INTRODUCTION

Intestinal failure is defined as the inability of the native gastrointestinal tract to maintain nutritional, fluid and electrolyte support without total parenteral nutrition (TPN)1, 5. There are about 40,000 patients dependent on TPN in North America8. It has been estimated that 2-3 persons per million of world population per year will develop intestinal failure and 15%-20% of these patients could be candidates for intestinal transplantation4, 8.

Home TPN is very expensive, promotes poor quality of life and is associated with a high morbidity and mortality of 5%-25% patients per year1, 2, 4, 5, 8. Advances in biotechnology have improved outcome in small intestine transplantation (SIT) at certain centers. Successful intestinal transplantation allows patients with intestinal failure to return to a more normal lifestyle1, 2, 4, 5, 8. Over 900 SIT have already been performed worldwide for patients with the following TPN complications: 1. loss of venous access; 2. development of liver failure; 3. frequent central line-related sepsis; and 4. frequent severe dehydration9, 17.
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ABSTRACT - Background - Small intestine transplantation has been accepted worldwide to treat complex cases of intestinal failure. Canine intestinal transplantation model is important in training the surgical technique and to study the complications of this procedure. Systemic graft venous drainage is frequently performed in clinic, although the consequences of this partial meso-caval shunt have not been studied in detail. Aim - To describe the surgical technique and clinical outcome of a canine intestinal transplantation model using mesenteric-caval graft drainage. Method - Adult mongrel dogs from University of São Paulo Animal Facility, São Paulo, SP, Brazil, were used as donors and recipients in ten consecutive orthotopic intestinal transplantation with mesenteric-caval venous drainage. Clinical examination and body weight measurement were performed daily in all animals. Necropsy was performed in animals presenting moribund state (lethargic posture, diarrhea and loss of over 35% of body weight) to determine cause of death and histological changes. Results - Three recipients died before day 2 from technical complications and were excluded from the experiment. The remaining seven animals developed signs of graft rejection with onset on days 3-4 and died or were sacrificed presenting severe graft rejection between days 7-9. Necropsy and histology of the graft confirmed the diagnosis of severe acute cellular rejection. Conclusion - Small intestine transplantation with systemic drainage in dogs courses with analogous and lethal outcome between postoperative day 7 to 9 due to strong graft rejection. This model serves as an excellent pre-clinical model to study the main complications related to this transplantation.

Research in animals is important for the development of new approaches to control the complications of intestinal transplantation. Canines have been widely used as a more clinically relevant large animal model. Experiments with dogs have provided information to directly improve the clinical results of intestinal grafts\(^{11,12,15,20}\). Furthermore, it is important for transplant surgeons to learn the surgical technique and complications of SIT in large animals before clinical application\(^ {15} \).

Canine model of intestinal transplantation promotes a strong rejection due the high amount of lymphoid tissue present in the intestinal graft and is a unique tool to study immunology after this transplantation\(^ {11,12,15,20}\).

Isolated intestinal graft is indicated for intestinal failure without significant underlying liver or other organ failure and accounts for about 50% of the SIT indications\(^ {1,5,13,14,17}\). It may be performed with portal or systemic venous drainage of the graft, which may be through the liver or into the inferior vena cava, respectively\(^ {1,5,13,14,17}\). Portal drainage is theoretically more physiologic; however systemic venous drainage is more demanding in a clinical setting due to frequent surgical difficulties while performing mesenteric-portal anastomosis in the recipient\(^ {10}\).

The consequences of systemic venous drainage concerning immunologic and metabolic alterations have not been studied in detail on canine models of intestinal transplantation\(^ {19}\).

This study was undertaken to describe the surgical technique and the outcome of 10 orthotopic SIT in mongrel dogs with systemic graft drainage.

**METHOD**

**Animals** – Ten male and 10 female adult mongrel dogs weighing 16 to 24 kg were used as donors and recipients, respectively. They were obtained from Animal Facility of the University of São Paulo Medical School - FMUSP, São Paulo, SP, Brazil, and kept in conventional facilities for 4 weeks before the experiment, under daily clinical observation. During this period they were treated for intestinal parasites and submitted to periodic laboratories analysis to ensure good animal condition for the experiment. All procedures in this study were performed according to the guidelines of the Council on Animal Care at the FMUSP.

**Experimental design** – Donors and recipients were fasted 12 hours for solid food and 8 hours for liquids, before the SIT procedure. Enrofloxacin IM (5 mg/kg) was administrated four hours before the operation and the same dose was repeated on postoperative days (POD) 1, 2 and 3. Orthotopic SIT was performed using mesenteric-caval drainage. After operation the animals were fasted for solid food during POD 1 and observed daily. Body weight was measured each morning. Tissue from the graft and small intestine from the recipient obtained at postmortem examination were fixed with 10% buffered formalin and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H-E). Tissues from thymus, cervical lymph nodes, mesenteric lymph nodes, liver and spleen were stored at –80°C for further studies.

**Surgical technique** - The adopted surgical technique was described by LILLEYHEI et al.\(^ {11} \) with the systemic graft drainage modification.

**Donor operation** – Anesthesia was induced with intravenous sodium thiopental (25 mg/kg) and maintained with methoxysoflurane (1.0%) and oxygen using positive pressure mechanical ventilation. Systemic blood pressure and ECG were continuously monitored during the anesthesia. The abdomen was opened through a midline incision. The thin connective tissue between the duodenum and the colon was divided to the jejunal ligament. The mesocolon was carefully separated from the pancreas and epiploon. The colon and terminal ileum were separated from the remaining small bowel after the middle colic vases and ileocolic vases were tied with 4-0 silk sutures. The dissected superior mesenteric vein was separated from the pancreas up to the splenic vein after carefully liberation of its tributaries. The posterior peritoneum was opened and the abdominal aorta was dissected 2 cm above and below the emergence of the superior mesenteric artery. The connective tissue between the superior mesenteric artery and vein containing the inferior pancreatic duodenal artery and first duodenal artery was tied and dissected. In this step the animal received 300 U/kg of intravenous heparin. The abdominal aorta was incised and a Carrel’s patch with the mesenteric artery was obtained. The superior mesenteric vein was incised at the splenic vein confluence. The jejunum was divided about 5 cm distal to the jejunal ligament and the jejunal mesentery divided down to the superior mesenteric vessels. The ileum was divided about 5 cm from the ileocecal valve and its mesentery divided down to the superior mesenteric vessels. The entire small intestine with its vascular pedicle was removed from the peritoneal cavity and perfused on the back table with cold (4°C) lactated Ringer’s solution. The animals were sacrificed with a lethal injection of 10% KCl.

**Recipient operation** – After thiopental induction and methoxyflurane anesthesia similar to that described above for the donor, the abdomen was opened through a midline incision. The infra-renal segment of abdominal aorta and vena cava were dissected and a segment of about 3 cm from both vessels were isolated between vascular clamps. End-to-side mesenteric-aorta and mesenteric-caval anastomosis with continuous 7-0 polypropylene suture were performed (Figure 1). After revascularization of the graft the small intestine from the recipient were excised, leaving approximately 10 cm of both proximal jejunum and terminal ileum. The intestinal continuity was restored with a continuous end-to-end anastomosis at the jejunum and ileum using 5-0 polypropylene. The abdominal incision was closed with a continuous suture.
Experimental end point - When animals died or were sacrificed by injection of anesthetic, they were presenting clinical signs of severe acute graft rejection. The signs included: diarrhea, abdominal distention, lethargic posture or loss of more than 35% of preoperative body weight.

Histological assessment of acute rejection - The histological diagnosis and degree of acute rejection were analyzed with a similar method of grading criteria described elsewhere.[20] This method is based on the particular histomorphology that describes three subclassifications of acute rejection: mild, moderate and severe.

RESULTS

Outcome - Two animals died during surgery from anesthesia complications and one at POD 2 due to bleeding. These three animals were considered technical failure and excluded from the experiment. In seven recipients, the transplantation was considered successful, the graft color recovered immediately after reperfusion and survival reached more than 6 days. After intestinal transplantation, these animals resumed good activity the next morning and started eating within 1–2 days. They developed diarrhea starting from POD 3 that progressed with hemorrhage at POD 5, abdominal distention, lethargic posture and progressive loss of body weight. The animals died (n = 3), or were sacrificed in moribund state due to graft rejection (n = 4) between POD 7-9. The main signs of severe rejection and the percentage of its incidence were: diarrhea (100%), loss of more than 35% of preoperative body weight (100%), lethargic posture (86%) and abdominal distention (42%).

Autopsy findings - At the time of the autopsy, all recipients presented moderate inter-intestinal adhesions at peritoneal cavity and severe inflammation in the graft, mainly in the Peyer’s patch. An important enlargement of the mesentery due to edema and inflammation was also observed. Peritonitis was observed in four recipients (Figure 1). The small intestine from the recipient presented a normal aspect.

Histopathology - The histological features in the graft revealed severe acute rejection in all recipients that reached the experimental end point with extensive villous damage, necrotic changes of the superficial mucosa, severe mixed inflammatory cell infiltration and considerable amount of lymphocytic cryptitis and vasulitis (Figure 2). Transmural necrosis, mainly in Peyer’s patch was also observed in five recipients. The recipients’ small intestine was considered normal.

FIGURE 2 - Severe acute graft rejection with extensive villous (V) damage due inflammatory cell infiltration and cryptitis (C) (HE, 400X)

DISCUSSION

Clinical SIT has been increasing, however this procedure is still considered to be experimental, under rigid protocols.[11, 12, 15, 21] Thus, research in dogs is a relevant issue for the development of new methods to treat efficiently the complications related to SIT.[11, 12, 15, 21]

According to the anatomic position of the intestinal graft, there are basically two surgical models for intestinal transplantation: heterotopic and orthotopic. Heterotopic SIT is performed exteriorizing both ends of the graft as stomas, permitting serial analysis of the graft.[6, 23] However the intestinal stream isolation causes mucosal atrophy, increased intestinal permeability, overgrowth of potentially pathogenic bacteria, translocation and others artifacts that hinder physiologic studies of the graft.[7, 16]

The orthotopic model of SIT was used in the present experiment and is performed positioning the graft in continuity with remnant digestive tract from the recipient. Recipient’s outcome and survival are more correlated with the complications of the graft.[6, 9, 23] and this model permits analysis of graft physiology. In an orthotopic model variation, the ileum anastomosis is performed by end-to-side method and the distal extremity of the graft is exteriorized as a stoma.[11, 7]. This approach permits analysis of the graft through the stoma by enteroscopy.[6, 7]. In the present study we did not perform stomas because we were interested in animal and graft evaluation under natural conditions.

There is still a controversy about the consequences and complications caused by systemic graft venous drainage. Experiments comparing portal and systemic graft drainage showed virtually similar survival rates, liver function test results, serum ammonia levels, amino-acid distribution, liver histology, protein synthesis, and bacterial translocation.[11, 19, 20]. On the other hand, other experiments observed on systemic venous drainage altered ammonia and amino-acid levels that resemble those found in models of hepatic encephalopathy.[10] Furthermore, in a recent clinical trial, a higher incidence of bacteremia and pneumonia was observed in the systemically drained group, suggesting a higher rate of bacterial translocation in these patients.[3]

From the immunological standpoint, there is experimental evidence that previous portal vein administration of donor cells is related with tolerance to grafts from the same donor.[18] Portal drainage promotes an inflow of passenger lymphocytes from the graft through the liver. This situation may promote clearance of donor antigens by the liver and could favor a lower rate of rejection.[24]. Thus cell trafficking of mesenteric lymphocytes through systemic venous drainage could be related to a poor impact on the immunological evolution of the graft.[9] We are currently designing an experiment to investigate this hypothesis.

In a pre-clinical model of SIT with portal graft drainage performed at University of Pittsburgh, mongrel dogs were used to investigate the best dosage and the immunosuppressive effect of tacrolimus. They observed in the positive control group (dogs submitted to SIT without immunosuppressant) similar results in terms of clinical outcome, technical failure and survival rate as those observed in the present study.[23]. The findings from University of Pittsburgh suggest that portal drainage itself was unable to improve graft and recipient survival in dogs submitted to SIT without immunosuppressant regimen.
Graft rejection was extremely strong in the present study, leading the recipients to a dramatic and lethal outcome within a few days. Male to female combination was used to avoid the interference of different sex combinations on immunological reaction after transplantation and for further investigation on microchimerism using Y chromosome as a marker. The clinical and histologic evolution of rejection in the present study was similar to that observed when SIT was performed between fully allogenic combination of ibred rats (inbred ACI rats as donors, and inbred Lewis rats as recipients) without immunosuppression.

Rejection is one of the most important limitations to the routine indication of SIT. The homogeneous profile of the rejection observed in this research may allow studies to evaluate the metabolic consequences of systemic drainage, to test immunosuppressive drug metabolism and new methods for tolerance induction.

We conclude that the dog model of orthotopic SIT with systemic drainage cause a strong graft rejection with a fatal course between POD 7 to 9. This model serves as an excellent pre-clinical model to study the main complications related to this transplantation.
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