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ABSTRACT – Background – Acceptance of the prevailing pediatric Rome constipation criteria, by primary care physician, is still low. Even for research purposes they have not been universally adopted. Thus, it has been indicated that some re-evaluation of these criteria would be welcome. Objective – The authors aimed to look at the timing of diagnosis and the dietary treatment recommendations in the criteria, to make proposals trying to approximate them to everyday practice. Methods – The literature cited in the Rome criteria was reviewed and the publications pertinent to the subject, searched by Medline up to January 2018, were included. Results – An early diagnosis is fundamental to avoid evolution to bothersome complications and possibly to ‘intractable’ constipation, but the inclusion of two items of the criteria might hamper it. Thus, one constipation sign/symptom should suffice, usually the easily observable ‘painful or hard bowel movements’. Details about dietary fiber recommendations are missing in the criteria, although its increase is usually the first approach in primary care, and overall the data about dietary fiber supplements point to beneficial effects. Conclusion – For diagnosis and treatment of pediatric constipation in primary care, one constipation sign/symptom should suffice. The recommended daily dietary fiber intake, according to the American Health Foundation, should be detailed as a treatment measure, and also for prevention, from weaning on.


INTRODUCTION

Chronic childhood functional constipation (FC) can be considered a public health problem, since it is highly prevalent worldwide, the cure rate is only around 50% to 60%, recurrence rates are high, and behavior problems are often associated, leading to an important impact on quality of life and to a great economical burden(1-4). Prevalence rates vary a lot, however, and this can be attributed, at least in part, to different definitions used for its diagnosis(4,5). The Rome III criteria for FC, recently substituted by the Rome IV criteria, tried to uniform the diagnostic and treatment criteria(6-9), but its acceptance is still low(10-13); up to 79.5% of the primary care physician rely on personal experience for diagnosis(12). Reasons for the low acceptance could be the multiple and often changing criteria, the fact that they could be the multiple and often changing criteria, the fact that they are based mainly on ‘expert opinion’, the grade of evidence mostly being low or very low, and/or that they do not fulfill the physician’s experience and needs(11,14). In fact, in everyday clinical practice often infants present only with straining/pain at defection of hard and/or scybulous/pebble-like stools, daily or every second day, but the Rome III/IV definition might hamper an early diagnosis at that point(15). In addition, usually the first approach by the primary care physician is to implement a dietary fiber dense diet (DFdd) for these patients(12,16-18), but details about DF recommendations are missing in the criteria. Even for research purposes, the Rome criteria are not universally adopted(19). Thus, it has recently been indicated that some re-evaluation of the Rome criteria would be welcome(6,10). Diagnostic and treatment criteria should be able to let constipation be detected at its earliest signs, and to avoid that children evolve to ‘intractable’ constipation; this condition might end up in surgery or electric stimulation(20), and, of course, all efforts should be made to avoid that an originally functional disorder evolves to such invasive and/or expensive interventions. The question remains, however, whether the evolution to ‘intractable’ constipation could have been interrupted by early diagnosis, and adequate treatment and follow-up. It has been reported that early therapeutic intervention in infants (<3 months of symptoms or <2 months of treatment before referral) contributes to the resolution of constipation, that onset of constipation before age 1 year is a poor prognostic sign, and that in constipated children younger than 4 years of age, prognosis is better when the child is treated before age 2 years(12,6,7). Furthermore, in children with severe constipation, evidenced by the need for rectal biopsy, a better outcome was associated with an earlier diagnosis(21).

Taking the above cited factors into account, we aimed to look at the timing of diagnosis and the dietary treatment recommendations in the prevailing pediatric Rome constipation criteria(6-9), and to make proposals trying to approximate the criteria to everyday practice.

METHODS

The references cited in the pediatric Rome constipation criteria II, III, IV(6-9,22) were reviewed. The literature cited in a recent book chapter(23) was updated for Jan 2013-Jan 2018. MEDLINE (PubMed) was searched using the headlines ‘constipation children’, ‘constipation diet’.
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Timing of diagnosis

Up to 40% of FC begins in infancy, often after weaning\(^6,16,17,24,25\). In Brazilian community studies, 21% to 22% of infants already present constipation\(^6,27-30\). Also, 1/3 to 2/3 of mothers of children attended with constipation refer its onset in the first year of life\(^6,27-30\), and these data are trustable, since in a similar population it has been shown that recalled data were similar to recorded data\(^31\). Van Tilburg et al.\(^10\) also showed this similarity, but agreement between daily diaries and the Rome III questionnaire was poor. However, a recent review, which tried to change childhood constipation paradigm from diagnosis and treatment to prevention, did neither mention weaning as a risk factor nor diagnosis at an early age\(^41\).

In addition, many complications, which often also begin early in life, ensue along time (FIGURE 1)\(^16\). Median age at onset of constipation symptoms was 3 month, but 53 month at first visit, with a median interval of 38 month\(^16\). A similar mean interval of 31 month from median age at onset 27 month to first visit (57% ≥48 month) has been reported\(^32\). Had appropriate treatment begun at the early onset age, possibly much suffering could have been avoided, since complications disappeared after successful constipation treatment\(^16\).

![FIGURE 1. Constipation complications: cumulative percentage according to age of onset, in 163 children at the first visit (median age 53 month) to a pediatric gastroenterology outpatient unit. Only data at the first visit are available for pseudo-diarrhea and urinary retention bouts.](image)

Thus, considering that FC frequently begins in infancy, many complications ensue along time, that diagnosis depends on definition, and that early diagnosis is desirable, definition should be able to make an early diagnosis. However, it is difficult to diagnose FC by the Rome III/IV criteria at the very beginning, because two items are needed for diagnosis, in both age ranges\(^6,8\).

Looking at the six items for neonate/toddler in details\(^6,8\):

- ‘2 or fewer weekly defecations’ is infrequent in weaned constipated infants\(^13\); it occurred in 13% of ≤2 years old at presentation in a general pediatric clinic\(^18\), and it seems to occur later in the follow-up, as can be suspected from the compilation of Brazilian studies: in tertiary services 43.1%-65.8% of the children with constipation presented <3 weekly defections, whereas this occurred only in 17.3%-27.0% in primary care units/community studies and in 4.0%-5.8% of constipated infants;
- ‘impression of excessive fecal retention’ may be difficult to value\(^6,7\);
- ‘large rectal fecal mass’ precludes community surveys; also, a proportion of parents are unwilling to accept a rectal exam, and in fact, it is often omitted in primary care, even when only one additional Rome III criterion is present\(^22\);
- ‘fecal incontinence’ and ‘large diameter stools (that may obstruct the toilet)’ are considered irrelevant in infants, since they could be observed only in toilet trained infants\(^8,9\) (except large diameter, a difficult to value item);
- ‘painful or hard bowel movements’ is easy to observe and can, therefore, be considered the most important item for an early diagnosis in infants/early age. The Bristol Stool Chart\(^28\) is useful in recognizing the stool characteristics.

Various studies indicate that one item, instead of two, should suffice for an early diagnosis: – in a cohort study from birth up to 4 years, scybalous stools, hard stools and/or difficult evacuation were by far the most frequent constipation signs, whereas <3 stools/week occurred in only 2.5% and 5.5% at 24 and 48 months respectively, and only 0.7% of 4 years old children off diapers presented ‘feces in clothing’\(^3\) – by the Boston criteria\(^36\), which consider only one item for diagnosis, 22.2% of 303 infants in three community Health Centers presented FC, whereas this would have been diagnosed in only 2.6% of them by the Rome III criteria\(^17\); – Malowitz et al.\(^32\) used Rome III as the inclusion criteria, but in the discussion they stated ‘there is a need for programs for primary care clinicians to educate them on recognizing and treating functional constipation according to published guidelines’, to say, delay or difficulty in defecation for ≥2 weeks sufficient to cause significant distress in the patient\(^38\); – only 12.8% of children seen as outpatients in a secondary-level hospital, with fecal impaction at the rectal exam, presented one additional Rome III criterion\(^33\); – in a recent core outcome set for clinical trials in childhood FC, defecation frequency was less often mentioned as the most important treatment outcome by parents and patients than by healthcare professionals, and ‘impression of fecal retention’ and ‘stools that obstruct the toilet’ were almost not mentioned\(^39\).

The Rome III and IV certainly diagnose FC, but besides the diagnostic delay, one must be cautious that - in clinical studies – control children are not the reverse of children with constipation. Exemplifying: an infant with five painful, hard and scibalous defections recorded along 2 weeks in a diary (2.5 weekly defections), no other symptoms, would not be considered constipated by the Rome criteria, and could be wrongly included in the control group.

Treatment

The multi factorial aspect of FC treatment has to be emphasized; outcome can be unsatisfactory, for instance, if only dietary treatment is approached without disimpaction procedures. Thus, the Rome III/IV recommendations about the initial treatment steps – education, and disimpaction whenever fecal retention/feecaloma is present –, followed by a decreasing laxative schedule are to be endorsed. However, dietary treatment was ignored by Rome III for both age groups, and Rome IV does not mention diet (nor disimpaction) for neonate/toddler\(^9,10\). For >4 years old children/adolescents, Rome IV recommends ‘normal’ fiber and fluid intake, based on the joint ESPGHAN/NASPGHAN recommendations, which considered evidences “very low” to indicate DF supplements, not mentioning any specific age group\(^9,14\).
The question is: what is a ‘normal’ DF intake? According to the initial pediatric Rome II constipation criteria it should be age (years)+5 g/day(22). But, following the proposal of the American Health Foundation, this was the minimum DF intake recommended for healthy ≥3 years old children, the considered safe range being age (years)+5-10 g/day. A similar range after weaning, increasing from 4-6 months onwards, was proposed in the same Conference(40,41). According to the US Dietary Reference Intakes the recommended amount for ≥1 year olds is even higher, to say, 14g/1.000 kcal, functional fiber being included in the latter amount(42). All recommendations still need to be validated, however. Also the adequate soluble/insoluble DF ratio has to be considered(20). The amount of DF which would be considered adequate for constipated children is unknown, but certainly it should not be lower than for healthy children (perhaps somewhat higher, instead), taking into account that most studies depicted a lower DF intake in constipated than in control children and, therefore, low DF intake is considered a risk factor for FC(4,23,42). It was also shown that adherence to a ‘Health Conscious’ dietary pattern was associated with a lower prevalence of constipation at 24 months of age(40), that there could be a bidirectional association between fussy eating and functional constipation in preschool children(44) and that picky eating was associated with a lower DF intake and hard stools(45).

Thus, in our opinion, the recommendation about DF intake in the pediatric Rome constipation criteria needs a more detailed approach, since dietary intervention, including an increase in DF, is almost universal among pediatricians as the first treatment step(12). It is easy to prescribe, not invasive, and has the additional advantage of decreasing the risk of obesity, diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, the metabolic syndrome, and several cancers, at the long term(46-48). Considering that almost all population surveys depicted a DF intake below the minimum recommended, for the majority of the children/adolescents(23), it has the additional advantage to be educative for the dietary component of a healthy life style. Impressed leaflets, as presented in FIGURE 2, are helpful.

Part of the resistance to recommend a DFdd could be the fear of a lower nutrient biodisponibility due to DF. But, adverse effects of overconsumption appear unlikely, except at extremes of intake(49). In line with this, respectively 27.6% and 17.1% of healthy community 2-5 years old children had an age (years)+5-10 g/day and >age+10 g/day DF intake [somewhat lower proportions after 10 years (26.4% and 13.4%) and also in older children/adolescents(50). Also Kranz et al.(51) presented a proportion of 2-5 years old children above the considered upper limit. In our experience, the bowel habit recovery of children with constipation was significantly associated with DF intake >age+10 g/day; this amount was ingested at 57.5% of their follow-up visits along up to 2 years, without adverse effect on the growth curves(52).

There is a belief that it is difficult to achieve children’s and their family’s adherence to a DFdd, and several interventions to increase acceptance have been tested(53): goal setting, stimulate patient’s responsibility, point rating, and physician’s versus physician’s plus dietician’s diet advice. In the latter study, although physician’s plus dietician’s advice was somewhat better, detailed physician’s diet advice alone did also significantly increase DF intake(53), and this is also the authors experience(52).

Treatment with polyethylene glycol (PEG) and lactulose were detailed in the ‘evidence–based recommendations’, but DF intake was not, although the level of evidence for a ‘normal’ fiber intake (instead of additional fiber), for disimpaction with PEG, as well as for PEG and lactulose for maintenance therapy, were equally graded “very low”(14). It was stated that there are no data to support a DFdd or DF supplements for treating childhood constipation, but there are also no data to refute the claim that they are helpful. In fact, a recent review stated that ‘limited evidence suggests that administration of a fiber supplement is more effective than placebo for the treatment of childhood constipation’(54). Reported studies about the outcome of constipation treatment, so far, have included children whose diets contained their usual foods or supplementation with mainly soluble (SDF) or insoluble fibers (IDF). In theory, IDF is better for laxation than SDF, and wheat bran, a predominantly IDF with a high pentose content, seems better than cocoa husk, whose main component is cellulose(55,56). Data about the outcome of constipated children receiving DF supplementation were recently compiled. It calls attention that in 6/7 studies with IDF supplements, wheat bran was employed(25,42). Overall, notwithstanding methodological aspects in these studies, they should be valued, since all point in the same direction of beneficial effects. In addition, a recent publication showed that green banana biomass can be safely used to reduce laxative doses(57). Thus, supplementation should not be condemned ‘a priori’, but could be recommended when a DFdd is not sufficiently accepted, or not effective, and for economically deprived populations, who cannot afford full corn products, usually more expensive than the refined ones. It seems much more reasonably to use a food component, like wheat bran (if available), to supplement refined cereals, than to use laxatives over years, and in fact, it is very helpful in our everyday practice. In Brazil – and possibly in many other countries – wheat bran is cheap and tested by governmental entities for food security, since it is included in horse and cattle food(58). Also, no negative influence on biochemical or anthropometric data was shown in the studies in which the supplementation was used(23).

Besides normal fiber diet, normal fluid intake is recommended in childhood FC(51,54). A classical publication by Loening-Baucke and some guidelines recommended higher water intake as part of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To help prevent and treat constipation, obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, some sorts of cancer, the family’s diet should always contain much dietary fiber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIETARY FIBER DENSE FOODS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEREALS: 6 portions/day, half full-corn: breads (wheat/rye), popcorn, pasta, breakfast cereals, rice, kibble wheat. Add wheat bran if necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGETABLES: 3–5 portions/day. Don’t throw away what can be eaten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRUITS: 2-4 portions/day (with bagasse/seeds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“fresh” unpeeled (not sieved for juice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dried: coconut, raisins, apricot, etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIL SEEDS: 1-2 portions/day: olives, all sorts of nuts and seeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PULSES: 1 portion/day; beans and other legumes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REMINDERS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEALTHY SNACKS: dried fruits and nuts, separate or together, olives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWEETS: add fresh/dried fruits, berries with condensed milk, pumpkin, etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRINK WATER WITH DIETARY FIBER DENSE FOODS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almost NO DIETARY FIBER in watermelon, melon, peeled cucumber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECREASE excess of protein (milk, eggs, meat, etc.), and of junk food</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 2. Example of impressed leaflets for a dietary fiber rich diet.
Proposals to approximate the pediatric Rome constipation criteria to everyday practice

Taking the above considerations into account, the proposals to approximate the pediatric Rome constipation criteria to everyday practice are:

1. Include prevention, starting at weaning. Besides an adequate formula (whenever economically possible), complementary food containing DF according to Agostoni et al. should be recommended. Had weaning already occurred between age 2-6 month, relactation could be tried as a first step, and, if not successful, poorly sensitizing complementary food containing DF should be anticipated, along with the formula. Had weaning occurred before age 2 month, the infant has to be closely observed, to introduce lactulose at the first constipation sign/symptoms.

2. Diagnosis and treatment should not be postponed: avoid delay in diagnosis, recognizing the initial symptoms and using the Bristol Stool Chart; one constipation sign/symptom should suffice to begin dietary treatment.

3. Treatment should be as vigorous as possible, with disimpaction (whenever fecal retention/fecaloma and/or complications are present), and at least age (years)+5-10g/day DF. DF supplements, mainly of IDF, should be recommended whenever a DFdd is not sufficiently accepted, or not effective, and for economically deprived populations.

CONCLUSION

Prevention and early diagnosis of FC are important and should be contemplated in the criteria. In addition, although many studies with emphasis on a DFdd and/or DF supplements fail methodological aspects, DF treatment of constipation should not be neglected, since overall the studies point in the same direction of beneficial effects.
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