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Ermelindo Della LIBERA, Rodrigo Azevedo RODRIGUES, Ana Paula Rodrigues GUIMARÃES, Gustavo Andrade de PAULO, Stephan GEOCZE and Angelo Paulo FERRARI

ABSTRACT – Background - Sphincter of Oddi manometry is the gold-standard method for sphincter of Oddi dysfunction. The prevalence of sphincter of Oddi dysfunction among patients referred to endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography is largely unknown. Aim - To evaluate prospectively the prevalence of biliary sphincter of Oddi dysfunction (B-SOD) among Brazilian patients referred to endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and to study the safety of sphincter of Oddi manometry in this setting. Methods - Biliary sphincter of Oddi manometry was intended in 110 patients referred to endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. The number of attempts to obtain deep cannulation with the manometry catheter was recorded and patients were divided into two groups: up to 5 (easy cannulation) and >5 attempts (difficult cannulation). Results - Sphincter of Oddi manometry was successful in 71/110 patients (64.5%). Sphincter of Oddi dysfunction was found in 18/71 patients (25%). Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography findings were: normal in 16, biliary stones in 39, malignant biliary strictures in 9 and benign biliary strictures in 7. There was no statistical difference in sphincter of Oddi dysfunction prevalence regarding disease, gender or difficulty of cannulation. Only 2/71 patients developed post-procedure mild pancreatitis. Conclusions - We have found a high prevalence of sphincter of Oddi dysfunction in patients referred to endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. Gender, nature of disease or difficulty of cannulation did not influence the prevalence of sphincter of Oddi dysfunction among these patients. Sphincter of Oddi manometry is a safe procedure for the evaluation of sphincter of Oddi dysfunction in patients referred to endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography.


INTRODUCTION

The sphincter of Oddi (SO) regulates bile and pancreatic juice flow into the duodenum and avoids the occurrence of duodeno-biliary reflux. Many studies in past decades made clear the relationship between the structural or functional obstruction of the sphincter and biliary or pancreatic-like pain. Such abnormality has been called sphincter of Oddi dysfunction (SOD) and it may be the etiology of post-cholecystectomy syndrome and/or recurrent idiopathic pancreatitis.

Sphincter of Oddi manometry (SOM) is the gold-standard method for SOD diagnosis during endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP).

A basal pressure (BP) higher than 40 mm Hg is the most important parameter used to confirm SOD. Drugs thought to have any action on the sphincter (hioscina, morphine, meperidine, atropine, glucagon, nitrates and calcium channel blockers) must be avoided during the procedure.

Previous studies have reported the SOD prevalence in patients with post-cholecystectomy syndrome and recurrent idiopathic pancreatitis. The overall prevalence and real clinical importance of SOD among general patients referred to ERCP, however, is unknown.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of biliary SOD among patients referred to ERCP and the safety of SOM in such patients.

METHODS

From August 2001 to September 2002, we conducted a cross-sectional study in patients with suspected biliary disease...
referred to ERCP. All included patients underwent a thorough history and physical examination. Recent laboratorial tests and radiological information were reviewed. Informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics and Research Committee of Federal University of São Paulo, SP, Brazil, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

All procedures were performed on an out-patient basis. Diazepam, midazolan and/or propofol were used for sedation based on individual characteristics. No glucagon, hyoscine n-butyl-bromide, meperidine or droperidol were administered. A triple lumen catheter (SOM-18-S-Lehman-NG, Wilson Cook Medical Inc., Winston-Salem, NC-USA) was used for SOM. One channel was reserved for aspiration, contrast injection or guide-wire. The two remaining channels were specific for pressure recording. The catheter was connected to a manometry device (Dynapack MPX 816 - Dynamind Ind. Ltda., São Paulo, Brazil) with continuous water perfusion (0.25 mL/min) provided by a calibrated pneumatic-hydraulic pump.

Duodenal reference pressure (“zero” baseline pressure) was determined. The papilla was cannulated and duct position was confirmed by aspiration of bile. In some cases, a small amount of contrast media was injected to confirm the catheter location.

Basal pressure and pressure curve signals were converted into digital data and sent to a personal computer, provided with appropriated software. After cannulation, the catheter was slowly withdrawn until the sphincter was reached (“pull through” technique), recognized by the appearance of phasic waves. Recording was continue for 2 to 4 minutes. The time required for SOM and the number of cannulation attempts were also recorded. Basal pressure higher than 40 mm Hg was the only parameter used for diagnosis of biliary SOD (B-SOD), and SOM was considered successful when a reliable pressure recording was acquired. After SOM, ERCP and any therapeutic procedure were performed as indicated. All patients were contacted (in hospital or by telephone call) the day after the exam. Post-procedure pancreatitis was considered in patients with acute pain and/or tenderness in the upper abdomen and elevated serum amylase (more than 3 times normal upper limit).

All numeric variables were described as median and standard deviation. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare medians. The categorical variables were represented by absolute (n) or relative frequency (%) and compared with Chi-square test or Fisher exact test, when applicable. All procedures were conducted at the 0.05 level of significance.

RESULTS

One hundred and ten patients were enrolled in this prospective study, being 64 (58.2%) female.

SOM was successfully performed in 71/110 patients (success rate: 64.5%). Among the 71 patients, 42 (59%) were female with a median age of 51 ± 18 years. Most of the patients (86%) had never undergone ERCP. The most common clinical reasons for indicating the procedure (Table 1) were jaundice of unknown origin and choledocholithiasis suspicion. Other less frequent indications included abdominal pain of unknown origin, suspected biliary or pancreatic neoplasia, sclerosing cholangitis and acute cholangitis.

SOM was not successful in 39/110. Reasons for not performing manometry were: in 32 patients cannulation with the manometry catheter was not possible and in 3 the pressure recording was not reliable. The papilla could not be reached in three patients (duodenal stricture in two and Billroth II gastrectomy in one) and in another patient a sphincterotomy was present. Only in 10 patients ERCP was not succeeded: failure to cannulate the desired duct in 7, duodenal stricture in 2, Billroth II gastrectomy in 1. A successful ERCP was conducted in the remaining 29 patients without SOM, with the following findings: biliary lithiasis (8), papillary, biliary or pancreatic neoplasia (8), normal study (7), chronic pancreatitis (2), pancreas divisum (1), choledochal cyst (1), sclerosing cholangitis (1) and post-surgical biliary leakage (1).

Among the patients with successful manometry ERCP findings included: gallbladder and/or common bile duct stones in 39/71 (55%), malignant biliary strictures in 9/71 (12.6%), benign biliary stricture in 7/71 (9.9%) and 16/71 (22.5%) had a normal study (Table 2).

SOD was diagnosed in 18/71 (25%) patients. Median ages of SOD positive and negative groups were 50 ± 16.9 and 47 ± 18.4, respectively (P >0.05). Seven patients with SOD (38.9%) were male, lithiasis was present in eight, normal study in four, malignant biliary stricture in three and benign biliary stricture also in three patients. No statistical difference was found in the prevalence of SOD among the groups.

As shown in Table 2, four patients (three women) with normal ERCP had SOD. Abdominal pain was the reason for ERCP in three of them. According to the Geenen SOD classification(9) two were biliary type III and one biliary type II. The later was submitted to biliary sphincterotomy. The fourth patient had cholestasis due to chronic liver disease.
Cannulation was considered easy (up to five attempts) in 47 patients (66%) and difficult (more than five attempts) in 24/71 (34%). In the “easy” group 12 (25.5%) had a basal pressure higher than 40 mm Hg (SOD) while 6/24 patients (25%) with >5 attempts had SOD. No statistical difference was observed in the prevalence of SOD between the two groups.

Post-ERCP/SOM complications were observed in 11/71 patients (15.5%), most of them requiring only endoscopic and/or clinical management. Four patients had non-pancreatic self-limited abdominal pain. Two patients who developed post-procedure cholangitis (one due to retained biliary stone and the other with early stent malfunction) had a satisfactory outcome after antibiotics and endoscopic drainage. In a third patient with cholangitis and cholangiocarcinoma, endoscopic therapy was not possible. He was referred to surgery and died because of sepsis three days after surgery. This patient represents the only death related to the procedure (mortality rate 1.4%). Two patients (2.7%) had mild self-limited pancreatitis and were medically managed. One patient, with poor clinical condition, presented respiratory failure in the last minutes of ERCP requiring ventilatory support. One patient had post-sphincterotomy bleeding, successfully treated endoscopically. All complications occurred in patients submitted to therapeutic ERCP. There was no statistical difference in the prevalence of complications between patients with or without SOD (Table 3).

Among 47 patients who had an easy cannulation, 5 (10.6%) developed some complication, compared with 6 of 24 patients (25%) in whom more than 5 attempts were necessary, with no statistical difference.

**TABLE 3. Presence of complications related to SOD diagnosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal sphincter</th>
<th>SOD</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No complication</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complication</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05 (Fisher exact test)*

**DISCUSSION**

SOD is defined as a benign, obstructive and non-calculous disorder affecting the SO, leading to abdominal pain, cholestasis and recurrent pancreatitis.

Endoscopic SOM is considered the gold-standard diagnostic method for SOD. Studies conducted in healthy volunteers were not able to determine the real prevalence of SOD. The prevalence and real clinical importance of SOD are also unknown among patients referred to ERCP and this was the main motivation for this study.

Diazepam and/or midazolan have been used routinely during ERCP/SOM. Some authors reported a relaxing effect of midazolan on SO of patients with SOD or with a borderline basal pressure. Therefore, patients with SOD may have been misdiagnosed in our study because of routine use of the drug. Nevertheless, two of these studies had no control groups and dealt with a small number of patients. The third study, although controlled, was not randomised.

SOM was successfully recorded in 64.5% of the patients, which is similar to published reports. We shall emphasize that our patients come from low socio-economic classes frequently with a poor clinical condition.

Even considering our small sample, the high rate of SOD found in our study (24.7%) does not seem to have been influenced by age or gender. The nature of disease at ERCP does not seem to alter the prevalence of SOD since there was no difference between patients with gallbladder or ductal stones, normal study, biliary cancer or benign biliary stricture. However, the reduced number of patients precludes statistical analysis and we can not exclude a type B error. Even though, in order to explain such high SOD rate, we wonder if sub-clinical SOD could lead to some biliary change like stones, because of some degree of biliary stasis, or represents a consequence of any biliary tract disease. There are questions that may be asked: is B-SOD a non-specific response to any biliary disease? Does B-SOD play any role in different biliary diseases? Our study was able to raise these questions but more studies with larger samples, probably stratified by different biliary diseases are needed if we want to have precise answers.

We wonder if the mechanical stimulation due to papillary trauma could increase SO tonus manifested as SOD on manometry. This thesis was not confirmed, since cannulation was considered easy in most of the patients. In those who required a greater number of attempts a similar prevalence of SOD was observed.

We have found a low rate of major complications. Since all patients underwent SOM and ERCP at the same procedure, it is difficult to estimate the real role of SOM on complications. Hence, all the patients with complications had a therapeutic procedure during ERCP. Pancreatitis was diagnosed in only two patients, with a mild self-limited course and good outcome in both cases after conservative treatment. Complications were not related to the presence of SOD as shown in Table 3.

Comparing with previous reports on SOD prevalence, we found a higher prevalence in patients referred to ERCP. We were surprised with such finding. However, there is no similar previous report on literature, so our results are not comparable with other studies.

**CONCLUSION**

We have found a high prevalence of biliary sphincter of Oddi dysfunction in patients referred to ERCP. This small series can raise the possibility that SOD is more common than thought before. Maybe, the disease presents some type of clinical manifestation in a minority of patients only. Studies with more patients grouped by specific diseases are necessary to establish the role of SOD in biliary diseases.
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