Evaluation of biochemical and clinical markers of endothelial dysfunction and their correlation with urinary albumin excretion in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Endothelial dysfunction (ED) plays an important role in the pathogenesis of diabetic nephropathy. The purpose of the study was to determine flow mediated endothelial dependent vasodilation (FMD) measurements and serum soluble (s) endothelin-1 (ET-1), intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) and vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM-1) levels in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) with or without increased urinary albumin excretion (UAE) and compare them with the healthy controls. Subjects and methods: Seventy three patients with T1DM were enrolled. Patients were divided into two subgroups according to microalbumin measurements in 24-hr urine collections. The diabetic patients without microalbuminuria (41 patients) were defined as Group I and those with microalbuminuria (32 patients) were defined as group II. A hundred age and sex matched healthy subjects participated as the control group (Group III). Serum sET-1, sICAM-1, sVCAM-1 levels and FMD measurements were determined in all participants. Results: Median FMD measurement was significantly lower in the diabetic groups compared with the control group (6.6, 6.4 and 7.8% in Group I, II and III, respectively) (p < 0.05). FMD was negatively correlated with age (p = 0.042). Median serum sICAM-1 level was higher in the patient groups compared to the control group (p < 0.05). Median serum sVCAM-1 level was higher in the group of patients with increased albuminuria compared to the normoalbuminuric and the control group (p < 0.05). Serum sVCAM-1 level was found to be positively correlated with degree of urinary albumin excretion (p < 0.001). Conclusion: We assume that sVCAM-1 may be used as a predictive marker for risk stratification for nephropathy development and progression.
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INTRODUCTION

Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is a chronic metabolic disease characterized with autoimmune pancreatic beta cell destruction affecting young adults. During the course of the disease micro- and macrovascular complications develop. Diabetic nephropathy (DN) occurs in 30-40% of the patients and is the major cause of end stage renal disease (ESRD) and one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality in T1DM (1). Once ESRD develops, costly therapies such as hemodialysis or transplantation are required. Overt nephropathy is usually preceded by increased albuminuria which is not only associated with the risk of developing renal insufficiency but also with the development and progression of cardiovascular disease (2). Thus, there is considerable interest in determining the mechanisms responsible for albuminuria and in identifying early markers that may be associated with that complication of diabetes.

Well known clinical risk factors for progression of nephropathy are increased urinary albumin excretion (UAE), hypertension (HT), and hyperglycemia (3). Although strict glycemic control and the regulation of blood pressure reduce microvascular complications, nephropathy can still progress in some patients suggesting presence of other pathophysiologic mechanisms.

Inflammation and endothelial dysfunction (ED) were hypothesized to play an active role in the pathogenesis of DN (4). The term ED refers to an impairment of the ability of the endothelium to properly maintain vascular homeostasis (5). Although the term is often used in reference to a loss of bioavailable nitric oxide (NO), ED also reflects increased production of vaso-
constrictors and disturbed regulation of inflammation, thrombosis, and cell growth in the vascular wall (5,6).

In the early phase of ED, an imbalance between endothelium-derived vasodilator and vasoconstrictor substances develops (7). Endothelin-1 (ET-1) is not only one of the most potent vasoconstrictors but it also has pro-inflammatory and profibrotic effects, which may contribute to the pathogenesis of nephropathy. In the previous studies, it was demonstrated that serum ET1 level was increased in diabetic patients with microalbuminuria, retinopathy or higher glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) (8-10).

In a normal endothelium NO creates an anti-inflammatory environment and prevents adhesion molecules to bind the inner surface of the vessels. However in presence of DM, NO is reduced, expression of vascular and intercellular adhesion molecules is increased allowing leucocytes to attach endothelial surface easily and progression of inflammation (11). Expression of those molecules was shown to be increased in retina, arterial walls and glomerulus of the diabetic patients (12). Previous studies reported a positive correlation between those molecules and rate of the complications (13). Intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) and vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM-1) were shown to increase leucocyte adhesion on retinal vessels beginning from the earliest stages of diabetic retinopathy (DR) and correlates with presence of gross proteinuria (14). In another study, in the rat model of streptozotocin-induced diabetes, retinal leukostasis increased within days of developing diabetes and correlated with the increased expression ICAM-1 and its blockade with a specific antibody prevented diabetic retinal leukostasis and vascular leakage by 48.5% and 85.6%, respectively (15).

Flow mediated endothelial dependent vasodilatation (FMD) is one of the most extensively used non-invasive technique for assessment of endothelial function. It determines the degree of endothelial dysfunction on the basis of NO production capacity of the brachial artery. There are reports in the literature showing decreased FMD in patients with diabetic nephropathy (16).

The aims of the present study are: 1) to determine serum sICAM-1, VCAM-1, ET-1 levels and FMD measurements in T1DM patients with or without increased UAE and compare them with the control group; 2) to search the correlation between the UAE and those biochemical markers of ED in diabetic patients; 3) to determine the correlation between biochemical markers and a clinical marker (FMD) of ED.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Study design

Seventy-three patients with T1DM (36 female and 37 male) who regularly visited the Endocrinology Clinics between December 2011 and December 2012 and a hundred age and gender matched healthy individuals were enrolled in the present study. Each patient accepted and signed informed consent forms. The local ethics committee approved the study protocol.

Patient selection was made by the same endocrinologist on the basis of the following inclusion criteria: T1DM (as defined by deficient C-peptide secretion and autoantibody positivity at the time of diagnosis), duration of disease longer than 5 years. Patients with smoking history, coronary heart disease, congestive heart failure, peripheral artery disease, renal failure or chronic liver disease were excluded from the study.

Seventy-three patients with T1DM divided in two subgroups. Group I was defined as those with normal UAE (41 patients), and Group II was defined as those with increased UAE (32 patients). Microalbumin excretion more than 30 mg/24 hr was defined as increased UAE. A hundred healthy, nondiabetic subjects (50 male, 50 female) were recruited from hospital staff to serve as the control group (Group III).

After detailed medical history (demographic data, duration of diabetes, date of initial diagnosis, smoking history, comorbidities, recent medications) was recorded, physical examination was performed for each participant. Systolic and diastolic blood pressures (SBP)/(DBP) were measured twice in the seated position after at least 15 minutes of resting. HT was defined as SBP ≥ 140 mmHg, DBP ≥ 90 mmHg or the current use of antihypertensive medications. All subjects underwent detailed ophthalmologic examination, including best-corrected visual acuity, applanation tonometry, anterior segment slit lamp biomicroscopy, and dilated fundus examination for presence of retinopathy and the data was recorded.

Laboratory examination

Blood samples were drawn into plain vacutainers from the antecubital veins of healthy controls and patients after 12 hr fast and 30 min of supine rest between 8 and 9 a.m. Blood samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 minutes and serum was transferred in the eppendorf tubes. All serum samples were kept in -80°C freezer and were run at the same time for biochemical evaluation. sET-1, sICAM-1 and sVCAM-1 levels were
studied with commercial elisa kits (sET-1; Biomedica Gruppe, sICAM-1 and sVCAM1; Bender medical systems GmbH Viena, Austria). All intra- and interassay coefficient of variation (cv) was 4.0/6.0, 4.1/4.7 and 3.1/5.2 for ET-1, ICAM-1 and VCAM-1, respectively.

Screening for increased urinary albumin excretion (> 30 mg/day, Roche Diagnostics, Manheim, Germany) was performed by measurement of the albumin in 24-h or timed urine collections.

Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and the nondiabetic range used was 4.0-6.0% (30-60 mmol/mol). To measure serum glucose and total cholesterol, triglycerides (TG), high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) and low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) measurements were made by an autoanalyzer using enzymatic methods (Roche Diagnostics®).

**FMD measurements**

All measurements were taken in the morning, in a quiet, air-conditioned room maintained at 23°C. The subjects rested quietly in the supine position for 20 min before and during each FMD measurement. Just before the cuff inflation, systolic and diastolic blood pressure was measured on the left arm. Brachial artery FMD was used as a measure of endothelium dependent vasodilation (EDV) using techniques previously described (17,18). In the supine state, ultrasound imaging (7 MHz, Acuson 128 XP, Mountain view, CA) of the brachial artery was performed in a longitudinal plane at a site 1–3 cm proximal to the antecubital fossa of the arm. Baseline images were recorded and a blood pressure cuff was placed on the forearm and inflated to 50 mmHg above SBP for 5 min. Arterial diameter was determined during peak hyperemia after release of the blood pressure cuff from the forearm. To assess dilation, 10 s of images were captured 1–3 min after cuff release. Flow velocity was recorded at baseline and just after cuff release where maximal velocity was observed. FMD was defined as the ratio of flow mediated dilation to the basal measurement of the arterial diameter (maximum diameter-basal diameter x100).

**Statistical analysis**

All analyses were performed using the SPSS for Windows software package (ver. 11.5, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). The nonparametric Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to compare samples with the reference probability distribution, whereas the homogeneity of the variances was assessed using Levene’s test. Descriptive statistics are presented as means ± SD, with medians (minimum–maximum) for continuous variables and percentages (%) for categorical variables. The significance of the differences between mean values was assessed by one-way ANOVA. To assess significance of difference between median values, Kruskal-Wallis test was used when there were more than two groups to compare whereas Mann Whitney U test was used when there were only two groups to compare. If significant differences were detected after one-way ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis tests, post hoc Tukey’s HSD or Conover’s nonparametric multivariance comparison tests were used to define the effective factors. For categorical variables, differences were assessed by chi squared and Fisher’s exact tests, as appropriate. A value of p < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

**RESULTS**

Seventy three patients with T1DM and a hundred healthy controls were enrolled in the study. Forty one patients (56.1%) with T1DM had normal UAE (Group I) while thirty two (43.9%) had increased UAE (Group II). Demographic data of the patient (Group I and II) and control group (Group III) was listed on Table 1. Sex distribution and mean age was similar between the groups. Median DM duration was 8 (5-40) years in Group I and 11.5 (5-35) years in Group II. HT was present in 4 patients (9.8%) in Group I, 7 patients (22.6%) in Group II and 4 patients (4%) in group III (control group, n = 100) (p = 0.087) (Table 1). Mean serum LDL and median TG were similar between groups. The mean HDL was significantly lower in Group I compared to Group III (56 vs 47 mg/dL, p < 0.01). The median serum HbA1c levels were similar in the diabetic groups (group I and II) and were increased significantly, compared with the control group, as expected (p < 0.001; Table 1).

Fundoscopic examination of the diabetic patients revealed that only 9 of 41 patients (28%) in Group I had DR (8 patients had non proliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR) and 1 had proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR)). In Group II fundoscopic examination of 3 patients (9.4%) was normal, 15 (46.9%) had NPDR and 14 (43.8%) had PDR. Prevalence of DR was significantly higher in Group II compared to Group I (p < 0.05).
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Median sET-1 level was 8.1, 7.7, and 10.5 fmol/l in Group I, Group II and Group III, respectively and didn’t differ significantly between the groups \( (p = 0.066) \) \((\text{Table 2, Figure 1A})\). Median sICAM-1 level was significantly higher in diabetic groups \((\text{Group I and II)}\) compared to the control group \((\text{Group III)}\) \((\text{Group I vs Group III; } p < 0.001, \text{ Group II vs Group III; } p = 0.05)\) whereas it was similar between Group I and II \((\text{Table 2, Figure 1B})\).

Median sVCAM-1 level was significantly higher in Group II compared to Group I and III \((\text{Group II vs Group I; } p < 0.001, \text{ Group II vs Group III; } p < 0.05)\). There wasn’t any significant difference between Group I and III \((\text{Table 2, Figure 1C})\).

Median FMD measurement was 6.6, 6.4 and 7.8% in Group I, II and III, respectively. It was significantly lower in Group I and II compared to group III whereas there was no difference between Group I and group II \((\text{Group I vs Group III; } p = 0.002, \text{ Group II vs Group III; } p = 0.039)\) \((\text{Table 3, Figure 1D})\).

Any significant correlation wasn’t detected between FMD, ICAM-1, VCAM-1, ET1 and HbA1c \( (p > 0.05) \) in diabetic patients. FMD was negatively correlated with age \( (p = 0.042) \) \((\text{Table 4})\). FMD was not correlated with duration of diabetes \((\text{Table 4})\).

A positive correlation was detected between serum VCAM-1 level and degree of urinary albumin excretion \( (p < 0.001) \) whereas serum ICAM-1 and ET1 were not correlated with the degree of UAE \((\text{Table 4})\).

### Table 1. Demographic, clinical and biochemical data of the groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group I (n = 41)</th>
<th>Group II (n = 32)</th>
<th>Group III (n = 100)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>33.3 ± 9.0</td>
<td>33.7 ± 10.7</td>
<td>35.7 ± 7.7</td>
<td>0.060†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female/male</td>
<td>20/21</td>
<td>16/16</td>
<td>50/50</td>
<td>0.992‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM duration</td>
<td>8 (5-15)</td>
<td>11.5 (5-25)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.059¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT</td>
<td>4 (9.8%)</td>
<td>7 (22.6%)</td>
<td>1 (4.0%)</td>
<td>0.087#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL mg/dL</td>
<td>99.0 ± 39.2</td>
<td>115.2 ± 37.7</td>
<td>113.3 ± 3.6</td>
<td>0.139†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL mg/dL</td>
<td>47 (31-68)a</td>
<td>50 (27-110)</td>
<td>56 (29-88)a</td>
<td>0.016$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG mg/dL</td>
<td>93 (45-220)</td>
<td>100 (47-333)</td>
<td>109 (46-513)</td>
<td>0.440$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine mg/dL</td>
<td>0.89 (0.50-1.60)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.60-2.10)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.4-1.2)</td>
<td>0.680$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c %</td>
<td>8.9 (5.6-12.0)a</td>
<td>9.2 (6.0-12.3)a</td>
<td>5.3 (4.4-6.3)A</td>
<td>&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group I: T1DM patients without microalbuminuria, Group II: T1DM patients with microalbuminuria, Group III: Control Group, a: difference between Group III and Group I is significant \( (p < 0.01) \), b: difference between Group II and Group III is significant \( (p < 0.001) \), † One-Way ANOVA, ‡ Pearson’s Chi-Square test, ¶ Mann Whitney U test, # Likelihood ratio test, $ Kruskal Wallis test.

### Table 2. Comparison of ET-1, ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 levels between the groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>sET-1 Median (minimum-maximum) (fmol/l)</th>
<th>sICAM-1 Median (minimum-maximum) (ng/mL)</th>
<th>sVCAM-1 Median (minimum-maximum) (ng/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I (n = 41)</td>
<td>8.1 (0.6-16.5)</td>
<td>5100 (1450-12860)a</td>
<td>736.5 (419.9-1516.7)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II (n = 32)</td>
<td>7.7 (3.1-23.5)</td>
<td>4520 (326.1-11070)b</td>
<td>975.9 (352.2-1525.5)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III (n = 100)</td>
<td>10.5 (3.0-19.0)</td>
<td>685.7 (120-14460)a</td>
<td>680.1 (380.0-1041.9)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value†</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ET-1: endothelin-1, ICAM-1: intercellular adhesion molecule-1, VCAM-1: vascular cell adhesion molecule, Group I: T1DM patients without microalbuminuria, Group II: T1DM patients with microalbuminuria, Group III: Control Group, a: difference between group I and III is significant \( (p < 0.001) \), b: difference between Group II and III is significant \( (p < 0.05) \), c: difference between Group I and II is significant \( (p < 0.001) \), † Kruskal Wallis test.
DISCUSSION

Endothelial dysfunction is a broad term which implies dysregulation of endothelial cell functions, including impairment of the barrier functions of endothelial cells, vasodilation, disturbances in proliferative capacities, migratory as well as tube formation properties, angiogenic properties, attenuation of synthetic function, and deterrence of white blood cells from adhesion and diapedesis (19). In the previous studies it was demonstrated that in T1DM, ED develops in the early stages of the disease and persists despite glycemic control is achieved (20). Since T1DM is caused by pure insulin deficiency, contribution of hyperglycemia to the vascular damage can be observed more clearly in the absence of conflicting comorbid conditions such as HT, hyperlipidemia, obesity and metabolic syndrome.

Flow mediated dilation is a non invasive method used for evaluation of endothelial functions mediated by prostaglandins, nitric oxide and endothelium dependent hiperpolarising factor (21). In our study FMD was found significantly lower in diabetic groups compared to controls regardless of UAE. FMD was not correlated with HbA1c, disease duration or degree of albumin excretion but was negatively correlated with
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Levels of ICAM-1 and sVCAM-1 that are markers of inflammation were also evaluated in the present study. sICAM-1 was significantly higher in diabetic subgroups compared to controls supporting our results (22). Lekakis and cols. demonstrated that basal brachial artery diameters were different but FMD measurements were similar in diabetic patients with or without microalbuminuria whereas there was no correlation between FMD and HbA1c (23). In our study FMD was higher even in patients without any evidence of retinopathy or nephropathy suggesting that endothelial dysfunction occurs way before clinically overt arterial damage develops. This hypothesis is supported by Sibal and cols. who demonstrated that FMD was reduced by % 45 in patients with T1DM without any complications compared to controls (24).

Endothelin-1 is a marker of ED and plays role in angiogenesis, fibrosis, inflammation and acts as a vasopressor agent causing HT (25). In our study there wasn’t any significant difference in sET1 level between the diabetic subgroups and the control group. There is scarce data in the literature about the level of ET1 in T1DM patients compared to controls and the correlation between ET-1 and UAE rate. Most of the studies were conducted with T2DM patients and there are conflicting results suggesting that ET-1 level is not changed, increased or even decreased in diabetics (26-28). Those contradictory results might be arising from the heterogeneous group of patients in different study populations in regard to duration of diabetes or degree of glycemic control. It is also suggested that ET-1 is a peptide that functions in autocrine or paracrine ways and serum level does not directly reflect the functional amount (27). In our study ET-1 is not correlated with presence or degree of increased UAE. However in a previous study, it was demonstrated that ET-1 blockage improves diabetic nephropathy or slows the progression in mice regardless of the changes in blood pressure (29).

In the present study, serum sVCAM1 level was significantly higher in the diabetic subgroups compared to the control group. In T1DM patients with increased UAE, sVCAM-1 was significantly higher than the ones with normal UAE. A positive correlation was detected between sVCAM-1 and degree of UAE. There are reports in the literature demonstrated that serum sVCAM-1 is increased in patients with T2DM (31,32). However Bannan and cols. compared T1DM with their first degree relatives and healthy subjects and they didn’t detect any significant difference in regard to VCAM1 between the groups (33). Our study results are supporting the report by Schmidt and cols. and Clausen and cols. who found that VCAM1 was higher in diabetic patients with microalbuminuria compared to normoalbuminuric patients and control subjects (34,35).

In our study there wasn’t any correlation between serum ICAM-1, VCAM1 and HbA1c, duration of diabetes. Muni and cols. reported the results of follow up data of DCCT trial and similar to our study they didn’t detect any significant correlation between inflammatory biomarkers (c-reactive protein, ICAM-1 and VCAM-1) and HbA1c, age and duration of diabetes (36).

There are limitations of our study. First of all the last HbA1c value of the patients were considered while evaluating the correlation between glycemic control and ED. HbA1c reflects the glucose levels of last three months and relation of ED and glycemic control is complex and requires a time period. If we consider that ED begins in the early phase of the disease, it would be more appropriate to take mean of several HbA1c measurements since the diagnosis of DM. Another limiting factor is measuring FMD once for each patient. Acute hyperglycemia or acute hypoglycemia can affect FMD measurements so plasma glucose levels at the time of measurement should have been verified (37,38).

Despite the limitations, our study is the first including FMD, sET-1, sICAM-1, sVCAM-1 measurements and comparing them in subgroups of T1DM with or
without microalbuminuria. Since serum VCAM-1 level was significantly higher in microalbuminuric patients, we assume that it may be used as a predictive marker for risk stratification of nephropathy development and progression. We also think that medical agents targeting that proinflammatory molecules can be used in the primary prevention of diabetic nephropathy and cardiovascular disease in the future.
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