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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To determine whether the use of electromyographic biofeedback in the therapy of orofacial functions (facial expression, chewing, swallowing, phonation and speech) will result in beneficial effects for individuals with neurological diseases. Research strategy: A keyword search was conducted in the MEDLINE, LILACS and SciELO databases, using the terms “electromyographic biofeedback”, “swallowing”, “speech”, “chewing”, “phonation”, and “facial expression”. The database search and the selection of papers were conducted independently by two researchers. In case of any disagreement, there was a discussion based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, so that they could reach a common ground. Selection criteria: This work has included experimental studies in humans, in English and Portuguese, which described and discussed the use of electromyographic biofeedback in the treatment of orofacial function diseases resulting from neurological illness. Results: A total of 175 papers were found, wherein only 10 fitted the inclusion criteria. Most works were case studies, followed by case series, case control, and only one randomized controlled trial. Most of studies addressed the therapy with electromyographic biofeedback in the swallowing function, followed by speech function, and only one study addressed the use of electromyographic biofeedback in therapy to improve facial expression. No studies addressing speech therapy using electromyographic biofeedback in patients with neurological diseases in the functions of phonation and chewing were found. Conclusion: The use of electromyographic biofeedback in the therapy for orofacial functions can result in beneficial effects for individuals with neurological diseases in the swallowing, speech, and facial expression functions.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Investigar se o uso do biofeedback eletromiográfico na terapia voltada às funções orofaciais (expressão facial, mastigação, deglutição, fonação e fala) produz efeitos benéficos para os indivíduos com doenças neurológicas. Estratégia de pesquisa: Foi realizada busca nas bases de dados MEDLINE, LILACS e SciELO, por meio dos descritores “electromyographic biofeedback”, “swallowing”, “speech”, “chewing”, “phonation”, e “facial expression”. A busca nas bases de dados e a seleção dos artigos foram realizadas independentemente, por duas pesquisadoras e, nos casos de não concordância, houve discussão fundamentada nos critérios de inclusão e exclusão para que chegassem a um consenso. Critérios de seleção: Foram incluídos estudos experimentais em seres humanos, em inglês e português, que descreveram e discutiram a utilização do biofeedback eletromiográfico no tratamento das alterações das funções orofaciais provenientes de doenças neurológicas. Resultados: Foram encontrados 175 artigos, sendo que somente 10 se adequaram aos critérios de inclusão. A maioria dos trabalhos relacionou-se a estudo de caso, seguido por estudos de série de casos, caso controle e ensaio clínico randomizado. A maior parte dos artigos abordou a aplicação da terapia com biofeedback eletromiográfico na função da deglutição, seguida da função da fala e apenas um artigo utilizou esta modalidade de tratamento na terapia para melhora da expressão facial. Não foram encontrados estudos que abordassem o tratamento fonoaudiológico utilizando o biofeedback eletromiográfico em pacientes com doenças neurológicas, nas funções de fonação e mastigação. Conclusão: O uso do biofeedback eletromiográfico na terapia voltada às funções orofaciais pode produzir efeitos benéficos para os indivíduos com doenças neurológicas, nas funções de deglutição, fala e expressão facial.
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INTRODUCTION

The main objective of speech therapy applied to irregularities of the stomatognathic system is to restore the breathing, chewing, swallowing and speaking abilities, aiming to find the myofunctional balance in individuals with or without anatomical and/or functional irregularities. This therapy may be applied to prevent, rehabilitate, or activate these functions\(^{(1)}\). In the therapy awareness phase, therapists make individuals aware of their patterns, as opposed to the normal pattern. Eventually, the new pattern learned in therapy should be put into practice on a daily basis, namely the automation phase.

The training of an irregular function occurs in between the steps of awareness and automation of speech therapy. The training consists of the therapist providing guidance to the patient, facilitating learning strategies, in order to regulate a specific function, bringing it closer to the normal pattern. Several techniques are used as tools for training orofacial functions. The visual tool is the one most commonly described, whereas most studies addressing the use of this strategy include the use of mirrors\(^{(2)}\).

Researchers of speech therapy have investigated neural mechanisms engaged in therapies that include the use of mirrors, as opposed to simple observation of movement, considering body limbs. The literature states that the use of mirrors increases the activation of the primary and superior visual areas, contralateral to the limb observed; it is concluded that the activation of brain lateralization is elicited by inverting the visual feedback (mirror)\(^{(3)}\).

Tactile strategies are also described, especially in the training of speech, with blowing strategies on the back of the hands to work the direction of airflow in the fricative and affricate phonemes\(^{(4)}\), as well as the use of proprioceptive tools to regulate the positioning of the tongue in some liquid phonemes. Still regarding the training of speech and vocal production, hearing and visual feedback is referred to as facilitators, with recording strategies to further analyze patients\(^{(5)}\) and delayed feedback for the speech training of patients with dysfluency\(^{(6)}\).

Some instruments used for evaluation are also described as supporting methods in the treatment of orofacial functions, namely nasometry and surface electromyography.

Nasometry, which quantifies relative nasal acoustic energy during the production of oral speech, is also used as a resource in therapy for patients with cleft palate and velopharyngeal dysfunction. A nasometer is used to increase visual perception of nasal and oral air flow in the practice of velopharyngeal functioning during speech production. Therapists make speech tasks more difficult, as patients improve their perception of the direction of the oral and nasal air flow\(^{(7)}\).

Another technique used as therapeutic methodology, yet rarely described in the field of speech therapy, is the use of electromyographic biofeedback. As a therapeutic strategy, it can be used in the aid of muscle relaxation and coordination, as well as in the engagement of a larger number of motor units during muscle activity\(^{(7)}\).

Some studies have demonstrated clinical efficacy in the treatment of a variety of muscular disorders and, in the field of Speech Therapy, although poorly addressed, the technique is commonly used in neurological cases of paralysis, spasticity, and neurological hyperfunction\(^{(7)}\).

The possibility of applying surface electromyography (EMG) to clinical speech therapy brings important contributions. One is related to the fact that it is an objective examination which allows for numerical results that may quantify muscle function. Furthermore, equipment-generated visual images help patients and therapists understand the muscle function to be assessed and treated. Although there is still little standardization of therapeutic procedures and assessment of the muscles involved in orofacial functions, EMG provides a great range of applications intended to prove the therapeutic efficacy of exercises and to improve the work of speech therapists when dealing with orofacial myofunctional disorders.

OBJECTIVE

This work was aimed at assessing whether the use of electromyographic biofeedback therapy that is focused on orofacial functions (facial expression, chewing, swallowing, speech and speech) brings about beneficial effects for individuals with neurological diseases.

RESEARCH STRATEGY

This is a systematic review of the literature on electromyographic biofeedback application methods for the treatment of neurogenic irregularities in orofacial functions. The authors of this work sought to find relevant articles in the MEDLINE (through PubMed), LILACS and SciELO platforms (through Bireme).

The following keywords were used: “electromyographic biofeedback”, “swallowing”, “speech” “chewing”, “phonation”, and “facial expression”, whereas “electromyographic biofeedback” was present in all combinations, to obtain the largest possible number of papers on this topic.

The search in the databases and the selection of the papers were performed independently by two researchers, who used the same criteria and the same terms and operators. In case of any disagreement, there was a discussion based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, so that they could reach a common ground.

SELECTION CRITERIA

This work has included a selection of papers published in English and Portuguese, and with humans as research subjects. Only experimental studies that described and discussed the use
of electromyographic biofeedback in the treatment of orofacial functions (FOF) caused by neurological irregularities were analyzed, regardless of date of publication.

Papers were excluded when they were in duplicate, presented insufficient data on the description of electromyographic biofeedback application, and were not available in full text.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

The analysis of each research paper took into account type and level of evidence, which functions were addressed, electrode positioning, age groups, and diseases being studied. They were sorted according to the functions they investigated, as well as the methods in use and the findings.

**RESULTS**

After the database search, 175 articles were selected, and 91 of them were repeated. Forty-five percent of the articles addressed the function of speech; 28% addressed swallowing; 11%, facial expression; 10%, phonation, and 6% chewing. Thus, we selected 84 articles to analyze their titles and abstracts; 63 of them did not fit the inclusion criteria, because they lacked sufficient data on the description of the methodology, because they were not applied to neurological diseases, or were written in a language other than English or Portuguese, or even because they did not use EMG as a therapeutic strategy. After that analysis, 21 papers were included in the discussion between researchers, who disagreed on 7 of them. Out of these 7 papers, 1 was included and others were excluded, thus totaling 15 papers. At the end of the selection, 5 papers were excluded because the full text was not available; a total of 10 and papers were included in this review (Figure 1).

According to the Oxford\(^{(8)}\) table, most papers presented a level 4 of evidence, except for one paper, which had a higher level of evidence. Most of the works were case studies\(^{(9,10,11,12,13)}\) (5 papers), followed by series of case studies\(^{(14,15,16)}\) (3 papers), with one being a case control\(^{(17)}\) and another\(^{(18)}\) a randomized clinical trial; there was a low level of evidence for these works (Figure 2).

---

Figure 1. Steps for selection of the papers
Most papers (five) considered the application of biofeedback therapy electromyography in the swallowing function\(^{14,15,16,17,18}\). Although the application of biofeedback associated with swallowing therapy is recent\(^7\), there is a great number of researchers interested in proving the efficacy of this treatment in neurological diseases. While addressing the function of speech, 4 papers used electromyography biofeedback in neurological patients\(^{9,10,11,13}\) and only one paper used electromyography biofeedback in therapy for the improvement of facial expression\(^{12}\). No paper discussed the function of chewing and speech in the treatment of neurological patients (Table 1).

There was a significant difference in the age groups studied among the works, from 7 to 88 years of age. Taking into account that the process of aging brings physiological changes to the function of swallowing, the elderly population is at risk of dysphagia\(^9\) as a result. The papers that addressed the swallowing function focused on age groups that ranged from 42 to 88 years old. Papers that addressed younger age groups referred to the rehabilitation of speech function and facial expression.

The neurological diseases most often addressed in the papers (3 items) were cerebrovascular accident (CVA)\(^{9,15,16,18}\), followed by traumatic brain injury (TBI), included in 2 papers\(^{9,11}\). The other conditions were lesions on the brainstem\(^{14}\), Parkinson’s disease\(^{17}\), trigeminal neuralgia\(^{10}\), spastic cerebral palsy (SCP)\(^{13}\), and bilateral facial paralysis\(^{12}\). Stroke and TBI are among the most relevant diseases of the central nervous system.  

![Figure 2. Distribution of studies according to their methodology](image)

### Table 1. Distribution of papers, according to the authors, year of publication, analyzed function, basic neurological disease, age, gender and sample number of subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>Analyzed function</th>
<th>Disease studied</th>
<th>Age of the samples</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Sample number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Draizar</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>Speech</td>
<td>Bi-hemispheric dysfunction associated with CVA / TBI / Wilson's Disease, TBI with spastic quadriaparesis and dysarthria</td>
<td>18, 23 and 79</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubow et al.</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>Speech</td>
<td>Trigeminal neuralgia with facial spasm</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nemec and Cohen</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>Speech</td>
<td>Spastic dysarthria in TBI</td>
<td>24 years</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallegos et al.</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Facial expression</td>
<td>Bilateral facial paralysis associated with Moebius syndrome</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huckabee and Cannito</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Swallowing</td>
<td>Chronic dysphagia subsequent to the brainstem.</td>
<td>42-76 years (mean 62 years)</td>
<td>3 F 7 and F</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crary et al.</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Swallowing</td>
<td>Pharyngeal dysphagia after TBI</td>
<td>43-86 years (X = 69 years)</td>
<td>16 M and 9 F</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marchant, McAuliffe, Huckabee</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Speech</td>
<td>Spastic dysarthria in SCP</td>
<td>13 years</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boogardt, Grolman, Fokkens</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Swallowing</td>
<td>Chronic dysphagia after TBI</td>
<td>54 to 71.4 years</td>
<td>10 M and 1 M</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCullough et al.</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Swallowing</td>
<td>Dysphagia after TBI</td>
<td>42-88 years</td>
<td>M and 11 F 7</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Swallowing</td>
<td>Dysphagia in Parkinson's disease</td>
<td>64-83 years</td>
<td>6M</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtitle: TBI = traumatic brain injury; CVA = cerebrovascular accident; SCP = spastic cerebral palsy; M = male; F = female
system that lead to speech and language disorders, and they may cause changes in various stomatognathic system functions\(^{(20)}\) (Table 1).

With respect to the function of swallowing, most papers studied dysphagia in stroke\(^{(15,16,18)}\) (60%), followed by Parkinson’s disease\(^{(17)}\) (20%) and brainstem lesion\(^{(14)}\) (20%). Therapies were carried out in around 6 to 20 sessions in total.

**DISCUSSION**

It is estimated that in patients who experienced a stroke, over 50% have dysphagia, with major complication of aspiration pneumonia. The presence of dysphagia, assessed at the bedside, was associated with increased incidence of lung infection in comparison with patients without dysphagia (33% and 16%, respectively). Dehydration and malnutrition are also common in dysphagia patients, especially those receiving modified thickened liquids or diets\(^{(21)}\). In patients with Parkinson’s disease, the prevalence of oropharyngeal dysphagia occurs between 52% and 82%\(^{(22)}\) of cases. Signals of dysphagia in patients with Parkinson’s disease include increased oral transit time, language festination movement, inadequate control of bolus with premature pharyngeal escape, swallowing in portions, hyolaryngeal complex motion reduction, as well as the base of the tongue, pharynx and epiglottis, and oesophageal dysmotility and reflux. The consequences of dysphagia in these patients may include weight loss, dietary changes and death from aspiration pneumonia\(^{(23)}\).

Most papers (80%) used, in speech therapy for swallowing, maneuvers associated with electromyographic biofeedback\(^{(14,15,16,18)}\), and only one (20%) used a target goal for functional training. They showed the patients the normal pattern of swallowing and their own pattern, and established a target route for functional training\(^{(17)}\). The Mendelsohn maneuver was chosen by all papers that adopted maneuvers, except for one, which, in addition to Mendelsohn maneuver, used swallowing effort, Masako and Shaker maneuver\(^{(14)}\).

The use of a swallowing maneuver, alone, provides the electromyographic recording of their specific physiological effects, thus allowing to examine the use of individual exercises. The Mendelsohn maneuver, used in most studies, was the most appropriate for checking the function of the lifter muscles of the larynx, which can measure the highest peak elevation of larynx during swallowing.

The Mendelsohn maneuver aims to maximize the elevation of the larynx and the opening of the Cervical Esophagus, during swallowing. It consists of voluntarily maintaining, for a few seconds, the elevation of the larynx at its highest point during swallowing. Swallowing with effort, in which the patient should force the ingestion of food, is performed in order to increase the muscle strength of the structures involved, optimizing sending and passing the bolus through the oropharynx. The Masako maneuver is used to increase the movement of the posterior wall of the pharynx during swallowing. In this maneuver, the patient should undergo swallowing with protruded tongue, caught between the incisors. The Shaker maneuver aims to improve the laryngeal elevation and increase the efficiency of the protective mechanisms of the airways, by working the extrinsic muscles of the larynx. In this maneuver, the patient should be lying without a pillow and lift his head, looking at his feet, without lifting his shoulders\(^{(24)}\).

In most studies (80%), the electrodes were placed on submental muscles, the suprahyoideus\(^{(14,16,17,18)}\) and in 20% of the studies, between the hyoid bone and the upper edge of the thyroid cartilage\(^{(15)}\).

All studies found increased diet scale level in cases of oropharyngeal dysphagia. One study reported that 55% of patients achieved complete oral feeding\(^{(15)}\). Another work mentioned that all patients who underwent complete therapy achieved satisfactory level of oral intake while those who underwent the therapy partially, increased this level by 1 or 2 points on the FOIS scale\(^{(18)}\). The management of dysphagia aims to protect airways and provide safe swallowing, for better quality of life, in addition to maximizing function or compensatory potentials\(^{(25)}\) and, hence, patient’s awareness is important to the completion of the proposed treatment plan.

In one of the studies, researchers conducted two weeks of therapy with biofeedback embedded with conventional therapy and compared them with weeks without treatment. They were able to show an improvement in the weeks that included treatment and worsening when no biofeedback was applied in cases of dysphagia\(^{(26)}\). Thus, treatment continuity is essential to patient rehabilitation. A longer treatment, with a complete treatment program, can bring greater generalization of the learned pattern.

In the study which compared conventional treatment with conventional therapy associated with electromyographic biofeedback, greater improvement was noted in patients’ quality of life, in conventional therapy associated with EMG biofeedback, especially in the long term, thus demonstrating better after-treatment results\(^{(17)}\).

All papers described satisfactory results in the use of electromyographic biofeedback associated with conventional therapy, which suggests that the use of EMG biofeedback method as an adjunct to conventional therapy can facilitate the learning of new neuromuscular patterns for swallowing, and thus provide greater gain for the patient compared with conventional treatment\(^{(17)}\) (Table 2).

There are several rehabilitation proposals for oropharyngeal dysphagia with proven efficacy, described over the years. These include using protective maneuvers to ease swallowing, conducting orofacial myofunctional exercises, and performing vocal exercises. The literature has been showing the efficacy of electromyographic biofeedback associated with these treatment strategies to improve the strength and coordination of swallowing. However, there is a need for further randomized
controlled clinical studies in order to better understand the contribution of this technique\(^\text{[17]}\). In addition to the use of electromyography, other biofeedback strategies have been described in the literature for swallowing rehabilitation, such as the use of endoscopy. It allows and directs visualization of the oropharyngeal mechanism of swallowing\(^\text{[26]}\), and the use of equipment, such as the Iowa Oral Performance Instrument (IOPI), can show the amplitude of pressure during tongue resistance exercise\(^\text{[27]}\).

As for studies addressing the function of speech, 40% of them conducted treatment for TBI\(^\text{[9,11]}\), 20% for CVA\(^\text{[9]}\), 20% for SCP\(^\text{[13]}\) and 20% for trigeminal neuralgia\(^\text{[10]}\).

The most common speech disorder in individuals with neurological diseases is dysarthria (60%)\(^\text{[28]}\). Dysarthria is defined as a neurologic motor impairment of speech, characterized by slowness, weakness, imprecision and / or uncoordinated movements of the muscles of speech, which can impair respiration, phonation, resonance, and / or articulation\(^\text{[29]}\). It is one of the consequences of ECA, when articulation, breathing, voice, rhythm and fluency\(^\text{[30]}\) can be impaired. In TBI, dysarthrias are present in approximately 45% of cases\(^\text{[31]}\).  

In SCP, hyperreflexia and exaggerated increase in muscle tone occur, with reduction of voluntary movements\(^\text{[13]}\). In individuals with SCP, pastic dysarthria is characterized by strained vocal quality with possible roughness and reduced pitch variation, related to the hypertension of laryngeal musculature\(^\text{[5]}\).

In the publications found, the number of sessions ranged from 10 to 31 in total. In 40% of the studies, the task consisted of reading activities\(^\text{[9,11]}\), 20%, repeating words and syllables, expanding simple sentences, with increased ability\(^\text{[9]}\). Twenty percent of the studies used the facial muscle relaxation activity, because of muscular tension caused by spasms, performing relaxation in therapeutic sessions with patients starting in the reclined position, up to the sitting position, ending upright on the chair\(^\text{[10]}\). Twenty percent of studies adopted the production of isolated speech sounds and then word sounds\(^\text{[13]}\). The studies used the positioning of the electrodes at various locations. In one study, they were positioned on the suprahyoid muscles\(^\text{[26]}\); in another one, in the frontal region\(^\text{[10]}\); in another, on the masseter, temporalis and lateral pterygoid\(^\text{[11]}\); and, in the last study, the electrodes were placed on submental muscles, on suprahyoid muscles and orbicularis\(^\text{[13]}\). The variability of electrode position was due to different rehabilitation objectives of the studies, as one sought the relaxation of the facial muscles,
another, the reduction of spasm and another, the improvement in mandibular elevation, all of them in order to achieve a more intelligible speech.

All studies had results of intelligibility improvement in speech. Two studies described improved tongue mobility and posture and better intraoral pressure\(^9\).\(^{10}\).\(^{11}\) Other two studies reported that after treatment, the improvements were maintained, getting good generalization of skills learned in the treatment with electromyographic biofeedback\(^{11,13}\).\(^{12}\).\(^{13}\).\(^{14}\).\(^{12}\) In one study, the patient had greater strength in the articulation of words, improvement in the velopharyngeal function, in intelligibility and awareness of nasality, obtaining a non-nasal voice quality\(^9\). Another study, in order to reduce muscle spasm to improve speech, obtained the reduction of spasms as a result, and consequent improvement in speech intelligibility\(^9\).\(^{10}\).\(^{11}\).\(^{12}\).\(^{13}\).\(^{14}\).\(^{15}\) In another study, the patient was able to maintain high jaw, adjusting tongue posture in the articulation position\(^{11}\). The results were examined through the acoustic analysis of the recording of the patient’s speech, as well as tests and software programs used for quantitative analysis of speech (Table 3).

The analyzed studies show that speech therapy combined with electromyographic biofeedback is effective for neurological disorders.

In the past years, the treatment provided by speech therapies was based on articulatory training of orofacial components. Nowadays, in addition to articulation training, which is an exercise for accurate production of phonemes, through over-articulation and articulatory compensation, there is the instrumental treatment, which can be accomplished by electromyographic biofeedback; the metronome, which assists the speed of speech, and devices that provide delayed hearing feedback. These resources have proven to be effective for speech disorders\(^{12}\).

Electromyographic biofeedback and other biofeedback methods can be effective because they involve constant self-correction. Motor planning and motor control skills are continuously stimulated and beneficial neuronal plasticity is induced. In one study, which used visual biofeedback for individuals with irregularities in the rhythm, the authors found that changes occur in cortical activation when biofeedback is used, highlighting a cortical reorganization induced by this method\(^{33}\).

There are few studies on the use of electromyographic biofeedback treatment in neurological patients for improvement of facial expression. In this systematic review of the literature, we found only one paper adopting this type of speech therapy; thus, comparisons cannot be made among studies. The work was performed in a patient with bilateral facial paralysis associated with Moebius syndrome\(^{12}\).

Moebius syndrome is a rare, non-progressive disease, characterized by the paralysis of the facial nerve, mostly bilateral, sixth nerve palsy, and it may be associated with injury and other cranial, thoracic abnormalities, and limbs, dental problems, malformation of the tongue and cleft palate\(^{34}\). The main features are: lack of facial expression; convergent strabismus; inadequate tongue mobility; micrognathia; suction, chewing, swallowing and speech irregularities; clubfoot; syndactyly\(^{35}\).

There are several types of treatment for facial paralysis. Among them, there are treatments with drugs, surgery, botulinum toxin and speech therapy\(^{36,37}\). Speech therapy is made through manipulations in the muscles of the face, use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Total number of session</th>
<th>Placement of electrodes</th>
<th>Task performed</th>
<th>Results found in studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Draizar</td>
<td>15 to 31</td>
<td>Suprahyoid muscles</td>
<td>Repetition and reading</td>
<td>Improvement in speech intelligibility, articulation of words, intraoral pressure and oral control, velar function, language coordination and increased verbal expression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubow et al.</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Front</td>
<td>Relax muscles in different positions</td>
<td>The patient was able to decrease the electrical activity of EMG and improve spasm control, favoring speech intelligibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nemec and Cohen</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Masseter, temporalis and lateral pterygoid</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Tongue position regarding the appropriate articulatory positioning was improved because of the patient’s ability to exercise control over the mandibular elevation. Improved speech intelligibility and good generalization of skills learned during treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marchant, McAuliffe, Huckabee</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Submental / suprahyoid and orbicularis</td>
<td>Production of isolated speech sounds and then sounds in words</td>
<td>Improvement in speech intelligibility, with improvements maintained after treatment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One of the treatments for facial paralysis is the use of EMG biofeedback, referred to as effective for providing the patient with immediate response to muscle activity, promoting facial muscle reeducation, making up for normal activity\(^\text{38}\).

Gallegos et al.\(^\text{12}\), in a case study, have demonstrated patient subjective improvement, both with emotional expression, and in their general state of mind. In addition, it was subjectively observed that pronunciation of the phoneme /s/ improved, and the patient’s speech had an overall improvement (Table 4). The study proved that the treatment with electromyographic biofeedback embedded with conventional therapy was effective in a case of facial expression improvement in bilateral facial paralysis. Further research is needed to prove this technique in neurological disorders. In addition to the use of electromyographic biofeedback, the use of mirror as a biofeedback tool has also been described in the treatment of facial paralysis\(^\text{2,38}\).

In most cases, the results of the selected studies proved satisfactory for speech therapy embedded with electromyographic biofeedback to improve swallowing, speech, and facial expression functions in patients with neurological diseases. However, these works have included a reduced number of individuals. Thus, further studies that include a greater number of subjects are needed to prove such effectiveness.

There were no studies aiming at assessing the use of speech therapy embedded with electromyographic biofeedback in patients with neurological diseases for the phonation and chewing functions. Considering the effectiveness of the results of studies addressing biofeedback electromyographic in swallowing, speech and facial expression functions in individuals with neurological irregularities, further studies should address the other functions of the stomatognathic system, to check for the effectiveness of treatment in these speech disorders.

**CONCLUSION**

The use of electromyographic biofeedback in orofacial function therapy can produce beneficial effects for the swallowing, speech, and facial expression functions of individuals with neurological diseases. In view of the low level of evidence of the selected studies, further randomized clinical studies with a greater sample size are needed, and they should take into account the specifics of the different types of neurological diseases.
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