations improvement brings some optimism and does not allow excluding the MB as a possible therapeutic option in the anaphylactic shock. New studies, including bigger sample size, greater MB doses, longer time observation, different experimental models, therapeutic combination with catecholamines and/or crystalloid infusions, can provide better results and even help to define safe and effective procedures for treating anaphylactic shock.

Conclusion

Despite our data, the clinical manifestations improvement brings some optimism and does not allow excluding the methylene blue as a possible therapeutic option in the anaphylactic shock.
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