EFFECTS OF FOLIAR FERTILIZATION OF COMMON
BEAN (Phaseolus vulgaris, L.) DURING THE
SEED-FILLING STAGE (*)
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SUMMARY

An experiment was carried out with common bean
(Phaseolus vulgaris, L.) in a Red Yellow Latossol, sandy phase,
in order to study the influence of foliar spraying of the Hanway
nutrient solution (NPKS) at grain filling stage on: 1) grain
yield; 2) the uptake of fertilizer and soil nitrogen by this crop
through the root system and 3) the efficiency of utilization of
the nitrogen in the foliar spray solution by the grain.

The results of this experiment showed that the foliar
application of the Hanway solution with ammonium nitrate at
the pod filling period caused severe leaf burn and grain yield
was inferior to that of the plants which received a soil application
of this fertilizer at the same stage. These facts can be attributed
to the presence of ammonium nitrate in the concentration used.
The composition of final spray was: 114,28 Kg NH₄NO₃ +
43,11 Kg potassium poliphosphate + 12,44 Kg potassium sulphate
per 500 litres.

The uptake of nitrogen fertilizer through the root system
and the efficiency of its utilization was greater than that through
the leaves.

INTRODUCTION

The term “foliar fertilization” refers to the application of fertilizers
by spraying or dusting the leaves of the plants. This term still implies
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the nutrients uptake contained in the fertilizers and their utilization in
useful compounds for the plant growth. Until certain extent this term
is not correct, once all the parts of the plants can absorb nutrients:
flowers, pods, fruits, branches, stem, trunk, etc. But, as the leaves present
a greater exposition surface, the term “foliar fertilization” prevailed.

GRIS, 1844 e 1847, a french researcher, was the first who sprayed
an iron solution in plants and corrected by this practice this deficiency.
During almost one century, the foliar fertilization was neglected.

In 1930, more attention, was given to this new fertilizer practice.

In recent years, many experiments have been conducted to determine
the effects of foliar sprays containing macronutrients on various
crops. Results have been inconsistent. In Brazil, some research workers were worried about the use of this fertilization technique (process),
even before the advent of polyphosphates. (NEPTUNE, et al., 1967;

However, foliar application of primary nutrients is feasible in some
situations for certain crops which need pesticides during their growth
cycle.

The greatest applicability of foliar sprays was for micronutrient to
fruit trees, coffee trees, ornamental and vegetable crops, all of which
of high crops value.

Since 1974, experiments have been carried out using potassium polyphosphates, urea and a small amount of sulphate source in solutions
as a foliar spray on soybeans, in the United States by Allied Chemical
Corporation and Iowa State University. Polyphosphates sources were preferred over orthophosphates in view of the work by BAREL and
BLACK quoted by GRAY, 1977, showing that polyphosphates caused
less leaf burning. In February 1975, Allied Chemical Corporation has conducted some experiments in Brasil, in the State of Parana. At the
Iowa State University, a potassium polyphosphate solution (0-26-25)
made in TVA plant, was used by GARCIA and HANWAY, 1976. The
merit of these investigators consisted of finding out the seed filling period of soybean as the best stage for foliar spraying. The explication
given was the following: during the pod filling period, photosynthates produced in plant leaves are translocated to developing seed. Without
food from the leaves, the roots are starved (stop growing), nodules die and uptake of most nutrients slows and stops. Therefore, addition of
nutrients to the leaves at this stage may prevent nutrient depletion, keep the leaves more active in carryinb photosynthesis and increase
seed yields (HANWAY, 1962).
The results obtained by GARCIA and HANWAY, 1976, from the 2 years of field experimentation demonstrate conclusively that soybeans yields can be significantly increased by foliar application of an NPKS solution. The experimental data indicate that for such foliar application to be most effective, they must supply all four of the nutrients, NPKS, making two or four applications, between the stage where beans were beginning to develop and could be felt when the pod was squeezed and the stage where beans were physiologically mature, i.e. 50% of the leaves were yellow.

In order to verify if the benefits obtained with soybean, could be obtained with common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris, L*), this experiment was conducted to study the influence of foliar spraying of the Hanway nutrient solution (NPKS) at grain fillings stage on: 1) the efficiency of utilization of the nitrogen in the foliar spray solution by the grain, 2) the uptake of fertilizer and soil nitrogen by the crop through the root system, and 3) grain yield.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Experimental Design**

The treatments given below, in number of eight were laid down in a RBD replicated 5 times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>At seeding (Soil addiisation)</th>
<th>At the pod filling stage (Foliar spray or Soil application)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>Foliar spray-Hanway Solution, with $^{15}$NH$_4$,$^{15}$NO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PK + $^{15}$NH$_4$,$^{15}$NO$_3$ (30 kg N/ha)</td>
<td>Foliar spray-Hanway solution with unlabelled NH$_4$NO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PK + NH$_4$NO$_3$ (unlabelled) (30 kg N/ha)</td>
<td>Foliar spray-Hanway solution with unlabelled NH$_4$NO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Idem — Treatment 3</td>
<td>Idem — Treatment 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Idem — Treatment 4</td>
<td>$^{15}$NH$_4$,$^{15}$NO$_3$ applied in Soil as top dressing (30 kg N/ha)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Idem — Treatment 4</td>
<td>Idem — Treatment 6 + Treatment 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Absolute control</td>
<td>(without NPK)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The original plan was not followed because we were not be able to import urea-$^{15}$N. The alternative was to carry out the experiment, substituting urea by ammonium nitrate-$^{15}$N, with 1,5% atoms of $^{15}$N in excess, the only tagged nitrogen fertilizer that was available.

Plot layout

Each treatment plot consisted of 7 rows. The plot dimensions were 10 m length and 3.5 m width. The planting distances was 8-10 cm within the row and 50 cm between the row.

The N-15 labelled fertilizer and/or N-15 labelled foliar spray solution was applied to subplot with 2.56 length and 1.0 m width. Unlabelled nitrogen fertilizer was applied to the rest of the plot outside the subplot demarcated for N-15 labelled fertilizer.

Soil used and its analysis

The soil used is a Red Yellow Latossol, sandy phase, of the Experimental Field, of the Department of Soils and Fertilizers, ESALQ, Piracicaba, State of São Paulo.

Composite soil samples from the experimental site, at different depths, were taken for physical and chemical analysis. The moisture determination was made by the gravimetric method. (Table I).

Table I — Soil analysis of the experimental site at different depths.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth cm</th>
<th>Soil moisture %</th>
<th>H$_2$O</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>CaCl$_2$</th>
<th>Total N</th>
<th>NH$_4$</th>
<th>NO$_3$</th>
<th>mg/100 g soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$_2$SO$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Al+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Na+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ca++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mg++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pH value was determined by a glass electrode in soil: H$_2$O ratio and soil: KCl ratio, 1:2.5; organic carbon by the method of WALKLEY and BLACK, as described by JACKSON, 1956, total nitrogen by the semi-micro Kjeldahl procedure described by BREMNER, 1965, nitrates and "exchangeable" ammonium by the magnesium oxide-Devarda
alloy methods, described by BREMNER, 1965; soluble phosphorus was
determined by photocolorimetric method after extraction with H_2SO_4,
0.05N; exchangeable potassium was determined by flame photometry
after extraction with a solution of KC1 0.05N; exchangeable calcium and
magnesium were determined in the flame spectrophotometer of absorp­
tion after extraction with a solution of KC1 1N; exchangeable alumi­
nium was titrated with a solution of NaOH 0.02N after extraction with
a solution of 1 KC1 1N;;; and exchangeable hidrogen was titrated with
NaOH 0.02N after extraction with calcium acetate 1N, adjusted to pH
7.0.

Cultivar used

Tris cultivar (local name: feijao Carioca) is a plant with indeter­
mined growing habit, long peduncle and a growth cycle around 90 days.
D'ARTAGNAN et al., 1971 pointed out that good yields have been obtain­
ted by the producers with this cultivar, which shows a great resistance
to diseases.

Seed inoculation and sowing

The seeds were inoculated with strains and sowing took place on
November 12th and emergence of plants occurred on November 22th. The
strain used were 127 — K — 14 and 127 — K — 17 from Nitragin Co.,
USA and a strain, called CENA-04.

Soil fertilizers application

The entire experimental plots were uniformly treated before so­
wning, with 100 kg P_2O_5/ha as ordinary superphosphates and 60 kg
K_2O/ha as potassium chloride.

The plots of the treatments 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 received, at seeding, 30
kg N/ha, as ammonium nitrate, except that treatments 3 and 5 received
labelled ammonium nitrate. All the fertilizers were applied in banding.
The treatments 6 and 7 received, at the pod filling stage a top dress
application of labelled ammonium nitrate (30/ kg N/ha).

The foliar nutrient spray

The composition of the Hanway nutrient solution for the foliar
spray treatment was: 80 kg N (as ammonium nitrate unlabelled or la­
belled with 1.5% atoms of ^15N in excess), 22.42 kg P_2O_5 (as potassium
polyphosphate), 31.38 kg K_2O (as potassium polyphosphate) and 4.48
kg S (as potassium sulfate) per 1000 litres.

This solution was sprayed twice at 250 litres/ha per spray, spaced
at 10 days apart during the grain filling stage. The first spraying was
done on January 12th when the beans began to develop and could be
bent when the pods were squeezed, and the second and last, on January
21st, when the pods were yellowing, and 50% of the leaves were yellow.

The N-15 labeled solution was sprayed on the inside parts of rows 4 and 6 falling within the N-15 sub-plot and on the whole of row 5 in
this sub-plot. The unlabelled solution was applied to rest of the plants
in the treatment plot, including the whole of Rows 1, 2, 3, and 6, and
the outside parts of rows 4 and 6 falling outside the N-15 sub-plot.

The sprayings was carried out early in the morning. Treatment
plots not receiving the nutrient solution spray was similary sprayed
with an equal volume of water.

Harvesting

An intermediate harvest for dry matter yield, total N yield and N-15
analysis was done at the pod-filling stage on January 12th 1977, taking
plants from all replicates of treatments receiving N-15 labelled fertilizer
or N-15 labelled foliar spray.

At the end of the growth cycle, on February 7th 1977, 20 plants of
row 5 were harvested for grain, and above ground part yield, and for
N-15 analysis. As at this stage most of the leaves were falling, the lea­
ves, stems and husks were joined together and considered as straw.

Yield measurements were made on the plants harvested from rows 4, 5, and 6, leaving out 50 cm lengths at each and of the plot. The
weight of grain and above ground part from the area harvested for
15-N analysis was added on to the yield harvest data from the rest of
the area harvested for yield.

Observations on nodules

Nodule observation was done at late seeding stage (4 weeks). Four
plants were picked at random from each of the 4 sub plots demarcated
for nodule observation in the sampling row.

Plant analysis

The plant samples were dried in a ventilated oven at 65 to 70°C.
On the ground samples, passing through a 20-mesh screen, total nitro­
gen was determined by the plant digestion procedure described by HAN­
WAY, 1962.
After the digestion of another portion of ground samples with nitro-perchloric acid, in suitable aliquots of the extract, phosphorus was determined by the vanadate-molybdate-yellow method, in the photocolorimeter Klett Summerson, potassium by the flame photometer of emission and sulphur by the Johnson and Nishita method. (JOHNSON and NISHITA, 1952).

Determination of the isotopic ratio $^{14}\text{N}/^{15}\text{N}$

The plant samples were prepared according to the Dumas method modified (PROKSCH, 1969), and the isotopic composition of $\text{N}_2$ liberated was determined in the mass spectrometer Varian-Mat, model CH-4, by measurement of the ion currents corresponding to mass 28 ($^{14}\text{N}^{14}\text{N}$) and mass 30 ($^{15}\text{N}^{15}\text{N}$).

Potential evapotranspiration (Et)

This was calculated by the method of PENMAN adapted to the conditions of Piracicaba, according to OMETTO, 1974. (FIG. 1).

Cultural practices

Weeding was done on November 30, December 15, December 28, 1976 and January 10, 1977.

Sprayings of the fungicide Antracol (Zinc-Bis-Dithio Carbamate) at the rate of 2 kg/ha and a concentration of 0.25% and of the insecticide Dicarbon 85 (Naftil-N-metil-carcamate) at the rate of 1.8 kg/ha and at a concentration of 0.2% were made on November 30, December 13, and December 28.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The fertility status of this sandy loam soil is low in exchangeable bases and CEC, but it has good physical properties. Contents of total nitrogen and soluble phosphorus are medium.

There was a good soil moisture at the seeding.

The data obtained at the intermediate harvesting, were as expecting (Table II). Concerning the dry matter yield, the treatments which received nitrogen at seeding showed significative difference with the absolute control. The same pattern was observed in respect to the quantity of nitrogen in kg/ha taken up by the plant. From the 0 kg N/ha, applied at seeding, the plants utilized 5.8 kg N/ha.
Fig. 1. Precipitation and Potential Evapotranspiration (ET$_p$) calculated by the method of PENMAN, adopted to the conditions of Piracicaba (OMETTO, 1974).
Table II — Dry plant weight (kg/ha), percentage and kg/ha of total N, and nitrogen in the dry plant weight derived from the fertilizer (NDFF) at the pod filling stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Dry Plant Weight (kg/ha)</th>
<th>% Total N</th>
<th>Kg Total N</th>
<th>% NDFF</th>
<th>Kg NDFF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1049b</td>
<td>2.29b</td>
<td>24.09b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1040ab</td>
<td>2.29b</td>
<td>23.77b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1129b</td>
<td>2.27ab</td>
<td>25.59b</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td>5.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1167b</td>
<td>2.30b</td>
<td>26.78b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1154b</td>
<td>2.23ab</td>
<td>25.72b</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>5.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1144b</td>
<td>2.31b</td>
<td>26.36b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1124b</td>
<td>2.27ab</td>
<td>25.52b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>944a</td>
<td>2.04a</td>
<td>19.26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV % 6.276  5.295  7.504
dms 141 0.24 3.86

Data regarding straw and grain yield, % total N, Kg total N/ha, % NDFF (nitrogen derived from the fertilizer), Kg NDFF/ha and EFU% (Efficiency of fertilizer utilization) are given in Table III. The treatment 6, where the nitrogen was been applied in the soil at seeding and at the pod filling stage, excelled the othr tratments, with a grain yield of 1231 kg/ha, followed by the treatment 3, which received only the nitrogen at seeding. The treatments 2, 4, 5, and 7 which received the foliar application of the Hanway solution yielded less. This fact can be attributed to the presence of ammonium nitrate in that concentration. The composition of final spray is: 40 kg N + 11.12 kg P_{2}O_{5} + 17.5 kg K_{2}O + 4.48 S per 500 litres. This is equivalent to: 228.57 g NH_{4}NO_{3} + 86.23 g potassium polyphosphate + 24.89 g K_{2}SO_{4} per liter.

The visual symptoms was a general burning of the leaves. The spraying was done at noon, on January 12th. On January 17, it was observed a recuperation of the young leaves. But, at the second foliar spraying, the leaf burn was more severe. When leaf burn occurred, photosynthesis probably has been reduced and the plant did not translocate added nutrients to the seeds. The high incidence of leaf burn can be attributed to the high salt index of ammonium nitrate in the application of foliar fertilizer. The application of urea, instead of ammonium nitrate would probably give better yield.

The best yield was obtained with the treatment 6; this means that the topdress application of ammonium nitrate at the pod filling stage is also efficient, as the foliar fertilization at the same stage. Unfortunately, the sol application of nitrogen at that stage is not too much feasible.
Table III — Grain and straw yield in kg/ha, percentage and kg/ha of total N, nitrogen in the straw and grain derived from the fertilizer (NDFF) and percentage of efficiency of fertilizer utilization (EFL) at the final harvest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Straw (Kg/ha)</th>
<th>Grain (Kg/ha)</th>
<th>% Total N</th>
<th>Kg Total N/ha</th>
<th>% NDFF</th>
<th>kg/NDFF</th>
<th>EFL (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>Straw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>531abc</td>
<td>750abc</td>
<td>0.89ab</td>
<td>2.96abc</td>
<td>4.76a</td>
<td>22.16ab</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>415ab</td>
<td>638ab</td>
<td>1.28cd</td>
<td>3.52b</td>
<td>5.47ab</td>
<td>22.21ab</td>
<td>16.4b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>772d</td>
<td>1000d</td>
<td>1.01ac</td>
<td>2.90abc</td>
<td>7.76a</td>
<td>29.19bc</td>
<td>13.53ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>730d</td>
<td>775bc</td>
<td>1.31d</td>
<td>3.45be</td>
<td>9.45bc</td>
<td>26.68bc</td>
<td>15.52bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>702c</td>
<td>768abc</td>
<td>1.35d</td>
<td>3.30bc</td>
<td>9.57be</td>
<td>25.38bc</td>
<td>16.18bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>1231e</td>
<td>1.15bed</td>
<td>3.14abc</td>
<td>11.30e</td>
<td>38.75d</td>
<td>14.06b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>704c</td>
<td>936c</td>
<td>1.37d</td>
<td>3.42bc</td>
<td>9.75bc</td>
<td>32.06cd</td>
<td>8.29a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>388a</td>
<td>544a</td>
<td>0.83a</td>
<td>2.72a</td>
<td>3.22a</td>
<td>14.85a</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dms</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>5.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The treatment 6 showed also the better absorption of the fertilizer nitrogen and also the better efficiency of the fertilizer utilization (Table III — 6th and 7th columns).

Notwithstanding the severity of the leaf burn and the low grain yield, the plants of the treatments that have been sprayed indicated an EFU approximately half that of the plants in treatment 6. It is reasonable to accept that there has been foliar nitrogen absorption.

In respect to the quantity in kg/ha of phosphorus and potassium in the grain and in the straw derived from the fertilizers and from the soil the treatments 6 and 3 were superior to the other (Table IV).

Table IV — Percentage and kg/ha of phosphorus and potassium in grain and straw yield at the final harvest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>G R A I N</th>
<th>S T R A W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% P</td>
<td>kg P/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>3.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>3.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>4.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>3.824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>3.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>5.952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>4.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.362</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV % 10.46 17.31 3.57 14.48 26.28 20.66 10.64 12.95
dms — 1.43 — 3.69 — 0.38 — 8.61
F (Tukey) n.s. 3.86 n.s. 4.31 n.s. 5.37 n.s. 3.91

Regarding the weight of nodules in mg (Table V) and the number of nodules per plant (Table V), it can be inferred that all the treatments were nodulated, however, the coefficient of variation was extremely high (95% and 102% for the weight and number of nodules, respectively); this invalidated any conclusion.
Table V — Weight in mg and number of nodules per plant (average of five replicates).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Weight (x) of nodules per plant</th>
<th>Number (y) of nodules per plant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg</td>
<td>√x + 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV %</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>55.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESUMO

EFEITOS DA ADUBAÇÃO FOLIAR DO FEIJOEIRO (*Phaseolus vulgaris, L.*) DURANTE O ESTÁDIO DA FORMAÇÃO DOS GRÂOS

Um experimento foi conduzido com feijoeiro (*Phaseolus vulgaris, L.*) num latossol vermelho amarelo, fase arenosa, a fim de estudar a influência da pulverização foliar de solução de nutrientes (NPKS) durante o estádio da formação de grão na: 1) produção; 2) absorção de nitrogênio do solo e do fertilizante pela cultura através do sistema radicular e 3) a eficiência pelo grão de utilização de nitrogênio contido na solução de nutrientes pulverizada.

A composição da solução era: 114,28 kg NH₄NO₃ + 43,11 kg polifosfato de potássio + 12,44 kg de sulfato de potássio por 500 litros.

Os resultados deste experimento mostraram que a aplicação foliar desta solução de Hanway com nitrato de amônio em lugar de uréia, durante o estádio da formação de grão, causou queima da folha e a produção de grãos foi inferior àquela das plantas que receberam uma aplicação no solo de fertilizante nitrogenado no mesmo estádio. Esses fatos podem ser atribuídos a presença de nitrato de amônio na concentração usada.

A absorção do nitrogênio do fertilizante através do sistema radicular e a eficiência da sua utilização foi maior do que daquela através das folhas.
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