Combined polyglycolic acid tube and autografting versus autografting or polyglycolic acid tube alone. A comparative study of peripheral nerve regeneration in rats
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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To compare sciatic nerve regeneration in rats using three different techniques of repair.

METHODS: Fifteen isogonics rats were divided into three groups according to the method used to repair a 5-mm long defect created in the sciatic nerve: autogenous graft (Group A), polyglycolic acid tube (PGAt) (Group B), and of the association of PGAt with the graft (Group C). Histological analysis, regenerated myelinated axon number count and functional analysis were used to compare after six weeks.

RESULTS: There was no difference in fiber diameter and degree of myelinization presented by Groups A, B and C. Group B presented the lowest number of regenerated axons. The groups did not display any significant functional difference after walking track analysis (p<0.05).

CONCLUSION: No differences between the three groups in terms of functional recovery, although there were histological differences among them.

Introduction

Extensive losses of neural tissue due to tumor resection or traumatic injuries do not allow for repair by primary anastomosis. In such cases, nerve autografting is the treatment of choice. It guides axonal growth and joins the distal and proximal ends, therefore reducing tension along the suture line.

However, autografting may pose some considerable problems: 1) donor-site morbidity; 2) the large amount of autologous tissue required to repair extensive losses of neural tissue (which is sometimes insufficient); 3) functional deficits that remain despite adequate surgical treatment, whose primary goal is the improvement in functional recovery and the decrease of sequelae.

Several techniques with this purpose have been described. Bridging the gap between the ends of a sectioned nerve with tubular conduits is an alternative technique with theoretical advantages such as better confinement of the regenerating axonal fibers, reduced inflammatory reaction and formation of cicatricial tissue at the repair site, proper guiding of fiber growth towards the distal end, prevention of donor-site associated sequelae, and shortened duration of surgery.

Studies on extensive losses of neural tissue and their repair by bridging the gap between the proximal and distal stumps have been performed since the second half the 19th century. Several replacements for nerve grafts have been employed such as vessels, fascia, plastic tubes, absorbable tubes, silicone tubes, muscle, synthetic tubes and allogeneous nerve.

Peripheral nerve repair with absorbable polyglycolic acid tube has been extensively investigated with no significant difference in comparison to nerve grafting. To date, there are no available studies regarding the use of combined neurotubes and autografting. The objective of this study was to assess the degree of neural regeneration in rats obtained by the interposition of combined autograft and polyglycolic acid tube (PGAt) and compare it with autografting or polyglycolic acid tube alone through histological and functional analysis.

Methods

Research comply with laboratory animals advisors by the Council for International Organization of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) ethical code for animal experimentation (WHO Chronicle 1985; 39(2):51-6).

Fifteen 8-week-old Lewis rats, weighing between 200 and 300g were used. Five millimeter-long defects were created by microsurgical technique in the sciatic nerve of the right hind paw. The animals were then divided into three study groups according to the mode of treatment. Repeated measures analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons was performed as a statistic method.

Group A animals (control group) were treated by having the removed nerve segment sutured back in place (autografting), maintaining its original orientation. Group B animals were treated with a 2.3 mm-diameter PGAt conduit 10mm in length (Neurotube, manufactured by Neurogen L.L.C., Baltimore, USA), which was interposed between the sectioned segments. Finally, group C animals also had the removed nerve fragment repositioned, maintaining its original orientation (as in group A), but the graft was enveloped by the PGAt (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Defect</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Moment of sacrifice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5 mm</td>
<td>Autograft (control)</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5 mm</td>
<td>PGAt</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>5 mm</td>
<td>PGAt + autograft</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All study animals were anesthetized with intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital (5 mg/kg) before surgical procedure. The sciatic nerve was dissected at the dorsal surface of the right hind paw and a 5mm segment of the nerve was removed (Figure 1).

FIGURE 1 - Resection of the sciatic nerve.

In group A animals, the removed segment was sutured back in its normal position with 4 separate epineural 10-0 nylon stitches (Figure 2).
In group B animals, the PGA tube was anchored in its position by a “U” stitch in each extremity starting from the outside to the inside of the tube, then through the epineural sheath of the stump and finally from the inside to the outside of the tube again, so as to enclose a 2.5 mm segment at each end. Once sutured at one end, the tube was filled with heparinized (10 IU/ml) saline solution. The other end was sutured likewise and again the tube was filled with heparinized (10 IU/ml) saline solution (Figures 3 and 4).

In group C animals, the resected segment was sutured back in its position (autogenous graft) in the same manner as in the control group. Following the suture of the autograft, the polyglycolic acid tube was sectioned lengthwise with microsurgical scissors and then placed in order to enclose the autograft plus a 2.5 mm segment of both the proximal and distal stumps of the injured nerve, just as in group B animals (Figures 5 and 6). The PGA tube incision was sutured with 3 simple 8-0 nylon stitches (proximal, median and distal) to ensure proper coaptation of the tube edges. The polyglycolic acid tube was then fixated to the muscle by two separate 8-0 nylon stitches (Figures 5 and 6).

The animals were given water and food *ad libitum* and sacrificed six weeks after the surgery for histological analysis and regenerated myelinated axon count. Functional recovery was evaluated on the third week after surgery and just before the sacrifice of the animals (on the sixth week) by walking track analysis, which assesses hind limb performance by examining footprints, and the results were compared to the analysis performed before surgery.

**Results**

All animals remained healthy throughout the 6-week period of study, and no surgical wound infection or neuropathic plantar ulcerations were observed.
Histological analysis

Microscopic analysis of Group A (autograft) animals showed that the graft was bordered by an epineurium of fusiform cells. Inside the epineurium there was a large amount of myelinated axons of different diameters and homogenous distribution. Tissue reaction around the graft was more intense in group A animals when compared to the other groups. Escaping regenerated fibers outside the limits of the epineurium were seen in all five animals in group A. In addition, a limited amount of axonal bundles was observed in group A (smaller than in group B). Signs of Wallerian degeneration were similar in groups A and C (Figures 7 and 8).

In Group B (PGAt) animals, the cells had a fusiform appearance, and contained inside of the tube neural stroma-like tissue with a significant amount of myelinated axons of different diameters, grouped in small fasciculi of various sizes and heterogeneous distribution, with interposing connective tissue. Remarked neoangiogenesis was observed inside the tube all around the neural tissue with blood vessels that pierced the polyglycolic acid tube mesh. Intensive neoangiogenesis within the regenerated nerve was observed in group B, but not in Group A.

Reactive fibrosis around the tube was less evident than in Group A (autograft). Escaping regenerated fibers were not observed outside the tube (Figures 9 and 10).

In Group C (PGAt + graft) animals, the cells also had a fusiform appearance and contained inside of the tube a considerable amount of neural tissue which corresponded to the nerve graft. A large number of myelinated axons, of different sizes and heterogeneous distribution were seen. Fusiform cells with long cytoplasmic processes were observed in addition to pronounced neoangiogenesis inside the tube all around the neural tissue with blood vessels that pierced the polyglycolic acid tube mesh. Neoangiogenesis was less intense and the number of axonal bundles in group C was smaller than in Group B (PGAt) but
similar to Group A (autograft). Several giant multinucleated cells could be seen with PGA-like matter in their cytoplasm. Reactive fibrosis around the tube was less pronounced in Group C (PGAt + graft) than in Group A (autograft) but similar to group B (PGAt). Escaping regenerated axons were not observed outside the tube (Figures 11 and 12).

In Group A, the average count of regenerated myelinated axons was 7225.6 ± 617.5 (mean ± SD). Group B had an average 4225.2 ± 376.8 of regenerated myelinated axons and group C had 7488.4 ± 384.5. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison of means were performed (p<0.05). There were no significant differences between groups A and C regarding the regenerated axon count 6 weeks after nerve section. However, the average count of regenerated myelinated axons in Group B was significantly lower than in groups A and C. These data are summarized in Table 3.

There was no difference in fiber diameter and the degree of myelination between Groups A (autograft), B (PGAt) and C (PGAt + graft).

**Regenerated myelinated axon count**

The mean regenerated myelinated axon count, standard deviation and standard error of each study group are shown in Table 2.

**TABLE 2 - Number of regenerated myelinated axons in the groups of treatment.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TREATMENT</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autograft</td>
<td>7225.6</td>
<td>617.5</td>
<td>276.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGAt</td>
<td>4225.2</td>
<td>376.8</td>
<td>168.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGAt + graft</td>
<td>7488.4</td>
<td>384.5</td>
<td>171.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Group A, the average count of regenerated myelinated axons was 7225.6 ± 617.5 (mean ± SD). Group B had an average 4225.2 ± 376.8 of regenerated myelinated axons and group C had 7488.4 ± 384.5. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison of means were performed (p<0.05). There were no significant differences between groups A and C regarding the regenerated axon count 6 weeks after nerve section. However, the average count of regenerated myelinated axons in Group B was significantly lower than in groups A and C. These data are summarized in Table 3.

**TABLE 3 - Analysis of variance with one factor (treatment) and Tukey’s multiple comparisons of the mean regenerated myelinated axon counts (p<0.05).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(p&lt;0.05)</th>
<th>Autograft (A) = PGAt + graft (C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autograft (A)</td>
<td>PGAt (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGAt (B)</td>
<td>PGAt + graft (C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²significant difference detected.

*Functional evaluation*

Footprints of the study rats before and after surgery in the three groups are shown in Figure 13.
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Mean sciatic function indexes (SFI) before surgery were -8.002 ± 5.26 (Group A); -5.928 ± 13.144 (Group B) and -4.936 ± 3.712 (Group C).

Kruskal-Wallis analysis (p<0.05) did not show any significant difference in the sciatic function index (SFI) between the three groups before surgery.

Mean SFI values and their standard errors in the postoperative period (immediate, three and six weeks) of each group are shown in Table 4.

Repeated measures analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons was performed to assess SFI regarding postoperative times (p<0.05). In the immediate postoperative period there was no significant difference in the SFI between the three study groups. Three weeks after surgery, there was a significant difference between group B (PGAt) and groups A (autograft) and C (PGAt + graft). However, no significant difference between groups A and C was detected. These data are summarized in Table 5.

There was no significant difference in SFI between the study groups six weeks after surgery.

Discussion

Whenever the extension of tissue loss in peripheral nerve lesions do not allow for primary suture, the best repairing method seems to be autografting. However, some important aspects to be considered include: 1) the need for resecting autologous tissue; 2) the large amount of autogenous tissue required which may not be available; 3) duration of surgery may be shortened with use of synthetic conduits; 4) autografting results are not completely satisfactory.

Employing synthetic nerve graft conduits to bridge the gap between the two ends of the injured nerve has shown encouraging results. In small defects where the distance of the...
nerve won’t allow for chemotactic and chemotrophic attraction exerted by the distal stump in the region of axonal growth, the results are comparable to autografting\(^2,8,12\).

In selected cases, the polyglycolic acid tube may be an alternative to nerve grafting\(^2,11\). Synthetic absorbable nerve graft conduits have shown better results than non-absorbable conduits\(^18\). Absorbable conduits promote little reactive fibrosis and do not hinder the increase in nerve diameter\(^16\), as opposed to non-absorbable conduits.

Autograft as employed in group A of our study is considered the treatment of choice for repairing neural defects with tissue loss\(^2\). Polyglycolic acid tube used in group B has already been studied in primates as an alternative to nerve grafting when tissue loss was shorter than 30 mm\(^11,15\). Finally, the combination of PGA tube and autografting seen in group C hasn’t been reported so far. Our objective was to add the good results obtained with both techniques. At the same time, the PGA tube is expected to decrease inflammatory reaction and formation of cicatricial tissue around the graft, thus preventing neuroma formation and axonal escape. It should also help a larger amount of axons to regenerate and reach their target organs which would consequently result in better functional recovery.

Neuroma formation has only been described in rats submitted to autografting\(^16\). In our study, tube collapse was not observed in either group B or C despite the description of this possible complication\(^2,11\).

Our results have shown axonal fibers beyond the limits of the epineurium and neuroma formation along the suture lines in group A animals, which had already been reported by some authors\(^8,17\). On the other hand, this was not observed in the study groups in which PGA tubes were used.

Groups A and C were similar in terms of the amount of myelinated axons, the various diameters of axonal fibers and their homogeneous distribution, the presence of neoangiogenesis, the degree of Wallerian degeneration and the amount of axonal groups. Our results have shown that there were differences between groups A and C regarding the absence of axonal escape and a slight decrease in tissue reaction. It wasn’t possible to compare our results with those of previous studies, because the association of PGA tube and autografting has never been reported.

Study data has shown that group B had the lowest average count of regenerated myelinated axons. The combination of PGA tube and autografting in group C led to a significantly greater increase in the average count of regenerated myelinated axons. Group C axon count was also higher than in the control group (A - autograft only), but this increase was not significant.

Concerning functional evaluation, there was no significant difference between the study groups in the immediate and late (six weeks) postoperative periods. However, three weeks after surgery, mean SFI in group B was significantly better than in the other groups, whereas there was no difference between groups A and C. Discrepancy between functional findings and axon counts may be explained by the preciseness of restored connections between the regenerated axons and their target organs, which is more important than the overall number of axonal fibers\(^18\). In fact, perspectives for future research on neurotubes\(^9,20\) are very promising, with more suitable and less expensive materials that will become easily available and produce better functional results, in addition to new medications that will be developed to promote neural regeneration and prevent iatrogenic effects.

**Conclusions**

There were no significant alterations in the histologic patterns between the group of autograft with polyglycolic acid tube and the group with autograft alone. In the polyglycolic acid tube group a lower number of regenerated myelinated axons were observed. There was no significant functional evaluation difference between the studied groups.
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