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ABSTRACT – Context - Exposure of hepatocytes to pathological conditions in a microenvironment of hypoxia and reoxygenation is very frequent in hepatic diseases. Several substances present perspectives for cytoprotective action on hepatocyte submitted to reoxygenation after hypoxia and simple hypoxia. Objective - We research therapeutic options for hepatocytes submitted to hypoxia and hypoxia + reoxygenation injury. Methods - Primary culture of rat hepatocytes was submitted to hypoxia (2 hours) plus reoxygenation (2 hours) and simple hypoxia (4 hours) in the presence or the absence of cytoprotectors. The hepatocyte lesion was evaluated by functional criteria through percentage of lactate dehydrogenase released and cell viability. The effects of the cytoprotectors prostaglandin E1 3 μg/mL, superoxide dismutase 80 μg/mL, allopurinol 20 μM and verapamil 10^(-4) M were studied in this model of injury. Results - Reoxygenation after hypoxia induced more significant lesion in cultured hepatocytes compared to simple hypoxia, detected by analysis of functional criteria. There was a significant reduction of percentage of lactate dehydrogenase released and a significant increase of percentage of cell viability in the hypoxia + reoxygenation + cytoprotectors groups compared to hypoxia + reoxygenation groups. Prostaglandin E1, superoxide dismutase and verapamil also protected the group submitted to simple hypoxia, when evaluated by functional criteria. Conclusions - We conclude that reoxygenation after hypoxia significantly increased the lesion of cultured rat hepatocytes when compared to simple hypoxia. Prostaglandin E1, superoxide dismutase, allopurinol and verapamil acted as cytoprotectors to the rat cultured hepatocytes submitted to hypoxia + reoxygenation in vitro. The substances prostaglandin E1, superoxide dismutase and verapamil protected hepatocytes submitted to simple hypoxia on the basis of all the criteria studied in this experimental model.


INTRODUCTION

The study of cell injury caused by simple hypoxia and by reoxygenation after hypoxia has been of great interest. Exposure of hepatocytes to pathological conditions in a microenvironment of hypoxia and reoxygenation is very frequent in several hepatic diseases. In hemodynamic shock, fulminant hepatitis, cardiac and pulmonary failure, sepsis, systemic inflammatory response syndrome and in the conservation of graft for transplant, a microenvironment of hypoxia/anoxia will appear followed by reoxygenation which can be injurious to the liver cell. Death of hepatocytes by hypoxia and hypoxia plus reoxygenation injury seems to be a multifactorial event including alterations of the plasma membrane, increase of autophagic vacuoles, leakage of lysosomal enzymes, rupture of blebs on the cell surface, calcium accumulation in the intracellular medium with activation of hydrolytic enzymes, and extensive mitochondrial damage(5, 17, 19, 33, 64). Knowledge about cell damage induced by reoxygenation after hypoxia advanced with the description of oxygen free radicals (OFRs), such as hydroxyl (• OH), superoxide (O_2^•-) and perhydroxyl (HO_2^-) free radicals(64). OFRs are formed in reoxygenation environments mainly coming from the mitochondrial respiratory chain and from cytoplasmatic sources. Excessive production of OFRs may exceed the defensive mechanism of the cell, causing lipid peroxidation with structural damage of the cell membranes, as well as severe disarrangement of proteins, carbohydrates and DNA(17, 61, 64).

Many substances may have cytoprotective action on hepatocyte submitted to reoxygenation after hypoxia and simple hypoxia. The prostaglandin E1 (PGE1),
superoxide dismutase (SOD), allopurinol (ALO) and verapamil (VERA) were evaluated in this study. Prostaglandins (PGs) and prostacyclin have been studied in various injury models such as isolated hepatocytes under anoxia, liver perfusion ischemia, fulminant hepatitis, hepatic necrosis, liver lesion by drugs, among others(2, 21, 22, 31, 37, 52, 55, 54, 58). However, there are few studies employing PGs in primary culture of hepatocytes(3). The effects of SOD enzyme, which neutralizes the action of superoxide radicals, were studied in few studies carried out mainly with the perfused liver model(9, 28, 29, 45, 63). KOBAYASHI and CLEMENS(40) studied the effects of SOD in the hepatocyte culture model when submitted to hypoxia followed by reoxygenation. There are not studies about the SOD effects on simple hypoxia in the literature. ALO has a well-known action in the blockade of xanthine oxidase (XO) enzyme and it has a cytoprotective potential against injury provoked by reoxygenation. Few studies are available about ALO effect on hepatocytes submitted to reoxygenation injury, mainly in the primary culture model. Several authors(8, 14, 42, 55, 57) studied the ALO effect on the perfused liver model under ischemia/ reperfusion. CARACENI et al.(17) showed the effects of ALO on isolated hepatocyte. This drug was also employed in studies with other organs such as the heart(62) and the kidney(43). The effects of ALO in a microenvironment of simple hypoxia have not been referred in the medical literature. VERA has a calcium channel blocking activity and the calcium can influence the injury provoked by hypoxia plus reoxygenation. A great number of studies about VERA utilized the ischemia/reperfusion model(14, 42, 55, 57).

In the present study, cultured hepatocytes were exposed to hypoxia for 2 hours and reoxygenation for 2 hours and compared with simple hypoxia for 4 hours, and also with control groups, in the presence or the absence of the substances under investigation. Analysis by functional criteria showed that PGE1, SOD, ALO and VERA had a cytoprotective action on cultured hepatocytes exposed to reoxygenation after hypoxia.

METHODS

A) Primary culture of rat hepatocytes

Newborn female rats up to 1 week old were used. All animals received care according to the National Institute of Health guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. The animals were anesthetized with ether and operated upon using an aseptic technique under a laminar airflow. The liver was transferred to Ca2+ -free and Mg2+ -free phosphate buffered saline (PBS). The organs were fragmented with a pair of scissors for 10 minutes (min), and centrifuged at low rotation for 5 min in a cold centrifuge (Hitachi). The supernatant was discarded, and the material was incubated with 0.2% collagenase (Sigma) for 10 min in a stove at 37°C. After this a 20% solution of PBS + fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Cultilab) was added and the material was centrifuged for 5 min at low rotation. The supernatant was discarded. This operation was repeated three times and the material was transferred to plastic culture bottles (Nunc) previously covered with the laminin matrix (Sigma) at 50 μg/ml concentration. Culture medium Williams E (Sigma) was utilized containing 2% (v/v) FBS and supplemented with: 104 M Insulin (Sigma), 104 M Glucagon (Sigma), 10 μU/mL Human Growth Hormone (Sigma), 10 ng/mL Epidermal Growth Factor (Sigma), 30 mg/mL Proline (Sigma), 100 μg/mL Crystalline Penicillin (Fontoura-Wyeth), 100 μg/mL Streptomycin (Sigma), 5 μg/mL Amphotericin B (Squibb), 1 g/L HEPES (Sigma), 1 g/L sodium bicarbonate (Sigma) and 5 x 10-6 M of hydrocortisone (Sigma). The final atmosphere in the bottles had 95% air and 5% CO2. The bottles were then placed in a CO2 stove (Nuaire) during 24 hours at 37°C. The Williams E medium was again changed on the 4th and 5th day.

B) Cell Indexes

Cell viability was measured by the technique using 0.4% Trypan-Blue stain exclusion. The viable cells (unstained) and the non-viable cells (stained) were counted in a Neubauer chamber. The results are reported as cell viability percentage and calculated by the following formula:

\[
\% \text{ Cell Viability} = \frac{\text{Number of viable cells (unstained)}}{\text{Number of viable + non viable cells (stained)}} 
\]

Cell viability was calculated on the 1st day and also on the 5th day before and after the experimental assay. Confirmation of the hepatic cell culture was performed by immunohistochemistry technique using the streptavidin - biotin - peroxidase method. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) isozymes in the bottle supernatant were determined by electrophoresis on acetate strips. Albumin levels in the supernatant were determined by nephelometry using a Nephelometer Analyzer (Behring) on the 8th day of culture.

C) Experimental assay under hypoxia and reoxygenation

The rat hepatocytes in primary culture were utilized on the 5th culture day. The culture medium of each bottle was changed immediately before the procedure and pH was adjusted by adding HEPES. Hypoxia was established by the nitrogen (N2) bubbling technique in the culture bottles and carried out for 60 min with bottle shaking at each 5 min. After N2 bubbling there was a significant drop of the PO2 in the bottles from 196.5 to 62.3 mm Hg. The PO2 was measured with a “Stat Profile plus 3” gas analysis apparatus (New Biomedical, USA) in aliquots of the culture medium. At the end of the procedure the bottles were closed and placed in a stove at 37°C for 2 or 4 hours according to the experimental group. In the groups selected for reoxygenation the bottles were bubbled with carbogen gas (95% O2, 5% CO2) for 30 min. PO2 after bubbling with carbogen and reached an average of 464.9 mm Hg. After this period, the medium pH was adjusted with a sodium bicarbonate solution, and the bottles were closed again and incubated in a stove at 37°C for 2 h. The following study groups were formed: 2 hour hypoxia + 2 hour reoxygenation (H + R), 4 hour simple hypoxia (H), simple control, H + R + cytoprotectors, H + cytoprotectors.
and control + cytoprotectors. Both 2 hour hypoxia group that was not followed by reoxygenation and 2 hour oxygenation without hypoxia group were made in order to prove the utility of injury times of this study.

D) Functional criteria employed in the study of hepatocyte lesion

The functional criteria utilized to measure the lesion of hepatocytes submitted to injury caused by simple hypoxia and hypoxia + reoxygenation were: the percentage of LDH enzyme released and the percentage of cell viability which was measured by the technique of Trypan-Blue stain exclusion as mentioned in item B. LDH was measured by the ultraviolet spectrophotometric method both in the supernatant and in the intracellular medium. The percentage of the enzyme released was calculated by the formula:

\[
\% \text{ Released Enzyme} = \frac{\text{Supernatant Enzyme}}{\text{Supernatant Enzyme} + \text{Intracellular Enzyme}}
\]

The technique for LDH determination was as follows: at the end of the incubation period, the supernatant was transferred to conic plastic tubes which were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 3 min. One mL aliquots of supernatant were placed in Eppendorf tubes for a later LDH determination. In order to measure the enzyme in the intracellular medium, the hepatocytes were removed from each bottle using 0.2% trypsin and 0.02% versen solution. The contents of each bottle were transferred to the respective conic tubes containing the supernatant, and were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 4 min. The pellet was resuspended in 0.5 mL of PBS and placed in Eppendorf tubes. The supernatant was removed and 0.2 mL 1% Triton X-100 was added for 30 min to induce cell rupture. The cytoprotectors employed in this experimental model was: Prostaglandin E1 (PGE1) (Sigma) 3 ng/mL, Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) (Sigma) 80 μg/mL, Allopurinol (ALO) (Sigma) 20 μM and Verapamil (VERA) 10⁻⁶ M. The final drugs doses were defined after pilot-assays with four doses per group. The above substances were added to the bottles on the 5th day of culture and they were pre-incubated for 2 h before the beginning of hypoxia and immediately after changing the culture medium. The substances were placed in bottles of the following experimental groups: H + R, H and control.

F) FOX method

The hydroperoxides measurement was made by FOX2 (ferrous oxidation in xylenol orange) method as described for WOLFF[62] with modifications. The components of the solution utilized (FOX2 reagent) in the assay were 100 μM xylenol orange (Sigma), 250 μM ammonium ferrous sulfate (Sigma), 90% methanol (Merck), 4mM butylated hydroxytoluene (Sigma), 25 mM H₂SO₄ (Merck). A hundred microliters of each sample were added to 950 μL of FOX2 reagent, vortexed, and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The absorbance was read at 560 nm after brief centrifugation. The signal was read against a H₂O₂ standard curve. The final results were expressed in μM of hydroperoxides per mg of protein.

G) Statistical analysis

The data concerning LDH released and cell viability percentages were compared by a nonparametric test, owing to the lack of Gaussian distribution. The unpaired Mann-Whitney test was used to compare two distinct groups. The Kruskal-Wallis multiple comparisons test and Dunn’s posttest was used when comparing two or more unpaired groups. An equal or lower alpha risk of 5% (P≤0.05) was considered statistically significant. The values were expressed as median and sample variability is reported as 25% and 75% percentiles. The results were presented in tables with numbers in percentiles and medians.

RESULTS

1. Cellular indexes of hepatocyte culture

Cell viability on the 1st day reached 95% of the hepatocytes with good morphological aspect observed by LM. The cell count showed an average number of 4.01 x 10⁶ hepatocytes/mL. The immunohistochemical test carried out on cultured hepatocytes showed positive results for cytokeratin AE1 and AE3. Furthermore, LDH isozymes were determined in the bottle supernatant, with predominance of fraction 5 of the enzyme (72.2%). The dosage of albumin in the bottle supernatant was positive (49.8 mg/L).

2. Comparison among control groups

There was no significant difference in percent LDH released between the simple control and control + cytoprotectors (C-PGE1, C-SOD, C-ALO and C-VERA) groups (Table 1). Similarly, no significant difference in percent cell viability was observed between the simple control and control + cytoprotectors groups (Table 2).

3) Comparison among the control, simple hypoxia and hypoxia + cytoprotectors groups

Analysis of percent LDH released showed a significant difference between the hypoxia (4 h) = 40.85% (37.25 - 42.85) (n = 13) and control = 15.27% (9.81 - 18.56) (n = 19)
and 2 h hypoxia = 88.35% (82.92 - 89.67) (n = 12) (P<0.001) groups as well. The control and 2 h hypoxia groups were not significantly different (P>0.05). The results also revealed that all cytoprotectors improved markedly the cell viability of groups under hypoxia, with no significant difference between them and the control group. A significant improvement in cell viability was noted between groups: H + PGE1 = 79.79% (76.19 - 81.63) (n = 13), H + SOD = 82.87% (80.18 - 86.81) (n = 12), H + ALO = 78.16% (73.22 - 84.24) (n = 12), H + VERA = 79.70% (76.15 - 82.74) (n = 10) and the simple hypoxia group = 61.60% (55.16 - 65.89) (n = 20).

### 4) Analysis of the control, hypoxia + reoxygenation (H+R) and hypoxia + reoxygenation + cytoprotectors (H+R+Cy) groups

A significant difference in percent LDH released was observed between groups H+R = 48.50% (44.0 - 51.98) (n = 14) and control = 15.27% (9.81 - 18.56) (n = 19) (P<0.001) as well as between H+R group and 2 h oxygenation group = 14.04% (8.33 - 25.20) (n = 12) (P<0.0001). There was no significant difference between the control group and 2 h oxygenation group in relation to the percent LDH released (P = 0.9). PGE1 and SOD were found to have a strong protective effect on groups H+R+PGE1 = 19.63% (13.04 - 27.12) (n = 17) and H+R+SOD = 25.42% (20.75 - 31.64) (n = 21), with no significant difference from the control group. The results showed that the substances PGE1, SOD, ALO and VERA were cytoprotectors when groups H+R+Cy and H+R = 48.50% (44.0 - 51.98) (n = 14) were compared (Table 3).

Percent cell viability again differed between H+R = 57.32% (54.19 - 59.46) (n = 20) and control = 78.91% (76.17 - 86.05) (n = 12) (P<0.001). There was also a difference between the H+R group and the 2 h oxygenation group = 81.65% (80.32 - 88.55) (n = 12) (P<0.001). In contrast, no significant difference was obtained between the control group and the 2 h oxygenation group (P = 0.18). There was also no significant difference between the following groups: H+R+PGE1, H+R+SOD, H+R+ALO, H+R+VERA and the control group (Table 4). The results also showed that PGE1, SOD, ALO and VERA

### TABLE 1. Comparison of percent LDH released between groups: simple control and control + cytoprotectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n*</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range †</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15.27</td>
<td>9.81 - 19.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control + PGE1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18.64</td>
<td>14.46 - 22.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control + SOD</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18.08</td>
<td>13.96 - 22.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control + ALO</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21.44</td>
<td>10.35 - 24.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control + VERA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>12.07 - 17.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * = number of assays; † = 25% to 75% percentile; ‡ - Control group = 78.91% (76.17 - 86.05) (n = 12); ** - H + R group = 57.32% (54.19 - 59.46) (n = 20); ¶ = non significant

### TABLE 2. Comparison of percent cell viability between groups: simple control and control + cytoprotectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n*</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range †</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>78.91</td>
<td>76.17 - 86.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control + PGE1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75.92</td>
<td>74.68 - 77.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control + SOD</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>85.71</td>
<td>84.61 - 87.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control + ALO</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>78.31</td>
<td>73.47 - 82.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control + VERA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>77.11</td>
<td>74.71 - 80.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * = number of assays; † = 25% to 75% percentile; ‡ - Control group = 15.27% (9.81 - 18.56) (n = 19); ** - H + R group = 48.50% (44.0 - 51.98) (n = 14); ¶ = non significant

### TABLE 3. Comparison of percent LDH released among groups: simple control, H + R and H + R + cytoprotectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n*</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range †</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control + PGE1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19.63</td>
<td>13.04 - 27.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H + R + SOD</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25.42</td>
<td>20.75 - 31.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H + R + ALO</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34.46</td>
<td>22.37 - 38.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H + R + VERA</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32.67</td>
<td>25.49 - 36.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * = number of assays; † = 25% to 75% percentile; ‡ - Control group = 61.60% (55.16 - 65.89) (n = 20), H + R group = 48.50% (44.0 - 51.98) (n = 14); ¶ = non significant

### TABLE 4. Comparison of percent cell viability among groups: simple control, H + R and H + R + cytoprotectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n*</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range †</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H + R + PGE1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>73.38</td>
<td>70.24 - 74.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H + R + SOD</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71.29</td>
<td>70.00 - 73.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H + R + ALO</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>72.80</td>
<td>70.00 - 75.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H + R + VERA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>84.26</td>
<td>79.84 - 85.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * = number of assays; † = 25% to 75% percentile; ‡ - Control group = 78.91% (76.17 - 86.05) (n = 12), H + R group = 57.32% (54.19 - 59.46) (n = 20); ¶ = non significant
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It is possible that hypoxia allows a greater production of OFRs also from cytoplasm sources such as XO that is formed from the xanthine dehydrogenase (XD) in hypoxia microenvironment\(^{(16)}\).

Among the cytoprotectors under study, PGE1 and SOD presented more remarkable results in terms of the two functional criteria employed, with the groups submitted to SH and H+R not differing from the control group. Moreover, the two substances significantly reduced the lesion of the SH+PGE1, SH+SOD, H+R+PGE1 and H+R+SOD groups compared with the SH and H+R groups. Although the mechanism of cytoprotection of PGs is not fully understood, some stages of their cellular action have been investigated. PGs derive from arachidonic acid through the action of phospholipase A2 (PLA2) on the membrane phospholipids. PGs seem to stabilize the lysosomal membrane\(^{(4)}\) and may also preserve the cell membranes as a whole. It is possible that PGs are produced in the cells by hypoxic stress. WANG et al.\(^{(15)}\) studied the effect of chemical hypoxia in cultured hepatocytes and found an increase of messenger RNA toward type II PLA2 in hypoxia and hypoxia + reoxygenation microenvironments causing an increase of arachidonates. However, for unknown reasons, PGs may not be produced at the same proportion resulting in the loss of hepatocyte viability.

PGs also stimulate adenyl cyclase enzyme on the hepatocyte membrane and raise the intracellular levels of cAMP\(^{(46)}\). cAMP appears to inhibit the liberation of lysosomal enzymes\(^{(24, 60)}\), reducing in this way one of the main components of cell lesion by H and H+R injury. PGs might interfere with calcium homeostasis due to the increase of cAMP levels, which seems to inhibit phospholipase C leading to the reduction of second messengers IP3 and DAG with a loss of calcium mobilization from the intracellular stores\(^{(49)}\). NAKANO et al.\(^{(41)}\) observed in a model of primary culture of hepatocytes under exposure to superoxide radicals that Prostaglandin I\(_2\) analogues prevented the increase of intracellular calcium in a dose-dependent manner. HELLING et al.\(^{(52)}\) questioned the relation between PGs and calcium balance. These authors worked with a pig liver ischemia model, and they did not find a significant difference in the ionized calcium blood level in the animals that received PGE1 transfusion.

The mechanism of the cytoprotective effect of PGs in a reoxygenation environment is not fully clear. We showed that PGE1 do not prevent the hydroperoxides formation in hypoxia after hypoxia. This result is similar to the other studies\(^{(10, 24)}\). QUINTERO et al.\(^{(47)}\) did not find modulation of free radical production with PGE1 in D-galactosamine injury in spite to its ability to abolish the GSH depletion. PGs also do not induce inhibition of XO\(^{(56)}\). It is possible that the improvement of mitochondrial function is one of the reasons for the cytoprotective action of PGs in a reoxygenation environment\(^{(10, 32)}\). UEDA et al.\(^{(59)}\) obtained evidence for the participation of PGs in the mitochondrial repair process.
with PGE1 the ATP restoration to 80% of the pre-ischemic level 2 hours after reflux as compared with 55% in the control group. KUROKAWA et al.\textsuperscript{15} showed that infusion of PGE1 accelerated the recovery of mitochondrial function after reperfusion in the model of hepatic ischemia. GHUMAN et al.\textsuperscript{15} and SIKUJARA et al.\textsuperscript{52} also observed a faster recovery of ATP in ischemic livers during reperfusion with PGs. MALMSTROM and CARAFOLI\textsuperscript{15} found an interesting relation between PGs and mitochondrial calcium. The PGs studied produced calcium eflux from mitochondria without damaging the capacity of the organelle to retaining ion. Therefore, it is possible that PGs prevent the excessive increase of mitochondrial calcium that would provoke a noxious effect on organelles exposed to injury. In another recent study, PGE1 prevented D-galactosamine-induced hepatocyte apoptosis by a mitochondria-independent mechanism\textsuperscript{53}.

The explanation for the cytoprotective effect of SOD in reoxygenation environments lies in its capacity to neutralize the superoxide free radical\textsuperscript{15}. YOUNES and STRUBELT\textsuperscript{21} found protection of rat liver submitted to hypoxia and reoxygenation by using 20 μg/mL SOD. In another study, KOBAYASHI et al.\textsuperscript{59} employed a long acting SOD in a model of ischemia/reperfusion and noted a better recovery of mitochondrial function compared to the group receiving no SOD.

In our study we also found a remarkable protective effect of SOD on the group under simple hypoxia. There is evidence that the hepatocytes can produce OFRs as well as hydroperoxides during simple hypoxia\textsuperscript{13}. The carriers accumulate in a reduced state in the mitochondrial respiratory chain in a hypoxic environment. The superoxide radicals might appear from the available molecular O\textsubscript{2} in relative hypoxia\textsuperscript{13, 17}. HENRY et al.\textsuperscript{23} noted OFRs production under O\textsubscript{2} low tension in the isolate rat heart. This phenomenon could perhaps be explained by the regeneration of lipid peroxidation. CHO et al.\textsuperscript{59} observed the cytoprotective action of SOD in a model of liver ischemia when the enzyme was applied before the ischemia and reperfusion. The effects were more remarkable before ischemia and this surprised the authors. However, a full explanation for the cytoprotective effects of SOD in a simple hypoxia group requires further studies.

We found that ALO had a cytoprotective effect on hepatocytes in the group H+R+ALO as compared with H+R group. ALO protected the simple hypoxia group only in terms of percent cell viability, indicating a questionable protective role of these substance against hypoxia. ALO has a more significant protective action in hepatocytes submitted to reoxygenation in accordance with its known effects in the blockade of XO enzyme\textsuperscript{8}. However, the conversion rate of XD to XO (main XO source in cells) in a hypoxic environment is questionable. XO represents only 10% to 20% of the total activity of XD+XO in cells under normal conditions\textsuperscript{46}. De GROOT et al.\textsuperscript{15} showed that higher rates of conversion from XD to XO occurred after 4 hours of hypoxia on isolated hepatocytes when most of the cells had lost their viability. Other authors found a significant conversion of XD to XO within 2 hours of ischemia and 50% conversion within 3.6 hours\textsuperscript{46}. However, there are doubts if the cytoprotective role of ALO in reoxygenation models is due to XO blockade or to its action as OFRs neutralizer. DAS et al.\textsuperscript{12} verified that a 1 μM of ALO and oxipurinol neutralized the chlorine free radical as well as the hypochlorous acid in an ischemia/reperfusion model in myocardium. PARKS and GRANGER\textsuperscript{46} reported a neutralizing action on superoxide radicals using 500 μM of ALO (about 25 times higher than the dose employed in our study). MOORHOUSE et al.\textsuperscript{50} obtained neutralizing effects on hydroxyl radicals by employing still higher doses of ALO (1000 a 1500 μM). In view of the high doses of ALO employed in these studies, it is improbable that these effects might contribute to the ALO cytoprotection in our work. Another explanation for the beneficial effects of ALO is the preservation of hypoxanthine as a substrate to form ATP. Hypoxanthine is preserved through the blockade of XO by ALO and can form ATP instead of uric acid during reoxygenation\textsuperscript{45}. CUNNINGHAM et al.\textsuperscript{11} noted a smaller fall of ATP, AMP and ADP in a renal ischemia model and a larger recovery of ATP levels in the reperfusion group treated with ALO. NAKANO et al.\textsuperscript{49} also showed a faster recovery of ATP after 60 min ischemia with ALO.

We showed that VERA presented a cytoprotective role for hypoxia and hypoxia + reoxygenation groups. VERA can block the calcium influx to hepatocytes through block of calcium channels receptor-operated (ROCs) present in hepatocytes\textsuperscript{27, 30, 63}. Some studies showed a correlation between the increase of intracellular calcium and the stress oxidative injury\textsuperscript{43}. VERA may also inhibit the overload of mitochondrial calcium, both in ischemia and reperfusion\textsuperscript{43}, as well as to prevent the XD to XO conversion in the cytoplasm\textsuperscript{25}.

The objective of the present study was to find therapeutic options for hepatocytes submitted to hypoxia and hypoxia + reoxygenation injury. We think that the substances prostaglandin E1, superoxide dismutase, allopurinol and verapamil are effective cytoprotectors of hepatocytes exposed to oxidative stress. We are sure that future focus on the treatment of serious hepatic diseases should concentrate on hepatocyte cytoprotection as a complement to the combat of the aggressive factors (infectious and immunological). The substances investigated here are options deserving attention in future studies. This research may contribute for the therapeutical employ of these substances in human being increasing the options for the treatment of acute and chronic hepatic diseases.
Andrade Jr DR, Andrade DR, Santos SA. Estudo de hepatócitos de rato em cultura primária submetidos a hipóxia e reoxigenação: ação dos citoprotectores prostaglandina E1, superóxido dismutase, allopurinol e verapamil.

RESUMO – Contexto - A exposição dos hepatócitos a condições patológicas em que ocorram microambientes de hipóxia e reoxigenação são muito frequentes em doenças hepáticas. Várias substâncias apresentam perspectivas de ação citoprotetora para hepatócitos submetidos a reoxigenação após hipóxia e hipóxia simples. Objetivo - Pesquisaram-se opções terapêuticas para o dano dos hepatócitos submetidos a hipóxia e hipóxia + reoxigenação. Métodos - Hepatócitos de rato em cultura primária foram submetidos a hipóxia (2 horas) mais reoxigenação (2 horas) e hipóxia simples (4 horas), na presença ou ausência dos citoprotetores. A lesão dos hepatócitos foi avaliada por critérios funcionais através da percentagem liberada de desidrogenase láctica e da viabilidade celular. Os efeitos dos citoprotetores prostaglandina E1 3 ng/mL, superóxido dismutase 80 μg/mL, allopurinol 20 μM e verapamil 10^-4 M, foram estudados neste modelo de lesão celular. Resultados - A reoxigenação após hipóxia induziu lesão mais significativa nos hepatócitos cultivados em comparação com hipóxia simples, conforme demonstrado pela análise dos critérios funcionais. Houve significativa redução da porcentagem liberada de desidrogenase láctica e aumento significativo da percentagem de viabilidade celular nos grupos hipóxia + reoxigenação + citoprotetores em comparação com o grupo hipóxia + reoxigenação. Conclusões – Conclui-se que a reoxigenação após hipóxia aumentou significativamente a lesão dos hepatócitos de rato cultivados, em comparação com a hipóxia simples. Prostaglandina E1, superóxido dismutase e verapamil também protegeram o grupo hipóxia simples, quando avaliado pelos critérios funcionais. DESCRIPTORES – Hepatócitos, Hipóxia celular. Prostaglandinas E1, Superóxido dismutase. Allopurinol. Verapamil. Ratos.
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