ABSTRACT – Context - In recent years the hepatitis B virus (HBV) genotyping has been considered a relevant factor in the natural history of the disease. Objective - To determine hepatitis B virus genotypes and its epidemiological and clinical implications, in a cohort of patients in a hospital in Porto Alegre, South of Brazil. Methods - Sixty seven patients with HBV chronic infection markers who were being treated at “Complexo Hospitalar Santa Casa”, in Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil, were evaluated. Demographic and epidemiological data were collected from these group of patients by following a standard protocol and ALT and HBeAg were determined. The genotypes and subtypes were determined by in-house PCR and, finally, the samples were sequenced. The level of significance used was 5%. Results - The qualitative analysis for HBV-DNA by PCR was positive in 79.1% of the samples (53/67). The genotype was determined in all positive VHB-DNA samples and the genotypes A (34%), D (60.4%) and F (5.4%) as well as the subtypes adw, ayw and adw4 were found. No significant correlation was found between the hepatitis B virus genotypes and demographic variables considered as risk factors for hepatitis B virus infection. There was also no correlation between the genotypes and the serological and laboratory variables related to liver disease. Conclusion - We concluded that the most prevalent genotype found was D. However, further studies are needed to allow us to evaluate the implications of genetic variability in the clinical evolution of HBV carriers.


INTRODUCTION

Epidemiological studies show that hepatitis B is responsible for 2 million deaths per year in the whole world(17), and this disease is the 9th cause of death in the world(6). In Brazil, the Ministry of Health estimates that at least, 15% of the population has already been contaminated by the hepatitis B virus (HBV), and the chronic cases correspond to about 5%-10% of the Brazilian population, with the South region considered as a low endemicity area(3). Historically, the diagnosis of HBV hepatitis focuses on serological markers, however molecular technology has made great advances, and now it is possible to evaluate the DNA of HBV qualitatively and quantitatively, and also to identify the genotypes and their subtypes(7).

Previous analyses of the genome revealed eight HBV genotypes (A-H), with a distinct geographical distribution worldwide(16, 28). Besides the genotypes, there are four main serological subtypes of HBV (adw, ayw, adr and ayr) or nine secondary subtypes: adw (adw2, adw4); ayw (ayw1, ayw2, ayw3, ayw4); adr (adrq+, adrq -) and ayr(14).

Genotypes A and D are more prevalent in Brazil, Europe, North America, India and Africa; genotypes B and C are more frequently found in Southeast Asia, China and Japan; genotype E is limited to Africa; genotype F is found among the native population of South America and Central America; genotype G was described in France, United States and Mexico, and genotype H was found in Central America(2, 14, 15, 16).

A few studies demonstrated that a wide spectrum of clinical manifestations of liver disease caused by HBV(13, 14, 16, 18), as well as the response to the antiviral treatment(12, 33), could be related to the virus genotypes. Therefore, the genotyping of HBV has been subject of much interest in providing care to these patients(21).

Despite of the epidemiological importance and clinical knowledge of HBV genotypes, it is still not well known the genotypic distribution of this infection in Latin America(1, 31, 32). In Brazil few studies showing the genotypes prevalence and HBV subtypes have been published so far(4, 9, 10, 20, 23, 26, 32). In Rio Grande do Sul, until now, no scientific center had implemented the technique to determine the hepatitis B virus’ genotyping and subtyping. Therefore, we have proposed to implement...
it in a cohort of patients assessed in a hospital to evaluate its prevalence and its correlation with the demographic data, risk factors and serological status of the liver disease.

METHODS

The 67 consecutive patients with chronic HBV markers infection were evaluated, with 52 of them being followed at the gastroenterology clinic and 15 at the hemodialysis unit at “Complexo Hospitalar Santa Casa”, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil, from February 2005 to September 2006.

The inclusion criteria were: patients with serum positive for HBsAg for longer than 6 months, independently of serum levels of aminotransferases.

The exclusion criteria were: patients with positive anti-HCV, positive anti-HIV, and alcohol intake greater than 40 g/day, use of potentially hepatotoxic medications and patients who did not sign the informed consent.

A pre-established protocol with demographic and epidemiological data of each patient was completed.

After blood collection, plasma was separated and the samples were stored in a freezer at -20°C for further analysis. HBsAg and HBeAg were analyzed by electrochemoluminescence (Elecys 2010 - Roche) and kinetic colorimetry (Advia 1650 - Bayer) was used to measure ALT.

Polymerase chain reactions (PCR)

HBV DNA was detected by PCR using specific primers covering the core (C) and surface (S) genes of the HBV genome. In all HBsAg positive samples, extraction and amplification of HBV-DNA were carried out by nested PCR. Samples with positive PCR result were further submitted to another PCR reaction covering a fragment of 417 base pairs of the S gene as developed by SITNIK et al. (29).

Sequencing reactions

PCR products covering the S gene were submitted to cycle sequencing reactions, using the second round primers with dideoxynucleotides (ddNTPs) labelled with fluorescent markers (ABI PrismR BigDyeTM Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit – Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Genotyping

Genotype analysis was carried out through the comparison of obtained sequences against different HBV genotypes sequences, from the Genbank. For this purpose, EditSeq and Megalign softwares from the DNAstar package (Lasergene Inc., USA) were used. The protocol was approved by the Ethics in Research Committee of the institution.

A descriptive analysis was performed for statistical analysis, using means and standard deviation for continuous variables, and relative absolute frequency for categorical variables. The t Student test, analysis of variance and chi-square test were used to compare the groups. For all comparisons a 5% level of significance was considered. A statistic package was used (SPSS version 13.0 for Windows).

RESULTS

The qualitative analysis for HBV-DNA was positive in 79.1% of the samples (53/67). Among the 53 patients selected, genotypes A, D and F were detected, and the most frequent was type D, which was found in 60.4% of the population (32 patients) followed by genotype A, 18 cases (34.0%). Genotype F was found in only 3 samples (5.4%). The isolated subtypes were: adw in the patients with genotype A, ayw in patients with genotype D and adw4 in patients with genotype F.

The age of the patients whose samples were genotyped varied from 23 to 79 years (46.73 ± 13.05). There was no statistically significant difference between the mean ages of the patients with different HBV genotypes (P = 0.436). Regarding gender, 75.5% were male (n = 40) and 24.5% female (n = 13). There was no statistically significant difference in the distribution of HBV genotypes concerning the gender (P = 0.584). Most of the patients whose virus was genotyped were Caucasian (92.5%).

Twenty-eight (53.8%) of the patients attending the outpatient clinic were infected by genotype D, followed by 11 patients (21.2%) with genotype A and 3 patients (5.8%) with genotype F. As to patients on hemodialysis, the most frequent genotype found was genotype A in 7 patients (46.6%) followed by genotype D with 4 patients (26.7%).

Regarding the identified risk factors, 23.9% (16/67) of the patients caught the virus through sexual relationships, 4.5% (3/67) were intravenous drug users; three (4.5%) had a history of blood transfusions; three (4.5%) of vertical transmission (3/67) were intravenous drug users; three (4.5%) had a history of blood transfusions; three (4.5%) of vertical transmission and two (2.9%) of needlestick accidents. Hemodialysis was detected as a risk factor in 26/67 (38.8%) of the patients studied. The risk factor was not identified in 20.9% (14/67) of the patients; all of them mentioned having undergone surgery. The HBV genotypes distribution in relation to the risk factor, as seen in Table 1, cannot be statistically evaluated based on the stratification of the variables and the small number of patients in each group.

Regarding the 25 patients with positive HBeAg, in almost all of them the HBV genotype was A (36.0%) or D (48.0%). In patients with negative HBeAg and elevated ALT (probable pre-core mutants), the percentage with genotype A and D was 29.6% and 51.9% respectively. In contrast, patients with negative HBeAg and normal ALT (probably inactive carriers) the genotype of HBV in 6.7% was A and in 40.0% D. There was no statistically significant difference in HBV genotype distribution when the serological and laboratory pattern of the patients’ liver disease was evaluated (P = 0.313). The data can be seen in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

According to data from the World Health Organization, approximately 30% of the world population has already been infected by HBV, and about 350-400 million individuals are chronic carriers of the disease. The prevalence of HBV infection among us is low, about 0.4% (26, 32).
TABLE 1. Distribution of the HBV genotypes in relation to the risk factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Genotype A</th>
<th>Genotype D</th>
<th>Genotype F</th>
<th>Non Detectable</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sexual</td>
<td>6 (37.5%)</td>
<td>6 (37.5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (25.0%)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV Drugs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfusion</td>
<td>3 (100.0%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical transmission</td>
<td>1 (33.3%)</td>
<td>2 (66.7%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puncture (needlestick) accident</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>7 (26.9%)</td>
<td>11 (42.3%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non identified</td>
<td>1 (7.1%)</td>
<td>10 (71.5%)</td>
<td>1 (7.1%)</td>
<td>14 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2. Distribution of HBV genotypes in relation to the serologic and laboratory standard of liver disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Genotype A</th>
<th>Genotype D</th>
<th>Genotype F</th>
<th>Non detectable</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HBeAg + ALT ↑</td>
<td>4 (22.2%)</td>
<td>10 (55.6%)</td>
<td>1 (5.6%)</td>
<td>3 (16.6%)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBeAg + ALT normal</td>
<td>5 (71.4%)</td>
<td>2 (28.6%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBeAg + (total)</td>
<td>9 (36.0%)</td>
<td>12 (48.0%)</td>
<td>1 (4.0%)</td>
<td>3 (12.0%)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBeAg – ALT ↑</td>
<td>8 (29.6%)</td>
<td>14 (51.9%)</td>
<td>2 (7.4%)</td>
<td>3 (11.1%)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBeAg – ALT normal</td>
<td>1 (6.7%)</td>
<td>6 (40.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>8 (55.3%)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The presence of 79.1% of positive viral DNA in our sample is in accordance with the 76% found by Carrilho et al. They, in a study carried out in the United States of America on 694 patients, also found 76% of positiveness. This scenario was similar to the one found by other authors whose HBV-DNA positiveness varied from 67% to 88.6% of the HBsAg positive samples.

The results found in the present study show a high prevalence of genotype D (60.4%) followed by genotype A (34.0%). Genotype F was found in only 5.4% of the genotyped population.

Genotype distribution in the Brazilian population is correlated to the origin of our ancestors. Oswaldo Cruz Institute (“IOC-Instituto Oswaldo Cruz”), which was the pioneer in establishing the genotypes of the viruses in Brazil, pointed out that about 50% of the viruses found in the country are genotype A, an African subtype, possibly related to the arrival of Africans in Brazil during the slave trade. In Rio Grande do Sul, there is a prevalence of European ancestry and approximately 90% of the patients presented here were Caucasian, which could explain the lower prevalence of genotype A found in this study. The same genotypes were also found in five other studies performed in Brazil in the states of Goiás, Rio de Janeiro and Santa Catarina, notes the occurrence of two major genotypes (A and D), where genotype D appears as predominant (84.2%) followed by genotype A (15.8%). Genotype D has precore mutations in higher incidence, and no other genotypes were reported at this moment. This fact reinforces the hypothesis that some studies suggest as an explanation for Brazilian genomic variations, which attaches to the immigration responsibility for these differences.

Another study conducted in the South region demonstrated the same frequency of HBV genotypes we found, genotype D being the most frequent, followed by genotype A, and less frequently, by genotype F. However, a study performed in Bahia State on individuals with a diagnosis of chronic hepatitis B demonstrated that the most frequent genotype was A, followed by genotype F, contrasting with the result shown here. Sitnik et al. published the first study that detected genotypes B and C in Brazil in a population of more than 100 patients. However, all those infected with genotypes B or C were of Asian descent.

The subtypes found in the present study were ayw (60.4%) in patients with genotype D, adw (34%) in patients with genotype A and adw4 (5.4%) in patients with genotype F. These studies showed a population of patients on hemodialysis in the city of Goiânia, demonstrated a different frequency regarding the subtypes (adw2 in 44% and ayw3 in 41% of the subtyped patients). Subtypes adw4 and ayw2 were observed in 3% of the population studied. Similar results were observed in 51 HBsAg-positive patients treated in the public health system of the same city, where 62.7% were subtype adw2, 23.5% ayw3, 9.8% ayw2 and 3.9% adw4. In another Brazilian study performed with miners exposed to malaria who worked in the Amazon region, 59% of subtype adw2, 8.1% adw4 and adw3 and 5.4% ayw2 were found.

As to risk factors, dialysis was most prevalent (38.8%) among the patients studied. It is known that hemodialysis...
centers are potential transmitters of HBV because of excessive reuse of material, inefficient methods for sterilizing the membranes, and failure to comply with the universal sterilization precautions. Likewise, delay in identifying HBV-infected patients, and consequently isolating them in a specific room (yellow room) with specific equipment, favors nosocomial transmission. Carrilho et al. demonstrated that a patient’s risk of catching HBV in hemodialysis increases 1.47 times for every month of treatment: 1.96 times if the dialysis centers reuse the filters more than 10 times and 3.42 times if the number of patients per health technician at the center is greater than five. Genotype distribution differs among the hemodialysis centers. Thus, Teles et al., studying a population of patients in the city of Goiânia, found a similar prevalence of infected patients with genotype A and with D (50% x 46%). However, in another study, Ferreira et al. demonstrated genotype D as the most frequent (61.5%). This result was presented also by Carrilho et al., in a study at 22 hemodialysis centers in Santa Catarina State, where 57% of the patients on hemodialysis were also genotype D. In the study conducted here, we observed that genotype D is the one most frequently found in the patients on hemodialysis.

When we evaluated HBV genotypes distribution, according to the serological and laboratory standard of the disease (Table 2), we observed that genotype D was more prevalent in patients with a viral response replication (HBeAg reagent) and with elevated ALT. However, the study done in the city of Salvador demonstrated that from 12 cases that were in the viral replication phase, 10 (83%) were infected by genotype A. In the present study, in the cases of chronic hepatitis B with non-reagent HBeAg and elevated ALT, suggesting the possibility of pre-core mutation, genotype D was the most prevalent, matching other studies from the national and international literature.

In the group of non-reagent HBeAg and normal ALT patients, in 8 cases (53.3%) the HBV-DNA was non detectable, possibly because since the patients were “inactive VHB carriers” the viral load was below the limit of sensibility of the PCR test used. In the seven cases in which HBV-DNA was detected, six were infected by genotype D and the other patient by genotype A. Ribeiro et al., studying 76 chronic carriers of HBV in a population of the city of Salvador, where 61 patients (80%) were inactive carriers, detected genotype A in 53 cases (70%).

CONCLUSION

According to our study results, despite the success achieved in demonstrating a greater prevalence of genotypes D and A in the evaluated population, no statistic difference was found between the HBV genotypes and the demographic variables studied with the risk factor for HBV infection, and with the serological and laboratory pattern of liver disease. The genotype A showed only subtype adw, the genotype D only subtype ayw and F only the subtype adw4, which demonstrated, that patients from Southern Brazil have a distinct feature compared to the other patients in Brazil. We understand that prospective studies are necessary, with a larger number of cases, so that in future we will know the true impact of genotype determination in evaluating the evolution of the liver diseases, and in decisions regarding the therapeutic management of patients with a chronic liver disease involving HBV virus.


RESUMO - Contexto - Nos últimos anos a genotipagem do vírus da hepatite B (VHB) tem sido considerado fator relevante para a história natural da doença. Objetivos - Determinar os genótipos do VHB e suas implicações clínicas e epidemiológicas, em uma coorte de pacientes em um hospital de Porto Alegre, RS, sul do Brasil. Métodos – Foram avaliados 67 pacientes com marcadores de infecção crônica pelo VHB que estavam sendo tratados no Complexo Hospitalar Santa Casa de Porto Alegre, RS. Foi aplicado um protocolo com dados demográficos e epidemiológicos dos pacientes, e AgHBe e ALT foram determinadas. Os genótipos e subtipos foram determinados por PCR in-house e, finalmente, as amostras foram sequenciadas. O nível de significância utilizado foi de 5%. Resultados - A análise qualitativa de VHB-DNA por PCR foi positiva em 79,1% das amostras (53/67). O genótipo foi determinado em todas as amostras de VHB-DNA positivo. A análise demonstrou a presença dos genótipos A (34%), D (60,4%) e F (5,4%). Foram encontrados os seguintes subtipos: adw, ayw e adw4. Nenhuma correlação significativa foi encontrada entre os genótipos do VHB e as variáveis demográficas estudadas como fator de risco para infecção pelo VHB, e com os exames sorológicos e laboratoriais de doença hepática. Conclusão - O genótipo mais prevalente encontrado foi o D. No entanto, mais estudos são necessários para que se possa avaliar as implicações da variabilidade genética na evolução clínica de portadores do VHB.
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