Laparoscopic nephrectomy with single-portal access Sitracc® in swines

Nefrectomia laparoscópica com portal único Sitracc® em suínos

ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To analyze the viability of using SITRACC® (single-portal access) to make partial or total nephrectomy in pigs and also to describe the technical difficulties found during these surgical procedures.

METHODS: Ten pigs (Landrace specie) with 20kg in average were distributed in two groups: Group A – total right nephrectomy – and Group B – partial left nephrectomy –. The anesthetic procedure was initially done with thiopental (10mg/kg) and maintained with halothane. The surgical procedures were performed inside the Surgical Technique Room from Pontifical Catholic University of Parana (PUCPR).

RESULTS: It was analyzed the surgery duration, time to insert SITRACC®, the volemic loss and the size of the organ. In the first surgeries, the surgical time and blood volume loss were higher (between 15 to 43 minutes and 120 to 400 mL, respectively). The more the procedure was performed, the more the surgeons were used to the technique, so the bleeding and the time of surgery was significantly reduced to 15 minutes and 50 mL of blood loss, respectively. The difficulties found were associated with the nippers, however that was not relevant for the surgical technique. It was also found that the intra-body suture caused an expressive volemic loss.

CONCLUSION: The total and partial nephrectomy through umbilical single-access by using SITRACC® was feasible and safe in pigs.
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Introduction

The laparoscopic surgery consists on the pelvic and abdominal cavity visualization through an optic lens connected to a camera. The advantages of the minimally invasive surgery were clearly demonstrated by pioneer surgeons, such as Kurt Semm, who made the first laparoscopic appendectomy in humans in 1980. Eric Muhe was the one who did the first laparoscopic cholecystectomy in humans in 1985. In 1987, laparoscopic cholecystectomy was re-introduced by Philippe Mouret and other pioneers, however, after the digital era the role world experimented what was called the laparoscopic revolution. The relationship between urology and laparoscopy had a delayed beginning in comparison to the stomach surgery and gynecology. The introduction of the laparoscopic technique in the urology was initially performed by Cortesi et al., who had published in 1976 a case of propedeutic laparoscopy in an 18 years old young with bilateral cryptorchid. The definitive introduction of the laparoscopic technique in urology was performed in USA, 1990, by Clayman et al., who had done a successful entirely laparoscopic nephrectomy in an octogenary woman with oncocitoma (a renal benign tumor).

In the last decade, there was evidenced some exponential advances and laparoscopic applications in all surgical fields. The development of the laparoscopic surgery in nephrology represented a considerable benefit for the patients. From the esthetic point of view, this procedure leave less scars, so the abdominal asymmetries due to post lumbotomy – such as hernia and flaccid abdomen – could be avoided.

Since the first laparoscopic nephrectomy, described by Clayman et al., the laparoscopy started to represent an attractive option to remove the kidneys affected by benign or malign diseases.

The total laparoscopic nephrectomy has been showing along the years to be a procedure that provides a less hospitalization time for the patients. The effective renal cancer control has no significant efficacy in comparison to an open radical nephrectomy. Moreover, if the patient has a renal function lower than 10%, the best therapy is total surgery, however it appears that since the diagnostic ways have been developing and there is a greater possibility to detect smaller renal tumor mass, it is possible to take into account the partial nephrectomy as indication for the most of cases.

In relation to the radical nephrectomy, which is the classical way of treating the renal tumors, the laparoscopy came as a minimal invasive therapeutic option. It has received world recognition after uncountable works describing the similar results in comparison to the conventional open surgery. It has also demonstrated low rates of complications, besides its many different applications, such as: obesity, local advanced tumors and big tumor masses. The indication of partial nephrectomy by laparoscopy in some occasions, like in patients with 4 cm of renal tumors, unilateral tumor, unilateral tumor with little function or with no function in the contralateral.

In a relatively short period of time though, there were many operations adapted to the minimal invasive method aiming to modify those techniques in a less invasive option. Herewith some modifications have emerged such as: NOTES (Natural Orifice TransEndoscopic Surgery) which natural orifices (mouth, vagina, urethra, etc.) are used to introduce laparoscopic instruments; and the SINGLE-PORT, which uses only a single-access to the peritoneal cavity instead of using many accesses.

In urology, the first procedures using SINGLE-PORT were published by Desai et al., who had done pyeloplasty and nephrectomy with a trocar (R-PORT®, Advanced Surgical Concepts, Dublin, Ireland). Some studies indicate that the laparoscopic nephrectomies using SINGLE-PORT have shown less pain, less convalescence and less use of narcotic analgesics. In Brazil, Martins et al., from Estacio de Sa University (Rio de Janeiro) and Positivo University (Parana), supported by EDLO S/A (Canoas-RS) had developed a trocar for using in a single-access abdominal surgery. They nominated it as SITRACC® (Single Trocar Access – Figure 1). This instrument consists on a four way trocar, combined with flexible endoscopy, videosurgery and use of special flexible material. It can be used through the navel, vagina (in experimental phase) or through an access near the indacision place. It is similar to the conventional laparoscopic surgery, but it has a new articulated system which permits the surgeon to work in different movements in the same axis.
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Between December 2007 and March 2008, Martins et al.6 had used the SITRACC® to make the cholecystomy in ten female pigs, weighting 30kg in average. All the surgeries were completed without the need of classical laparoscopical conversion, therefore, they concluded that this new procedure is feasible in pigs. The possibility to extend it to humans depends on the improvement of the technique and instruments.6

SITRACC® is a technique that improves the quality of the surgical factor, making it possible to access a single way. The reduction of the injuries by inserting the trocars and the improvement of the scars has been showing the benefits and the relevance to the patient: the esthetic. In contrast with today, in the past, the esthetic factor was not so relevant, but now especially children and women concern about it.

Once the medicine advances, the results have aimed not only the reduction of morbidity and mortality, but the patient’s global improvement which includes also the emotional aspect in the post-operatory period. Thus, it is given the importance to SITRACC®, which is a new method and gives to patient better psychological improvement in comparison to the classical surgical techniques.

The present experiment aimed to study the use of SITRACC® to make a partial and total nephrectomy in pig. The objective of this work consists on analyzing the use of this new surgical instrument in two different surgical techniques, therefore, it also aims to describe the difficulties found to execute the proposed procedure.

**Methods**

All the experiments were done according to Brazilian College of Animal Experimentation (COBEA), after approval by the Ethics Committee on Animal Use (CEUA).

Ten pigs, Landrace specie, with 20 kg in average were distributed in two operations groups: Group A (total right nephrectomy) and Group B (partial left nephrectomy).

It was intentioned to use the less number of pigs, so two procedures were done in the same animal: the total and partial nephrectomy. This procedure has as first step using Group A (total right nephrectomy), after it, the second step consisted on changing the animals’ position and executing the partial left nephrectomy (with Group B).

The anesthesia was done with thiopental 10 mg/kg and maintained with halothane.

The stages of the surgery consisted on the following sequence: anesthesia induction; fixation of the animal on the surgical table in lateral right decubitus; the abdominal area was cleaned with iodine alcohol; the establishment of the operatory place; incision in approximately 2.5 cm under the umbilical scar; introduction of SITRACC® through the incision (Figure 2); total right nephrectomy according to the laparoscopical technique (Figure 3); hemosthasia and cavity revision; SITRACC® withdrawal together with the right kidney (Figure 4); animal repositioning (lateral right decubitus) to do the partial nephrectomy (Group B); the abdominal area was cleaned with iodine alcohol; the establishment of the operatory place; introduction of SITRACC® through the incision; partial left nephrectomy according to the laparoscopical technique; hemosthasia and cavity revision; SITRACC® withdrawal together with resect renal segment, abdominal sewing with a mononylon string 2-0; and finally the euthanasia.

To execute the euthanasia in pigs, 20 mL of KCl was administrated in a quick dose.
Results

The ten operatory procedures aimed to evaluate the surgical technique in pigs and also to foresee the possible complications associated to this technique in humans. Therefore, the data collected to study it were: total surgery duration; the time of introducing SITRACC®; volemic loss; and the size of the removed organ (Table 1). It had been also demonstrated that as the surgeons become more familiar with the technique, the time of SITRACC® introduction, the surgery time and the bleeding time diminished.

The results indicate the total and partial nephrectomy feasibility by using SITRACC®. It is important to emphasize that adequate training is needed, once the nippers and the other materials differ from what is used in traditional laparoscopic nephrectomy, although, they did not affected the surgical technique (Table 2, Figure 4).

### TABLE 1 - Total nephrectomy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SURGERY</th>
<th>TOTAL TIME OF THE SURGERY (minutes)</th>
<th>TOTAL TIME OF SITRACC® INTRODUCTION (minutes)</th>
<th>VOLEMIC LOSS (mililiters)</th>
<th>SIZE OF THE ORGAN (centimeters)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>030</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>010</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>020</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>050</td>
<td>08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>010</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>020</td>
<td>07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>010</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>010</td>
<td>07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2 - Partial nephrectomy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SURGERY</th>
<th>TOTAL TIME OF THE SURGERY (minutes)</th>
<th>TOTAL TIME OF SITRACC® INTRODUCTION (minutes)</th>
<th>VOLEMIC LOSS (mililiters)</th>
<th>SIZE OF THE ORGAN (centimeters)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>070</td>
<td>03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Not ended</td>
<td>Not ended</td>
<td>Not ended</td>
<td>Not ended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>050</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>015</td>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>015</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>010</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>020</td>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>010</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

In the first procedures, due to the surgical team’s lack of experience, it was found difficulties to introduce SITRACC®, which increased the time of surgery (15 to 43 minutes) and the blood loss was also higher due to the delayed arterial renal clamping (120 to 400 mL). The other difficulties of the technique were associated with movements’ coordination between the first auxiliary and the surgeon, once the angle between the instruments was reduced. Furthermore, there were difficulties associated to the intra-body suture in the partial nephrectomy, resulting in a higher blood loss.

The surgery number four (Table 2) could not be concluded because the bleeding from the total nephrectomy was excessive, so it has invalidated the technique. In this case the operatory area was compromised and without adequate visibility, thus, making the surgery team finishing the operation before concluding it by euthanasia to reduce the animal’s suffering.

As long as the surgeons become familiar with the technique (duration time of 20 minutes) and there were also less volemic loss (50 mL), the problem with the synchronization between the surgeon and the first auxiliary was overcome. The introduction of SITRACC® has also diminished from 5 to 2 minutes in the last operatory procedures.

Conclusions

The total and partial videolaparoscopic nephrectomy by umbilical access with SITRACC® was feasible and safe for the experimental animals. The possibility to make this procedure a minimal invasive surgery may depend on the development and adaptation of new instruments of work. Therefore, this study may be used to future comparisons and surgical indications, because it was firstly evidenced the possibility to use this new technique in swines to facilitate later its use in humans.
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