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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To evaluate the effect of keratinocyte growth factor (KGF) treatment on the expression of wound-healing-related genes in cultured keratinocytes from burn patients.

METHODS: Keratinocytes were cultured and divided into 4 groups (n=4 in each group): TKB (KGF-treated keratinocytes from burn patients), UKB (untreated keratinocytes from burn patients), TKC (KGF-treated keratinocytes from controls), and UKC (untreated keratinocytes from controls). Gene expression analysis using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) array was performed to compare (1) TKC versus UKC, (2) UKB versus UKC, (3) TKB versus UKC, (4) TKB versus UKB, (5) TKB versus TKC, and (6) UKB versus TKC.

RESULTS: Comparison 1 showed one down-regulated and one up-regulated gene; comparisons 2 and 3 resulted in the same five down-regulated genes; comparison 4 had no significant difference in relative gene expression; comparison 5 showed 26 down-regulated and 7 up-regulated genes; and comparison 6 showed 25 down-regulated and 11 up-regulated genes.

CONCLUSION: There was no differential expression of wound-healing-related genes in cultured primary keratinocytes from burn patients treated with keratinocyte growth factor.
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Introduction

Over 6 million people worldwide suffer a burn injury per year. It is estimated that 1 million burn injuries occur annually only in the United States, accounting for 5,000 deaths in the same period. Burns are the fourth leading cause of death from unintentional injuries. In Brazil, no official statistics provide estimates of burn incidence or mortality. However, burn injuries are not rare and can be costly to the public health system.

Severe burn injury is a complex trauma associated with high morbidity and mortality, and immediate and long-term consequences, requiring intensive care in the acute treatment for restoration of hemodynamics, pain relief, preventing and treating sepsis, and skin replacement. Burn patients also need special care due to psychosocial sequelae.

Burn injuries affect not only the skin, but also other organs by inducing local and systemic responses. These injuries are associated with decreased tissue perfusion, prolonged hypotension, edema, infection, systemic hypermetabolism with extensive protein catabolism, and, eventually, irreversible tissue. The high incidence of infection in burn patients is a major concern in clinical setting, because it is directly related to morbidity and mortality.

The inflammatory response is the first phase of tissue repair following an injury. A thermal injury increases the levels of cytokines, which are the primary mediators in the inflammatory response involved in autocrine, paracrine, and endocrine pathways of cellular activation. Antimicrobial peptides induced by the innate immune response from epithelial cells help protect the body through the activation of inflammatory cells or inactivation of pathogens, improving resistance to microbial infection. However, prolonged inflammation hinders wound healing, because it results in excessively high levels of cytokines at the wound site, leading to collagen degradation, cellular apoptosis, vascular damage, and production of free radicals. In the first 24 to 48 hours after an injury, a progressive deepening of wound takes place. In the following days, extensive changes in gene expression of wound-healing-related cells may result in impaired re-epithelialization.

Methods for improving wound healing and tissue repair, and therapeutic interventions that attenuate the acute inflammatory response and cytokine expression after a burn injury may improve quality of life and reduce mortality and health care costs.

A better understanding of the growth factors therapeutic value is essential to improve burn care. Growth factors act on tissue repair by modulating the proliferation and migration of cells, and enhancing their metabolic activity. Experimental and clinical studies support the therapeutic use of growth factors in wound healing.

Keratinocyte growth factor (KGF), also known as fibroblast growth factor 7 (FGF-7), has a unique role as a mediator of epithelial-mesenchymal interactions. The mechanism of KGF action was described by auf dem Keller et al. KGF is released by mesenchymal cells and acts only on epithelial cells. KGF is produced by fibroblasts and activates the IIb isoform of the FGF-receptor 2 (FGFR2-IIb) on keratinocytes. Receptor activation leads to migration, proliferation and survival of keratinocytes. In vitro and in vivo studies have shown the KGF activity on epithelialization. However, Wearing and Sherratt found that KGF added to the epidermis decreases re-epithelialization, although increases the signaling range of KGF.

According to modern biology, injuries cause alterations in the inflammatory response and may lead to changes in the gene expression of cells involved in this process. Quantitative analysis and comparisons of gene expression profiles in burn injuries provide good estimates of activation time, patterns of gene expression, and inflammatory responses.

Considering that burn injuries trigger an intense inflammatory response due to alterations in gene expression, it is essential to understand the involved regulatory mechanisms and their role in tissue repair. Thus, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of KGF treatment on the expression of wound-healing-related genes in cultured primary keratinocytes from burn patients to obtain an overview of the re-epithelialization process in this population.

Methods

The study was approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee (approval number 197.342) and carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving humans. Patients were recruited at the Burn Treatment Unit and Plastic Surgery Unit of the Universidade Federal de São Paulo. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to their inclusion in the study; patient privacy rights were observed.

Four hospitalized adult patients, on the third day post-burn, of both genders with full-thickness or third-degree burn injuries affecting 25% to 50% of the total body surface area (TBSA) or referred to partial-thickness skin graft in 10% of the TBSA were included in this study. Skin biopsy specimens of burn patients were taken from upper limb or from chest. In addition, four healthy adult patients, who were candidates for aesthetic surgery...
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(i.e., mammoplasty or abdominoplasty), were selected as controls. Eligibility criteria for controls included patients of both genders, non-smokers, and without history of diseases or medication use.

Skin biopsy specimens were obtained from all 8 participants and primary keratinocytes were cultured and divided into 4 groups: TKB (n = 4, KGF-treated keratinocytes from burn patients); UKB (n = 4, untreated keratinocytes from burn patients); TKC (n = 4, KGF-treated keratinocytes from controls); and UKC (n = 4, untreated keratinocytes from controls).

Gene expression analysis using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) array was performed for group comparisons (1) TKC versus UKC; (2) UKB versus UKC; (3) TKB versus UKC; (4) TKB versus UKB; (5) TKB versus TKC; and (6) UKB versus TKC.

Surgical procedure

Skin biopsy specimens were obtained from controls and burn patients by the plastic surgeon during standard aesthetic surgical procedures or during the surgical treatment of burns, respectively. Debridement of partial-thickness or full-thickness burns was performed at three days postburn. Normal skin surrounding the wound was removed as part of the surgical procedure.

Skin biopsy specimens were immediately immersed in a 50-mL Falcon tube containing 30 mL of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) with high glucose (4.5 g/L), L-glutamine (584 mg/L), and sodium pyruvate (110 mg/L) (Gibco, Grand Island, USA), supplemented with penicillin-streptomycin (100 IU/mL-100 µg/mL) (Gibco). Samples were then immediately stored at 4°C and manipulated within 6 hours.

Keratinocyte growth medium

The keratinocyte growth medium containing 750 mL of DMEM and 250 mL of Ham’s F-12 medium (Gibco) was supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) (Hyclone, Logan, USA) and 24 mg of adenine (6-aminopurine hydrochloride; Sigma, St. Louis, USA) diluted with 20 mL of DMEM/Ham’s F-12 solution to a concentration of 1.8 x 10^{-4} mol/L. The growth medium was also supplemented with 1 mL of cholera toxin (Vibrio cholera, Type Inaba 569B) at 10^{-10} mol/L (Gibco), 2 mL of penicillin-streptomycin (100 IU/mL-100 µg/mL) (Gibco), 2 mL of hydrocortisone (0.4 µg/mL) (Sigma), 1 mL of transferrin/triiodo-L-thyronine (2 x 10^{-9} mol/L) (Sigma), and 1.3 mL of porcine insulin (5 mg/mL) (Sigma), totaling 5µg/mL for the final concentration of the keratinocyte growth medium.

Isolation and culture of human primary epidermal keratinocytes

Culture of human primary epidermal keratinocytes was performed following the protocol described by Green et al.24,25.

For separation of the epidermal sheet, the skin specimens were cut into small pieces of 0.5 cm x 0.5 cm and placed into a 50-mL Falcon tube containing 20 mL of dispase (0.6-2.4 U/mL) (Gibco) dissolved in DMEM for 18 hours. The specimens were then washed once with Versene (Gibco) and placed on a 100-cm2 Petri dish for mechanical separation of the epidermis from the dermis.

For keratinocyte isolation, the epidermal pieces were placed into a 15-mL Falcon tube containing 5 mL of 0.1% trypsin/0.04% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA; Gibco) solution and incubated in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 at 37°C for 10 minutes. Large pieces were then removed, placed into a second 15-mL Falcon tube containing 5 mL of 0.25% trypsin solution, and incubated again under the same conditions. In order to obtain a keratinocyte pellet required to start the culture, 5 mL of keratinocyte growth medium was added to the tube to neutralize the trypsin. The tube was centrifuged at 800 rpm for 5 min, the supernatant was discarded, and the keratinocyte pellet was resuspended in keratinocyte growth medium.

Keratinocytes cultures were prepared for every patient over a feeder layer of mice 3T3-J2 fibroblasts (ATCC, New York, USA), which was grown to 50% confluence incubated in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 at 37°C. The keratinocyte growth medium containing the resuspended keratinocytes was then placed over the 3T3-J2 culture in 75-cm2 culture flasks.

Keratinocytes adhered to the culture flask after 24 hours. The keratinocyte growth medium was then replaced with keratinocyte growth medium supplemented with epidermal growth factor (EGF) at 1 ng/mL (Sigma), and the culture was incubated in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 at 37°C. The keratinocyte growth medium from each culture flask was replaced every 48 h with 10 mL of keratinocyte growth medium supplemented with EGF. When cells reached 80% confluency, they were transferred to new culture flasks. Keratinocytes at passage 3 were used in the experiments.

KGF supplementation

For keratinocyte cultures in the TKB and TKC groups, the growth medium was replaced on passage 3 at 80% confluency with 15 mL of keratinocyte growth medium supplemented with
KGF (Sigma) at 20 ng/mL (protocol adapted from Sobral et al.27). After 24 h, the cells were detached from the flask and RNA extraction was performed.

**RNA isolation and purification**

RNA was extracted from cells using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Foster City, CA). Total RNA was then purified with Qiagen RNaseasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and treated with DNase. The amount and quality of the extracted RNA were assessed using a NanoVue GE Plus spectrophotometer (GE Healthcare, Cleveland, OH) and a bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies Inc., Palo Alto, CA).

**Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) array**

A sample of 1 μg of total RNA per array plate obtained from each group (TKB, UKB, TKC, and UKC) was used for synthesis of cDNA. Samples were treated with the buffer provided in the kit and reverse transcription reactions were performed with the RT² First Strand kit (Qiagen). qPCR array was conducted using the RT² Profiler PCR Arrays (Qiagen); each pool was distributed in triplicate in a qPCR array plate for analysis.

Eighty-four human genes related to wound healing (PAHs-121ZC) were assessed. Amplification, data acquisition, and analysis curves were performed on an ABI Prism 7500 FAST Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

The same threshold was established for all genes to ensure comparisons between curves. Three genes (ACTB – NM_001101, GAPDH – NM_002046, and HPRT1 – NM_000194) were used as normalizing genes and the mean Cq value was used to standardize gene expression and determine between-group differences. Values obtained from different groups were used as a reference for between-group comparisons. A 2-fold cut-off was used to identify genes differentially expressed (up-regulated or down-regulated genes).

Data analysis was performed using the RT² Profiler PCR Array Data Analysis (http://pcrdataanalysis.sabiosciences.com/pcr/arrayanalysis.php).

### Statistical analysis

The Student’s t-test was used to compare the three replicate 2^(-Δct) values. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted. All statistical tests were carried out at a significance level α of 0.05 (p < 0.05). Also, only fold change > 2 or < -2 and “OK” and “A” criteria were considered in accordance with the rules of the RT² Profiler PCR Array Data Analysis.

**Results**

Eight patients participated in this study. Four women with a mean age of 42.5 years were selected as controls and 4 (3 women and 1 man) burn patients and a mean age of 36 years were also included in the study.

For all patients, gene expression was assessed by qPCR and comparisons among groups are shown in Table 1. For all comparisons, the values from the second group were always used as a reference.

### Table 1 - Number of differentially expressed genes in relative gene expression among groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Down-regulated genes</th>
<th>Up-regulated genes</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 TKC versus UKC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 UKB versus UKC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 TKB versus UKC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 TKB versus UKB</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 TKB versus TKC</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 UKB versus TKC</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TKB = KGF-treated keratinocytes from burn patients; TKC = KGF-treated keratinocytes from controls; UKB = untreated keratinocytes from burn patients; UKC = untreated keratinocytes from controls.

The second group in every comparison was always used as a reference.

Genes differentially expressed showing significant differences in expression (fold change > 2 or < -2; comments “OK” or “A”; p < 0.05) are listed in Table 2 with respective fold change values for comparisons 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6. The comparison 4 (TKB versus UKB) was not included in Table 2 because no significant difference in gene expression was found between the two groups.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>RefSeq</th>
<th>(1) TKC vs. UKC</th>
<th>(2) UKB vs. UKC</th>
<th>(3) TKB vs. UKC</th>
<th>(5) TKB vs. TKC</th>
<th>(6) UKB vs. TKC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTA2</td>
<td>NM_001613</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.38 ↓</td>
<td>-2.84 ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL1A4A</td>
<td>NM_021110</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.45 ↑</td>
<td>2.91 ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL1A2</td>
<td>NM_000089</td>
<td>3.33 ↑</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-502.77 ↓</td>
<td>-422.19 ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL3A1</td>
<td>NM_000090</td>
<td>-32.07 ↓</td>
<td>-38.19 ↓</td>
<td>-61.52 ↓</td>
<td>-51.66 ↓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL5A1</td>
<td>NM_000093</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-9.12 ↓</td>
<td>-7.66 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL5A2</td>
<td>NM_000393</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-9.55 ↓</td>
<td>-8.02 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL5A3</td>
<td>NM_015719</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.23 ↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF3</td>
<td>NM_000759</td>
<td>-69.08 ↓</td>
<td>-22.18 ↓</td>
<td>-26.41 ↓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTGF</td>
<td>NM_001901</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-15.97 ↓</td>
<td>-13.41 ↓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTNNB1</td>
<td>NM_001904</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.26 ↑</td>
<td>-47.03 ↓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTSK</td>
<td>NM_000396</td>
<td>-29.47 ↓</td>
<td>-35.09 ↓</td>
<td>-56.01 ↓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL2</td>
<td>NM_002089</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.25 ↑</td>
<td>2.68 ↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>NM_005228</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.09 ↓</td>
<td>-2.60 ↓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF7</td>
<td>NM_002009</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-11.83 ↓</td>
<td>-9.94 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF10</td>
<td>NM_004465</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.00 ↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFNG</td>
<td>NM_000619</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.50 ↑</td>
<td>2.98 ↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL6</td>
<td>NM_000600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-5.51 ↓</td>
<td>-4.62 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL6ST</td>
<td>NM_002184</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-7.23 ↓</td>
<td>-6.07 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL10</td>
<td>NM_000572</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.91 ↑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITGA3</td>
<td>NM_002204</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.06 ↑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITGA5</td>
<td>NM_002205</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-4.28 ↓</td>
<td>-3.59 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITGAV</td>
<td>NM_002210</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.81 ↓</td>
<td>-2.36 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITGB1</td>
<td>NM_002211</td>
<td>-23.60 ↓</td>
<td>-28.11 ↓</td>
<td>-31.69 ↓</td>
<td>-26.61 ↓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITGB5</td>
<td>NM_002213</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-14.62 ↓</td>
<td>-12.28 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK1</td>
<td>NM_002745</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.01 ↓</td>
<td>-2.62 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIF</td>
<td>NM_002415</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-9.52 ↓</td>
<td>-7.99 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP1</td>
<td>NM_002421</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-5.42 ↓</td>
<td>-4.55 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP2</td>
<td>NM_004530</td>
<td>-31.54 ↓</td>
<td>-29.72 ↓</td>
<td>-32.16 ↓</td>
<td>-34.13 ↓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFA</td>
<td>NM_002607</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.33 ↑</td>
<td>2.78 ↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLAU</td>
<td>NM_002658</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.53 ↑</td>
<td>3.01 ↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>NM_000314</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.62 ↑</td>
<td>2.50 ↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAC1</td>
<td>NM_006908</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-7.51 ↓</td>
<td>-6.31 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHOA</td>
<td>NM_001664</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-13.10 ↓</td>
<td>-11.00 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERPINE1</td>
<td>NM_000602</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.36 ↓</td>
<td>-2.72 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGLN</td>
<td>NM_003186</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-20.31 ↓</td>
<td>-17.05 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFBI</td>
<td>NM_000660</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.76 ↓</td>
<td>-3.15 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMP1</td>
<td>NM_003254</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.68 ↓</td>
<td>-3.09 ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WISP1</td>
<td>NM_003882</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.05 ↓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFA</td>
<td>NM_003376</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.58 ↑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

↑ = up-regulated gene; ↓ = down-regulated gene.

Thirty-one differently expressed genes were common to both the comparisons 5 and 6, of which 6 were up-regulated and 25 were down-regulated genes (Table 2). There were 3 down-regulated genes [collagen type III alpha 1 (COL3A1), integrin subunit beta 1 (ITGB1), and matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2)] common to comparisons 2, 3, 5 and 6. In addition, the colony stimulating...
factor (CSF3) gene was down-regulated in comparisons 1, 2 and 3, and the collagen type I alpha 2 (COL1A2) gene was up-regulated in comparison 1, but intensely down-regulated in comparisons 5 and 6 (Table 2).

Discussion

Severe burn injury is one of the most devastating processes that a person may suffer in life. Methods for improving wound healing and tissue repair, and therapeutic interventions attenuating the acute inflammatory response and reducing the expression of cytokines after burn injury may decrease mortality, improve the quality of life of patients, and reduce health care costs\(^\text{14,15}\).

A better understanding of the therapeutic value of the growth factors may contribute to improve treatment response for burn patients\(^\text{17}\). In vitro and in vivo studies have shown the KGF activity in wound healing\(^\text{18-23}\), although Wearing and Sherratt\(^\text{15}\) found that KGF added to the epidermis decreases re-epithelialization, although increases the signaling range of KGF.

This study compared the expression of wound-healing-related genes in cultured keratinocytes from burn and healthy patients treated or not treated with KGF, as a novel approach to understand the re-epithelialization process. Papers using a similar approach were not found in the literature.

In this study, 6 different comparisons were performed among the experimental groups: (1) TKC versus UKC; (2) UKB versus UKC; (3) TKB versus UKC; (4) TKB versus UKB; (5) TKB versus TKC; and (6) UKB versus TKC. The second group of all comparisons was always used as reference for relative gene expression measurements.

Comparison 1 (TKC versus UKC) showed that the KGF-treated keratinocytes from control patients differentially expressed one down-regulated gene and one up-regulated gene compared with untreated keratinocytes from controls.

Comparison 2 (UKB versus UKC) revealed that untreated keratinocytes from burn patients differentially expressed 5 down-regulated genes associated with the burn injury when compared with untreated keratinocytes from controls.

Comparison 3 (TKB versus UKC) resulted in the same 5 down-regulated genes found in comparison 2. This was the first indication that KGF supplementation was not changing gene expression in keratinocytes from patients with severe burn injury.

Comparison 4 (TKB versus UKB) was expected to show major differences in relative gene expression, resulting from the effect of KGF supplementation on keratinocytes from burn patients. However, not a single gene was differentially expressed, indicating that KGF treatment had no effect on gene expression in keratinocytes from burn injuries.

In comparisons 5 and 6 (TKB versus TKC; and UKB versus TKC, respectively), the TKC group (KGF-treated keratinocytes from controls) was used as the reference group. Comparisons 5 and 6 resulted in 31 differentially expressed genes (25 down-regulated and 6 up-regulated genes) common to both groups. The genes COL3A1, ITGB1, and MMP2 were down-regulated in comparisons 2, 3, 5 and 6. COL3A1 encodes pro-alpha 1 chains of type III collagen which is found in extensible connective tissues (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/1281). To our knowledge, there is no study relating COL3A1 with burn wounds or KGF. ITGB1 encodes a beta subunit of integrins, which are membrane receptors involved in cell adhesion and recognition in a variety of processes including hemostasis, tissue repair, and immune response (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/3688). Keely et al.\(^\text{25}\) identified ITGB1 as a target gene of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF), a global regulator of oxygen homeostasis. The authors suggested that ITGB1 contributes to the wound-healing process in both the extracellular matrix (ECM) and epithelium, and found that hypoxia induces fibroblast ITGB1 expression. It is known that burn wounds are associated with tissue hypoxia, but our findings showed that the ITGB1 gene was down-regulated in keratinocytes from burn patients, in contrast to the hypothesis of Keely et al.\(^\text{25}\). MMP2 encodes a type IV collagenase, capable of cleaving components of the extracellular matrix and molecules involved in signal transduction (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/4313). Kubo et al.\(^\text{29}\) showed that MMP2 expression increased from day 3 to day 14 postburn. Zhao et al.\(^\text{30}\) suggested that angiogenesis depends on MMP2, which is secreted by activated endothelial cells, and showed that MMP2 expression increases between days 1 and 3 postburn, decreasing after day 7. In this study, the MMP2 gene was down-regulated at day 3 postburn, in contrast to Kubo et al.\(^\text{29}\) and Zhao et al.\(^\text{30}\) findings. Further studies are necessary to investigate the expression of MMP2 in burn wounds. CSF3 encodes a cytokine that controls the production, differentiation, and function of granulocytes (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/1440). In this study, CSF3 was down-regulated in the comparisons 1, 2 and 3. To date, no studies were found relating CSF3 with burn wounds.

Therefore, KGF supplementation did not change the relative gene expression in comparisons 2 and 3 and comparisons...
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5 and 6, showing no significant difference associated with KGF treatment in cultured primary keratinocytes from patients with severe burn injuries.

Conclusions

There was no differential expression of wound-healing-related genes in cultured primary keratinocytes from burn patients treated with keratinocyte growth factor (KGF). Clinically, the action of KGF may be controversial for the re-epithelialization in acute severe burns in the skin close by the eschar. It takes more experimental and clinical studies to evaluate the effects of KGF at re-epithelialization in burns injury.
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