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A prospective multicenter trial

José Vinicius CRUZ¹, Francisco Sergio P. REGADAS², Sthela Maria MURAD-REGADAS², Lusmar Veras RODRIGUES³, Fernando BENICIO³, Rogério LEAL⁴, César G. CARVALHO⁴, Margarete FERNANDES⁵, Lucimar M. C. ROCHE⁵, Antônio Carlos MIRANDA⁶, Lucia CÂMARA⁷, Joaquim Costa PEREIRA⁸, Antonio Mallén PARRA⁹, and Vilmar Moura LEAL¹⁰

INTRODUCTION

Stapled mucosectomy for treatment of rectal mucosa prolapse and/or rectal intussusceptions was initially described in 1997¹⁸ and many publications have mentioned satisfactory results¹¹,¹⁴,¹⁶,²⁷,²⁸. And more recently new techniques have been described to treat the anorectal dysfunction such as rectocele and rectal intussusception². Rectocele may be described as a herniation of the anterior anorectal junction and rectal wall through the vaginal lumen. The pathogenesis of rectocele has been extensively discussed¹⁷, ⁸, ⁹, ¹⁰, ¹², ¹³, ¹⁵, ¹⁷, ²⁴, ²⁵, ²⁶, ²⁹. However, using anal 3-dimensional ultrasonography (3-DAUS), Regadas et al.²⁰ demonstrated that the anal canal is asymmetrical and that the internal anal sphincter is shorter in women. It is formed distally in the anterior upper anal canal weakening the anorectal junction which is devoid of striated muscle or any other anatomic support structure²³. Thus, herniation starts in the anterior upper anal canal and anorectal junction wall as demonstrated by echodefecography technique²⁹. Suggesting that these patients have anorectocele rather than rectocele. Since anorectocele

is usually associated with mucosa prolapse and/or rectal intussusception, the authors developed a surgical technique called “transanal repair of rectocele and rectal mucosectomy with a single circular stapler (TRREMS)” which makes it possible to remove the anorectal mucosa circumferential and reinforce the anterior anorectal junction wall with the use of a single circular stapler and a new surgical device kit[21, 22]. The aim of the present paper was to report the mid-term functional results of a prospective multicenter trial using TRREMS procedure in the treatment of anorectocele with mucosa prolapse and/or associated rectal intussusception.

METHODS

Between August 2004 and October 2006, 75 adult female patients aged 49.6 years on the average (range 30–70), with symptoms of obstructed defecation due to grade 2 (20%-26.7%) and grade 3 (55%-73.3%) anorectocele associated with mucosa prolapse and/or rectal intussusception (39%-52.0%) and an average validated Wexner constipation score of 16[1], were prospectively enrolled in a prospective multicenter trial coordinated by Prof J.V.C. Sixty-eight (90.7%) patients were multiparous and 7 (9.3%) were nulliparous. All were operated on with 34 mm (n = 45) and 31 mm (n = 30) EEA staplers (Auto Suture, New Haven, USA) by 14 surgeons from 10 different insitutions (8 Brazilian, 1 Portuguese and 1 Venezuelan) using TRREMS procedure. All patients gave their informed consent and were pre and postoperatively submitted to proctological examination followed by cinedefecography, anal manometry and colonic transit time measurement. The mean follow-up time was 21 months (range 4–37). The first functional results were registered 90 days after the procedure based on clinical symptoms, validated Wexner constipation score, cinedefecography, colonic transit time and anal manometry.

Surgical technique

After a full mechanical bowel preparation and under spinal anesthesia, patients were placed in the Lloyd-Davis position. Broad-spectrum antibiotic prophylaxis was given before surgery (1g cephoxitin). A circular anal dilator was inserted into the anal canal and maintained secured to the perianal skin with two stay sutures (anterior and posterior). The rectocele was pushed through the anal canal with a finger inserted into the vagina to identify the apex. The posterior vaginal wall was pulled up with a Babcock forceps, the apex of the rectocele was pulled down (Figure 1) and a running horizontal suture (Greek suture technique) was placed through the base of the rectocele, including mucosa, submucosa and the muscle layer of the whole anorectal junction wall (Figure 2). This suture was placed approximately 2.0 cm above the dentate line, depending on the size of the rectocele. The exceeded prolapsed mucosa and the muscle layer were then excised with an electrical scalpel, keeping the wound open with the edges joined by the previous manual suture. A continuous pursestring rectal mucosa suture was then placed 0.5 cm from the wound of the previously resected rectal mucosa. Posteriorly, the pursestring suture included only mucosal and submucosal layers. The stapler was then inserted through the pursestring suture which was tied around the
stapler’s center rod (Figure 3), taking care to include the full rectal wall anteriorly, fired and withdrawn, keeping a circular stapled suture (Figure 4).

**Statistical analysis**

The results were analyzed with Student’s *t* test. The level of statistical significance was set at *P*<0.05.

**RESULTS**

All patients presented the marks in rectum on the 3rd day preoperative but showing that 80.0% of the marks had been evacuated on the 5th day. All patients complained of obstructed defecation despite conservative treatment (high fiber diet and laxatives) for at least 4 weeks. Anismus was observed in 15 patients (20.0%), all of whom were successfully submitted to preoperative biofeedback therapy. The average time of surgery was 42 minutes. In 13 patients (17.3%), bleeding from the stapled line required hemostatic suture. Stapling was incomplete in 2 (2.6%) patients. Forty-nine (65.3%) required 1 day of hospitalization, the remainder (34.7%) 2 days. Ten (13.3%) patients presented postoperative complications. Of these, 7 (9.3%) developed stricture on the stapled suture subsequently treated by stricturectomy under anesthesia (*n* = 1), endoscopic stricturectomy with hot biopsy forceps (*n* = 3) or digital dilatation (*n* = 3). Three patients (4.0%) complained of persistent rectal pain for 2 weeks (*n* = 2) and for 3 months (*n* = 1). Postoperative cinedefecography showed residual anorectoceles (grade I) in 8 patients (10.6%). No statistically significant parameters were observed upon anal manometric evaluation. The mean Wexner constipation score decreased significantly from 16 to 4 (0-4: *n* = 68) (6: *n* = 6) (7: *n* = 1) (*P*<0.0001).

**DISCUSSION**

Anterior anorectocele associated with mucosa prolapse or rectal intussusception are related to excessive straining during defecation and constitute the most common cause of obstructed defecation. The aim of the present study was to assess the postoperative functional results of a prospective multicenter trial testing TRREMS in the treatment of anorectocele associated mucosa prolapse and/or rectal intussusception. Seventy-five female patients with obstructed defecation due to grade 2 (*n* = 20) and grade 3 (*n* = 55) anorectocele associated with mucosa prolapse and/or rectal intussusception in 52% were submitted to TRREMS performed by 14 different surgeons. All patients presented 80.0% of the marks had been evacuated on the 5th day. All patients with anismus were submitted to preoperative biofeedback which is mandatory in order to improve the postoperative functional results. A few transoperative complications related to the stapled suture were registered. In 13 patients (17.3%), bleeding from the stapled line required hemostatic suture. Stapling was incomplete in 2 (2.6%) subjects. Ten (13.3%) patients presented postoperative complications, including 1 with severe stapled suture stricture requiring reoperation. The remaining patients were treated by endoscopic stricturectomy with hot biopsy forceps, digital dilatation and conservative measures. Three patients with persistent rectal pain due to excessive inflammatory reaction were treated with anti-inflammatory drugs, improving the symptoms after 3 weeks on the average (range 1-6). Residual anorectoceles (grade I) was observed in eight patients (10.6%). This complication occurred in patients on whom the anterior stapled suture was not placed near the dentate line (≤1.0 cm).
Anal manometry showed that anal pressures were not affected by the procedure. Most patients (91.2%) displayed significant improvement of constipation symptoms with no changes in anal continence, as demonstrated by the reduction from 16 to 4 in the mean validated Wexner constipation score ($P<0.0001$) after an average follow-up time of 21 months (range 4–37). Anorectocele has commonly been treated with perineal levatorplasty and transanal techniques, especially Block’s and Sarles’ repairs, with successful outcome in 70%–90% of cases. Recently, several new stapling techniques have been tested, such as stapled transanal prolapsectomy, the STARR double-stapling procedure, combined perineal and endorectal repair with circular stapler, transanal repair with linear stapler and stapler resection of the rectocele area. The main disadvantages of perineal levatorplasty is postoperative dyspareunia reported by approximately 25.0% of the patients and failure to treat associated rectal mucosal prolapse potentially impairing defecation. Sarles’ and Block’s procedures repair the anatomic defect of the anterior rectal wall but leave the posterior rectal mucosal prolapse untreated. Stapled circular mucosectomy repairs rectal mucosal prolapse but is not extensive enough to repair the anterior anatomic anorectal wall defect. The double-stapled technique (STARR) is a more costly procedure as it requires the use of two staplers, one for repairing the anterior rectal mucosal prolapse and rectocele and one for removing the posterior mucosal prolapse. However, the European STARR Registry has reported a significant reduction (from 15.8 to 5.8) in obstructed defecation scores at 12 months of follow-up. Similar results may be achieved at a smaller cost with the TRREMS procedure, using a single stapler. The anterior anorectal junction wall defect is excised by manual resection, followed by stapled full rectal mucosectomy and anopexy.

In addition, the vaginal-anorectal septum becomes straight and reinforced by the fibrous tissue produced during healing. To avoid injury, the posterior vaginal wall should always be pulled up with a Babcock forceps during manual horizontal suture placement and stapler closure.

In conclusion, TRREMS procedure is a safe, effective and relatively inexpensive technique for the treatment of anorectocele without dyspareunia. In addition, it offers the advantage of restoring anatomical integrity using a single circular stapler. Further investigation is required to evaluate the long-term follow up results.

REFERENCES


