Complications after bladder augmentation in children
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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: Bladder augmentation is an effective surgical procedure for increasing bladder capacity and reducing pressure on the urinary system. It is indicated for patients with anomalies such as spina bifida, myelomeningocele, urethral valve and bladder exstrophy, who progress with low tolerance of medication.

CASES: This was a retrospective study conducted on pediatric patients submitted to bladder augmentation from 2000 to 2011.

RESULTS: 34 patients aged 4 to 17 years were submitted to bladder augmentation, 30 of them with an ileal loop and 4 with a ureter. A continent urinary shunt was performed in 16 patients, the Mitrofanoff conduit was associated in 15, and the Macedo technique was used in one. Mean follow-up was 34.35 months (1 to 122 months). Mean creatinine was 1.5 ng/ml (0.4 to 7.5 ng/ml) preoperatively and 1.78 ng/ml postoperatively. Three patients required a renal transplant during follow-up. There was improvement or resolution of vesicoureteral reflux in 83.5% of the kidneys on the right and in 75% on the left. Bladder capacity increased, on average, from 152.5 ml to 410 ml. The main complications were vesical lithiasis in 3 patients and conduit perforation in one.

CONCLUSION: Bladder augmentation showed good results in this series, preserving renal function in most of the patients.
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Introduction

Bladder dysfunctions, especially those associated with bladder sphincter dyssynergia, may induce structural changes in the bladder musculature such as deposition of collagen fibers and thickening of the detrusor, resulting in the reduction or loss of compliance and/or reduction of bladder capacity. These changes usually cause increased intravesical pressure and a consequent progressive deterioration of the upper urinary tract with impaired quality of life for the patient.

An early diagnosis of bladder dysfunctions and the introduction of treatment before the onset of symptoms prevent or minimize the damage to the bladder and to the upper urinary tract. The diagnosis is based on clinical suspicion and on physical examination associated with imaging exams for the evaluation of the urinary tract. Uretrocystography and ultrasonography are used to evaluate renal morphology, detrusor thickness, bladder format and capacity, presence of pseudodiverticula, vesicoureteral reflux (VUR), and postmictional residue. A urodynamic study permits the evaluation of bladder compliance and capacity, intravesical pressure and the presence or absence of bladder sphincter dyssynergia and bladder hyperactivity.

The treatment of neurogenic bladder dysfunction may be clinical or surgical and its objective is to increase bladder capacity and compliance and to reduce intravesical pressure. Clinical treatment is based on the use of anticholinergic drugs and the introduction of clean intermittent catheterization. If the patient shows low tolerance to the medication or if medication fails, as observed in 10 to 15% of the patients, surgical treatment is instituted, basically consisting of the application of botulin toxin to the detrusor or of surgical augmentation of bladder capacity, usually employing an ileal segment, always with the objective of increasing bladder capacity and compliance.

In the present study we report the results obtained with bladder augmentation in children with bladder dysfunction.

Patient series

The medical data of 34 pediatric patients submitted to bladder augmentation in a university institution from 2000 to 2011 were retrospectively analyzed.

Results

Thirty children ranging in age from 4 to 17 years (mean: 10.3 years), 17 boys and 17 girls, were submitted to bladder augmentation. Mean follow-up was 34.35 months (range: 4 to 122 months).

Surgical indication was due to the persistency of low bladder compliance and capacity, with myelomeningocele being the most frequent etiologic factor (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(n) Children</th>
<th>Etiology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Neurogenic Bladder (15 Myelomeningoceles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Anorectal Anomaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Posterior Urethral Valve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Low Capacity Bladder (idiopathic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Refractory Incontinent Epispadias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Caudal Regression Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Severe Urethral Stenosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total = 34*

*(17 boys and 17 girls)

An ileal segment with an average length of 19.3 cm (range: 10 to 30 cm) was used in 30 patients and a ureter in the remaining four. Eleven patients maintained a vesicostomy previously performed as part of treatment, which was closed during the bladder augmentation procedure.

A continent urinary shunt was performed in 16 patients, consisting of a Mitrofanoff conduit in 15 and with the use of the Macedo technique in one. Closure of the bladder neck was performed in 7 of these patients. General surgical time ranged from 125 to 480 minutes (mean: 255.3 minutes).

Creatinine ranged from 0.4 to 7.5 mg/dl (mean: 1.5 ng/ml) preoperatively and from 0.1 to 9.7 ng/ml (mean: 1.78 ng/ml) postoperatively, with stable or improved levels in 20 patients and worsened levels in 9.

Three patients were submitted to renal transplantation during follow-up (pre-augmentation serum creatinine of 7.5, 2.6 and 7.1 mg/dl, respectively). The cause of renal failure was neurogenic bladder in two and posterior urethral valve in one. Serum urea varied on average from 44.4 mg/dl preoperatively to 45.2 mg/dl postoperatively. One female patient is currently on hemodialysis (pre-augmentation creatinine 1.9 mg/dl) and a male patient continues to be on hemodialysis that was initiated before augmentation due to end-stage chronic renal failure consequent to a posterior urethral valve.

VUR was present in 19 kidneys before bladder augmentation, on the right in 7 cases and on the left in 12. No
patient was submitted to ureteral reimplantation. Postoperative evaluation revealed improvement in 9 kidneys, worsening in 2, and stability in one.

Of the 15 patients submitted to bladder augmentation and to a conduit for catheterization by the Mitrofanoff technique, 7 are continent and 8 have small urine losses in the intervals between catheterizations. The patient submitted to the Macedo procedure is continent. Two thirds of the patients who were not submitted to conduits for catheterization are continent (10 continent and 5 incontinent).

In the urodynamic study preceding augmentation, maximum cystometric capacity ranged from 50 to 360 ml (mean: 145.62 ml). In the postoperative evaluation, mean cystometric capacity was 410 ml (200-550 ml).

Perforation of the conduit for catheterization (Mitrofanoff) occurred in one patient. After surgical correction, the patients perform catheterization without difficulty. A bladder calculus was detected in 4 patients. No patient developed bladder cancer during follow-up and none had water-electrolyte disorders. One female patient died of an unknown cause.

**Discussion**

One of the most serious problems faced by patients with bladder dysfunction is the possibility of progressive functional loss of the kidney, usually secondary to the changes in the dynamics of the lower urinary tract and more specifically due to reduced bladder compliance and capacity and elevated intravesical pressure associated with urinary infections. An early diagnosis and treatment of this situation is the responsibility of the attending physician. Pediatricians and pediatric urologists must work together to prevent the progression of dysfunction to chronic renal insufficiency in children.

Bladder dysfunctions are classified as being of neuropathic and non-neuropathic origin. The latter refers to patients in whom a neurologic injury is not clearly identified and bladder dysfunction can even be transitory. Among the neuropathic conditions, myelodysplasia and dysraphism represent the most common causes of bladder dysfunction in children, including myelomeningocele, lipomyelomeningocele and sacral agenesis, among others. Obstructive processes of the lower urinary tract such as posterior urethral valve and anomalies of bladder and perineal muscle development such as bladder extrophy and epispadias may cause some degree of bladder dysfunction during treatment4.

As mentioned earlier, unsuccessful treatment with anticholinergic agents and clean intermittent catheterization imply the indication of botulin toxin application to the detrusor or bladder augmentation5.

Botulin toxin has been used in various diseases of the urinary tract such as idiopathic or neurogenic detrusor hyperactivity, interstitial cystitis, painful bladder syndrome and benign prostatic hyperplasia6. Reports of the application of botulin toxin to children have shown good results. Hoebeke et al.7 achieved improvement of detrusor hyperactivity in 70% of 21 patients after a single application. However, they also reported side effects in all children studied7. In a retrospective analysis of 10 children with detrusor hyperactivity of neurogenic cause treated with 3 to 5 applications of botulin toxin, Schulte-Baukloh et al.8 reported improvement of urodynamic parameters9. In a previous study, these investigators emphasized the need for periodical reapplications of botulin toxin to the detrusor9.

Bladder augmentation is believed to improve the quality of life of children or adolescents with urinary incontinence10. The closure of the bladder neck performed during ileocystoplasty is effective for the control of urinary incontinence. This procedure involves low morbidity, at times requiring surgical revision, but its indication requires adhesion to the process of intermittent catheterization11.

The tissue considered to be ideal for augmentation is the urinary tract itself, used in situations of unilateral renal exclusion with ureteral dilatation12,13. When it is not possible to use the ureter, intestinal segments of the colon or ileum are usually employed or, more rarely, a gastric segment is used. However, due to the complications related to the use of intestinal tissue in the urinary tract, there is a need to search for alternative tissues for bladder augmentation.

Bladder augmentation with an intestinal segment is not free of complications. These complications may be related to the procedure itself such as difficulty in conduit catheterization, urinary infections, intestinal obstruction or anastomosis dehiscence, lithiasis or perforation of the reservoir and neoplasias, as reported by DeFoor et al.14 in the evaluation of 26 children14. In addition, these patients present metabolic and electrolytic intercurrences after incorporation of the intestinal segment to the urinary tract, with metabolic acidosis being the most common14,15.

Chronic acidosis can cause a reduction of bone mineral density and rickets or osteomalacia in children and osteoporosis in adults5. However, even though some authors consider that children who require bladder augmentation are at a higher risk for osteopenia and compression fractures, the risk factors are often associated more with the base disease of the patient than with the surgical procedure15.
Another possible complication is deficiency of vitamin B, which is absorbed through the ileum. In cases in which an ileal segment is used for bladder augmentation, the deficiency of this vitamin may cause megaloblastic anemia and Hunter glossitis. It has been reported that up to 35% of patients submitted to bladder augmentation with ileal tissue will require B12 replacement.

The intestinal changes that occur are mainly related to the enterohepatic circulation of bile salts and to colonization of the ileum by colonic bacteria in cases in which the ileocecal valve was removed. Increased concentrations of bile salts, water and sodium in the colon may cause diarrhea and steatorrhea. Colonization of the ileum by colonic bacteria alters the conjugation of bile salts, reducing fat absorption and also contributing to steatorrhea. The absorption of insoluble vitamins (A, D, K) may be impaired.

During the follow-up of the present patients, none of them presented clinical manifestations of water-electrolyte disorders and none required replacement of vitamin B12 or of liposoluble vitamins. This was probably due to the fact that the terminal ileum and the ileocecal valve were preserved, preventing diarrhea and preserving vitamin B12 absorption. No patient had osteomalacia or rickets.

Regarding the complications related to the conduit for bladder catheterization, such as stenosis of the stoma, incontinence, difficulty in performing catheterization, prolapse, bleeding and perforation, reintervention is usually necessary for correction. In the present study, only one patient had perforation of the conduit, which was corrected with surgery.

Bladder lithiasis is another known complication that can occur in 23% of patients with bladder augmentation, especially when a colon segment is used7. In an attempt to reduce the incidence of lithiasis, which may require a surgical procedure for calculus removal and may be associated with urinary infection, periodic bladder washing with 50 ml of saline solution two to four times a day is recommended. The intestinal segment used for augmentation may produce large amounts of mucus which facilitate the obstruction of the bladder outlet and the formation of calculi19,20. A technical step that may be of help in reducing the incidence of lithiasis is the site of conduit implantation (Mitrofanoff) for catheterization. Patients with an implant in the posterior bladder wall, which perhaps permits a more effective drainage of urine and mucus, develop fewer calculi than patients with an implant in the anterior bladder wall21. In the patients of the present series the incidence of bladder lithiasis was 11.7%, corresponding to 4 cases treated by percutaneous cystolithotomy.

The management of VUR in bladder augmentation is a controversial point, with some authors suggesting ureteral reimplantation at the time of augmentation22 while others believe in the reduction of VUR degree or in VUR disappearance with a reduction of intravesical pressure23,24. In a more recent review study, Misseri et al.25 reported that the option not to reimplant the ureters is more frequent among patients with low grade VUR, especially the unilateral form. However, they stated that they did not detect a clear correlation between degree of reflux and successful reimplantation. Based on their review, they were unable to conclude whether ureteral reimplantation was more successful than observation, or vice versa.

Ureteral reimplantation was not performed in any of the present patients with VUR. Nine of the 19 kidneys with VUR showed improvement. However, since urethrocystography was not available for all patients, it is difficult to reach a definitive conclusion regarding our patients.

Conclusion

Bladder augmentation with an ileal segment in children is a procedure that can provide good results in terms of preservation of the upper urinary tract and of renal function, permitting some previously incontinent patient to achieve continence. Clinical and surgical complications can occur considering the complexity of the base disease, the clinical condition of the patient, the results of previous treatments, and the magnitude of the surgical procedure. Thus, these children require long-term follow-up and their attending physicians, pediatrician and urologist, must be able to recognize these complications and how to treat them.
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