Comparative study of pain in women submitted to conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy versus single-port laparoscopic cholecystectomy
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Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate postoperative pain in patients submitted to conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy with four ports versus single-port laparoscopic cholecystectomy with only one port.

Methods: Twenty-one patients were included in the conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy group and 19 other patients in the single-port laparoscopic cholecystectomy group. A VAS was used for the assessment of postoperative pain at three time points. Differences were considered statistically significant when p<0.05.

Results: Intergroup analysis showed no significant difference in VAS scores between the conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy and single-port laparoscopic cholecystectomy groups at any of the time points studied.

Conclusion: This study found no significant difference in postoperative pain between the two groups.
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Introduction

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has become the standard surgical intervention for patients with benign gallbladder disease. After several technical modifications made over decades, a single incision in laparoscopic cholecystectomy has been described as an alternative procedure in an attempt to reduce surgical trauma, to improve esthetic outcomes, and to reduce complication rates at the incision site and postoperative pain.

Pain is the most common complication or discomfort during the postoperative period. The intensity of pain depends on physiological factors such as the extent of trauma, surgical intervention, the surgeon’s technical skills, previous diseases and the site and type of incision; psychological factors such as anxiety and fear, and cultural factors of the patient.

The evaluation of postoperative pain using a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) is a simple and efficient tool to measure the intensity of pain. This scale is widely used in clinical practice and laboratories to guide the decision-making of professionals so that adequate therapeutic measures can be provided, possible complications can be prevented, and early recovery of patients can be achieved.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate postoperative pain using a unidimensional VAS in women submitted to conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy (CLC) with four ports versus single-port laparoscopic cholecystectomy (SPLC) in which only a single incision is made in the umbilical region.

Methods

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (Permit No. 2503).

A prospective, cross-sectional, randomized study was conducted at the Department of Digestive Tract Surgery, Central Hospital, UFTM. Forty women with symptomatic cholelithiasis ranging in age from 18 to 70 years participated in the study. The patients were divided into two groups: 21 were submitted to CLC and 19 to SPLC.

The data of each patient were recorded on an assessment form that contained information such as age, anthropometric variables (weight, height, body mass index – BMI), risk factor for cholelithiasis, and duration (minutes) of the surgical procedures.

Women with symptomatic cholelithiasis older than 18 years, who were able to understand the objective of the study and to give informed consent, were included in the study. Criteria for exclusion were: pregnancy; BMI >35 kg/m²; presence of systemic diseases; use of medications that would interfere with the immune response; a suspicion or confirmation of liver cirrhosis; coagulopathy (platelet count <50,000/µl); antiplatelet therapy (acetylsalicylic acid and clopidogrel); acute pancreatitis, and jaundice.

Surgical procedure

Anesthesia was standardized as follows: pre-anesthetic medication administered 3 hours before surgery, which consisted of oral diazepam (10 mg), intravenous midazolam (5 mg) and volume expansion with 1,000 ml saline; induction of anesthesia: alfentanil (30 mg/kg), etomidate (0.3 mg/kg) and atracurium (0.5 mg/kg); maintenance of anesthesia: continuous infusion of alfentanil (1 mg/kg/min) and isoflurane (0.5-1.5%); decurarization: intravenous atropine (1 mg) and prostigmin (2 mg).

Conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy

The patient was placed in horizontal dorsal decubitus on the operating table and received general anesthesia. Perioperative
Monitoring consisted of cardioscopy, noninvasive blood pressure monitoring, pulse oximetry, and capnography. A pressure of 12 mmHg was used for maintaining pneumoperitoneum.

Conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy consisted of the insertion of four trocars, one 10-mm trocar was inserted in the supraumbilical region for placement of the optical system and a 5-mm one was inserted in the right flank for cranial traction of the gallbladder. The other two working trocars were placed in the same line, a 5-mm trocar was inserted in the right upper quadrant for removal of the gallbladder and the other 10-mm one was placed in the epigastrium, at the left side of the round ligament, for dissection and hemostasis.

The hilum was exposed by lateral retraction, holding the infundibulum and retracting it to the right and downwards. The cystic duct was isolated, ligated, and sectioned. Next, the cystic artery was identified, isolated, and clipped with metal clips. The gallbladder was then removed from the liver bed.

**Single-port laparoscopic cholecystectomy**

The position of the patients and of the surgical team, as well as anesthesia and position of the monitor, was similar to that employed in CLC. A 2-cm transumbilical horizontal incision was made, followed by dissection, opening of the aponeurosis and peritoneum, and placement of a single port (SILS port). Pneumoperitoneum was induced and maintained at 12 mmHg. Trocars were placed through the single port, including two trocars of 5 mm and one of 10 mmHg for introduction of the 30° optic. Conventional laparoscopy materials were used.

After good exposure of the triangle of the bile duct with the aid of a wire passed through the gallbladder infundibulum, dissection, clipping and sectioning of the cystic duct and cystic artery were performed using the same materials as employed in conventional laparoscopy. For clipping, the 5-mm trocar was changed to a 10-mm one introduced in the position. The gallbladder was then dissected from the infundibulum to the fundus.

**Pain assessment**

Pain was assessed by the Physiotherapy team responsible for the Digestive Surgery nursing ward of UFTM’s Central Hospital. A VAS consisting of a 10-cm long strip marked “no pain” at one end and “unbearable pain” at the opposite end was used for pain assessment. The values in centimeters are recorded on the back of the strip. Women mark their answer perpendicular to the line referring to the pain experienced on that occasion and the examiner later verifies the corresponding value on the back.

During hospitalization of the women in the nursing ward, pain was assessed at three different time points: T1 (first immediate postoperative assessment – 6 h); T2 (second immediate postoperative assessment – 12 h), and T3 (first postoperative day – 24 h). The researchers that assessed pain had no prior knowledge of the surgical technique performed.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the mean ± standard deviation of the anthropometric variables and age of women submitted to CLC and SPLC. There was a significant difference in height between the two groups (p=0.022), but this anthropometric variable is not related to gallstone formation.

With respect to the smoking habit questioned in the initial protocol, three (14.3%) patients in the group undergoing CLC and six (31.6%) in the SPLC group were smokers.
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Results of pain assessment

Median pain scores were lower in the SPLC group at T1 and T2, while the values were similar at T3. A reduction in pain at the three time points was observed in both groups (Figure 1).

Table 1 - Mean ± standard deviation of the anthropometric variables and age of women submitted to CLC and SPLC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>CLC</th>
<th>SPLC</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>38.38 ± 11.72</td>
<td>34.21 ± 10.51</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>69.40 ± 16.76</td>
<td>64.81 ± 9.63</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.0220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.90 ± 6.52</td>
<td>24.52 ± 3.67</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CLC: conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy; SPLC: single-port laparoscopic cholecystectomy; NS: nonsignificant; BMI: body mass index. Sex was compared by Fisher’s exact test and numerical variables, expressed as mean ± standard deviation, were compared by the Student t-test.

Table 2 - Mean, standard deviation and median Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) scores at each time point of pain assessment in the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>CLC</td>
<td>3.63 (±2.47)</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPLC</td>
<td>3.51 (±1.7)</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>CLC</td>
<td>3.73 (±2.51)</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPLC</td>
<td>3.14 (±2.66)</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>CLC</td>
<td>2.33 (±1.94)</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPLC</td>
<td>2.3 (±1.84)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CLC: conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy; SPLC: single-port laparoscopic cholecystectomy. T1: first immediate postoperative assessment; T2: second immediate postoperative assessment; T3: first postoperative day. Intragroup analysis: p value obtained by the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test for independent samples (CLC x SPLC) at the three time points.

Intragroup analysis showed a significant decrease in VAS scores between T1xT3 and T2xT3 for the CLC group and between T1xT3 for the SPLC group (p≤0.05) (Table 3).

Table 3 - Intragroup comparison of Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) scores between the different time points of pain assessment in each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moment of Pain Assessment</th>
<th>CLC</th>
<th>SPLC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1xT2</td>
<td>p=0.747</td>
<td>p=0.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1xT3</td>
<td>p=0.007*</td>
<td>p=0.008*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2xT3</td>
<td>p=0.002*</td>
<td>p=0.227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CLC: conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy. SPLC: single-port laparoscopic cholecystectomy. T1: first immediate postoperative assessment; T2: second immediate postoperative assessment; T3: first postoperative day. *p≤0.05 significant by the nonparametric Wilcoxon t-test for paired samples.
In the present study, there was no case of conversion from CLC to SPLC in either group.

Discussion

The trauma resulting from surgical procedures involves physiological and emotional changes that, if not properly controlled, may predispose patients to complications and may extend hospitalization. Pain is an important condition that can affect a patient’s recovery.

Pain assessment aims to identify the existence of pain and to establish the etiology of symptoms. In this respect, it is important to characterize the pain experience in all its domains, to assess the impact of pain on organic, emotional and behavioral functioning of the individual, to identify factors that contribute to the maintenance or exacerbation of pain, to select treatment alternatives, and to verify the effectiveness of the therapies employed. Systematically conducted and recorded assessments, which use specific scales to characterize and measure the pain condition, may contribute to improve the management of the painful experience.

Laparoscopy was introduced in 1987 and has since then been used for multiple intra-abdominal procedures because of the reduced patient discomfort, shorter hospitalization time and early return to work. These advantages have led to the increased use of laparoscopy for the diagnosis and treatment of patients admitted in emergency departments with abdominal pain.

Werner and Kehlet conducted a systematic review of postoperative predictors of pain and concluded that studies point to a significant relationship between the type of surgical approach and the intensity and duration of postoperative pain.

For this study, two groups of women undergoing CLC and SPLC were submitted to postoperative pain assessment with a VAS at three time points: T1 (first immediate postoperative assessment), T2 (second immediate postoperative assessment), and T3 (first postoperative day). Median VAS scores were found to be lower in the group submitted to SPLC at T1 and T2, while similar values were observed for the two groups at T3.

There is no consensus in the literature regarding the results of postoperative pain in patients undergoing single-port surgery. Lower levels of pain have been reported by patients submitted to minilaparoscopy compared to those submitted to laparoscopy. However, due to the subjectivity of the assessment, the results of most studies are inconclusive.

Caumo et al., studying 346 patients submitted to elective abdominal surgery, observed a prevalence of moderate to intense pain (VAS >30 mm) in 43.4% of the patients in the first 24h after surgery. In a study carried out in 2003 on the prevalence of postoperative pain, Sommer et al. observed that 30% to 55% of patients of the abdominal surgery subgroup reported moderate to intense pain (VAS >40 mm) on the day of surgery and on the first postoperative day.

The present study included only women. According to Kowalczyka et al., women report more pain and estrogen is responsible for this increased perception of pain. Furthermore, women are more sensitive to pain during the menstrual period and during menopause if they receive hormone replacement therapy. The authors suggested that the increase in luteinizing hormone during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle reduces endogenous opioids, increasing sensitivity.

Several factors may affect postoperative pain such as sex, age, size of the wound, and recovery time of gastrointestinal function. In the study of Guo et al., no significant differences in pain assessment were observed between patients undergoing traditional laparoscopic cholecystectomy and single-port surgery 24h, 7 days or 1, 3 or 6 months after surgery. Pain scores were significantly lower only 6h after surgery in the group treated by SPLC compared to the group submitted to laparoscopic...
cholecystectomy. However, when placing this 1-point difference on a 10-point scale, there seemed to be no significant change in clinical practice. In the present study, intergroup analysis showed no significant difference in VAS scores between the SPLC and CLC groups at any of the time points, while intragroup analysis revealed a significant decrease in VAS scores between T1xT3 and T2xT3 for the CLC group and between T1xT3 for the SPLC group (ps<0.05).

Yin et al.19 assessed the intensity of postoperative pain at rest using a numerical rating scale. Pain intensity was assessed immediately and 6, 24 and 48h after the surgical procedures. The results of that study agree with the present findings since the authors did not observe significant differences in the intensity of pain between the laparoscopic cholecystectomy and single-port access laparoscopy groups. In contrast, Kim et al.20 reported advantages for patients submitted to SPLC compared to laparoscopic cholecystectomy using a VAS to measure pain 24 h after surgery.

**Conclusions**

The results of the present study showed no significant difference in postoperative pain between the groups submitted to conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy and single-port laparoscopic cholecystectomy.
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