ABSTRACT - Background - Anal sphincter tone is routinely assessed by digital rectal examination in patients with fecal incontinence, although its accuracy in detecting sphincter defects or separating competent from incompetent muscles has not been established. Objective - In this setting, we aimed to evaluate the accuracy of digital rectal examination in grading anal defects in order to separate small from extensive cases as depicted on 3D endoanal ultrasound, using a scoring sphincter defect and correlate anal tone to anal pressures. Methods - Women with fecal incontinence were divided into two groups: small or extensive defects according to the ultrasound scoring system. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values of digital rectal examination in grading global and external sphincter defects were calculated. Anal tone at digital rectal examination was compared to resting and incremental pressures. Results - A cohort of 76 consecutive incontinent women were enrolled. The median Wexner score was 9. Sixty-eight showed sphincter defects on 3D endoanal ultrasound. Anal tone at digital rectal examination was considered abnormal in 62 cases. Abnormal digital rectal examination showed a sensitivity of 90%, specificity of 27.78% in distinguishing small from extensive defects of both sphincters. Five out of eight women with no sphincter defects had only abnormal squeeze tone at digital rectal examination. Abnormal squeeze tone at digital rectal examination had a sensitivity of 65.31% in distinguishing small from extensive anal sphincter defects. Digital rectal examination sensitivity increased linearly from small to extensive external anal sphincter defects (P=0.001). Women with abnormal resting tone had lower resting pressures than women with normal tone at digital rectal examination (P=0.0001). Women with abnormal squeeze tone had lower incremental pressures than women with normal tone at digital rectal examination (P=0.017). Conclusion - Digital rectal examination had good sensitivity and poor specificity in discerning small from severe global anal sphincter defects. Moreover, digital rectal examination had fair sensitivity and poor specificity in grading external anal sphincter defects, and its best accuracy was on complete external anal sphincter lesions. Anal resting and squeeze tone were correlated to anal pressures.


INTRODUCTION

Fecal incontinence (FI) is a symptom that affects patients’ quality of life. Surveys have reported a FI prevalence of up to 12%[9]. Continence depends on consistency of fecal matter, neuromuscular sphincter complex entirety, rectal capacity, sensation and ability to freely move and reach a toilet[19]. Obstetric anal sphincter injuries (OASIS) is believed to be the most important cause of FI, and as many as 44% of women with OASIS will develop FI later in life[22,28]. Conversely, about 75% of incontinent patients have sphincter lesions on endoanal RNM or ultrasound[8,16,23]. These cases must be identified in order to define the best form of treatment[28].

Digital rectal examination (DRE) is routinely performed in clinical practice, among other reasons to assess anal sphincter tone, especially in fecal incontinent patients[9]. Although DRE is an important part of diagnostic work-up, its value in predicting unsuspected sphincter lesions or discerning functionally normal from abnormal anal muscles has not yet been proven[7,9,14]. Eckardt et al. examined 64 fecal incontinent women and found a poor sensitivity and specificity of DRE for diagnosing incompetent anal sphincters. Dobben et al. found that anal tone at DRE was correlated to resting and squeeze pressures, and that its positive predictive value was higher in more extensive external anal sphincter (EAS) lesions[8]. However, Jeppson et al. found that DRE has a poor sensitivity in detecting sphincter defects regardless of the grade of EAS disruption[14]. To date, no study has compared DRE accuracy in grading anal sphincter defects depicted on 3D endoanal ultrasound (EAUS) using a specific scoring system. Thus, the aims of this study were to evaluate DRE capacity in predicting severity of anal sphincter defects and correlate DRE findings to resting and incremental anal pressures, in fecal incontinent women with a high prevalence of anal sphincter defects.
METHODS

This study was carried out in a specialized colorectal clinic. The clinical protocol was submitted to the Faculty of Medicine Research Ethics Committee of the University of Brasilia and to the Research Ethics National Committee, and was approved on 10/01/2014 as number 18769413.5.0000.0030.

Patients

Between February 2014 and May 2015, consecutive female patients with symptoms of FI were enrolled, mostly from public hospitals.

FI was defined as follows: any involuntary loss of flatus/fecal content or inability to defer an evacuation to a proper moment, with at least one monthly episode, in the last 3 months (1,5).

Patients less than 18 years-old, with dementia, neurologic or muscular disorders, chronic diarrhoea, inflammatory bowel disease, colorectal cancer, multiple sclerosis, scleroderma, previous colorectal surgery, ileal pouch or submitted to a biofeedback in the last 6 months were excluded.

All patients signed a consent form, filled out a general and obstetric questionnaire and a Wexner Score for grading FI (15). Afterwards, they underwent anorectal manometry, DRE and 3D EAUS in a one-stop visit.

The same examiner performed all manometry evaluations (SMS).

Digital rectal examination and ultrasounds were all performed by the main researcher (MMAC) experienced in 3D EAUS. Both examiners were blind to each other’s results.

Anorectal manometry

A water-perfused flexible catheter connected to an 8-channel manometer (Dynamed, Procto Master Software, São Paulo, Brazil) was used. Mean resting and mean squeeze pressures were measured at high-pressure zones. High-pressure zones were defined as the part of the anal canal where pressures were 50% higher than rectal pressures (13). Exams were performed by a pull-through technique where measures were obtained at each centimeter in the high-pressure zone.

Squeeze pressures were obtained by asking patients to squeeze for 10 s (4,9). A manometer catheter generates eight different measurements at each centimeter and the software calculated a general mean for resting and squeeze.

Pressures <40 mmHg were considered as low resting pressures and <60 mmHg as low incremental pressures (4,10). Incremental pressures were defined as the difference between squeeze and resting pressures (10,12).

Digital rectal examination and 3-d endoanal ultrasound

Patients were placed in the left lateral decubitus with no previous rectal enema and underwent DRE immediately before 3D EAUS. Digital rectal examination was performed by introducing a lubricated gloved index finger into the anal canal and lower rectum to assess sphincter tone at rest and under voluntary contraction.

Anal resting and squeeze tone were recorded separately and rated as normal: when anal tone perceived by the examiner was similar to that of a normal patient; absent: when no muscular activity was perceived by the examiner; or diminished: when there was any degree of muscular activity between these two (7). Note that the examiner is an experienced colorectal surgeon who assesses anal tone from continent and incontinent patients on a daily basis.

3D EAUS was performed by using an endoanal probe Pro-Focus 2052, 9-16 MHz, 360°, focal distance up to 6.2 cm (B&K Medical, Herlev, Denmark).

The endoanal probe was introduced up to 6 cm or until the upper part of puborectalis muscle was clearly visualized. The signal captured forms 3D images as two crystals move along a longitudinal axis producing multiple biplanar slices that are assembled to create high-resolution volumetric 3D cubes.

External and internal anal sphincter (IAS) defects were analyzed on multiple planes (24).

An EAS defect was defined as any disruption of its hypoechoic ring associated with irregular borders of disrupted muscle and an IAS defect was defined as any disruption of its hypoechoic ring at any level in the anal canal (12) (Figure 1).

Defects were graded by using a scoring system. This score takes into account the longitudinal and radial grade of EAS and IAS defects, separately (21) (Table 1).

Women with normal or abnormal DRE were compared as to grade of sphincter defect and grade of EAS defect on 3D EAUS by using a specific scoring system.

Normal v/s abnormal resting and squeeze tones at DRE were correlated to resting and incremental pressures.
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**FIGURE1.** Left: Anterior external anal sphincter angle defect (axial plane); right: external anal sphincter longitudinal length and defect (sagittal axis) on 3D endoanal ultrasound.
TABLE 1. Scoring system to grade external and internal anal sphincters. Individual values of each sphincter are calculated and added to obtain a total score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EAS Defect score</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal extension</td>
<td>≤50%</td>
<td>&gt;50%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>No defect</td>
<td>Partial (≤50%)</td>
<td>Total ≤90</td>
<td>Total &gt;90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAS Defect score</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal extension</td>
<td>≤50%</td>
<td>&gt;50%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>No defect</td>
<td>No defect</td>
<td>Total &gt;90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EAS: external anal sphincter; IAS: internal anal sphincter. No defect=0. Maximum defect=7.

Statistical analysis

The reported prevalence of sphincter defects in women with FI varies from 31% to 87% (8,16,22). It was assumed that about 75% of patients would have a defect on EAUS since most elected cases had not responded to clinical or biofeedback treatments, hence with a high chance of having a defect.

Sampling calculations carried out with confidence interval (CI) set at 95% and type II error set at 20% established that 73 patients would suffice for our study.

Continuous data were expressed as mean, median and standard deviation (SD).

Cases in which the tone was scored as diminished or absent were grouped together and labeled as abnormal DRE.

In order to assess the accuracy of DRE in detecting anal sphincter defect severity and EAS defects on 3D EAUS, sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values were calculated by using contingency tables.

Difference between anal resting and incremental pressures in patients with normal and abnormal tone was calculated by means of the Fisher’s exact test and χ² test.

P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

We used the SPSS 21 (Special Package for Social Sciences) for Windows software for all of the analyses.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

Seventy-six (92.59%) of the 82 women enrolled completed all steps. Six patients were excluded: four refused to fill out the clinical protocol, and two had had biofeedback sessions during the previous month. The mean age was 56.33 (SD 14.62). Thirty-nine (51.31%) had associated urinary incontinence.

Sixty-four (84.21%) had at least one vaginal delivery (median 2; range 1-20), 53 (82.81%) reported an episiotomy. Four (5.26%) women only had cesarean sections and eight (10.53%) were nulliparous.

The mean Wexner Score was 9.16 (CI: 8.11-10.21).

Mean resting pressures were 36.05 mmHg (SD 19.30) and incremental pressures were 50.21 mmHg (SD 34.89).

Sixty-two (81.58%) women were scored as having abnormal anal tone at DRE. Fifty (65.79%) had abnormal anal resting tones and 47 (61.84%) had abnormal squeeze anal tones at DRE.

Three-dimensional endoanal ultrasound

Sixty-eight (89.47%) women had a sphincter defect on EAUS. Forty-six (67.64%) had combined EAS and IAS defects, 21 (30.88%) only had EAS defect, and 1 (1.48%) case only had IAS defect.

Median ultrasound score was 4 (range 1-7). Forty (52.63%) had severe defects (score ≥ 4).

Sixty-seven (88.16%) women had combined or isolated EAS defect, 49 (73.13%) of which extensive defect.

Global sphincter defect and anal tone at DRE

Thirty-six out of 62 patients with anal tone scored as abnormal had extensive sphincter defect, whereas only 4 out of 14 with normal anal tone had extensive sphincter defect.

Evaluation of anal sphincter tone at DRE showed sensitivity of 90% (95% CI 76.34% to 97.21%), specificity of 27.78% (95% CI 14.20% to 45.19%), positive predictive value (PPV) of 58.06% (95% CI 44.85% to 70.49%), negative predictive value of 71.43% (95% CI 41.90% to 91.61%) and accuracy of 60% in separating mild from severe anal defects for both sphincters. Table 2.

Five (62.5%) out of 8 patients with no defect on 3D EAUS had an abnormal tone at DRE, all of them with abnormal squeeze tone and normal anal resting tone.

TABLE 2. Findings at DRE and global anal sphincter defects scores on 3D EAUS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRE vs grade defect on EAUS (score)</th>
<th>Abnormal DRE</th>
<th>Normal DRE</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild defect (0-3)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive defect (4-7)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DRE: digital rectal examination; EAUS: endoanal ultrasound.

External anal sphincter defect scores and squeeze tone at DRE

Thirty-two out of 47 women with abnormal squeeze tone had EAS extensive defect on EAUS, while 17 out of 29 with normal squeeze tone had EAS extensive defect.

Evaluation of anal squeeze tone at DRE showed sensitivity of 65.31% (95% CI 50.36% to 78.33%), specificity of 44.44% (95% CI 25.48% to 64.67%), positive predictive value (PPV) of 68.09% (95% CI 52.88% to 80.91%), negative predictive value of 41.38% (95% CI 23.52% to 61.06%) and accuracy of 57.89% in separating small from extensive EAS defects. Table 3.
When sensitivity of DRE was calculated regarding different EAS defect scores, DRE effectiveness in identifying anal defects displayed a linear increase, with the highest sensitivity for more severe EAS lesions. Thirteen out of 26 patients with small defects (scores 0-2) had abnormal squeeze tone at DRE (50% true positive). This rate rose to 63.5% (24 out of 38 had abnormal DRE) in moderated EAS defects (score = 3) and 83.33% (10 out of 12 had abnormal DRE) in cases with complete radial and longitudinal disruption of the external anal sphincter (maximum score of 4) \( (P=0.001, \chi^2 \text{ test}) \).

**Digital rectal examination tone and anal pressures**

Patients with abnormal resting tone had mean resting pressures significant lower than patients with normal resting tone (29.31 mmHg; SD 15.69 vs 49.04 mmHg; SD 19.21) \( (P=0.0001) \) (Figure 2).

![Figure 2. Comparison of resting pressures between cases with normal and abnormal anal resting tone at digital rectal examination \( (P=0.0001) \)](image)

Patients with abnormal squeeze tone had mean incremental pressures significantly lower than patients with normal squeeze tone (44.36 mmHg; SD 33.92 vs 59.70 mmHg; SD 39.94) \( (P=0.017) \) (Figure 3).

![Figure 3. Comparison of incremental pressures between cases with normal and abnormal anal squeeze tone at digital rectal examination \( (P=0.0001) \)](image)

It was found that digital rectal examination showed good sensibility in detecting extensive defects of the whole anal canal but an only a fair sensibility in detecting EAS defects, although sensitivity of DRE in correctly finding EAS defects increased as EAS scores worsened. Anal resting and incremental pressures correlated to some extent with resting and squeeze tone.

It is not surprising that DRE tone was abnormal in over 80% of the cases, as almost 90% of fecal incontinent women had a sphincter defect on EAUS. In fact, having an abnormal DRE gives 90% certainty of detecting a severe defect in both muscles. In spite of this, DRE was rated as abnormal in five out of eight cases with no sphincter defects (false positive 62.5%). Although the sample size is too small to draw definitive conclusions, it is noteworthy that even in a setting with a high prevalence of sphincter defects DRE had such a poor specificity.

Some authors have found similar results in samples with lower prevalence of sphincter defects\(^{(7,11,14,17,25)}\).

Moreover, almost 40% of patients presenting an abnormal DRE had small sphincter defects and one third with normal tone at DRE had extensive defects.

**DISCUSSION**

Digital rectal examination (DRE) is part of routine diagnostic work-up in patients with fecal incontinence, mainly in order to assess anal tone and occasionally find an unsuspected anal sphincter defect\(^{(27)}\). Since incontinent patients, specially women, have a higher prevalence of sphincter defects, DRE is believed to be a reliable tool in this setting. Nonetheless, studies addressing its value in predicting anal sphincter defects have shown conflicting results\(^{(8,9,14,25)}\) and no consistent correlation between anal tone and anal pressure has been established\(^{(3,9,17,20)}\).

In order to enhance DRE accuracy, we aimed to investigate its role by studying a cohort with a high prevalence of sphincter defects and compared anal tone to grade of anatomical defects on 3D EAUS, using a specific scoring system.
since examiner misinterpretation tends to occur as a result of factors such as sphincter arrangement, rather than to variation from one examiner to another(14,20).

Second, regardless of inherent subjectivity, normal anal resting and squeeze tone do not necessarily reflect an intact IAS or EAS(11,17). Resting tone and pressures are influenced by EAS tone. Thus, even a severe defect of the IAS may have been compensated by a competent EAS, leading to perception of a normal resting tone at DRE(12). Similarly, an intact puborectalis muscle may generate normal squeeze tone and pressures, overcoming an extensive EAS defect, not to mention that squeeze tone depends on how well patients are capable of coordinating their voluntary sphincters and this varies greatly(11,14,20).

Some would argue that performing DRE before EAUS by the same examiner in an unblinded fashion could have introduced a bias toward identifying more severe lesions, therefore enhancing DRE accuracy(12). This does not seem to be the case, as only 58% patients with abnormal DRE had severe defects on EAUS.

Curiously, all cases with no defects and abnormal anal tone had normal resting tone but reduced or absent squeeze tone. In order to explain this, EAS defects were analyzed. DRE was no better in separating severe EAS defects than it had been in separating overall severe sphincter defects.

Squeeze tone at DRE had poor sensitivity and specificity in detecting severe EAS lesions. Nonetheless, when EAS defects were further divided into three groups (small, intermediate, and severe lesions), sensitivity increased to 85% in detecting severe defects. That is say, one-half of cases with small defects had normal squeeze tone, whereas less than 15% with extensive EAS had normal examination results.

EAS has an irregular shape with a natural anterior defect in the middle anal canal, even in nulliparous continent women(18). This could explain why DRE sensitivity was better at detecting more extensive cases, in which the anterior disruption usually affected the whole length of the EAS, posing no doubt to the examiner(7,14).

Dobben et al. observed that sensitivity of anal squeeze tone at DRE was better for severe defects than for small ones, although they have shown poor sensitivity in finding EAS defects. The same authors found some correlation between anal resting and squeeze tone to resting and incremental pressures(7).

Conversely, other studies have shown that DRE tone was either not related or related only to squeeze pressures(3,9,18,25).

In this study, despite its inaccuracy in assessing anatomical defects, DRE tone was correlated to sphincter function, since women with abnormal resting tone had lower resting pressures whereas women with abnormal squeeze tone also had lower incremental anal pressures than those with normal DRE.

It is a remarkable finding that DRE led to the diagnosis of functional impairment, even in this setting of incontinent patients who have lower resting and incremental pressures than continent ones(4,10). It represents a very important point in diagnostic work-up, as DRE could enable surgeons to predict which patients might benefit from sphincteroplasty.

This study has some limitations

As only eight cases showed no defects, maybe a larger sample could have led to different conclusions. Sample power calculation had suggested that 73 cases would be sufficient taking into account a sphincter defect prevalence of 75%. Moreover, we aimed to study cases where sphincter defects were more likely and even in this sample DRE had fair accuracy and poor specificity, regardless of having been performed by an experienced colorectal surgeon. Adding more examiners blinded to one anothers’ results might have mitigated the dependency on only one interpretation. As stated, most studies that included more than one examiner have been in accordance with our findings(3,7,14,17,18,25).

This study has two main strengths

First, to our knowledge this is the first prospective, blinded study evaluating DRE accuracy by using a specific scoring system on 3D EAUS and anorectal manometry, in women with sphincter defects from many causes.

Second, the sample had a high prevalence of sphincter defects, which represents well the prevalence expected in incontinent patients, as opposed to the samples of incontinent patients in previous studies, whose prevalence of defects was lower than 50% of that to be expected in FI women(8,16,23).

CONCLUSION

In this study, it was demonstrated that anal resting and squeeze tone assessed by DRE had a poor correlation with grade of sphincter defects. Instead, DRE sensitivity was better for more severe EAS defects. Anal tones were found to correlate with, but not perfectly match, anal pressures.

Therefore, it is strongly recommended to perform a comprehensive diagnostic work-up in fecal incontinent patients by using DRE along with anorectal manometry and 3D EAUS, in order to find sphincter defects amenable to surgical repair. Despite, DRE remains indispensable at each clinical evaluation.
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RESUMO - O toque retal é exame clínico rotineiro na avaliação coloproctológica, especialmente em pacientes com incontinência fecal. Entretanto, sua utilidade é variável na predicação de defeito esfincteriano ou de alterações nas pressões anais. Objetivo - Avaliar a sensibilidade, especificidade, valores preditivo positivo e negativo da avaliação do tônus esfincteriano ao toque retal, em diferenciar defeitos leves de defeitos graves em mulheres com incontinência fecal e correlacionar tônus com pressões anais. Métodos - Mulheres portadoras de incontinência fecal e estratificadas de acordo com o grau de defeito ao ultrassom endoanal tridimensional (USEA 3D) foram avaliadas com toque retal e manometria anorretal. O tônus esfincteriano ao toque retal foi comparado com o grau de defeito e com as pressões anais no repouso e na contração. Resultados - Das 76 mulheres com sintomas de incontinência fecal, 68 tinham defeito esfincteriano ao USEA 3D. Quarenta pacientes com defeitos graves. Escore de Wexner mediano de 9. O tônus esfincteriano ao toque retal foi considerado alterado em 62 casos. Um toque retal alterado teve alta sensibilidade e baixa especificidade em distinguir defeitos esfincterianos leves de extensos. Das oito pacientes sem defeito muscular, cinco tinham tônus ao toque retal alterado sendo todas no tônus na contração. O toque retal na contração teve moderada sensibilidade e baixa especificidade em distinguir defeitos graves de esfincter externo do ânus de defeitos extensos. A sensibilidade do toque retal na contração foi melhor quanto mais extensos eram os defeitos de esfincter externo do ânus (P = 0.0001). Mulheres com tônus de repouso alterado tinham menores pressões de repouso que aquelas com tônus normal (P = 0.0001). Mulheres com tônus de repouso alterado tinham menor incremento pressórico que aquelas com tônus normal (P = 0.017). Conclusão - O toque retal possui boa sensibilidade e baixa especificidade em diferenciar defeitos leves de extensos da musculatura esfincteriana e moderada sensibilidade em diferenciar defeitos de esfincter externo do ânus, com melhora da sensibilidade quanto mais extensos eram os defeitos de esfincter externo do ânus. Houve correlação entre tônus e pressões anais no repouso e na contração.


REFERENCES