INTRODUCTION

Gallbladder cancer (GBC) is a rare neoplasm in general but is also the most common malignancy of the biliary tract, accounting for 80%-95% of these cancers worldwide(7). The global rates for GBC exhibit striking variability, reaching epidemic levels for some regions and ethnicities. These rates are extraordinarily high in Latin America and Asia(3, 7) and tend to particularly afflict indigenous populations(7). In Brazil, an estimated 4,049 new cases of GBC were reported to be diagnosed in 2012(3); however, the rates of GBC among the heterogeneous population from the different states and regions of this large country was not completely described yet.

A satisfactory outcome of patients suffering from GBC is critically dependent on an early diagnosis and most of these patients are usually faced with a poor prognosis due to diagnosis at later stages(7). On the other hand, some of GBCs have been uncovered fortunately as an incidental gallbladder cancer (iGBC) at the time of cholecystectomy due to cholelithiasis(6, 7, 14, 15, 17). Since discovering of GBC incidentally may offer the best opportunity for a curative approach in this aggressive neoplasm, the current study aimed to explore the prevalence of iGBC in our tertiary-care hospital from the State of Pernambuco (Brazil), supposing a highly variable prevalence through our country. Additionally, we also describe our experience with the management of these cancers in our Center.
METHODS

A cross-sectional study was carried out on patients who consecutively underwent either open or laparoscopic cholecystectomy due to gallstones disease at Faculdade Pernambucana de Saúde, Instituto de Medicina Integral Professor Fernando Figueira - FPS/IMIP, from January, 2007 to December, 2010. Using our own database, we reviewed the patient’s medial records and the corresponding final histopathological studies of the gallbladder specimens mainly in order to explore the prevalence of iGBC in the Pernambuco State, Brazil. We limited our study to patients with complete data in their medical records while patients with any preoperative suspicious of GBC were excluded. All procedures complied with the standards of the current Brazilian ethical guidelines and our Ethics Research Committee approved the study design (CAAE - 0298.0.099.000-09).

RESULTS

Two thousand and eighteen patients consecutively underwent cholecystectomy for gallbladder disease at our center from January, 2007 to December, 2010. Most of them received laparoscopic cholecystectomy and a marked predominance of women (n=1.697; 84.1%) over men (n=321; 15.9%) was also found. Herein, 10 (0.49%) patients were initially found as presenting iGBC, but review of patient’s medical records revealed some preoperative suspicious of GBC in three of them, which were excluded from our final prevalence estimation. Thus, a 3-year prevalence estimate of 0.34% was recorded for iGBC in our sample.

Cholecystectomy was performed as laparoscopic cholecystectomy (n=2) or open surgery (n=5) due to symptomatic gallstones disease in one (14.3%) male and six (85.7%) female patients with a median age of 57 years (range 46-69). The histological examination found adenocarcinoma as the typical histology in all of iGBC. Regarding tumor staging, there were one T1a, one T1b, and five T2 tumors. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy alone was performed for the T1a tumor, and additional radical surgery (i.e.: resection of the liver segments 4b/5 plus regional lymphadenectomy) was performed in five others. One patient presented metastatic disease at the time of reoperation. The final postoperative histopathological studies from the re-resection specimen revealed residual/additional disease in all the patients with T2 tumors who underwent radical surgery, whereas the T1b patient underwent a salvage Whipple’s procedure due to a secondary distal cholangiocarcinoma. The patient with T1a tumor is alive after 3-year follow-up but all of the others died because of disease recurrence/progression up to 12 months. 5FU-based chemotherapy (n=3) or best support care were offered after relapses as appropriated.

DISCUSSION

Gallstones are a common disease(4, 5, 11) and represent an important risk factor for GBCs(7). Eventually, some of these tumors are found at the time of cholecystectomy(7), which may offer the best opportunity for an early diagnosis and curative approach as this malignancy often progresses silently up to a late diagnosis. Accordingly, we considered important to present our experience with the management of iGBC and to provide an estimation of how prevalent is the incidental diagnosis of this rare neoplasm in our State. In contrast to previous report of 2.3% from other State of our region (Maranhão, Northeast Brazil)(17), only 0.34% of patients were found as presenting iGBC in our sample. Worldwide, such iGBC have been detected histologically in about 0.3%-3% of cholecystectomies performed for cholelithiasis(7).

Cholecystectomy alone - mainly laparoscopic cholecystectomy - is an adequate treatment for pT1a tumors whereas additional radical surgery plus lymph node dissection might be needed to achieve a tumor-free surgical margin for pT2 and pT3 patients(2, 6, 8, 10, 13, 14, 17). Herein, an aggressive reoperation for iGBC is usually warranted as the majority of patients have residual disease after the radical surgery(9, 14), as we noticed in our study. Although common duct resection does not yield a greater lymph node count or improved survival(10), it should be performed at the time of reoperation for patients with positive cystic duct margins because over one-third will have residual disease in the common bile duct(14). Similarly, we also have favored a radical approach for those pT1b tumors in order to improve survival outcomes.

Despite there could be an association between some histological alterations of gallbladder and cancer, the perfect understanding of gallbladder carcinogenesis still requires further investigation(12, 16, 18), and the need for routine histological examination of gallbladder has also been questioned in order to reduce the burden on pathology departments and significantly saving cost(1). Thus, whether a routine or selective histological examination is equally beneficial for patients who underwent cholecystectomy performed for gallstones disease remains unclear. In these settings, a selective policy rather than routine histological examination might to be considered and the pathological study be heightened postoperatively when the surgeons are performing or converting from laparoscopic to open surgery, and when patients are older or female, and have an Ethnic predilection (i.e.: indigenous populations, particularly from North and South America), elevated alkaline phosphatase levels or a thickened-wall gallbladder(1, 15).

The main limitation of this study is related to our retrospective approach that mitigated the analysis of some clinical variables and risk factors. However, a major scientific merit of this report was to explore the prevalence of iGBC using
a large sample from Northeast Brazil, where the prevalence of this malignancy is supposed to be highly variable due to the geographic and ethnic variance through our country. Moreover, our institution currently works as a comprehensive tertiary care center with a high surgical and oncological caseload that serves patients of the public health system from different regions of the State, including health programs for assistance of our indigenous populations. To our best knowledge, this is the first study in these settings, and our single-center database probably offers a representative sample of patients from the Pernambuco State.

In conclusion, this study confirms the overall poor prognosis for patients suffering of GBC, even when incidentally uncovered following cholecystectomy being performed for gallstones diseases. Also, we suppose a 3-year prevalence estimate of 0.34% for iGBCs in our Center from the Pernambuco State, Brazil.
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