ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To develop a model to evaluate the effects of focal pulsed ultrasound (US) waves as a source of heat for treatment of murine subcutaneous implanted Walker tumor.

METHODS: An experimental, controlled, comparative study was conducted. Twenty male Wistar rats (160-300 g) randomized in 2 equal groups (G-1: Control and G-2: Hyperthermia) were inoculated with Walker-256 carcinosarcoma tumor. After 5 days G-2 rats were submitted to 45°C hyperthermia. Heat was delivered directly to the tumor by an ultrasound (US) equipment (3 MHz frequency, 1.5 W/cm³). Tumor temperature reached 45°C in 3 minutes and was maintained at this level for 5 minutes. Tumor volume was measured on days 5, 8, 11, 14 and 17 post inoculation in both groups. Unpaired t-test was used for comparison. P<0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS: Tumor volume was significantly greater in day 5 and decreased in days 11, 14 and 17 in treated rats. Rats treated with hyperthermia survived longer than control animals. On the 29th day following tumor inoculation, 40% of control rats and 77.78% of hyperthermia-treated rats remained alive.

CONCLUSION: The proposed model is quite simple and may be used in less sophisticated laboratory settings for studying the effects of focal hyperthermia in the treatment of malignant implanted tumours or in survival studies.
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RESUMO

OBJETIVO: Desenvolver um modelo para avaliar os efeitos do ultra-som focal pulsado como fonte de calor para o tratamento de tumores de Walker subcutâneos implantados em ratos.

MÉTODOS: Um estudo experimental, controlado, comparativo foi realizado. Vinte ratos Wistar machos (160-300 g) divididos em dois grupos (G-1: Controle e G-2: Hipertermia) foram inoculados com tumor de Walker carcinosarcoma-256. Após cinco dias os ratos do grupo G-2 ratos foram submetidos a hipertermia (45°C). O calor foi aplicado diretamente no tumor por um equipamento de ultrassonografia (3 MHz, 1,5 W/cm³). A temperatura no tumor atingiu 45°C em 3 minutos e foi mantida nesse nível por 5 minutos. O volume do tumor foi medido nos dias 5, 8, 11, 14 e 17 após a inoculação, em ambos os grupos. Teste t não pareado foi utilizado para comparação. P <0,05 foi considerado significante.

RESULTADOS: O volume do tumor foi significativamente maior no 5º dia e diminuiu nos dias 11, 14 e 17 nos ratos tratados. Animais submetidos à hipertermia sobreviveram mais tempo que os animais do grupo controle. No 29º dia após a inoculação do tumor, 40% dos ratos do grupo controle e 77,78% dos ratos tratados com hipertermia permaneceram vivos.

CONCLUSÃO: Os resultados obtidos mostram que o modelo proposto é bastante simples e pode ser utilizado em laboratórios menos sofisticados para estudar os efeitos da hipertermia focal no tratamento dos tumores malignos implantados ou em estudos de sobrevida.

Introduction

The use of hyperthermia changes tumor behavior, resulting in increased radio sensitivity\(^1\), better response to chemotherapy\(^2\) and genetic therapy modulation\(^3\). Ultrasound (US) has been used as a source of heat for malignant tumor thermotherapy\(^4\). However, some researchers contraindicate the use of US in the treatment of malignancies. Continuous US applied to murine tumors has resulted in larger and heavier tumor compared with controls. The increase in tumor growth could be due directly to the energy delivered to the tissues by the ultrasonic wave and to the associated tissue temperature rise\(^5\). It is known that the therapeutic effects of US are directly related to the heat applied to the tumor\(^6\). It has been demonstrated that continuous US treatments are associated with an increase in tissue temperatures and that pulsed US treatments show no tissue temperature elevation\(^7\).

Walker 256 carcinosarcoma tumor induction model has been widely described in the literature, showing high take rate\(^8\) and easy handling during laboratory analysis\(^9\). The model was discovered in 1928, when George Walker, in his laboratory at Johns Hopkins University Medical School, noticed in mammal gland of an albino, pregnant, female rat a tumor mass, which according to his own description, receded during lactation and broke out anew shortly after\(^9\). Considering that there are controversies about the safe use of US in tumor therapy, this study was aimed at developing a model to evaluate the effects of focal US waves as a source of heat for treatment of murine subcutaneous implanted Walker tumor.

Methods


The study was designed so as to minimize the number of animals required for the experiments.

Twenty male Wistar rats (160-300 g) randomized in 2 equal groups (G-1: Control and G-2: Hyperthermia) were inoculated with Walker-256 tumor and sheltered in a 24\(^{\circ}\) C environment with light/dark cycles of 12 hours. Tap water and dry pellets were offered ad lib. After 5 days G-2 rats were submitted to 45\(^{\circ}\) C hyperthermia during 5 minutes. Heat was delivered directly to the tumor by ultrasound equipment (3 MHz frequency, in a continuous way, adjusted to attain 1.5W/cm\(^2\)). Within 3 minutes of ultrasound application the tumor temperature reached 45\(^{\circ}\) C and was maintained at this level for 5 minutes. Temperature level was controlled by a digital thermometer utilizing a probe placed under the tumor. Adjacent tissues temperature was not altered. Tumor volume was measured on days 5, 8, 11, 14 e 17 post inoculation in both groups.

Walker tumor cells implant technique

Initially, stock tumor cells were inoculated in two health rats dorsi. One week later the tumor were removed and placed on a Petri plate containing Ringer’s lactate 5.0ml and gentamicyn sulphate solution (10 mg/ml). Next, the tumor was incubated for 5 minutes. Maceration of tumor tissues and sequential dilution were carried out resulting in a suspension of \(2.0 \times 10^6\) cells/ml. An aliquot of 1.0 ml was inoculated subcutaneously in each rat dorsum in a point located in an equidistant position from the line of insertion of the anterior and posterior limbs of the animals. Tumor volumes were measured every third day using a manual pachimeter. Two perpendicular diameters, the major (D) and the minor diameters (d) were measured. Tumor final volume (Vol) was calculated using the using the formula \(Vol = \pi/6.D.d^2\) expressed in cm\(^3\), as previously reported.\(^9\)

Anesthesia of all rats was obtained with Chlortal Hydrate 10% (300 mg/kg) injected intraperitoneally.

Hyperthermia technique

Hyperthermia was applied on the 5th day after tumor implant. The experiment was carried out with the animal under general anaesthesia and placed with the dorsum turned upside on a specially designed table. This table has a device the moves down the applicator until it touches the animal dorsum. A metallic electrode inserted through a small skin incision was placed under the tumor to verify its temperature during the US application. The US equipment (Sonopulse\(^{®}\) 3MHz, IBRAMED, Brazil) – has a 3.5 diameter effective radiating area (ERA). Conductive gel (1.0 ml layer) was placed on the skin to improve conductivity (Figure 1). The equipment was adjusted to attain 1.5W/cm\(^2\), continuous way. After 3 to 4 minutes, the tumor temperature reached 45\(^{\circ}\) C, and was maintained at this level for 5 minutes (Figure 1).

![FIGURE 1 – Experimental setup: position of animal, US equipment and digital thermometer with display of tumor temperature.](image-url)
**Statistical methods**

Graphpad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA, www.graphpad.com) was used for computation and statistical analysis. All data were tested for distribution using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. All results were expressed as mean±SD. Comparisons between groups (Control versus Hyperthermia) were performed using unpaired t test. The survival analyses were made according to Kaplan-Meier method. Comparisons of survival curves were done by log-rank test. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Analysis of treatment effects**

Tumor temperature increased rapidly with US application reaching 45°C within 3-4 minutes. On the 8th minute the temperature remained at the 45°C mark (Figure 2). Surrounding tissues temperature was not altered.

Rats treated with hyperthermia survived longer than control animals. On the 29th day following tumor inoculation remained alive 40% of control rats and 77.78% of hyperthermia-treated rats (Figure 4).

**FIGURE 2** - Time evolution (temperature) of continuous thermal application by ultrasound device.

Tumor volume was significantly greater in G-2 rats in day 5. No significant differences were found in day 8. However, in days 11, 14 and 17, tumor volumes in G-2 rats were significantly decreased compared to control group (Figure 3).

**FIGURE 3** – Tumour growth during 17 days. Data expressed as Mean±Standard Deviation.

\*P< 0.05: hyperthermia< control; ***P<0.001: hyperthermia< control; **P < 0.01 hyperthermia< control. """"P<0.001 hyperthermia> control.

**FIGURE 4** – Survival curves - Control and Hyperthermia Groups (Kaplan-Meier method). Data expresses percentage of live animals during the experiment. Comparisons between groups were made by log-rank test. Values were not significantly different (P= 0.1355). Mean survival: 28 days (Control Group) and not defined (Hyperthermia Group).

**Discussion**

Recurrent malignancies after previous radiotherapy are often treated with hyperthermia for its potential effects in cancer treatment\(^\text{10}\). The combination of heat and drugs could improve the treatment outcome of recurrent tumor\(^\text{11}\). The search for practical and safe models designed to study the effects of hyperthermia induced by US motivated this study. The model presented here uses US in the control of tumor growth and reduction using moderate heat (45°C) and it is very simple and easily reproducible. Therefore experimental studies with a large series of animals at relatively low cost and consistent results are feasible with this model.

US focal application for five minutes induced tumor growth in the first 5 days of the experiment. Researchers have demonstrated an increase in tumor oxygenation and blood flow after maintaining tumor temperature at 42.5°C for 30 minutes\(^\text{12}\). Novak \textit{et al.}\(^\text{13}\) developed an external local ultrasound (US) system to induce controlled hyperthermia of subcutaneously implanted tumor in small animals (e.g., mice and rats). There are some similarities with the system used in our paper. However the system developed by those researchers was aimed at targeting tumors of up to 1 cm in diameter, while we aimed at treating larger subcutaneously implanted tumor. Besides, Novak \textit{et al.}\(^\text{13}\) used temperatures in the range of 41-43°C for periods of 65 min on average while we used a fixed temperature of 45°C for a much shorter period (5 minutes).

The temperature required to induce cell death varies between different cell types. One fundamental observation is that the capability to induce cell death at lower temperatures (42-43°C) is markedly lower than above 43°C\(^\text{14,16}\). This explains why we elected to maintain the final temperature used at the 45°C level.
Greater temperature gradients have been used to treat tumor with thermally significant vessels\(^1\). Complex US systems have been used to treat patients with malignancies. Smith et al.\(^1\) used a 16-channel ultrasound intracavitary array in the treatment of prostate tumor by hyperthermia. The system here presented is quite simple and the results are adequate for experimental studies using small animals. In our experiment the initial tumor growth was followed by a significant reduction in tumor volume in US treated rats. This effect could be related to cell death induced by mild hyperthermia. It is interesting to point out the fact that although the hyperthermotherapy was applied for a short period of time its effects in reducing tumor growth were present for the length of the experiment (17 days).

Another important fact is the prolonged survival of US treated rats. Walker tumor is very aggressive resulting in short time survival. This prolonged survival will ensure the possibility of studying associated drug therapy for a longer time.

In summary, this study demonstrated that the use of focal hyperthermia for 5 minutes promotes an increase in tumor size in the 5\(^{th}\) day and a subsequent decrease in size beginning on the 11\(^{th}\) day after inoculation and prolonged survival in inoculated rats.

**Conclusion**

The proposed model is quite simple and may be used in less sophisticated laboratory settings for studying the effects of focal hyperthermia in the treatment of malignant implanted tumors or in survival studies in small rodents.
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