Mental disorders and quality of life in patients awaiting liver transplantation
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ABSTRACT – Background – Liver transplantation is the main therapeutic alternative for patients with advanced liver disease. These patients have high prevalence of psychiatric comorbidities that may negatively interfere in clinical outcomes and quality of life. It is not clear in the literature whether the different etiologies of hepatic disease have the same prevalence of psychiatric disorders. Objective – The aim of this study was to investigate whether patients in the liver transplant list showed differences in psychiatric characteristics, medical variables and quality of life among different etiological groups. Methods – This is a cross-sectional study that evaluates quality of life, psychiatric and clinical comorbidities through the application of validated questionnaires and instruments in 248 patients who were on transplant waiting list from 2010 to 2014, assisted in a University Hospital and in a Private Hospital in Salvador/Bahia, Brazil. The patients were evaluated through the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I. PLUS 5.0) and Medical Outcomes Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36). Results – The etiology of the most prevalent liver disease was hepatitis C virus. A prevalence of 50.8% of at least one mental disorder was identified. When alcohol abuse/dependence was excluded, the prevalence was 25.8%. Mental health did not show a statistically significant difference in the diverse etiological groups, but a higher prevalence of psychiatric comorbidities was detected among women and younger than 40 years. No cases of psychotic disorders were detected, possibly by exclusion prior to listing. There was no difference in the quality of life domains in the different liver etiological groups. Conclusion – A high-prevalence of psychiatric disorders was found among all clinical conditions most associated with indication for liver transplantation. Attention is drawn to the absence of patients with psychotic disorders, which suggests that transplantation may not have been indicated for this group of patients. For these reasons, professionals caring for liver transplant candidates should be highly vigilant for the presence of mental disorders, regardless of the etiology of liver disease. Specialized care is recommended to minimize the early exclusion of patients with no other therapeutic possibilities, as well as care of all people with mental disorders.

INTRODUCTION

Liver transplantation is the main therapeutic alternative for patients with chronic advanced liver disease[1]. The solid organ is a limited resource since the number of potential transplant recipients and the available donors are scarce, which results in a long wait. In this context, patients “most likely to succeed” must be carefully selected. The literature demonstrates high prevalence of psychiatric comorbidities on the waiting list for liver transplantation[2-5]. It is observed that 40% or more of the individuals enrolled in the liver transplant list have comorbid psychiatric disorders, which may negatively affect the outcome of the procedure and consequently the quality of life[6]. However, it is not clear in the literature if different causes of liver transplantation present distinct prevalence of mental disorder[7]. Hepatitis C virus (HCV), alcoholic liver disease (ALD) and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) are clinical conditions which are most associated with indication for liver transplantation[8]. Individuals with HCV often experience a six-fold increased risk of suicide and the onset of depressive symptoms and anxiety that result in decreased quality of life compared to the general population[9]. ALD is one of the leading causes of chronic liver disease worldwide and has become a public health problem. This disease may range from simple steatosis, alcoholic hepatitis or steatohepatitis, progressive fibrosis, and eventually cirrhosis and/or hepatocellular carcinoma[10]. Alcohol consumption corresponds to 3.8% of overall mortality[11]. This study aims to investigate whether patients in the liver transplant list showed differences in psychiatric characteristics, medical variables and quality of life according to the different liver diseases.

METHODS

This was a cross-sectional study which included patients, aged over 18 years, eligible for liver transplantation, enrolled in the Hepatology Service of Professor Edgar Santos University Hospital and Portuguese Hospital between 2010 and 2014. This study was approved by the local Institutional Review Board (MCO-UFEBA – process number 14/2002) in accordance with the guidelines and norms of both the Brazilian Resolution 466/2012 and the Declaration of Helsinki of 2013 on research involving human beings. All participants provided written informed consent.
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Patients eligible for liver transplantation were analyzed in four different groups according to the clinical indication for transplantation. The first group – HCV – was formed by individuals infected with hepatitis C, including individuals with hepatitis B coinfection. The second – ALD – had individuals with alcoholic liver cirrhosis. The third – HCV and ALD – included patients with both diagnoses and the fourth one – other indications – were composed of individuals nominated for transplantation due to other diseases, such as autoimmune hepatitis, NASH, Wilson’s disease, hepatitis B and liver cancer.

Patients admitted to the hepatic transplant outpatient clinic were evaluated after insertion in the transplant list, submitted to the analysis of clinical and instrumental data for the diagnosis of psychiatric disorders and quality of life (QOL).

QOL was evaluated by the SF-36 self-assessment scale, which has eight quality of life domains: physical functioning, limitation of roles due to physical aspects, pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, emotional aspects and mental health. Each domain ranges from 0 to 100(12).

The Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview in its extended version – M.I.N.I. PLUS 5.0(13) was used to standardize the diagnostic method during the research. It is based on the DSM-IV criteria, the 4th version of the American Psychiatric Association Handbook on Mental Disorders, and the ICD-10 (World Health Organization, 1997). The structural organization of M.I.N.I. is composed of modules represented by letters of the alphabet which correspond to each category of diagnosis. There are key issues at the beginning of each module that represent the required criterion/criteria for each diagnosis. The questions were answered with a simple “yes” or “no” and the questionnaire was applied by the trained researchers.

In accordance with the distribution of continuous variables data, they were compared by using Student’s t-test, Mann-Whitney and ANOVA. The categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test (when necessary) and the powers of association between the independent variables as well as the outcomes studied were evaluated. Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (version 21.0). Significance was defined as a value of *P*<0.05.

**RESULTS**

A total of 248 patients were included in the study: 193 (77.8%) patients were male and 55 (22.2%) female. Two hundred and seven patients were older than or equal to 40 years (83.5%). One hundred and seventy-six patients were male and 55 (22.2%) female. Two hundred and seven patients were active professionals, 14 unemployed, 45 retired by age and 16 away by illness (TABLE 1).

Regarding the etiological diagnosis, 69 patients had hepatitis C, 64 presented alcoholic etiology, 36 had hepatitis C associated with alcoholic etiology and in 43 of them it was related to other etiologies (TABLE 2).

TABLE 2 also indicates the association of psychiatric comorbidities with demographic data, showing a higher prevalence of comorbidities among women (40%) and in those under 40 years (48.6%) *P*<0.05.

TABLE 3 shows that, although there is no statistically significant difference, patients with hepatitis C present a higher prevalence of five out of nine psychiatric comorbidities: current major depressive episode, prior major depressive episode, anxiety disorders, illicit drug abuse and post-traumatic stress disorder. Patients with alcoholic etiology had a higher prevalence of alcohol abuse and/or dependence throughout life. No patient was diagnosed with psychotic disorders.

Concerning the clinical data, we did not detect any statistically significant difference regarding the prevalence of diabetes mellitus and arterial hypertension in the different etiological groups.

No statistically significant difference was detected among the four etiological groups of liver disease in any of the eight domains studied regarding quality of life (TABLE 4).
TABLE 3. Liver disease etiology and psychiatric and clinical comorbidity according to DSM-IV-TR through mini international neuropsychiatric interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychiatric comorbidity</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>HCV</th>
<th>ALD</th>
<th>HCV and ALD</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current major depressive episode</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>3 (20.0)</td>
<td>5 (20.0)</td>
<td>5 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past major depressive episode</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>5 (26.3)</td>
<td>3 (20.0)</td>
<td>5 (26.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar disorder</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>3 (20.0)</td>
<td>5 (26.3)</td>
<td>5 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of suicide (moderate/high)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>5 (26.3)</td>
<td>5 (26.3)</td>
<td>5 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety disorders</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>3 (20.0)</td>
<td>5 (26.3)</td>
<td>5 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol abuse/dependence</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>54 (60.0)</td>
<td>35 (38.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other substance abuse/dependence</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>2 (25.0)</td>
<td>3 (37.5)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment disorder</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>5 (20.0)</td>
<td>4 (16.0)</td>
<td>11 (44.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post traumatic stress disorder</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>4 (21.1)</td>
<td>5 (26.3)</td>
<td>5 (26.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>16 (25)</td>
<td>8 (22.2)</td>
<td>10 (18.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>15 (23.4)</td>
<td>7 (19.4)</td>
<td>9 (20.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


TABLE 4. Liver disease etiology and quality of life.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SF-36 Domains</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>HCV</th>
<th>ALD</th>
<th>HCV and ALD</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td>68.66 (64.58-72.74)</td>
<td>69.42 (62.59-76.25)</td>
<td>66.71 (58.64-74.78)</td>
<td>69.72 (55.91-83.54)</td>
<td>69.90 (62.27-77.54)</td>
<td>0.930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical role functioning</td>
<td>34.57 (29.00-40.14)</td>
<td>40.58 (29.01-52.15)</td>
<td>28.93 (20.40-37.46)</td>
<td>31.94 (14.46-49.43)</td>
<td>35.10 (24.45-45.80)</td>
<td>0.408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodily pain</td>
<td>67.78 (64.15-71.40)</td>
<td>66.75 (60.66-72.85)</td>
<td>69.01 (62.46-75.56)</td>
<td>65.28 (50.90-79.66)</td>
<td>68.33 (60.87-75.78)</td>
<td>0.934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td>59.49 (56.62-62.37)</td>
<td>62.87 (58.13-67.61)</td>
<td>60.50 (55.90-65.10)</td>
<td>62.22 (51.86-72.58)</td>
<td>52.71 (46.03-59.40)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>64.32 (59.62-69.03)</td>
<td>66.91 (55.11-78.71)</td>
<td>63.77 (58.46-69.08)</td>
<td>71.11 (58.02-84.20)</td>
<td>59.33 (51.97-66.68)</td>
<td>0.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social role functioning</td>
<td>70.93 (66.98-74.88)</td>
<td>70.11 (63.01-77.21)</td>
<td>69.29 (62.39-76.18)</td>
<td>76.39 (62.92-89.85)</td>
<td>72.36 (64.16-80.55)</td>
<td>0.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional role functioning</td>
<td>80.86 (72.72-89.00)</td>
<td>83.09 (75.49-90.69)</td>
<td>82.86 (61.18-104.54)</td>
<td>83.33 (66.04-100.63)</td>
<td>74.36 (63.39-85.33)</td>
<td>0.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>81.76 (77.69-85.83)</td>
<td>79.88 (75.21-84.56)</td>
<td>84.00 (74.99-93.01)</td>
<td>86.89 (79.61-94.17)</td>
<td>79.69 (70.06-89.33)</td>
<td>0.694</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HCV: hepatitis C virus; ALD: alcoholic liver disease. *ANOVA.

DISCUSSION

The present study demonstrates high prevalence of mental disorders in individuals with indication for liver transplantation(3). Nevertheless, we did not find any difference for psychiatric comorbidity prevalence among the four different groups related to the clinical indication for transplantation. According to the data obtained, 126 (50.8%) patients on the transplant waiting list had diagnosis of at least one current or lifelong mental disorder. When alcohol abuse/dependence was excluded, the prevalence was 25.8%. These data are considered high when compared to the prevalence of mental disorders in the world population. A study conducted with both systematic review and meta-analysis revealed that 17.6% of 650,000 people in 39 countries experienced mental disorder during the past 12 months and 29.2% of 450,000 in 59 countries had experience of at least one episode of lifelong mental disorder(10).

It is demonstrated that the hepatitis C transmission in Brazil is only to have a lower quality of life than the general population but studied. We believe that this occurs due to selection bias coming from a widespread belief among health professionals, especially the non-trained in mental health, that this group of patients are worse adherents to the treatment. This is an important point that should be evaluated and discussed among transplant teams and also mental health teams for proper assessment and judgment whether or not psychotic disorder is a factor for treatment exclusion. It is possible that the low number of drug users in the study group is also explained by early exclusion.

Previous studies have evaluated the association between the etiology of liver disease and psychiatric comorbidities. Saracino et al.(11) studied 120 patients with advanced liver disease (52% with HCV, 15% with ALD and 9.2% with NASH) and detected prevalence of 51.3% of psychiatric comorbidities (anxiety, depression and post-traumatic stress disorder).

Madan et al.(12) evaluated 108 patients: 36.1% HCV, 11.1% ALD, 46.3% HCV and ALD and 6.5% NASH and reported that 40% of the patients underwent psychiatric follow-up. Rogal et al.(13) evaluated 179 patients, 32.9% HCV, 13.4% ALD, 14.5% HCV and ALD, and 9.2% with NASH, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). It was demonstrated that HCV transmission in Brazil is less associated with the use of injectable drugs in comparison with other countries(14). Consequently, the rate of substance abuse and dependence in hepatitis C subjects was not significant with only five (4.8%) patients of the patients in the group.

There was no diagnosis of psychotic disorders in the sample.
also to have a high presence of psychiatric symptoms associated with their own course. According to the present data, the patients assessed presented different serious liver conditions but with no statistically significant difference in relation to quality of life.

The main limitation of this study is the limited sample size, once it was divided into four groups. Another limitation is the absence of assessment for personality disorders. Finally, a possible critical limitation in this study is that the most severe psychiatric patients are not even able to get on the waiting list for liver transplantation, thus promoting interpretation bias.

CONCLUSION

A high prevalence of psychiatric disorders was found among all clinical conditions most associated with the indication of liver transplantation. Detailed evaluation of mental health by specialized team is required, minimizing the exclusion of patients with possibility of adherence and therapeutic success. It is noteworthy that transplantation is the only therapeutic possibility for patients with advanced hepatic insufficiency, being, therefore, fundamental the implantation of psychiatric/psychological support, guaranteeing to the patient adequate evaluation of his mental health condition before the decision to exclude this possibility of treatment.
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