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ABSTRACT - Background - The standard treatment of chronic hepatitis C is the administration of pegylated interferon α2a or α2b in combination with ribavirin, but adverse effects can be observed, as well as the high cost of this therapy. Therefore, there is interest in understanding the predictors of sustained virologic response, as the gamma glutamyltransferase. Objective - To evaluate the serum levels of gamma glutamyltransferase as a predictor of response to treatment with pegylated interferon α and ribavirin in chronic hepatitis C. Methods - This is a systematic review of literature, conducted by consulting PUBMED, LILACS, MEDLINE, SCOPUS, Cochrane electronic databases, and active search of articles selected between January 2000 and April 2013. Results - A total of 4,785 titles were identified. Out of those, following inclusion and exclusion criteria, 273 abstracts were selected, by two independent researchers. After reading those texts, the reviewers consensually included ten studies for systematization and classification, according to the criteria of the Oxford Scale. 1B studies are predominant (prospective cohort study - six studies). Rapid virologic response and early virological response were considered as estimates for the sustained virological response. The frequency of virologic response was identified in three studies and early virological response in two, with a total of 392 and 413 patients, respectively; sustained virologic response was reported in nine articles corresponding to 3,787 patients (76.5 %). Conclusion - Gamma glutamyltransferase is a predictor of sustained virologic response in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C with pegylated interferon α2a or α2b associated with ribavirin.


INTRODUCTION

All over the world the hepatitis C virus (HCV) has been recognized as a major cause of chronic liver disease, representing a public health problem.

About 170 million (3%) of the world’s population is infected with HCV, and may develop liver disease, including cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. In Brazil, the Inquérito Nacional de Hepatites Virais (National Survey of Viral Hepatitis) estimated the prevalence of 1.38% for the antibody to HCV.

The pattern of chronic hepatitis C (CHC) treatment can be started with pegylated interferon (PEG-IFN) α2a or α2b associated with ribavirin (RBV) for genotype 1, 4-6 for 48 weeks, but for genotypes 2 and 3 for 24 weeks. The treatment of CHC is costly, and may have side effects. In addition, some factors contribute to the limited rate of sustained virologic response (SVR) of 50% to 60% as occurs in genotypes 1 and 4.

Thus, before starting the treatment of CHC it is important to assess the predictive of therapeutic response to define who should be treated and when this treatment will be over.

There are several therapeutic response predictor factors in CHC, such as age at infection, gender, he-
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METHODS

Search strategy
To develop the SR we have followed the guidelines of the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD), University of York[4].

MEDLINE, LILACS, PUBMED, SCOPUS, and Cochrane electronic databases were evaluated in search for articles published from January 2000 to December 2011. At first the gamma-glutamyltransferase descriptor (hepatitis C and prognostic, hepatitis C and fibrosis, hepatitis C and therapy response, predictive value, hepatitis C and predictive value, chronic hepatitis C and hepatic fibrosis, hepatitis C) then two new database searches were held, replacing the descriptor for GGT and then by gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase[53].

Completing the research, an active search was conducted in lists of references of the selected articles. Later, the institutional library services were used to retrieve the full articles. However, April 2013 was determined as deadline to include items in the survey. Thus, January 2000 to April 2013 was the study period.

The descriptors used in the electronic search, according to Dickersin et al., (1994)[8], which advocates the inclusion of terms referring to the main condition, intervention and methodology.

Criteria for inclusion and exclusion
Inclusion criteria were: publications from January 2000 to April 2013; studies in English, Spanish, French and Portuguese; studies aiming to identify primary or secondary GGT as a predictor of treatment response in CHC; articles which described the treatment of CHC PEG-IFN/RBV; articles that described histopathology; publications presenting research HCV-RNA.

Exclusion criteria were: studies describing decompensated liver disease, coinfection with hepatitis B virus (HBV) and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), autoimmune hepatitis and drug-induced hepatitis, Wilson's disease, reported ethanol consumption >40 g/day for men and >20 g/day for women; publications that described the use of direct acting antiviral agents (DAAs); treatment of patients who underwent liver transplantation studies involving diagnostic tests with animal organs; reports and case series; publications in the form of theses, dissertations, proceedings of congress, abstracts and review articles.

Data extraction and qualification studies
The selected studies by reading the title were assessed by the investigator according to the criteria of inclusion, exclusion and duplicity. Then two independent reviewers assessed the material according to the criteria of selecting abstracts and full papers, and when disagreements surfaced, decisions were taken by consensus.

The studies selected for systematization were analyzed using a form that included the following variables: number of patients, age, gender, GGT; genotype; fibrosis; PEG-IFN/RBV, duration of treatment, HCV-RNA, rapid virologic response (RVR), early virologic response (EVR), SVR. From all the analyzed articles, the following information was recorded: title, main author, year of publication, language, journal, study site and design study.

To select the studies in a qualitative way, we used the criteria of levels of evidence and grades of recommendation proposed by the Oxford Centre for Evidence - Based Medicine, which takes into account the survey design[23]. At this scale the grade of recommendation varies from A to D with evidence level 1 to 5[4].

Data analysis
After selecting the data from the articles, they were implemented in the software Microsoft® Office Excel 2007. A descriptive analysis of the variables from the database with percentage frequency was done.

RESULTS

Characteristics of included studies
In our research, 4,785 titles of studies were identified using the descriptors in the electronic databases, from which 3,829 were excluded for various reasons: the presentation of other treatments for CHC, studies before 2000, other hepatitis coinfection HCV/HIV, 956 remaining studies. Of these, 683 were excluded for duplicity. Therefore, 273 titles remained for abstracts reading.

After two independent researchers reviewed the abstracts by two independent, following the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 111 articles remained for retrieving, full reading and variables systematic evaluation. After the reading 103 studies were excluded, resulting in eight articles.

Using the references of these articles, two studies were selected through the active search of the references of the
articles included in the SR. Thus, 10 studies (identified as E1 to E10) were included for classification and analysis of results.

All the 10 selected studies were published in English (100%). It was noted that most of studies were published in (n=4; 40%). Germany studies are predominant (n=6; 60%) followed by the ones of Japan (n=2; 20%).

Most of studies were in MEDLINE database (n=7; 70.0%) as shown in Figure 1.

The studies (E) were qualified according to Oxford Scale\cite{4,23}: Evidence 1B and Recommendation A (prospective cohort) - six studies; Evidence 2B and Recommendation B (retrospective cohort) - four studies (Table 1).

**Clinical, biochemical, histological and viral data**

The analyzed studies involved 5,349 patients aged between 14 and 75 years, being 55.4% (n=2,963) male.

![Study distribution according to the variables](image)

**TABLE 1. Characteristic of the studies in systematic review**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Country/Year</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Study type/Oxford scale</th>
<th>Nº of patients (n)</th>
<th>Gender M/F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>Weich et al.</td>
<td>Germany/2011</td>
<td>J. Gastroenterol</td>
<td>MEDLINE</td>
<td>Retrospective Cohort (2B B*)</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>341/291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>Kurosaki et al.</td>
<td>Japan/2010</td>
<td>Hepatology Research</td>
<td>MEDLINE</td>
<td>Prospective Cohort (1B A*)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>182/218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>Mauss et al.</td>
<td>Germany/2011</td>
<td>J. Viral Hepatitis</td>
<td>MEDLINE</td>
<td>Retrospective Cohort (2B B*)</td>
<td>2,378</td>
<td>1,363/1,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>Berg et al.</td>
<td>Germany/2003</td>
<td>Hepatology</td>
<td>MEDLINE</td>
<td>Prospective Cohort (1B A*)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>167/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E5</td>
<td>Kronenberger et al.</td>
<td>Germany/2004</td>
<td>Hepatology</td>
<td>PUBMED</td>
<td>Prospective Cohort (1B A*)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>21/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6</td>
<td>Kurosaki et al.</td>
<td>Japan/2011</td>
<td>J. Gastroenterol</td>
<td>MEDLINE</td>
<td>Retrospective Cohort (2B B*)</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>421/380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E7</td>
<td>Çoban et al.</td>
<td>Turkey/2011</td>
<td>Hepato - Gastroenterology</td>
<td>MEDLINE</td>
<td>Retrospective Cohort (2B B*)</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>55/57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E8</td>
<td>Durant-Mangoni et al.</td>
<td>Italy/2009</td>
<td>Clinical Infectious Diseases</td>
<td>MEDLINE</td>
<td>Prospective Cohort (1B A*)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>66/53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9</td>
<td>Berg et al.</td>
<td>Germany/2006</td>
<td>Gastroenterology</td>
<td>ACTIVE SEARCH</td>
<td>Prospective Cohort (1B A*)</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>250/205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10</td>
<td>Wagner et al.</td>
<td>Germany/2005</td>
<td>Gastroenterology</td>
<td>ACTIVE SEARCH</td>
<td>Prospective Cohort (1B A*)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>97/56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nº: study number; E: study; (*) Oxford scale; M: male; F: female
Characteristics of therapeutic response of the SR studies

In the 10 analyzed articles it was observed that the genotype (G)1 was described in eight studies (80%), while G2 and G3 appeared in six. E7 was the only one without the genotype (Table 2).

The G1 was recorded isolatedly in three studies (E2, E6, E9) corresponding to the rate of SVR of 55.5% and 53.9% in E6 and E9 respectively. However, E2 had no information (NI) about RVS. G1, G2, and G3 together in E1, E5 and E8, the SVR rate corresponded to 58.7%, 59.0% and 56.3%, respectively. With respect to E10, in which G2 and G3 were described, the SVR rate corresponded to 82.5%.

In this study, the End of Treatment Response (ETR) was 93% (Table 2).

Eight items mentioned histological features, classified according to META VIR and Knodell. In all studies HCV-RNA was analyzed. The main characteristics of these studies are shown in Table 3.

With respect to the treatment protocol, PEG-IFN α2a was administered in three studies, as well as PEG-IFN α2b. However, in four studies PEG-IFN α2a and α2b were used. In all the studies there was the association with RBV (Table 3).

The study by Berg et al. (2006) (E9) extended the treatment of CHC G1 from 48 to 72 weeks, forming two groups (A: n=230; 48 weeks and B: n=225; 72 weeks), with the same dose of PEG-IFN α2a 180 µg/1x/week no association to RBV 800 mg/day. However, the authors concluded that there was no significant difference in SVR, and that GGT levels were associated with SVR in the two groups. Because of this, it was not recommended to extend the duration of treatment in CHC G1 (Table 3).

In 2011, Çoban et al. (E7) a retrospective cohort study was conducted to investigate predictors of SVR in patients with CHC. The authors included 112 patients, 55 (49.1%) males. All of them were treated with PEG-IFN α2b combined with RBV. They concluded that the serum levels of GGT, before treatment, are associated with high rates of SVR and that the GGT levels are often elevated in patients with CHC regardless of environmental factors such as alcohol consumption. Moreover, they added that GGT is a biochemical marker with low cost that may be incorporated with other predictive pretreatment factors.

RVR and EVR are described in the study Kurosaki et al. (2010) (E2). The authors used the decision tree model (CART - The Classification and Regression Tree), which analyzes the predictors of response to therapy with PEG-IFN and RBV. Based on these data, we observed that low levels of GGT are predictors of RVR/EVR, considering estimates for SVR (Table 3).

The various presentations of virological responses observed in the ten studies included in the SR are described in the results (Table 3).

E1 and E3 studies mentioned GGT lower than the upper limit of normal (<ULN) and age <40 years as predictive factors associated with SVR GGT. Furthermore, E3 were significantly positive for the G2/G3 SVR and viral load was low. The authors suggested that treatment with PEG-IFN α2a is a positive predictor of SVR, compared to PEG-IFN-α2b.

In addition to the studies cited above, relevant studies that evaluate GGT as a predictor of SVR are described and summarized in Table 3.

As to the identified frequencies, related to virological response, we highlight the following: RVR in three and ETR in two studies with a total of 392 (38.9%) and 413 (48.3%) patients, respectively; RVE was observed in four articles; and SVR which was recorded in nine articles corresponding to 3,787 (76.5%) patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Therapeutic response (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>G1-G2-G3</td>
<td>NI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>60% RVR/EVR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>G1-G2-G3-G4</td>
<td>NI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>G1-G2-G3-G4-G6</td>
<td>NI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E5</td>
<td>G1-G2-G3</td>
<td>NI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>NI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E7</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>NI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E8</td>
<td>G1-G2-G3</td>
<td>NI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>55% 18.5% 67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10</td>
<td>G2-G3</td>
<td>NI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nº: number of study; E: study; EVR: early virologic response; RVR: rapid virologic response; ETR: end of treatment response; SVR: sustained virologic response; NI: no information; G: genotype.
TABLE 3. Summary of GGT as a predictor of SVR in treatment with PEG-IFN/RBV studies included in RS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (year)</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Protocol of treatment (PEG-IFN/RBV)</th>
<th>Therapy response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Queiroga et al. (2011) | G1 (n=248) G2a (n=146) | PEG IFN 2a 140 μg/wk + RBV 800 mg/1200 mg/day 48 weeks | GGT normal vs ULN (≤60 U/L/Male; >35 U/L/Female) GGT normal (n=1094; 629) significantly higher SVR compared to GGT<ULN (n=824; 41.2%) in univariate logistic regression (P<0.0001). SVR significantly associated with GGT.

Low GGT-RVR/EVR predictor. Preselected univariate logistic regression analysis (P<0.004) and multivariate logistic regression (P<0.005) showed significance for GGT ≤40 vs >40, low GGT predictor of the likelihood of RVR/RVP (60% vs 55%), with 40% ratio for RVR/EVR. Estimates for SVR.

E2 - A predictive model of response to peginterferon ribavirin in chronic hepatitis C: using classification and regression tree analysis

Kurosaki et al. (2011) | G1 (n=100) | PEG-IFN 2a 1.5 μg/kg/wk + RBV 60 mg ≤60 Kg, 800 mg 60-80 Kg, 1000 mg >80 Kg/day/24 48 weeks | By multivariate analysis GGT is associated with SVR (P<0.001). Factors associated with SVR, GGT ≥40 UL/L associated with SVR. GGT independently associated with SVR. Pac. with low levels of GGT higher probability of SVR (75% vs 34%) SVR rate ranging from 22% to 77%.

E4 - Prediction of treatment outcome in patients with chronic hepatitis C: significance of baseline parameters and viral dynamics during therapy

Berg et al. (2008) | G1 (n=260) | PEG-IFN 2a 140 μg/wk and RBV 1200 mg/24 to 48 weeks | Low levels of GGT significantly associated with SVR by univariate analysis (P<0.0001). For multivatiate analysis G2 n=21, 8.1% and G3 n=5, 22.2% (P<0.0001), low levels of GGT (P<0.0001) and levels of ALT (P<0.0002), were considered independent predictors of SVR.

SVR n=140/200, 53.8% ETR n=88, NR 14.6% (n=82), 31.5%

E5 - Virokinetics during antiviral therapy in patients with chronic hepatitis C and persistently normal ALT levels

Kronenberg et al. (2004) | G1 (n=39) | PEG-IFN 2a 140 μg/wk + RBV 800 mg (n=100) 1200 mg/24 to 48 weeks | Normal GGT levels: associated with greater loss of infected cells before treatment (P<0.005).

GGT high: association with reduced efficacy of blocking virus production and loss of the infected cell. UNL: GGT 52 UL/L/masc; 33 UL/L/fem.

Normal basal levels of GGT greater loss of infected cells during treatment compared with baseline levels of GGT levels (P<0.02).

GGT levels were significantly lower in SVR compared to NR 24 weeks after completion of therapy (P<0.002).

E6 - Pretreatment prediction of response to peginterferon plus Ribavirin therapy in genotype 1 chronic hepatitis C using data mining analysis

Kurosaki et al. (2010) | G1 (n=800) | PEG-IFN 2a 1.5 μg/kg/week + RBV 800 mg/1200 mg/day 24 to 48 weeks | By multivariate analysis GGT is associated with SVR (P<0.001). Factors associated with SVR, GGT ≥40 UL/L associated with SVR. GGT independently associated with SVR. Pac. with low levels of GGT higher probability of SVR (75% vs 34%) SVR rate ranging from 22% to 77%.

E7 - Gamma-glutamyltransferase: predicting sustained virological response in individuals with chronic hepatitis C.

Cobas et al. (2011) | SI (n=112) | PEG-IFN 2a 1.5 μg/kg/week RBV 800 to 1200 mg/day, (<65 kg, 800 mg/day; 65-85 kg, 1000 mg/day; >85 kg 1200 mg/day) During 12 months. | Low levels of GGT before treatment: associated with high rates of SVR (P<0.005).

Normal GGT predictor of SVR.

SVR: n=64/112, 57.2% (combination therapy).

Factors associated with SVR: lower in patients with elevated GGT levels than in those with normal GGT (44.8% vs 70.4%).

SVR+GGT Normal Group (n=385/4, 70.4%) than in Group GGT+; 50/UL/mL (n=2658, 44.8%) P<0.0098 and GGT≥100/24, 34.6%) P<0.0018 - Analysis of multivariate regression.

E8 - Correlates and prognostic value of the first phase hepatitis C virus RNA kinetics during treatment

Duntan-Mangoni et al. (2009) | G1n=61, G2n=44, G3n=14 (n=119) | Combined RBV 800 mg/day (400 mg twice /day) for G2 or G3, 1200 mg/day for G1 <75 us 275, respectively G1 48 week; and G2/G3 24 weeks | GGT levels (P<0.001) and RVR (P<0.001) are among the factors significantly associated with SVR by univariate analysis.

GGT: an independent predictor of SVR in the first phase of viral response through multivariate regression analysis SVR n=671/99, 56.0% NS; n=29199; Relapse 24.9% n=23, 9.3%.

E9 - Extended treatment duration for hepatitis C virus type 1- comparing 48 versus 72 weeks of peginterferon -alpha-2a plus Ribavirin

Berg et al. (2006) | G1 (n=455) | PEG-IFN 2a 140 μg/wk + RBV 800 mg/VO/day | Group A: n=230; 48 week; Group B [n=225] 72 weeks. Group A and B: GGT levels identified as independent predictors through multivariate regression analysis (P<0.001) and associates through univariate regression analysis (P<0.001) with SVR.

ETE and SVR in group A and group B were 71% vs 65% and 53% vs 48% respectively, without significant difference.

Extend the duration of treatment is not recommended in HCV G1 infection and should be reserved only for patients with slow virologic response, defined as HCV-RNA positive at week 12 but negative at week 24.

E10 - Peginterferon-alfa-2a (48KD) and Ribavirin for 16 or 24 Weeks in patients with genotype 2 or 3 chronic hepatitis C.

Wagner et al. (2005) | G2 (n=59, 26%) G3 (n=113, 74%) G2 (n=5, 11%) G2 (n=15, 3%) | PEG-IFN 2a 140 μg/wk /SC + RBV 800-1200 mg/day/VO/RBV 800-1200 mg/day | SVR (HCV RNA <600UL/Lat week 4).

Group A: n=71; 16 weeks; ETR: 94%; SVR: 82%.

Group B: n=71; 24 weeks; ETR: 85%; SVR: 80%.

HCV RNA 600 IU/mL at week 4.

Group C: n=113, 7%; 24 weeks; ETR: 75%; SVR: 36%.

Low GGT level: independent predictor of SVR by multivariate regression analysis G2/G3 (low viral load). RVR - Treatment / 16 weeks. G3 (high viral load). Treatment longer may be required.
DISCUSSION

In this SR it is observed that most of the studies described the importance of GGT as a predictor of SVR. This information is described in the results, conclusion and also summaries. On the other hand, in some studies (E5, E8, E9 and E10), the viral kinetics and the extended or reduced treatment time CHC PEG-α-IFN/RBV treatment regimens are highlighted. However, it is observed that GGT was described as a predictor of SVR when analyzing in detail the results of these articles. In several studies, normal or low serum levels of GGT, before treatment, are associated with high rates of SVR. Citing as an example the E1, E3, E4, E7 studies.

Çoban et al. (E7), and Weich et al. (E3), demonstrated that serum GGT may be elevated in CHC, cholestasis, use of hepatotoxic drugs and as a marker of alcohol intake, confirming what some previous studies describe (3, 19, 30).

Another important parameter was observed by Berg et al. (E4), who evaluated the therapeutic response using PEG-IFN α2a or PEG-IFN α2b and RBV but also included IFNα in the study. Despite the evidence have shown low levels of GGT as an independent predictor of SVR, with these data, it may be considered a bias, since the analysis of the results PEG-IFN and conventional IFN were evaluated together.

The likelihood of SVR is an important factor in the decision to initiate and continue therapy of CHC. Mauss et al. (E3), with the goal of identifying predictors of SVR in patients treated with PEG-IFN (α2a or α2b) and RBV reported high levels of GGT as a negative predictor, however, treatment with PEG-IFN α2a was considered positive predictor compared to PEG-IFN α2b.

In a recent study, Garcia et al. (13), to compare the side effects of treatment of CHC with PEG-IFN (α2a or α2b) and RBV, observed that treatment with PEG-IFN α2b cause more fever and weight loss as side effects when compared to PEG-IFN α2a RBV associated with a statistically significant relationship (P<0.05).

Berg et al. (E9) prolonged the G1 CHC treatment with PEG-IFN α2a/RBV from 48 to 72 weeks, but concluded that GGT levels were associated with SVR in both groups, and therefore, it was not recommended to extend the duration of treatment.

On the other hand, in a previous study Von Wagner et al. (E10) 153 evaluated patients chronically infected with HCV -2 and HCV -3 with levels of HCV- RNA pretreatment ≥800,000 IU/mL, which have achieved RVR and can be treated for only 16 weeks with PEG-IFN α2a and RBV without compromising the chances of SVR. However, these authors suggest that in patients with HCV -3 high viral load, a longer treatment may be necessary (E10).

In a previous study of patients with CHC G2 or G3 it has been determined that treatment should be PEG-IFNα/RBV for 24 weeks (12) without interfering with the SVR.

The genome of HCV exhibits significant genetic variability, referred to as quasispecies. This phenomenon has been associated with the development of chronic infection, pathogenicity and resistance to antiviral drugs (12). Aiming to elevate SVR in treatment PEG-IFN/RBV, GGT has been described as a predictor of SVR in therapeutic monitoring of patients with PEG-IFN/RBV with CHC (10). Another function of this enzyme as a marker of liver fibrosis was reported in the study by Arain et al., 2011 (2).

Recent publications have described genetic parameters, represented by interleukin 28B (IL28B) Polymorphism of single nucleotide (Single Nucleotide Polimorphism - SNP) rs 12979860 DC as a predictor of SVR in the treatment of CHC with PEG-IFN/RBV (7, 11, 27).

Other associations of GGT as a predictor of virological response were reported in the study by Everhart and Wright (2013) (11). From this study, it is important to note the authors’ conclusion that described the GGT in low serum as an independent predictor of SVR and clinical outcomes in patients with advanced liver disease due to HCV.

Interestingly, as noted by Berg et al. (E4), this effect of GGT as a predictor of response to treatment has never been followed in large trials of antiviral treatment, and factors involved in the high prevalence of increased levels of GGT in CHC remain unclear.

In the study by Garcia - Martin et al. (14), whose objective was to evaluate the polymorphism (Single Nucleotide Polimorphim - SNP) of the gene of vitamin D (Vitamin D Receptor - VDR) as a biomarker of response to treatment of PEG-IFN/RBV with CHC, 238 patients were evaluated. Based on the results, the authors concluded that the VDR gene polymorphisms are associated with the therapeutic response of CHC and can be used as a complementary biomarker response in association with demographic, virological, biochemical and genetic characteristics variables.

GGT is a simple biochemical marker available in outpatient clinics at a low cost, once its usefulness as a predictive is better understood, it may be incorporated into the routine assessment of response to therapy in conjunction with other predictors (29).

The treatment to cure CHC is a real public health benefit, mainly by reducing the risk of complications. With the access to modern therapies, one can obtain cure for the disease and the effectiveness of the treatment significantly increases the survival of infected patients (20).

Study results allow us to conclude that low levels of GGT, G2 and G3, low viral load and high alanine transaminase (ALT) levels are independent predictors of SVR for the treatment of CHC with PEG-IFNα/RBV. Furthermore, it is suggested that GGT may be incorporated into tests for the treatment of CHC along with other predictors of SVR, highlighting the IL 28B and vitamin D.

Predictors of treatment response, new antiviral drugs such as protease inhibitors (Telaprevir and Boceprevir), and the constant search for new discoveries in the treatment and cure of CHC can change the natural history of the disease.

Limitations of the studies included in SR

Among the limitations found in the evaluated studies, relevant are the following: the information of variables, which include numeric, continuous and reviews data,
hiding the drafting tables and analysis of results; low number of papers included in this review; not include publications that dealt with CHC DAAS, specifically the association Protease Inhibitor (PI) with PEG-IFN/RBV. Despite the possibility of a reduction in the number of articles occurs, the PI were not included because it refers only to genotype 1; studies describing alcohol intake >40 g for men and >20 g for women because it is a confounding variable, and were also excluded, since alcohol can have an inhibitory effect on the response to antiviral therapy in patients infected with HCV and, on the other hand, the alcohol consumption may increase levels of GGT.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the studies evaluated in this systematic review, we conclude that low or normal levels of GGT may be considered a positive independent predictor of SVR in the PEG-IFN α2a or PEG-IFN α2b and RBV combination therapy.
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