Perconditioning associated to hypertonic saline solution on liver function improvement after ischemia/reperfusion injury


Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the effects of hypertonic saline solution associated to remote ischemic perconditioning in liver ischemia/reperfusion injury in rats.

Methods: 25 male rats (Wistar) were distributed into five groups: Sham group (S); Ischemia/Reperfusion group (I/R) with 30 minutes of liver ischemia; Remote ischemic perconditioning group (Per) with three cycles of 10 minutes of I/R performed during liver ischemia; Hypertonic saline solution group (HSS) treated with hypertonic saline solution (4ml/kg); Remote ischemic perconditioning + Hypertonic saline solution group (Per+HSS) with both treatments.

Results: Per+HSS group showed a lower degree of liver dysfunction in relation to I/R group, whereas the technique of remote ischemic perconditioning isolated or associated with saline solution significantly improved liver function and reduced histological damage.

Conclusion: Remote ischemic perconditioning associated or not to saline solution promoted reduction of acute liver injury induced by ischemia/reperfusion.
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Introduction

Ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury take place when the blood supply to a tissue is blocked for a certain time (ischemia) and then restored (reperfusion). Ischemia causes anoxia which is the basis of ischemic injury and prepares the affected area for later reperfusion damage. I/R increases the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the affected tissue, leading to progressive damage, lipid peroxidation, and mitochondrial injury. This event contributes to morbimortality and the physiopathology of many diseases. In this regard, many studies have been made for the better comprehension of I/R, as well as to find new effective therapies against the ischemia injurious effects. One of the most promising therapies is the ischemic conditioning (IC), consisting of short alternating cycles of ischemia and reperfusion. These cycles can be induced before (preconditioning), during (perconditioning) or after (postconditioning) the main ischemic event. This strategy was proven to have a protective effect against the I/R injury, showing an increase of the intracellular antioxidants, biochemical changes in tissues and activation of cell signaling cascades. This protective effect was seen in many organs, such as brain, heart, kidney and liver. When it comes to hepatic ischemia, it is important to highlight that the Kupffer cells are perhaps the most important producer of ROS, during the ischemic stress that occurs during the cold preservation of transplantation. A general lack of appreciation of the details of the hepatic I/R led to studies providing more detail into the timing and compartmentalization of I/R. Another important possibility sees the Kupffer cells, as mediators of glutathione oxidation. This happens because the ROS generated by Kupffer cells has been demonstrated to be the superoxide anion radical, and selective inhibition of Kupffer cells has been shown to ameliorate I/R further emphasizing the role of ROS derived from these cells. In this way, there is a huge importance of I/R in the hepatic tissue, and many strategies to reduce the ROS damage has been used, but there are few studies that associate the IC specifically for this purpose.

Methods

All experiments were performed in accordance with Brazilian law for scientific use of animals, and this project was formally approved by the Committee of Ethics in Animal Experimentation of Universidade Estadual do Pará (Protocol 01/2014).

Twenty-five adult male Wistar rats aged 10-12 weeks (250-350g), were obtained from Evandro Chagas Institute. The rats were maintained with free access to regular food and water, at 22±1°C under a 12-h light/dark cycle.

Experimental protocol

The animals were randomly distributed into five experimental groups, each with five rats: sham group were submitted to all operative procedures, except vessels occlusion. I/R group were undergoing 30-minutes of ischemia and 72 hours of reperfusion. Remote
ischemic perconditioning group (Per) were submitted to three cycles of 10-minutes of I/R with a tourniquet on left hind limb\textsuperscript{13}. Hypertonic saline solution (HSS) group received i.v. administration of 4 ml/kg\textsuperscript{14} hypertonic saline solution 7.5% after ischemic period. Remote ischemic perconditioning + HSS group (Per+HSS) received both treatments (Figure 1).

**Figure 1** – Procedures between groups. IVC – inferior vena cava.

The rats were fasted overnight before the experiments, but were given free access to water. They were weighed and anesthetized using an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine hydrochloride 10% and xylazine hydrochloride 2% (70mg/kg and 10mg/kg, respectively). During the operations, additional doses were administered if necessary.

**Surgical procedure**

A midline incision was made after shaving the abdomen, and the abdominal cavity was then exposed with the aid of retractors. Next, the left hepatoduodenal ligament containing the hepatic artery, portal vein, and bile duct of the left lateral and median liver lobes was clamped for 30 min\textsuperscript{15} with a microvascular clamp to induce partial (70%) warm ischemia. Thereafter, the clip was removed to initiate hepatic reperfusion, and the abdominal cavity was closed. The rats were subjected to warm ischemia for 30 minutes followed by 72 hours of reperfusion\textsuperscript{16}. The animals were then euthanized by overdose of ketamine hydrochloride and xylazine hydrochloride injection (triple dose of anesthetic) at the end of the reperfusion period. Liver tissues and blood samples were collected for analysis.

**Laboratory measurements**

Blood samples were obtained from inferior vena cava at the end of the experimental protocol and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min to obtain serum, which was immediately stored at -80\textdegree C. Serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and albumin levels were determined using ultraviolet spectrophotometric and enzymatic assays.

**Histologic examination**

Liver tissues for histologic examination were sampled from the left and median liver lobes at the end of the experimental protocol. The specimens were fixed in a 10% buffered formaldehyde solution, embedded in paraffin, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The tissue samples were sectioned into 5-mm-thick pieces for analysis. Histologic examination was performed based on randomly selected tissue
sections using the scoring system proposed by Suzuki et al.; specifically, the degree of sinusoidal congestion (none, minimal, mild, moderate, or severe), liver cell vacuolization (none, minimal, mild, moderate, or severe), and necrosis (none, single cell necrosis, < 30%, 30%-60%, or > 60%) in the tissues was determined. The histologic changes were scored from 0 to 4, and the total score, ranging from 0 to 12, was determined for each sample. All the sample slides were evaluated by the same pathologist, who was blinded to the corresponding control samples.

Statistical analysis

BioEstat 5.4 was used and to confirm normal distribution samples by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Data are expressed as means ± SE. ANOVA with post hoc Tukey test was applied to renal function and oxidative stress, whereas the histopathological parameters by Kruskal-Wallis with post hoc Newman-Keuls. Values of p<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Compared to Sham group, I/R showed a statistically significant in AST (p=0.035), ALT (p=0.012) and albumin (p=0.033) levels in blood sample. There was a statistically significant reduction between Per and Per+HSS in accordance to I/R group observed in AST (p=0.023 and p=0.015, respectively) and ALT (p=0.032 and p=0.025) levels. Furthermore, perconditioning (p=0.027) and Per+HSS (p=0.019) group were statistically significant compared to I/R in albumin levels (Table 1).

Table 1 – AST, ALT and albumin serum levels according to groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>AST (U/L)</th>
<th>ALT (U/L)</th>
<th>Albumin (g/dl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>20.6 ± 11.7</td>
<td>39.3 ± 5.3</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/R</td>
<td>268.3 ± 29.1</td>
<td>279.2 ± 97.4</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per</td>
<td>139.5 ± 13.5</td>
<td>140.6 ± 14.7</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS</td>
<td>169.5 ± 26.5</td>
<td>226.1 ± 20.4</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per+HSS</td>
<td>118.3 ± 16.4</td>
<td>110.6 ± 10.3</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as means ± SD. Teste ANOVA (Tukey). *p<0.05 vs. grupo Sham. **p<0.05 vs. grupo I/R.

In addition, there was statistically significant difference between Perconditioning and Per+HSS group in all microscopic parameters: sinusoidal congestion, cell vacuolization and necrosis (Figure 2), compared to I/R group.

Figure 2 - Histopathological analysis according to groups. *p<0.05 vs. Sham group; **p<0.05 vs. I/R group. Kruskal-Wallis (Newman-Keuls) test. Source: Protocol research.
**Discussion**

I/R presented significantly higher serum levels of AST and ALT as well evidence of histopathologic damage when compared to Sham group, demonstrating that the experimental model was effective in promoting injury to hepatocytes. A decreasing in liver function was also observed in I/R group.

Our results show that Per was effective in reduce I/R injury. This technique lowered damage to hepatocytes and improved liver function, while HSS did not demonstrate a protective on liver I/R injury. There was not additive protection when Per and HSS administration were held together.

The protective effect of perconditioning on liver I/R was reported by Czigány et al.\(^\text{18}\) that performed four cycles of occlusion and four cycles of reperfusion, clamping infrarenal aorta.

Perconditioning leads to a parasympathetic neural response which was identified as one of its effector mechanisms, reducing nonperfusion lesion by vasospasm mechanism and contributing to the reestablishment of blood flow in microcirculation of the organ submitted to I/R injury\(^\text{19}\).

Moreover, application of ischemic perconditioning to a remote organ can induce the release of humoral factors - such as adenosine, bradykinin, and opioids, which under local innervation, would trigger activation of neural pathways that promotes tissue protection\(^\text{19}\).

Further studies are necessary to evaluate the effects of different protocols of perconditioning, using alternative times of occlusion as well more cycles of I/R in order to find out a better protocol to apply this technique.

In literature there are some reports that have found promising results in other possibilities of I/R cycles. Costa et al.\(^\text{20}\), showed that four cycles of 05 minutes of hind limb ischemia followed by 05 minutes of hind limb reperfusion, lasting 40 minutes, reduced ROS formation and lowered transaminases serum level.

As a limitation of our research, we did not evaluate the early effects of perconditioning and HSS on the I/R injury. In future studies we can analyze oxidative parameters minutes after the beginning of reperfusion, such as TBARs, TEAC, SOD and catalase. Long-term effects of both treatments on liver recovery after injury and mortality rate have also not been tested.

**Conclusions**

The protective effect of remote ischemic perconditioning, when isolated or associated to hypertonic saline solution, was demonstrated in reduction of liver ischemia/reperfusion injury. However, there was no difference between Per and Per+HSS groups.
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