SURGICAL TREATMENT OF FAMILIAL ADENOMATOUS POLYPOSIS: ileorectal anastomosis or restorative proctocolectomy?
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ABSTRACT – Context - Controversy regarding the best operative choice for familial adenomatous polyposis lays between the morbidity of restorative proctocolectomy and the supposed mortality due to rectal cancer after ileorectal anastomosis. Objectives - To evaluate operative complications and oncological outcome after ileorectal anastomosis and restorative proctocolectomy. Methods - Charts from patients treated between 1977 and 2006 were retrospectively analyzed. Clinical and endoscopic data, results of treatment, pathological reports and information regarding early and late outcome were recorded. Results - Eighty-eight patients - 41 men (46.6%) and 47 women (53.4%) - were assisted. At diagnosis, 53 patients (60.2%) already had associated colorectal cancer. Operative complications occurred in 25 patients (29.0%), being 17 (19.7%) early and 8 (9.3%) late complications. There were more complications after restorative proctocolectomy (48.1%) compared to proctocolectomy with ileostomy (26.6%) and ileorectal anastomosis (19.0%) (P = 0.03). There was no operative mortality. During the follow-up of 36 ileorectal anastomosis, cancer developed in the rectal cuff in six patients (16.6%). Cumulative cancer risk after ileorectal anastomosis was 17.2% at 5 years, 24.1% at 10 years and 43.1% at 15 years of follow-up. Age-dependent cumulative risk started at 30 years (4.3%), went to 9.6% at 40 years, 20.9% at 40 years and 52% at 60 years. Among the 26 patients followed after restorative proctocolectomy, it was found cancer in the ileal pouch in 1 (3.8%). Conclusions - 1. Operative complications occurred in about one third of the patients, being more frequently after the confection of ileal reservoir; 2. greater age and previous colonic carcinoma were associated with the development of rectal cancer after ileorectal anastomosis; 3. patients treated by restorative proctocolectomy are not free from the risk of pouch degeneration; 4. the disease complexity and the various risk factors (clinical, endoscopic, genetic) indicate that the best choice for operative treatment should be based on individual features discussed by a specialist; 5. all patients require continuous and long-term surveillance during postoperative follow-up.


INTRODUCTION

The various polyposis syndromes (PS) may differ in number, distribution and histological nature of the polyps. Within the intestinal tract, PS are responsible for 2% of all colorectal malignant tumors, the basic lesion being an adenoma (familial adenomatous polyposis, attenuated familial adenomatous polyposis, Gardner syndrome, Turcot syndrome) or hamartoma (Peutz-Jeghers syndrome, juvenile polyposis, Cowden syndrome, Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome and Cronkhite-Canada syndrome). Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) accounts for approximately 1% of colorectal cancer cases. The classical syndrome is an autosomal hereditary disease caused by a germinative mutation in the APC gene (adenomatous polyposis coli), located on the long arm of chromosome 5q21, which confers a dominant character to the syndrome, although 20% of patients do not exhibit familiar history. Surgical treatment options include proctocolectomy and terminal ileostomy (PCI), total colectomy and ileorectal anastomosis (IRA) and restorative proctocolectomy (RPC) with pouch anal anastomosis. In each patient, the best option should be based on parameters such as age, local and number of polyps, genetic mutation locus and acceptance of the patient to undergo long-term follow-up. There are still many controversies regarding the best prophylactic operation for FAP. PCI may lead to profound body image and emotional alterations related to the stoma and sexual dysfunction. Thus, its...
indication has been limited to polyposis patients associated with low rectal cancer or sphincteric disorders.

The most commonly indicated procedures are IRA and RPC. Since its introduction, RPC has suffered continuous modifications in order to achieve better functional outcome, less morbidity and mucosal disease control. Despite some controversies, RPC progressively turned out to be the gold standard treatment for FAP and ulcerative colitis(2).

Although it was initially thought that this procedure would abolish the risk of adenomas in FAP patients, it has been documented the development of adenomas within the ileal pouch many years after the surgical treatment(38). Furthermore, there is a risk of malignant transformation attested by some reports of cancer at the ileal pouch or at the ATZ(9, 16).

On the other hand, IRA provides good surgical and functional outcome(4), but it is associated with an elevated risk of metachronous rectal cancer in rates varying from 12% to 43% in non-selected patients(36).

Within this context, the aims of the present work were to evaluate the surgical complications and the oncological outcome of patients who underwent IRA or RPC, making an analysis of the risk factors that might be involved in each procedure.

**METHODS**

The population of this study is formed by FAP patients treated at the Coloproctology Unit (Gastroenterology Department) in “Hospital das Clínicas”, University of São Paulo School of Medicine, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

There were analyzed data from patients treated during the last 29 years (January 1977 and March 2006). Diagnosis was established through colonoscopic and histological exams of colorectal polyps. Data retrieved from patient charts were collected to organize clinical, endoscopic, histological and follow-up information (Figure 1).

Statistical analysis was developed using parametric tests (t Student) and non-parametric (Qui-square and Mann-Whitney). Rejection of nullity hypothesis was established at 0.05 (5%).

**RESULTS**

There were evaluated data from 88 patients, being 41 men (46.6%) and 47 women (53.4%). At diagnosis, average age was 35.9 years (15 to 82) and 53 patients (60.2%) already had associated colorectal cancer (CRC) that was diagnosed by colonoscopy or histological exam.

Endoscopic characterization of the polyposis was obtained in 84 patients. Attenuated polyposis was found in 12 patients (14.3%) and the others were classified as classical polyposis (n = 72; 85.7%); 8 of these patients presented a severe picture of the syndrome.

Among the 88 patients, 86 were operated on. Two patients (2.3%) were not operated due to disseminated cancer or religious reasons. Operative procedures consisted of 15 total proctocolectomies with ileostomy (PCI), 27 RPC with ileal pouch, 42 total colectomies with IRA, 1 palliative segmental resection and 1 intestinal deviation (internal bypass).

Figure 2 presents the percentages of each surgical procedure during five consecutive periods.

The incidence of early and late complications for each operative procedure is presented in Table 1.

**TABLE 1.** Number and percentage of early and late complications in 86 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>PCI (15)</th>
<th>IRA (42)</th>
<th>RPC (27)</th>
<th>SR (1)</th>
<th>ID (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 2.** Percentual distribution of operative procedures performed in five consecutive periods

The incidence of early and late complications for each operative procedure is presented in Table 1.

PCI = total proctocolectomy with definitive ileostomy; IRA = total colectomy with ileorectal anastomosis; RPC = restorative proctocolectomy with ileal pouch; SR = segmental resection; ID = internal deviation (bypass) * S = statistical difference
Table 2 shows that complications were registered in 25 patients (29.0%), being 17 (19.7%) early and 8 (9.3%) late (after 30th postoperative day). Statistical analysis showed that RPC rates (48.1%) were greater than PCI (26.6%) \[P = 0.005\] and IRA (19.0%) \[P = 0.001\] There was no difference between PCI and IRA \(P = 0.5\).

**TABLE 2. Patients treated with polypectomy after ileorectal anastomosis or restorative proctocolectomy and incidence of cancer in the rectal cuff and ileal pouch**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Polyps recurrence</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>Cancer recurrence</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRA (n = 42)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPC (n = 27)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IRA = total colectomy with ileorectal anastomosis; RPC = restorative proctocolectomy.

Early complications were more frequent in IRA patients (16.6%) when compared to those who underwent PCI (6.6%). Otherwise, when analyzing late complications there is an inversion of values, as PCI rates were greater (20%), when compared to RPC (14.8%) and IRA (2.4%).

There was no mortality in the present series. During follow-up, reoperation was required in two IRA patients (4.8%), in two RPC (7.4%) and in one PCI (6.6%).

Among the 80 patients that had undergone curative resection, local or distant recurrences were detected in 6 (7.5%) during follow-up. These patients comprise 11.3% of those 53 who had colorectal cancer associated with the polyposis.

The occurrence of polyps and cancer after IRA and RPC is shown in Table 2. In relation to the original number of patients of each group, there were followed 80% of PCI (12), 85.8% of IRA (36) and 96.3% that had undergone RPC (26). Table 3 presents the main clinical features of patients who had tumoral recurrence in rectal cuff.

There was estimated the risk of rectal cancer after performing IRA (Kaplan-Meier method). The cumulative risk of rectal cancer after IRA was 17.2% after 5 years, 24.1% after 10 years and 43.1% after 15 years of follow-up. And the cumulative risk age-dependent starts at 30 years (4.3%), going to 9.6% and 43.1% after 15 years of follow-up. These patients comprise 11.3% of those 53 who had colorectal cancer associated with the polyposis.

The occurrence of polyps and cancer after IRA and RPC is shown in Table 2. In relation to the original number of patients of each group, there were followed 80% of PCI (12), 85.8% of IRA (36) and 96.3% that had undergone RPC (26). Table 3 presents the main clinical features of patients who had tumoral recurrence in rectal cuff.

There was estimated the risk of rectal cancer after performing IRA (Kaplan-Meier method). The cumulative risk of rectal cancer after IRA was 17.2% after 5 years, 24.1% after 10 years and 43.1% after 15 years of follow-up. And the cumulative risk age-dependent starts at 30 years (4.3%), going to 9.6% at 40 years, 20.9% at 40 years and 52% at 60 years.

Among the patients who underwent RPC that were followed (26), only 1 (3.8%) developed cancer at the ileal pouch, 12 years after the initial operation. This patient had 40 years when underwent surgery, and the histological evaluation showed an associated colonic cancer. He was managed with pouch resection and definitive ileostomy; the pathologist found a T2N0 adenocarcinoma and multiple microadenomas at the ileal pouch.

**DISCUSSION**

The most important clinical feature of FAP is the almost inevitable adenoma degeneration, expressed by the virtual 100% penetrance in non-treated patients. In FAP patients diagnosed out of screening programs, the incidence of CRC is greater than 60%\(^{15}\). In the present work, we found associated CRC in 60.2% and patient’s average age was 35.9 years, similar to other series\(^{17}\). This is due to the fact that most of them were not diagnosed after their symptoms, not on the basis of family history.

Despite the introduction of technical procedures, a better selection process and the development of molecular biology during the last decades, the choice for the best operation in FAP patients still demand much debate. Ideally, the final decision should take into account functional results and cancer risks after the operation.

After the 90’s, the introduction of RPC into the clinical practice and its indication in the face of the disease’s clinical features led to a significant reduction of IRA. But one still recognizes that IRA is a technical procedure associated with low morbidity and good functional outcome, and its main indication lies on patients with rectal sparing polyposes who agree with long term follow-up\(^{19}\). IRA is especially interesting in the attenuated form of the syndrome, where the rarity of rectal polyposes allowed its indication in 9 from 12 patients with attenuated polyposis.

Nowadays, RPC is the most common procedure, as it eradicates the diseased colorectal mucosa and preserves the sphincter muscles, despite leading to greater operative morbidity\(^{34}\). In our patients, the impact of rectal dissection during surgery is clearly demonstrated by the complication rates after RPC (48.1%), PCI (26.6%) and IRA (19%). The incidence of complications and their nature were comparable to some literature reports\(^{4, 23}\), although others did not find differences regarding early or late complications and functional.
results between RPC and IRA\(^{29}\). This discordance is probably due to series with patients at varying ages and co-morbidities, as well as surgeons with different technical expertise.

In an interesting metanalysis, AZIZ et al.\(^{20}\) compared 12 studies published from 1991 to 2003, reuniting 1,002 patients. The authors found less evacuation dysfunction after IRA and a greater reoperation rate after RPC (23.4\% vs 11.6\%). But there was no difference regarding sexual dysfunction or postoperative complications. Rectal cancer was diagnosed in 5.5\% after IRA. Their conclusion was that both operations have their individual merits and that new studies are necessary to evaluate which technique provides more benefits to FAP patients.

One interesting perspective is the laparoscopic approach. Minimally invasive surgery results in less physiologic trauma, less adherences, shorter hospital stay and better cosmesis, although the long operative length is still an important disadvantage. In young patients with greater risks of reoperation, the benefits of laparoscopic resections are even more evident. But the indication of total colectomies is still limited and must be restricted to selected patients and skilled surgeons\(^{31,6,20}\).

Surgical options for FAP oscillate between RPC morbidity and the supposed mortality due to rectal cancer after IRA that can happen despite careful follow-up\(^{29}\).

In the literature, the incidence of metachronous cancer in the rectal cuff varies from 12\% to 43\%\(^{14}\). This variation may be attributed to colectomies in different extensions or surgical indication in different ages. This risk rises progressively with length of follow-up, reaching 5\%-12\% after 10 years\(^{26,27}\), 23\% after 15 years\(^{27}\) and even 50\% after 20 years from operation\(^{28,29}\). Data from the Polyposis Registry in Sweden indicate a cumulative risk of 25.7\% at 70 years of age\(^{10}\).

Today it is well known that this risk also depends on clinical factors such as age and rectal length, as well as pathological factors (presence of villous adenomas, displasia, number, size and shape of polyps) and molecular factors (mutation locus)\(^{18,24}\).

Among our patients, there were detected rectal cuff cancer in six patients (16.6\%), whose average age was 45.8 years. This average age was significantly greater than that observed among patients who had undergone IRA and did not have rectal cuff recurrence (36.6 years, 17-82). Average time interval between colectomy and recurrence was 58.6 months.

All the patients had rectal polyps at initial operation or developed them during follow-up. Regarding the polyposis severity, only two patients had the attenuated form of the disease. These patients were 47 and 56 years old at operation, what suggests that age probably influenced this outcome. Five patients had colonic cancer in the surgical specimen. Treatment of such patients consisted of local resection (two), proctectomy (three) and one patient was not operated due to hepatic metastasis.

When possible, the indication of IRA in young patients (before 30 years) is more effective, allowing them to live at least 20 to 25 years in this situation without developing cancer in the reminiscent rectum\(^{29}\). And, although five of four patients had colonic cancer in the resected specimen, it is not known if this fact raises the chances of a future rectal cancer or if this is only related to the elevated age at the treatment.

The density of rectal polyps has been the most utilized criteria to choose the operative procedure in FAP patients, but the number is somewhat variable in the literature. It is important to note that the concept of a less severe colonic and rectal polyposis does not guarantee that a cancer will not develop in the future, as we attested in two of our patients with attenuated polyposis.

In this context, an interesting work\(^{13}\) reported that patients with less than five adenomas were frequently asymptomatic (73\%) and had a less severe polyposis in 86\%, allowing the performance of IRA 92.5\% of them. In this group, only five patients (6.9\%) required proctectomy, none of them for cancer. On the other hand, those with more than 20 rectal adenomas required proctectomy in 35\%, four (10.8\%) for malignant degeneration. The authors concluded that proctoscopy was an effective method of preoperative selection, and that the presence of less than five rectal adenomas indicates a less aggressive disease associated with a good outcome after IRA. The results of this and other works\(^{16}\) lead us to adopt the same criteria to select the operative procedure, fact that increased the number of ileal pouches.

Another vision has come from the clinical and pathological data collected by VASEN et al.\(^{18}\), who reported the results of four National Polyposis Registries (Denmark, Finland, Sweden and Holland). In a group of 659 patients that had undergone IRA, 47 (7.1\%) developed rectal cancer and the risk of death due to rectal cancer was 12.5\% at 65 years of age. When compared to IRA, there was an increased life expectancy of 1.8 years after RPC. Furthermore, 75\% of the patients with rectal cancer had already had a normal rectoscopy 12 months before the diagnosis, showing that the follow-up does not provide effective protection against the development of rectal neoplasia.

The follow-up of operated patients shows that in a great proportion there is a spontaneous decrease of rectal polyps density after IRA, although this is a temporary phenomenon (3-4 years)\(^{21}\). While lesions smaller than 5 mm do not need to be excised, greater adenomas should be excised or cauterized. If occurs an increase in number (>20), size (>10 mm) or dysplasia (to severe), one should excise all lesions greater than 5 mm and perform a new endoscopy after 6 months. If there is an eventual progression of these lesions, proctectomy should be considered.

In opposition to the occurrence of rectal cancer after IRA, RPC has been considered the operation of choice for FAP. It has been mainly indicated for adolescents, for those who cannot or refuse follow-up, for severe rectal polyposis and for cases of synchronous colon and upper rectum cancer\(^{29}\).

But the late rates of degeneration in the ileal pouch or at the anal transition zone (AZT) are still unknown. The development of pouch adenomas has been reported in variable incidences ranging from 4\% to 50\% of the cases\(^{29,33}\). Moreover, publications of adenocarcinoma cases\(^{9,37}\) suggest that the risk of malignization is not abolished.
Different carcinogenic mechanisms were related to this risk, such as the presence of multiple pouch adenomas, their size, patient’s age, incomplete mucosectomy and preservation of AZT. The confection of hand-sewn anastomosis with mucosectomy seems to reduce this risk as this procedure excludes residual rectal mucosa in the rectal cuff and at the anastomosis(32). On the other hand, mucosectomy demands technical skills and residual islands of rectal mucosa have been found in 20% of resected pouches(30).

Today, most of the pouches are performed using the double-stapled technique without mucosectomy, to preserve the AZT and to improve functional results. Besides this, the rectal epithelium forms digitations at the dentate line, predisposing the occurrence of displasia which requires local surveillance.

We have treated a patient with cancer after RPC, where a mucinous adenocarcinoma probably developed from residual mucosa between the ileal mucosa and the anal canal. Recent revisions about this subject showed that some cases developed after mucosectomy(30, 36, 37), fact that clearly demonstrated that it is impossible to remove all the mucosa in practical terms.

The ideas we discussed here strengthen the recommendation for regular and long-term endoscopic surveillance of the pouches no matter what technique has been employed, till the natural history of polyps and the carcinogenic risk factors have been clarified.

Although there is no agreement to the frequency of examination, some authors suggest a 3-years interval when polyps are not detected in the pouch, 2-3 years when they are found and frequent follow-up in cases of advanced or numerous adenomas(33).

Some data have to be considered to make a decision in each patient. Potential candidates for IRA are young patients with few polyps and no familiar history of severe disease, patients with attenuated disease and those who refuse the confection of a temporary ileostomy after ileal pouch(14, 35).

When deciding for IRA, surgeon and patient should recognize that regular examination may not necessarily detect eventual neoplasia in the rectal cuff, and that the risk of cancer increases with time, age and it is probably associated with previous colonic cancer. Familiar history or mutation related to severe polyposis should favor the indication of ileal pouch anastomosis.

Complications after RPC are common and morbidity rates are low, but not all surgeons obtain the same functional results with this procedure that also has consequences on female fertility(31).

Another point is the ideal moment for the surgical treatment. In the context of the complexity of this genetic syndrome, the proper discussion of all the problems by a specialist is of sum importance to the patient’s and family’s acceptance. When available, the aid of genetic information (mutations related to severe polyposis or desmoid disease) may influence the final decision. Independently of the operation choice, the actual knowledge about the oncological outcome suggests regular surveillance after any kind of surgical procedure.


RESUMO - Contexto - As controvérsias quanto a melhor forma de tratamento da polipose adenomatosa familiar confrontam a morbidade da proctocolectomia restauradora contra a suposta mortalidade decorrente de câncer retal após íleo-reto anastomose. Objetivos - Avaliar as complicações operatórias e a evolução oncológica dos pacientes submetidos a íleo-reto anastomose ou proctocolectomia restauradora. Métodos - Analisaram-se os dados dos doentes tratados entre 1977 e 2006, procedendo ao levantamento de dados clínicos gerais, endoscópicos, resultados do tratamento cirúrgico, dados anatopatológicos e informações sobre a evolução precoce e tardia dos pacientes. Resultados - Foram tratados 88 pacientes, sendo 41 homens (46,6%) e 47 mulheres (53,4%). Por ocasião do diagnóstico, 53 pacientes (60,2%) já tinham câncer colorretal associado à polipose. Registram-se complicações operatórias em 25 doentes (29,0%) dentre os 86 operados, sendo 17 (19,7%) precoces e 8 (9,3%) tardias. Houve mais complicações após proctocolectomia restauradora (48,1%) em comparação às proctocolectomias com ileostomia (26,6%) e íleo-reto anastomose (19,0%) (P = 0,03). Não houve mortalidade operatória. O risco cumulativo de câncer retal após íleo-reto anastomose foi de 17,2% após 5 anos, 24,1% após 10 anos e 43,1% após 15 anos de seguimento pós-operatório. Já o risco cumulativo idade-dependente começou a existir a partir de 30 anos (4,3%), passando para 9,6% aos 40 anos, 20,9% aos 40 anos e 52% aos 60 anos. Entre os pacientes submetidos a bolsa ileal com seguimento (26), apenas 1 doente (3,8%) desenvolveu câncer na bolsa ileal. Conclusões – 1. Ocorreram complicações operatórias em cerca de 1/3 dos pacientes, sendo mais frequentes após a confecção da bolsa ileal; 2. idade maior, tempo de seguimento e câncer colônico prévio se associaram ao desenvolvimento de câncer no coto retal após íleo-reto anastomose; 3. pacientes tratados por proctocolectomia restauradora não estão livres do risco de degeneração na bolsa ileal; 4. a complexidade da doença e a existência de diversos fatores de risco envolvidos (clínicos, endoscópicos, genéticos) indicam que a melhor decisão operatória seja baseada em características individuais a serem consideradas por um especialista; 5. todos os pacientes operados requerem vigilância contínua e prolongada no seguimento pós-operatório.
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