Experimental model for transforaminal endoscopic spine
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Abstract

**Purpose:** To validate the porcine spine as a model for learning and practicing transforaminal percutaneous endoscopic lumbar procedures (TF-PELP).

**Methods:** TF-PELP was performed in three porcine cadaver lumbar spine levels. Anatomical features of the current cadaver were compared to human and porcine spines. Performance and documentation of endoscopic procedures were described.

**Results:** This study shows that this representative animal model reflects anatomical characteristics of the human spine. Transforaminal approaches were successfully completed. Although lower disc heights make disc puncture more difficult, the outside-in technique is feasible and more useful to identify anatomical parameters and to practice different surgical steps and maneuvers.

**Conclusion:** This is an effective and representative model for learning and practicing this procedure. Difficulties of the procedure, as well as the differences compared to the human spine, were described.
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Introduction

Spine endoscopy is used for a variety of surgical procedures such as discetomy, corpectomy, biopsy and tumor resection\(^1,2\). Transforaminal percutaneous endoscopic lumbar procedures (TF-PELP) are minimally invasive surgeries for disc herniations and foraminal stenosis. Although performed for more than twenty years, the continuous development of the surgical apparatus and indications for different types of disc herniations recently increased its popularity\(^3,4\).

Although the use of cadaver spines are considered gold standard for spine surgery education, the availability of human cadaver material is very limited in many regions\(^5,6\).

Porcine spines are frequently used as an alternative model to human specimens for in vivo and in vitro experiments involving spinal fusion and instrumentation techniques\(^1,6-9\). Although extensive biomechanical research has been done with animal spines, anatomical studies are still scarce\(^6,9\) and no previous study considered their use for this spine endoscopic technique.

The goal of this descriptive study was to determine whether the porcine spine can be a representative model for learning and practicing TF-PELP.

Methods

All procedures performed in studies involving animals were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institution or practice at which the studies were conducted.

The fresh porcine cadaver used in this study was obtained according to ethics laws in experimental animal research. It was a 6 month-old Feral pig (Sus scrofa feral) weighting 50kg. All thoracic and abdominal viscera were removed, leaving the complete neurological axis. The length from the occiput to the end of the sacrum was of 84cm.

Antero-posterior (AP) and lateral fluoroscopic images were obtained from cervical, thoracic and lumbar spine of the porcine model. Anatomical dimensions of the lumbar vertebrae were measured and compared to the average measures of human and porcine cadavers studied by other authors\(^6,9-12\).

Relevant anatomical features of the lumbar vertebrae of the porcine spine was described. As well as performance and documentation of several transforaminal endoscopic procedures in order to determine whether the porcine lumbar spine can be a representative model for the practice of such procedures.

TF-PELP was performed in three lumbar spine levels (L3L4, L4L5 and L5L6) on a single porcine cadaver,

This procedure entails the use of a thin tubular device that contains the optical system and working channel, that is introduced through a stab incision. After positioning and marking, a dorsal lateral needle puncture is targeted to the disc, a wire is then inserted inside the needle to guide the obturator through the disc. The working sleeve is passed over the obturator, which is removed to insert the endoscope. A mono portal technique is standard and surgery is performed under constant saline irrigation\(^13-16\). After the transforaminal approach under fluoroscopic control and endoscopic visualization, anatomical structures were identified and specific maneuvers were attempted.

Results

The porcine spine had 7 cervical, 15 thoracic, and 6 lumbar vertebrae, while the human spines have, respectively, 7, 12, and 5.
Tables 1 and 2 show anatomical dimensions of the current porcine lumbar spine, as well as the dimensions of human and porcine lumbar spines from other studies. Relevant considerations of the procedures realized on the porcine cadaver and comparison to actual transforaminal endoscopic surgeries are described below. The surgical steps required real-time anteroposterior (AP) and/or lateral view in the C-Arm.

Table 1 - Average CT measurement of the Busscher et al. study on the porcine and human lumbar vertebrae, Dath et al.9 anatomical measurements of the porcine lumbar vertebrae, Panjabi et al.11 human vertebrae dimensions and the current porcine model fluoroscopic measurement of Vertebral Body Height anterior (VBHa), Vertebral Body Height central (VBHc), Vertebral Body Height posterior (VBHp).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VBH</th>
<th>VBHa</th>
<th>VBHc</th>
<th>VBHp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Porcine</td>
<td>Human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>23.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>25.5 (2.5)</td>
<td>23.6 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>24.3 (0.9)</td>
<td>27.3 (2.8)</td>
<td>23.8 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>23.8 (1.1)</td>
<td>28.7 (1.9)</td>
<td>24.7 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>24.1 (1.1)</td>
<td>27.8 (2.4)</td>
<td>24.8 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>22.9 (0.9)</td>
<td>29.5 (1.4)</td>
<td>24.7 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>23.9 (3.2)</td>
<td>34.4 (0.5)</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 - Average CT measurement of the Busscher et al. study on the porcine lumbar Intervertebral Disc Height (IDH), MRI measurement of human IDH from the Abdollah et al. study and current porcine model fluoroscopic measurement of important parameters for an TF-PELP: Intervertebral Disc Height central (IDHc), Intervertebral Disc Height medial pedicular line (IDHmp), Intervertebral Disc Height posterior (IDHp), Pedicle Height (PedH), Intervertebral Foramen Height (IVFH) and Intervertebral Foramen Depth (IVFD). The IDHc was measured in the AP as well as in the lateral fluoroscopic view. IDHmp was an average of left and right measurements. PedH and IVFH were measured in the AP view and IVFD in the lateral view. The data form the Abdollah study considered measurement of disc height using a combination of Dabbs and Hurxthals of 43 human spine MRIs with disc in different grades of degeneration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IDH (Buscher et al)</th>
<th>Human IDH (Abdollah et al)</th>
<th>IDHc model AP</th>
<th>IDHmp model AP</th>
<th>IDHc model lateral</th>
<th>IDHp model lateral</th>
<th>PedH left</th>
<th>PedH right</th>
<th>IVFH left</th>
<th>IVFH right</th>
<th>IVFD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1L2</td>
<td>2.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2L3</td>
<td>2.9 (0.4)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3L4</td>
<td>2.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4L5</td>
<td>2.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>10.5 (2.0)</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5L6</td>
<td>2.9 (0.8)</td>
<td>9.8 (2.4)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6S1</td>
<td>2.9 (0.9)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First step

Positioning and marking (Figure 1A-E)

A guide wire was positioned over the spinous process line in the anteroposterior (AP) view to allow drawing a line over the dorsum of the porcine model (Figure 1A). Then the guide wire was positioned across the middle disc line to draw a perpendicular line over the model (Figure 1B). In the lateral view, the guide wire was positioned over the spinous process line (Figure 1C) and the facets line (Figure 1D) to draw security lines over the postero-lateral aspect of the dorsum. The last two lines are used to prevent inserting the needle too anterior. The area between those lines can be used to perform a far-lateral approach to lumbar spine, not attempted in this study. The entry point selected for the TF-PELP in this model was between the spinous process line and the facets line, 12cm lateral to the medial line (Figure 1E).
Needle insertion into the disc (Figure 2A-B)

The target point used for needle insertion was the same as used for humans: medial pedicular line in the AP view and posterior vertebral or posterior disc line in the lateral view.

The insertion of a 18G needle into the disc was possible in L3L4 and L4L5, although very difficult due to lower disc heights in the porcine lumbar spine when compared to human discs (Table 1). In L5L6, disc puncture was not possible, but it did not prevent the surgeon to continue the TF-PELP. For these steps it is recommended to start on real-time AP view and shift to lateral view as the disc or any other structure is felt, always preventing that the needle goes further than the medial pedicular line. If the trajectory must be corrected, shift back to AP view to start over; after disc puncture, the following steps can be performed on the lateral view. In the discs where the needle was inserted, it was possible to inject a small amount of methylene blue, not greater than 1ml.

Guide wire, obturator, working-sheet and endoscope (Figure 2C-F)

The guide wire is than inserted inside the cannulated needle without further difficulties in L3L4 and L4L5. Except for the L5L6, where the needle stayed in the posterior aspect of the vertebral body, adjacent to the disc, the guide-wire was forced inside the bone to allow the next steps of the procedure. The following steps did not create further difficulties that could be considered differently than human surgeries. After removal of the needle, an obturator was introduced along the guide wire and its position was confirmed (Figure 2D). The guide-wire was removed, and a bevel-ended working sheath was introduced along the obturator and placed adjacent to the disc (Figure 2E). After removal of the working-sheet (Figure 2F) and insertion of the endoscope, the first step of the procedure is complete.
**Second step**

**Endoscopic view and anatomic identification**

In this second step, fluoroscopy is only used to check position of the instruments and verify anatomic parameters. After insertion of the endoscope and cleaning of the operatory field with radiofrequency ablation, it was possible to identify the same structures as in human procedures: the blue stained disc, the superior articular process (SAP) of the inferior vertebrae and the emerging nerve root (Figure 3A). Additionally it was possible to identify the inferior articular process (IAP) of the superior vertebrae and its extension with the cranial laminae.

**SAP drilling and exposition of the dural sac**

Using an oval cutting burr and a Kerrison punch, it was possible to partially drill out the SAP and IAP to expose the descending root within the dural sac (Figure 3B-C).

**Discectomy and emerging root dissection**

As with the insertion of the needle into the porcine lumbar disc, the introduction of instruments inside the disc was also very difficult. However, the identification of the discs was very clear, and it was possible to introduce a chisel inside (Figure 2F-G). At the end of the procedure it was possible to identify both emerging and descending roots with the annulus fibrosus in between along with a significant amount of fat (Figure 3D).

---

**Figure 2** - Fluoroscopic views of the procedure. Insertion of the needle (A, B), guide wire (C), obturator (D), working canula (E), and withdrawal of the obturator (F). Documentation of instruments inside the disc at the end of the procedure on AP (G) and lateral (H) views.
Discussion

Although endoscopic spinal surgery is becoming more popular, the lack of proper training often leads to poor clinical results, discouraging the use of this undoubtedly effective technique.

As previously described, TF-PELP has a very steep learning curve. Lee and Lee\textsuperscript{17} show a significant reduction in operating time after treating their first 17 patients, additionally Kafadar \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{18} show a significant higher number of reoperations in their first 8 months experience with this technique. Therefore, along with proper indication of the procedure, education of the surgeon is essential.

Due to limited availability of human cadaver spines, particularly in Brazil, surgeons are being forced to travel abroad to participate in available cadaver hand-on courses to learn or improve such techniques, or may even be skipping this important step of the learning process.

As well as several other anatomical studies of the porcine spine, this study shows that this representative animal model reflects anatomical characteristics of the human spine\textsuperscript{6}. Busscher \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{6} used 6 four month-old domestic Landrace pigs with an average weight of 40kg for a fine anatomical study based on CT scans. Dath \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{9} used 18 to 24 month hybrid pigs weighting from 60 to...
80 kg, and they macroscopically dissected the vertebrae to perform their measurements. Although different methods were used, their studies considered larger samples and detailed anatomical measurements that were important to evaluate whether our study would be possible to replicate. The current model is bigger than those used by Busscher et al.\(^6\) and smaller than those used by Dath et al.\(^9\), however the main characteristics and proportions are maintained. It is interesting to note that the IVFH has the same behavior as in humans, higher in the upper lumbar spine and increasingly smaller as going caudally. Although the IDH is a bit greater when compared to Busscher samples, it is still much smaller when compared to humans. The human spine demands relatively larger caudal vertebral bodies to balance the higher longitudinal loads, in opposition to the quadruped spine. Also the greater range of motion of the lumbar human spine demands adaptable joints. These are probably some of the explanations for the smaller intervertebral discs heights observed in the porcine, which can be up to four times smaller than human disc heights in the lumbar region as shown in Table 2 and described by Busscher et al.\(^6\).

Additionally the lumbar Intervertebral foramen as height as 12.4 to 19.8 mm allow enough space for the endoscope introduction. The IVFD however (6.8 to 10.0 mm) limits the introduction of the endoscope inside the foramen, and with the correct use of its denomination, the transforaminal approach. The lower disc heights also make the disc puncture more difficult. However, training to start the procedure outside the foramen, extraforaminal, is more useful to identify the anatomical parameters and to practice different surgical steps and maneuvers such as foraminoplasty, outside-in discectomy, emerging root and dural sac dissection, upward and downward exploration for possible disc fragments migrations.

### Conclusions

The porcine spine is an effective, easily reproducible and representative model for learning and practicing transforaminal percutaneous endoscopic lumbar procedures. Although the described anatomical differences should be known, they do not interfere in learning and practicing all steps of TF-PELP in the porcine model.
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