Progressive loss of E-cadherin immunoexpression during cervical carcinogenesis
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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To investigate E-cadherin immunoexpression during cervical carcinogenesis.

METHODS: We assessed the immunohistochemical expression of E-cadherin in squamous intraepithelial lesions (SIL - 52 cases), squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) of the uterine cervix (23 cases) and also in eight cases of cervicitis.

RESULTS: The results show very different E-cadherin membrane expression levels when cervicitis (88%), SILs (73%) and SCC (17%) were compared. In SILs, higher E-cadherin loss was seen in less differentiated cells in the basal third of the epithelium. This study suggests that the absence of E-cadherin expression in the membrane is a molecular event that is observed more often in SCC of the uterine cervix than in SILs or cervicitis.

CONCLUSIONS: E-cadherin is an essential molecule during the process of cervical carcinogenesis and in this context exhibits a different expression pattern according to the epithelial thickness layer.

Introduction

Cervical cancer is one of the most frequently occurring malignant neoplasms worldwide and is responsible for high mortality rates. It is ranked as the fourth most common carcinoma among women in developing countries. Approximately 528,000 new cases were estimated for 2012, and 266,000 deaths were recorded during the same period. Among cervical carcinomas, squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) represents the most common histological type, accounting for 85% to 90% of cases. In 2014, Brazilian estimates predict the occurrence of 15,590 new cases, which indicates an approximate risk of 15.33 cases per 100,000 women.

Currently, it is thought that virtually all cervical cancers are related to human papillomavirus (HPV) infections by one of the 14 types considered to exhibit high oncogenic risk, among which the most common are HPV16 and HPV18. Cervical squamous cell carcinoma has well-defined clinical stages and represents a good model for investigating the carcinogenic process. It begins with pre-existing non-invasive squamous precursor lesions such as cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CINs) or squamous intraepithelial lesions (SILs), which are histologically classified according to the degree of involvement of epithelial thickness. The full spectrum of carcinogenic lesions that occurs in a normal cervix includes Low-Grade Squamous Intraepithelial Lesions (LSIL), High-Grade Squamous Intraepithelial Lesions (HSIL) (formerly called dysplasia or cervical intraepithelial neoplasia) and carcinoma in situ, whereas tumor progression is composed of locally invasive squamous cell carcinoma and distant metastatic lesions. Among these steps, the spread of the tumor is the major cause of treatment failure and mortality in women with this type of cancer.

According to Hanahan and Weinberg, metastases are responsible for 90% of human cancer deaths. During metastatic progression, polarized epithelial cancer cells acquire invasive migratory characteristics. This phenotypic conversion allows tumor cells to dissociate from the original tissue and spread to reach distant organs. This type of epithelial cell plasticity is associated with the loss of epithelial traits and the gain of mesenchymal characteristics and a migratory phenotype through a process known as the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT).

In squamous epithelia, E-cadherin is an essential caretaker of the epithelial phenotype and is one of the main proteins constituting the intercellular adhesion zone that defines tissue architecture and differentiation. Loss of E-cadherin is a common feature of EMT and is associated with a majority of epithelial cancers. Behrens et al. have demonstrated that epithelial cells assume invasive characteristics as a result of the loss of E-cadherin-mediated cell adhesion. Burdsal, Damsky and Pedersen have shown that blocking E-cadherin is sufficient to trigger EMT in mammalian cell systems. Indeed, a downregulation of E-cadherin is frequently associated with strong invasive tendencies and can be considered a classical marker of poor prognosis.

Some laboratories have investigated the biological and morphological characteristics of invasive cancer cells in blocks of cell aggregates. The core cells of these infiltrating tumors appear to have features that are distinct from the cells located at the invasion front, where single cells or small cell clusters (up to five cells) exhibiting increased invasive potential can be found. Furthermore, when these cells are separated from the main tumor mass, they can invade the stroma. These cell groups, which are designated as tumor budding cells, are easily identified by hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining, and studies have shown a relationship between the presence of these cells and the patient’s prognosis. It is thought that tumor budding involves two independent processes: loss of cellular cohesion and high migratory characteristics. Most likely, a strong relationship exists among tumor buds, EMT and E-cadherin down-regulation.

Thus, this study aims to compare E-cadherin immunoexpression in cervical SILs, SCCs and cervicitis.

Methods

A cross-sectional study was performed on 83 cases of cervical biopsies and conizations obtained from the archives of the Department of Pathology and Forensic Medicine, Federal University of Ceara (Brazil). The following diagnoses were represented: LSIL (24), HSIL (28), SCC (23 cases) and cervicitis (eight cases). Patient age ranged from 19 to 78 years, with a mean and standard deviation for each group of 29 ± 7, 35 ± 11, 50 ± 14 and 25 ± 8 years old, respectively.

Histological sections 3 μm thick were generated and subsequently applied to silanized slides. Afterwards, antigen retrieval was performed. Next, the slides were incubated with primary antibody (Monoclonal Mouse Anti-Human E-cadherin – Clone NCH-38, Dako North America, Inc, Carpinteria, CA, USA.), and the reaction was amplified. Diaminobenzidine (DAB–Dako) was applied for 10 minutes and was used as the chromogenic substrate. Hematoxylin (Hematoxylin EnVision Flex) was used for nuclear counterstaining. Finally, the slides were mounted and analyzed by microscopy. All phases of the immunohistochemical procedure included samples for positive and negative controls. The cecal appendix was used as the positive control. For the negative control, no primary antibody was used. The cases that
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exhibited cell membrane staining for E-cadherin were considered positive, and the absence of membrane expression was interpreted as negative. The software GraphPad Prism 5 was utilized for the statistical tests and the construction of graphics. Fisher’s exact test was used to assess contingency tables, and p < 0.05 was defined as statistically significant. The ethics committee of the School Maternity Assis Chateaubriand of the Federal University of Ceará approved this study under protocol number 91/2011.

Results

In Table 1, we show that cervicitis and squamous intraepithelial lesions SCCs exhibit a marked predominance of positive E-cadherin staining (cervicitis = 88%; LSILs = 71%; HSILs = 75%, Figure 1 (C-H)). Panels A and B (Figure 1) represent the positive and negative controls, respectively. In contrast, negative expression prevails in SCCs (83%), (Figure 2 C, D, E). Figure 2A represents SCC E-cadherin negative immunoexpression with contiguous HSIL exhibiting positive expression. A higher magnification of the detailed area shown in Figure 2, panel A is shown in Figure 2B.

**TABLE 1 -** E-cadherin immunoexpression in Cervicitis, Squamous Intraepithelial Lesions (SIL) and Squamous Cell Carcinoma (SCC) of the uterine cervix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesion</th>
<th>E-cadherin expression</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervicitis</td>
<td>1 (12%)*</td>
<td>7 (88%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSIL</td>
<td>7 (29%)</td>
<td>17 (71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSIL</td>
<td>7 (25%)</td>
<td>21 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>19 (83%)*</td>
<td>4 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>83</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p=0.0009

**FIGURE 1 -** E-Cadherin Immunoexpression in cervicitis and in SILs: (A) Cecal appendix – positive control; (B) Negative control; (C) and (D) Cervicitis - intermediate third positive; (E) LSIL - intermediate and superficial thirds positive; (F) LSIL - intermediate third positive; (G) HSIL - intermediate third negative; (H) HSIL - intermediate third positive (A-H, x400 magnification).
Upon comparing E-cadherin negative expression between SCC (19/23 = 83%) and cervicitis (1/7 = 12%), a highly significant difference (p = 0.0009) was observed. In addition, a similar trend was observed when we compared E-cadherin negative staining in SCCs (19/23 = 83%) to LSILs (7/24 = 29%, p = 0.0004) and to HSILs (7/28 = 25%, p < 0.0001) separately (Figure 3) or when grouped together (Figure 4).
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As shown in Table 2, we observed a higher frequency of negative expression of E-cadherin in normal mucosa adjacent to the LSILs (8/15 = 53%) and HSILs (6/13 = 46%) than in normal mucosa adjacent to cervicitis 1/8 (12%); however, these results did not exhibit a statistically significant difference (p = 0.0858 and p = 0.1736, respectively). The negative staining pattern was similar for both types of SILs.

When comparing Tables 1 and 2, we found negative expression of E-cadherin in 7/24 LSIL cases (29%). In contrast, negative staining was observed in 8/15 cases (53%, p = 0.1817) in the normal area adjacent to this lesion. Likewise, negative E-cadherin expression in HSIL was observed in 7/28 (25%) cases, whereas negative immunoreactivity was observed in 6/13 (46%) cases in the adjacent normal tissue. However, no significant difference was observed (p = 0.2797).

When comparing Tables 1 and 2, we found negative expression of E-cadherin in 7/24 LSIL cases (29%). In contrast, negative staining was observed in 8/15 cases (53%, p = 0.1817) in the normal area adjacent to this lesion. Likewise, negative E-cadherin expression in HSIL was observed in 7/28 (25%) cases, whereas negative immunoreactivity was observed in 6/13 (46%) cases in the adjacent normal tissue. However, no significant difference was observed (p = 0.2797).

Table 3 shows the immunoreactivity of E-cadherin in the epithelial lining of different histological regions, including the ectocervix, squamocolumnar junctions (SCJ)/Metaplasia and the layers of epithelial thickness (1/3 basal, 1/3 intermediate, 1/3 superficial), among the three groups: cervicitis, LSIL and HSIL. Predominantly negative staining was observed in the basal third of all lesions for both histological regions (ectocervix and SCJ/Metaplasia). Positive staining prevailed in the intermediate third with respect to the basal and superficial thirds in cervicitis and LSIL both in the ectocervix and in the SCJ/Metaplasia regions. The HSILs showed a different pattern in the intermediate third, with more cases of negative expression (17/33 = 52%) when compared to the other two groups of lesions when they were considered together (11/40 = 28%). A marginally significant difference (p = 0.0528) was observed in the entire sample, including both the ectocervix and SCJ/Metaplasia regions.

Finally, Table 4 shows the expression of E-cadherin in two distinct histological regions of the blocks formed from invasive cancer cells: the central area of the tumor cell block itself and its periphery are delineated by the invasion margin. These results predominantly show absence of expression in both regions, particularly in the margin of invasion (22/23 = 96%), when compared to the agglomerates of central cells (19/23 = 83%); however, no significant difference was observed (p = 0.3463).

With regard to tumor budding, a detailed observation was performed on the invasion margins of our samples, but this phenomenon was not observed in our study.
Discussion

This study shows a progressive decrease in E-cadherin staining from SILs to SCCs, with minor expression in SILs and practically no expression in SCCs. It clearly demonstrates that SILs lose E-cadherin immunoeexpression as they progress towards severity, which is in agreement with previous studies\textsuperscript{12,27-32}. More interestingly, we verified that this adhesion molecule exhibits a different expression pattern according to the epithelial thickness layer.

We also observed no differences in E-cadherin negative immunoexpression in SILs. These results are similar to those of Rodriguez-Sastre et al.\textsuperscript{33}, who found that membrane E-cadherin exhibited a tendency to disappear in 40% of SILs.

The normal epithelium adjacent to the SIL areas showed greater negative E-cadherin expression than the lesions themselves. These results were unexpected, and there is no definitive answer for this observation. Despite the absence of a significant difference, it’s reasonable to speculate that a specific neighboring location potentially exists that exhibits a higher potential for carcinogenesis than distant tissues. Carvalho et al.\textsuperscript{28} performed a study examining the molecular alterations in histologically negative surgical margins of head and neck cancer and found that local recurrence was apparent in approximately 20% of patients. They suggested that the intra-operative histological analysis cannot detect molecular changes that do not involve phenotypic alterations of cells, but these alterations may be moving along the tumorigenesis track. Slaughter, Southwick and Smejkal\textsuperscript{37} performed a study involving oral cancer that has called attention to what they referred to as “field cancerization” or “field of pre-conditioned epithelium” in the tumor adjacent area and suggested that such a concept would partially explain the high local recurrence rate in oral cancer.

Here, E-cadherin membrane immunoreactivity was examined in LSILs, HSILs and also in cervicitis with respect to histological region (ectocervix and SCJ/Metaplasia), and the different layers of epithelial thickness (basal, intermediate and superficial) were taken into consideration. Interestingly, these results showed predominantly negative staining in the basal third for all lesions and in both histological regions. Positive staining prevailed in the intermediate third when compared to the basal and superficial thirds in LSILs and cervicitis, in both the ectocervix and SCJ/Metaplasia regions. In contrast, HSILs exhibited a different behavior in the middle third, showing an increased negative expression for E-cadherin. It is well known that in HSIL, the less differentiated cells occupy up to two-thirds of the epithelial thickness\textsuperscript{36}, and this observation would explain such a different pattern. De Boer et al.\textsuperscript{28} studied the role of cadherins and catenins during the progression of SIL in the uterine cervix and found that HSILs exhibited a strong decrease in E-cadherin expression. The progressive reduction in cell adhesion molecule (CAM) expression in neoplastic cervical tissue indicates that it may participate in the epithelial stratification process\textsuperscript{38}. The lack of differentiation and epithelial organization seen in SILs may be due to disrupted expression of adhesion molecules such as E-cadherin\textsuperscript{27}. Cavallaro and Christofori\textsuperscript{39} confirmed that E-cadherin plays a pivotal role in inducing cell polarity and epithelial organization.

Initially in this study, there seemed to be differences in E-cadherin staining between central cells of tumor infiltrative blocks of SCC when compared to the front periphery infiltrative cells. Thus, one goal is to verify the eventually distinct expression patterns between these two regions of infiltrative blocks. The results showed a predominance of negative expression at the invasion margin adjacent to the stroma in relation to the central areas of the infiltrative nests, but these results lacked statistical significance. This was the same conclusion made by Rodrigues et al.\textsuperscript{40} in a similar report on vulvar carcinomas in which they did not find significant differences in E-cadherin expression between the central area and the invasion margin.

With respect to the invasion margin, which is the site of tumor budding\textsuperscript{19,21}, another approach was used to look for these small cell clusters that have been recently reported elsewhere\textsuperscript{19,21}. However, these buds were not observed in our samples even after a search was conducted by a pathologist specifically for this purpose. As this sample contains only well-defined invasive cancers, one possible explanation is that buds are transient and present only at the time of the carcinoma in situ to microinvasion progression. We also speculate that buds are present only at the front of the more distal cell blocks and not in the intermediate invasive ones; however, such an approach demands numerous slides obtained from hysterectomy specimens to evaluate the deep margin of the tumors, which was not part of our study design.

Conclusion

E-cadherin is an essential molecule during the process of cervical carcinogenesis and in this context exhibits a different expression pattern according to the epithelial thickness layer.
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