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ABSTRACT – Background - Colorectal cancer is the third in frequency and the second in mortality in developed countries. In Brazil, it is among the six more common malignant neoplasias. About 20% of colorectal tumors have some hereditary component. Aim - This study presents a review of genetic and clinical aspects, as well as diagnosis and prevention of the hereditary non-polipomatous colorectal cancer, that is the more frequent form of hereditary colorectal cancer. This approach is important because, currently there are possibilities of management, prevention and surveillance specific to individuals at-risk for hereditary non-polipomatous colorectal cancer that can lead to a great improvement in patients’ survival and their at-risk relatives.
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INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third tumor in frequency and the second in mortality in developed countries. In Brazil, it is among the six more common malignant neoplasias and is the third in mortality in both sexes⁹,¹⁰. Median 5 year-survival of CRC patients is of 60% and, currently, the most used prognostic factors are based on clinical findings⁶,⁹.

There are three different types of colorectal cancer with some overlapping of clinical features: sporadic, familial and hereditary cancer. Sporadic cancer is a result of the interaction of somatic mutations and environmental factors, and generally occurs isolated in a family and at older age. Familial cancer is clustered in families and probably occurs due to exposure to the same environmental risk factors or to the presence of low-penetration mutations in susceptibility genes. On the other hand, high-penetration germline mutations are found in hereditary cancer. The presence of these mutations in the involved families can lead to the occurrence of multiple cancers at an early age. Characterization of each pathway of their carcinogenesis sometimes is difficult, since several times the family history can not give all needed informations to differentiation among these three types of cancer, specially in small families.

There is great importance in determining the hereditary nature of an intestinal neoplasia, since 20% of colorectal tumors have an hereditary component, and hereditary cancer predisposition syndromes usually predispose to the occurrence of more than one type of cancer in the same patient or family. In addition, currently there are available tools to achieve early diagnosis and then to individualize treatment in order to improve survival rates and to prevent the development of other tumors associated with hereditary colorectal cancer syndromes (HCRCS)¹,⁴⁸.

Hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC), previously called Lynch syndrome, is the most common form of HCRCS. HNPCC has an incidence of 1:1,000 in the general population in the United States⁴³. In Brazil, there are no data about its incidence or prevalence⁴³. HNPCC is an autosomal dominant disease of high penetrance (about 80%-90%) characterized also by the occurrence of extracolonic tumors (endometrium, ovaries, stomach, small bowel and others) in affected families. Disease morbidity and mortality can be significantly reduced if the benign and malignant tumors are removed in time⁶,⁴⁸.
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EPIDEMIOLOGY

Typical HNPCC families show successive generations affected by CRC in early age (about 45 years), occurring predominantly near to hepatic flexure (≈70%). There is an excess of synchronous and metachronic CRC\(^{35, 36}\). Although affected patients can show polyps, usually they do not exceed 50 in number. Histopathological characteristics of HNPCC tumors are frequently distinguishable, although they are not pathognomonic. These characteristics include mucinous carcinomas with poor differentiation, presence of signet ring cells, peritumoral lymphocyte infiltration, Crohn’s-like reaction and lymphocyte infiltration in tumoral tissue\(^{26, 41}\).

HNPCC patients have an increased risk to develop several extracolonic tumors: endometrium (the most common after CRC), ovaries, stomach, small bowel, pancreas, hepatobiliary tract, brain and superior uroepithelial tract\(^{25}\). Association of CRC and benign or malignant sebaceous tumors constitute the Muir-Torre syndrome, and association of non-polyposis colorectal cancer with glioblastoma multiforme constitute the Turcot syndrome.

In comparison with sporadic CRC, HNPCC tumors are more frequently located in proximal colon, are more undifferentiated with an excess of mucus and signet ring cells. HNPCC adenomas tend to be villous and are more dysplasic at diagnosis than that detected in general population, showing an accelerated carcinogenesis process (“aggressive adenoma theory”). Thus, a small colonic adenoma can change into a carcinoma in 2 or 3 years, while in the general population this process lasts 8 to 10 years in average. Prognosis is better in HNPCC than in sporadic CRC cases (increased survival) and probably derives from better therapeutic response to chemotherapy and minor metastasis potential\(^{35, 27, 29, 41}\).

CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS

Positive family history is the more common risk factor to HCRC. Several epidemiological studies showed that an individual with one or more first degree relatives affected by CRC have an empiric risk 2 to 3-fold higher to develop the disease. However, the family history interpretation is very limited in small families\(^{25}\).

Amsterdam criteria (1991) was the first guideline of HNPCC clinical diagnosis, developed in an international consensus meeting. At that moment, HNPCC-associated mutations and their functions had not been identified and characterized yet. For this reason, the guidelines were based only in family history and in the age at diagnosis (Figure 1)\(^{45}\).

This guideline was not very useful, due to its very strict criteria, and many families with HNPCC associated mutations do not fulfill all criteria. Therefore, the Amsterdam criteria were reviewed in 1998, giving rise to the Amsterdam criteria II, that included the extracolonic tumors associated with HNPCC\(^{46}\). The Amsterdam criteria were also modified in order to allow small families evaluation\(^{46}\).

Discovery of genes involved in HNPCC development, and the possibility of genetic testing to confirm clinical doubts lead to necessity of new guidelines. In this way, the Bethesda Guideline was developed, in 1997, with the aim to determine when an individual that does not fulfill Amsterdam criteria should be submitted to genetic testing\(^{57}\). In 2003, the Bethesda Guideline was also reviewed\(^{42, 43}\).

MOLECULAR BIOLOGY

Molecular genetics of colorectal carcinomas is the better understood among human neoplasias\(^{43}\). Current models of carcinogenesis are based on experimental evidences that the accumulation of mutations leads to alterations of specific genes (oncogenes, tumor suppressor genes and other genes involved in regulation of cellular growth and proliferation), resulting in neoplastic clonal expansion\(^{14, 17}\).

Colorectal cancer develops through a process of sequential steps recognized at histopathological level by the progression of the normal mucosa to an invasive carcinoma (adenoma-carcinoma sequence) (Figure 2). In majority of colorectal carcinomas, the inactivation of the APC gene (adenomatous polyposis coli; located at long arm of chromosome 5, 5q) starts the process leading to a dysplasia, generally as an adenoma. From then on, additional mutations in oncogenes, including ras family genes, and tumor suppressor genes located at chromosomes 18q (DCC, SMAD2, SMAD4) and 17q (TP53) carry the progression from initial adenoma to intermediate adenoma and, finally, to carcinoma. These alterations are found in different combinations in colorectal tumors\(^{41}\).

However, the number of alterations in oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes is too high to be explained only by spontaneous mutation rate. Thus, probably an unstable genotype is required to increase the spontaneous mutation rate leading to the tumor development\(^{14, 24, 28}\).

Therefore, two apparently distinct pathways of genomic instability can be identified. The first and more common is characterized by sequential inactivation of tumor suppressor genes (APC, p53, DCC, SMAD2, SMAD4). Tumors that arise by this “suppressor pathway” show chromosomal instability (CIN), with frequent cytogenetic abnormality and allelic loss. The exact mechanism that drives the CIN process is not well understood\(^{20}\). While mutations in oncogenes are generally single dominant events, the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes depends on functional loss of both copies of relevant genes. While the former occurs more frequently by gene mutations, the latter is more frequently a chromosomal event, usually a deletion. Since deletion generally involves simultaneous loss of gene loci close to tumor suppressor genes – and occasionally the loss of whole chromosome or a chromosome arm – these events are strongly associated with loss of heterozygosity (LOH) in hypervariable polymorphisms (minisatellites and microsatellites) located in the deleted region\(^{19}\).

The second pathway is typical of HNPCC tumors. This alternative “mutator pathway” is characterized by the microsatellite instability (MSI) spread along the genome. Recent studies point out that hMLH1 gene inactivation by promoter hypermethylation can also cause a high instability genotype in sporadic CRC, and it is responsible by the majority of cases of sporadic CRC with this genotype\(^{20}\).
Although these two mechanisms of genomic instability can be distinguished by their molecular features, there are several evidences suggesting that some degree of overlap among them can exist. LOH was described as an occasional mechanism of inactivation of wild allele of HMLH1 in some MSI high tumors. It is also possible that CRMs initiates mechanisms that do not involve persistent MSI or CIN. In this way, there are evidences indicating that an epigenetic alteration characterized by hypermethylation of promoter regions of key tumor suppressor genes can play a crucial role in evolution and progression of many colorectal tumors. These findings also suggest that MSI and CIN cannot represent completely distinct mechanisms and that multiple mechanisms can coexist in some tumors, since the arrangement give additional growth advantages.

In normal states, the chromosomal mutation rate is higher than the generic one. Therefore, we expect to see frequent chromosomal deletions in tumors with an intact repair system undergoing
the classic pathway of tumorigenesis (suppressor pathway). In the mutator pathway, gene mutation rates are elevated by 100 or 1,000-fold and thus much more likely to occur than the chromosomal alterations that lead to LOH. However, there is no reason why LOH may not occur in tumors with MSI\textsuperscript{(39)}.

MSI is caused by mutations in mismatch repair genes (MMR; \textit{hMSH2} and \textit{hMLH1}, specially) that result in failure of replication error repair (RER)\textsuperscript{(31)}. In HNPPC, an allele of one of these repair genes shows a germline mutation and the other allele is inactivated or lost by somatic mutation, leading to accumulation of DNA replication errors, to the increase of mutations and, ultimately, to the acceleration of the carcinogenesis process\textsuperscript{(31, 32, 47, 48)}.

About 70% of HNPPC families show germline mutation in one of the known MMR genes: \textit{hMSH2}, \textit{hMLH1}, \textit{hMSH6}, \textit{hPMS1} or \textit{hPMS2}. Consequently, the majority of HNPPC tumors are MSI\textsuperscript{+} (80%), while only 20% of sporadic tumors are MSI\textsuperscript{−}\textsuperscript{(13)}. Among these MMR gene mutations, those occurring in \textit{hMSH2} (2p) and \textit{hMLH1} (3p) are more common and are found in 90% of HNPPC families with identified mutation\textsuperscript{(27, 47)}.

In general, mutations described in these two genes are insertions, deletions, alterations in pre-mRNA splicing signals and no sense mutations. The majority of \textit{hMSH2} mutations are frameshift mutations and no sense mutations. On the other hand, \textit{hMLH1} mutations include frameshift mutations and missense mutations, a lot of them have not an established pathological role yet. Alterations of splicing site are common in \textit{hMLH1} and less frequent in \textit{hMSH2}. In addition, \textit{hMSH2} mutations are randomly spread along of coding sequence while in \textit{hMLH1} there is a cluster of mutations in exons 15 and 16\textsuperscript{(29)}.

Mutations in other genes as \textit{hGTBP} (2p16) and \textit{hMLH3} (14q24.3) have also been associated with HNPPC\textsuperscript{(30)}. In addition, mutations in specific regions of \textit{R11} gene, that encodes the TGF II (transforming growth factor type II), are present in more than 90% of colon tumors with RER phenotype, sporadic or hereditary. Mutations in these genes are consistent with the tumoral suppressor model (Knudson theory)\textsuperscript{(12, 30)}.

**MOLECULAR DIAGNOSIS**

**Genetic Testing**

The discovery of colorectal cancers MSI (+) and the hypothesis that these cancers can have a prognosis different from MSI (-) tumors lead to the study of several microsatellites through different protocols\textsuperscript{(16)}. However, in 1998, an international workshop of the National Cancer Institute (USA) established a standard criteria to MSI detection in colorectal tumors\textsuperscript{(4)}.

Among these criteria, a minimum panel of 5 markers was suggested in order to diagnosis the MSI (+) phenotype: BAT25, BAT26 (mononucleotide repeats), DSS346, DSS123 and D17S250 (dinucleotide repeats). This reference panel lead to the classification of tumors as MSI high or MSI-H, if two or more markors show instability; MSI-L, if only one marker shows instability; and MSS, if there are no unstable markers. Standard protocols defined in the workshop were described by DIETMEIER et al.\textsuperscript{(15)}. MSI analysis is performed through the comparison between microsatellite sequences of normal and tumoral tissues of the same individual and MSI is characterized by the presence of different repeat sizes between them\textsuperscript{(49)}.

When adequately detected, MSI shows a high sensitivity to identify tumors with mutations in MMR genes. However, its specificity is lower, mainly because a great proportion of MSI (+) tumors is caused by \textit{hMLH1} promotor hypermethylation (epigenetic silencing), that is a somatic event\textsuperscript{(33, 40)}. A populational study in Finland reported that from 535 CRC individuals, not selected by family history, 66 (12%) showed MSI and 18 (3.4%) showed germline mutations in \textit{hMLH1} or \textit{hMSH2}\textsuperscript{(40)}. Another study, also with CRC affected individuals not selected by family history, reported that 15% of analyzed patients showed MSI\textsuperscript{+}\textsuperscript{(47)}.

Furthermore, the MSI test can give false-negative results due to technical limitations and to material scarcity of analyzed specimens. A possible reason for these false-negative results is that large \textit{hMSH2} deletions, not associated with MSI, can contribute to more than 10% of all mutations\textsuperscript{(28, 40)}.

All these data suggest that there are an underestimate of HNPPC incidence, since available methods still have low specificity, there are unknown mutations and some cases classified as sporadic, when adequately screened, show MSI and detectable germline mutation\textsuperscript{(40)}. It is important to note that MSI analysis is a screening test and not a diagnostic one.

Another method of detection of altered expression of HNPPC-associated genes is immunochemistry (IHC), using monoclonal antibodies produced against the protein products of these genes. Preliminary studies reported a reduced expression of \textit{hMSH2} and \textit{hMLH1} encoded proteins in more than 90% of cases with germline mutations and RER phenotype, and also in the majority of cases RER\textsuperscript{+} without detectable mutations. There are no reports of reduced protein expression in cases without RER phenotype or mutation. The decrease in \textit{hMLH1} encoded protein expression can also be explained by the hypermethylation of its promoter region, that can occur in sporadic CRC with RER phenotype but without mutations in coding regions\textsuperscript{(12, 30)}.

The majority of sporadic CRC that show MSI-H is explained by the hypermethylation of \textit{hMLH1} promoter. Some works suggest a role of \textit{hMLH6} in colorectal carcinogenesis and point out to the importance of including this gene in the molecular and immunochemistry analysis\textsuperscript{(34, 40)}. IHC is a simple and cheap technique that can be used to orient the mutation screening when the gene product is not expressed in the tumor\textsuperscript{(14)}. However, in cases where mutations lead to a truncated protein but not to its absence, this technique is not able to indicate the altered gene. Although MSI test is fundamental to evaluate tumor phenotype in relation to MMR genes inactivation, the IHC, at least for \textit{hMLH1} and \textit{hMSH2}, is also a sensitive, fast and cost-effective method\textsuperscript{(30)}.

Furthermore, the presence of germline mutations in one of the MMR genes involved in colorectal carcinogenesis can be directly analyzed by dHPLC (denaturing high performance liquid chromatography) and sequencing. However, the previous screening through MSI analysis or IHC is very important to indicate the gene likely mutated, orienting the analysis to a specific gene and reducing the costs and time of analysis\textsuperscript{(48)}. The promoter hypermethylation of MMR genes (specially \textit{hMLH1}) can be investigated through a specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR).
The two main questions in relation to HNPCC diagnosis are the detection of large deletions and mRNA splicing errors, and the missense mutations interpretation, specially in hMLH1 and hMSH6 genes. These questions contribute more to the absence of diagnosis than to the lack of sensitivity of MSI and IH tests.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

There are a lot of other hereditary syndromes that predispose to CRC. Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) is a rare autosomal dominant syndrome (incidence of 1:8000) characterized by the presence of hundreds to thousands colonic polyps. These polyps arise in childhood/adolescence or in early adult age and advance to colon cancer in virtually all cases. If prophylactic colectomy is not performed, virtually all FAP affected patients die at about 50 years of age, and 37% of affected patients die earlier, at about 37 years[3,5]. Other clinical signals in FAP affected patients include polyps in the gastrointestinal tract (small bowel and stomach), papillary thyroid cancer, perianchular carcinoma, sarcomas and brain tumors. The hypertrophy of retinal pigment epithelium is a characteristic finding that is congenitally present in 80% of the carriers. There are variants of this syndrome such as attenuated FAP, Gardner syndrome and Turcot syndrome, representing distinct clinical syndromes caused by specific mutations in APC gene (5q21)[6].

Since FAP shows well defined histopathological and clinical features, its diagnosis usually can be done based only in clinical findings and it is easily distinguishable from HNPCC.

However, there is a FAP variant with a mild phenotype, the attenuated familial adenomatous polyposis (AFAP). AFAP is characterized by few colonic adenomas (50-100), most of them located in proximal colon. Upper gastrointestinal tract lesions are common, especially duodenal adenomas and fundic glands polyps. The majority of AFAP patients develop CRC in later age (= 55 anos) than patients with FAP (= 39 anos). Mutations in APC gene associated with this syndrome are located in both 5' and 3' ends of the gene[8]. Clinically, differential diagnosis between HNPCC and AFAP can be not so simple. Thus, molecular diagnosis becomes very important for distinction between HNPCC and this syndrome, as well as other CRC hereditary predisposition syndromes like the following:

a) Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS) is an autosomal dominant syndrome of cancer hereditary predisposition. PJS is characterized by muco-cutaneous melanization pigmentaion and intestinal polyposis (specially in small bowel, but can also occur in stomach and large intestine). The polyps are hamartomas, with conjunctive, muscular and epithelial tissue components. Rarely extra-intestinal tumors can occur in ovaries, uterine cervix, testicles, pancreas, and breast. The responsible gene, LIK1, is located at the short arm of chromosome 19 (19p13.3). There is a defined tendency to malignization of the “hamartomaticus” epithelial component, but the carcinogenetic steps are not well defined yet.

b) Cowden syndrome is an autosomal dominant syndrome characterized by the presence of multiple hamartomas, especially muco-cutaneous and gastrointestinal. Breast and thyroid carcinomas are the two malignant neoplasias more commonly described in this syndrome. About 80% of affected families have germline mutations in PTEN/MMAC1 gene.

c) Juvenile polyposis syndrome is an autosomal dominant syndrome characterized by multiple (about 200 to 500) juvenile polyps predominantly located in the large intestine. In some cases, the SMAD4, located at the long arm of chromosome 18 (18q21.1), is the responsible gene. Its histopathology shows a polibulated bizarre structure. The occurrence of CRC is described in 30%-40% of cases and gastric cancer in 10%-15% of cases of gastric location. A more severe and fatal form, with diarrhea, anemia and hypoaalbuminemia can occur in childhood.

SCREENING GUIDELINES

Screening and prevention guidelines for HNPCC patients are detailed in Figure 3.

According to the International Collaborative Group in HNPCC, patients that fulfill Amsterdam criteria or that are germline mutation carriers should initiate colonoscopy at 21 years or 5 years earlier than the earlier case in the family, and have this procedure at every 1-2 years[10, 45]. It is necessary a previous very good preparation for colonoscopy, to insure a minucious exam of all colorectal mucosa. Patients should be advised that the colonoscopy is not

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer type</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Evidence Level</th>
<th>Consensus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>Total colonoscopy</td>
<td>Annual or bi-annual since 20-25 years</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prophylactic colonoscopy</td>
<td>Discuss as prophylaxis and/or polyps diagnosis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-colonoscopy screening by rectal endoscopy (*)</td>
<td>Annual post-colonoscopy if rectum was preserved</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>Pelvic exam</td>
<td>Annual since 25-35 years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aspirate of endometrium</td>
<td>Annual since 25-35 years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transvaginal ultra-sound</td>
<td>Annual since 25-35 years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Histerectomy (panhiesterectomy)</td>
<td>Discuss as an option post-family constitution</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovaries (**)</td>
<td>Transvaginal ultra-sound - CA 125 seric</td>
<td>Annual since 25-35 years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine tract (**)</td>
<td>EUU - urinary cytology</td>
<td>Annual since 25-35 years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach (**)</td>
<td>High digestive tract endoscopy</td>
<td>Annual or bi-annual since 35 years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 3 – Recommendations of cancer screening and prevention in HNPCC-associated gene mutation carriers[46]

(1) Rectal endoscopic screening is mandatory in patients submitted to prophylactic colectomy with liver-rectal metastasis due to the high incidence of rectal metastatous tumors postcolectomy (25%-40%).

(2) (*) Only in members of HNPCC affected families and diagnosis of these tumors in at least one relative (and that can be associated to the syndrome).

(3) According to Physician Data Query (PDQ) Screening and Prevention Statement Levels of Evidence.
a perfect screening procedure and should be informed about the possibility of prophylactic colectomy as an option. The mortality risk in prophylactic colectomy is low, but there are no enough evidences that the prophylactic surgery is more efficient than the periodic screening through colonoscopy in increasing survival. Patients with colectomy should continuously have endoscopy of the remainder rectal mucosa, because the risk of cancer development in this region is of about 1% for year (23). Women at-risk should do screening for endometrium and ovarian cancer, that are the more common extra-colonic neoplasias. Suggested procedures include aspiration curettage of endometrial cells for histopathologic study, pelvic transvaginal ultrasound, cervico-vaginal cytopathologic exam and pelvic examination every 1-2 years starting at 30 years of age (27-34, 35). In addition, some investigators suggest that all at-risk individuals, independent of sex, should have screening for other extra-colonic tumors if there is a positive family history for this type of tumors. For gastric and biliary tract tumors, procedures such as esophagogastroduodenoscopy, gastric biopsy, hepatobiliary transabdominal ultrasound, and hepatic function tests are indicated at 1-2 years intervals starting at 30 years of age. For kidneys, urethra and bladder tumors the recommended procedures include ultrasound, cystoscopy, cytology of urine also at intervals of 1-2 years (40). It is important to note that there is not a consensus about such prevention guidelines for extra-colonic tumors other than endometrium and ovarian.

In addition to clinical screening, patients can be screened for the presence of germline mutations in MMR genes, and CRC affected individuals can be tested for MSI (Figure 4).

PREVENTION PROCEDURES

Total abdominal colectomy with ileo-rectal anastomosis should be considered as an option for HNPPC patients, which should have annual screening for rectal cancer. This procedure is justified by the high incidence of metachronous tumors (25% to 40%) in patients submitted to partial colectomy. The proctocolectomy should also be considered for cancer affected patients, depending on disease’s stage at diagnosis. Patients with multiple polyps or that are certainly mutation carriers can be directed to prophylactic colectomy, although its benefits are not well defined yet (29). For CRC affected women the prophylactic hysterectomy and the bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy should be considered at the moment of colectomy, especially if the woman has already constituted her family and if she has a positive family history for at least one of these tumors.

There are evidences that, in vitro, CRC cells that are deficient in one of the MMR genes (hMLH1, hMSH2 e hMSH6) have reduced MSI after exposition to aspirin or sulindac (22, 29). Since these drugs intervene with molecular events, the chemoprevention can inhibit or revert the development of adenomas or the pregression from adenoma to carcinoma (30). Aspirin and other nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAID) are more attractive and the more widely studied substances to CRC chemoprevention (144). They inhibit the ciclo-oxygenase 1 (COX-1) and the ciclo-oxygenase 2 (COX-2), that are catalytic enzymes. COX-2 expression is increased in sporadic and hereditary colonic carcinomas and adenomas, if compared with normal tissue. However the use of COX-2 inhibitors is at moment not an ideal strategy due to the reported cardiovascular incidents.

Rodents treated with sulindac, a NSAID that inhibit COX-1 and COX-2, show a reduction of more than 90% in the number of intestinal polyps and of more than 52% in the total volume of colonic tumors. However, aspirin and other NSAID can act through COX-independent pathways as through the inhibition of activation of xB nuclear factor (NF-κB) or through the interference in the ligation of the activated receptor of peroxisome proliferator δ to DNA (25, 37). These interventions have been evidenced a protective effect in FAP affected patients (evidence level V), but the impact of the use of NSAID in other CRC hereditary predisposition syndromes (like HNPPC) still needs to be elucidated.

In addition to chemoprevention, a nutritional approach have also been considered in terms of sporadic CRC prevention. There are indications that some substances as folate, calcium, estrogens and antioxidants can have a protective effect against the carcinogenesis process. In the other hand, vitamins (excet folic acid) and fibers seem not to have any effect in the development of adenomas or colorectal carcinomas (26). Additional studies should be done to evaluate the impact of these prevention strategies on the risk of cancer in individuals with hereditary predisposition.

IMPORTANT OF CLINICAL AND MOLECULAR DIAGNOSIS OF INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES WITH HNPPCC

The identification of individuals at-risk for hereditary CRC is important for several reasons. First, because affected individuals show cummulative life-risk of several types of cancer, much higher than that of the general population. Second, because other relatives of an affected individual can be at increased risk of cancer (since this genetic disease have an autosomal dominant inheritance, 50% of sibiling and 50% of descendents of an affected patient can be carriers of the same mutation). Third, because intensive

![FIGURE 4 - Laboratory diagnosis flow chart](image-url)
screening procedures and preventive interventions are efficient to significantly reduce the risk of cancer in mutation carriers. The CRC screening effectiveness in HNPPC affected families was evaluated by Jarvinen et al.\(^n\) in a controlled clinical trial of 15 years. This and other studies showed that screening reduces the risk of cancer to more than a half and diminishes the overall mortality in about 65%, through an early identification and remotion of hyperplasic and atypical polyps\(^{15}\).

Current technology allows to detect a genetic mutation before the appearance of the symptoms. In the case of hereditary predisposition to colon cancer, an adult disease, the pre-symptomatic and predictive diagnosis of an affected individual has an enormous potential for the reduction of cancer risk. On the other hand, the accurate identification of unaffected individuals in an at-risk family tranquilizes them, and eliminates the costs and complications of unnecessary screening and preventive interventions. Moreover, the genetic tests make possible the identification of several non-symptomatic individuals at-risk in the family. Thus, the use of genetic tests can in such a way contribute for the reduction of the mortality as well as of the incidence of CRC and excretory tumors in families with HNPPC.

In this context, the referral of families and/or individuals with the diagnostic suspicion of HNPPC for genetic counseling is fundamental. During genetic counseling, the diagnostic hypothesis will be made through the discerning analysis of the family history (pedigree) and the options to confirm this clinical hypothesis by genetic test will be discussed. It is important to anticipate the meaning of the possible genetic test results and the therapeutic options, as well as to discuss its implications for the other at-risk relatives (children, siblings, etc.)\(^{47}\). A discerning analysis of the of HNPPC suspicious cases and the correct pursuing of the diagnostic guidelines and of preventive handling has immense potential of reduction of the risk of cancer for these patients, resulting in better survival and quality of life very close to the normal one.


RESUMO - Racional - O câncer colorretal é o terceiro tumor em frequência e o segundo em mortalidade nos países desenvolvidos. No Brasil, está entre as seis neoplasias malignas mais encontradas e é a terceira em mortalidade. Cerca de 20% dos tumores colorretais têm etiologia hereditária. Objetivo - Revisão sobre aspectos genéticos e clínicos, bem como diagnóstico, tratamento e prevenção na síndrome do câncer colorretal hereditário-no-polipomatoso, que apresenta a forma mais frequente de câncer colorretal hereditário. A importância dessas abordagens se deve, principalmente, à possibilidade de manejo, prevenção e rastreamento específico para indivíduos em risco para câncer colorretal hereditário-no-polipomatoso que conferem um aumento considerável na sobrevivência desses pacientes e seus familiares em risco. Descritores - Neoplasias do cólon, genética. Neoplasias colorretais hereditárias sem polipose. Hereditariadeidad.
PRACTICAL APPROACH TO FAMILIAL AND HEREDITARY COLORECTAL CANCER

M.-E. Ayer, H. Melander, M. Nygren, and D. Cronberg

1. Introduction

Familial and hereditary colorectal cancer (CRC) is a significant public health problem. It accounts for a small percentage of all CRC cases but is associated with a significantly increased risk of developing the disease. The identification of families at high risk for CRC is important for early detection and prevention. This review focuses on the practical approach to familial and hereditary CRC.

2. Genetics

Familial CRC (F-CRC) usually presents with a younger age of onset and a higher risk of developing both adenomas and CRC compared to sporadic CRC. There are several hereditary CRC syndromes, including HNPCC (hereditary non-polyposis cancer) and familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP), which are caused by mutations in specific genes.

3. Diagnosis

The diagnosis of hereditary CRC is typically made through a combination of family history, age at diagnosis, and the presence of specific genetic mutations. Genetic testing is available for several hereditary CRC syndromes and can help in identifying those at high risk for CRC.

4. Management

Management of hereditary CRC includes surveillance, chemoprevention, and surgery. Surveillance is recommended for individuals with a family history of CRC or carriers of specific mutations. Chemoprevention with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or aspirin is currently under investigation. Surgical interventions, such as colectomy, may be necessary to prevent CRC in high-risk individuals.

5. Conclusion

Hereditary CRC is a complex and multifaceted issue. A multidisciplinary approach that includes genetic counseling, surveillance, and appropriate interventions is crucial for the management of these patients.

References:


