Irritable bowel syndrome, food intolerance and non-celiac gluten sensitivity. A new clinical challenge

Rosa Leonôra Salerno SOARES

ABSTRACT - Approximately 80% of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) patients report that their symptoms are triggered after ingesting one or specific food groups. Gluten, wheat and related proteins (e.g., amylase-trypsin inhibitors, and fermentable oligo-di-mono-saccharides and polyols (FODMAPs) are the most relevant IBS symptom triggers, although the true ‘culprit(s)’ is/are still not well established. The concept of causal relationship between gluten intake and the occurrence of symptoms in the absence of celiac disease and wheat allergy was termed non-celiac gluten sensitivity (NCGS). The borderline between celiac disease, wheat allergy, IBS and NCGS is not always clearly distinguishable, and the frequency and clinical identity of NCGS are still unclear. An overlap between IBS and NCGS has been detected. The incomplete knowledge of the etiopathogenesis of these clinical conditions, lack of data on their real epidemiology, as well as the absence of a gold standard for their diagnosis, make the overall picture difficult to understand “It is crucial to well define the interaction between IBS, food intolerance and NGCS, since the role of diet in IBS and its dietary management is an essential tool in the treatment of a large number of these patients”. The objective of the present review is to provide an overview highlighting the interaction between IBS, food intolerance and NCGS in order to unravel whether gluten/wheat/FODMAP sensitivity represents ‘facts’ and not ‘fiction’ in IBS symptoms.


INTRODUCTION

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a functional bowel disorder and one of the most commonly diagnosed gastrointestinal diseases with a global estimated prevalence of 10%-20% (1-3). This percentage varies with the methodology used in the studies and with the geographic area evaluated. Characterized by recurrent symptoms, it has no biological markers available for its diagnosis and approximately 80% of IBS patients report that their symptoms are triggered after ingesting one or specific food groups. Today IBS diagnosis is based on Rome IV criteria (6,7). Clinical criteria known as Roma criteria are those used for the diagnosis of functional digestive disease, including IBS (8-10). Although they are criteria under construction and updated since its first edition in 1990, most gastroenterologists do not use them for daily clinical practice, and their use is often reserved for research projects. These data help us understand both the difficulties of homogenizing samples for clinical research and the universalization of the clinical-epidemiological and diagnostic-therapeutic aspects of patients with IBS. In addition, a large number of patients exhibit the mild form of the disease and never seek medical services, which also makes it difficult to study the natural history of the disease (9,11-13). The pathogenesis of IBS is multifactorial (14-20). However, the triggering factors of IBS symptoms may be present in different combinations for each patient. However, it is not clear how these factors act as triggers in the generation of symptoms associated with IBS (12,16-20). The heterogeneous pathogenesis of IBS could lead to alterations in motility, visceral sensation, brain-intestinal interactions, microbiome, bile acid metabolism and intestinal permeability. In addition, an immune activation is probably involved in low-grade inflammation (14,15,18,19). Given the complexity of its pathophysiology and the clinical subgroups resulting from this umbrella of options, IBS is considered to be a gastrointestinal-brain disorder and is clinically defined as a biopsychosocial disease (12,20). A significant number of IBS patients report the onset of symptoms after ingesting one or specific food groups (11,12,13). The most frequent ones are those that present lactose, fructose in excess of glucose, fructan, galactooligosaccharides and polyols (21-26). In addition, it has recently been reported that a percentage of patients with a negative diagnosis for celiac disease reported that foods containing gluten triggered the symptoms of IBS. This association is included in the concept of IBS-like disorders (27-36). A subset of patients diagnosed with IBS report worsening symptoms when they eat foods that contain gluten and improve with the withdrawal of these foods from the diet. However, most of these patients report intolerance and worsening of symptoms to other nutrients in their diet (37,38). The new clinical entity still without specific clinical contour was denominated of non-celiac gluten sensitivity (NCGS), related to sensitivity to the wheat and the gluten, and has aroused so much the interest of the scientific community as of the population in general. Its clinical...
picture is similar to that of patients with IBS. The overlap of IBS with NCGS gave rise to a large number of pathophysiological theories that could influence the therapeutic management of patients with IBS who report food intolerance and the appearance of symptoms after eating foods containing gluten, improving with the withdrawal of these foods from the diet. The overlap between IBS and NCGS gave rise to a large number of pathophysiological theories that influence the therapeutic management of patients with IBS who report food intolerance and the onset of symptoms after eating gluten-containing foods and improve with the withdrawal of these foods from the diet. Although it may be described as a new subgroup of patients with IBS, most of these patients report intolerance and worsening symptoms to other nutrients in their diet.

The objective of the present review is to provide an overview highlighting the interaction between IBS, food intolerance and NCGS in order to unravel whether gluten/wheat/fermentable oligo-di-mono-saccharides and polyols (FODMAPs) sensitivity represents ‘facts’ and not ‘fiction’ in IBS symptoms.

**IBS and food intolerance**

Approximately 80% of IBS patients report that their symptoms are triggered by at least one food item and they increasingly ask for dietary and behavioral counseling. In recent years, (fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides, polyols) and gluten/wheat have been increasingly recognized as a possible trigger for symptoms compatible with a diagnosis of IBS. The mechanisms of food intolerance in IBS remain unknown. These triggering foods do not reflect food allergies or even IgE-mediated classical food allergy seems to play an important role in IBS. Wheat has been considered a frequent trigger in the genesis of IBS-associated symptoms. However, the component(s) of this cereal that is directly involved in generating the symptoms of IBS remains unknown. Gluten, other wheat proteins, for example, amylase-trypsin inhibitors and fructans (the latter belonging to FODMAPs) have been identified as possible factors for the generation and/or exacerbation of IBS symptoms. Symptoms related to FODMAPs share the same clinical characteristics associated with lactose intolerance and many foods rich in FODMAPs are also rich in lactose. Because of the high prevalence of lactose intolerance, it is not surprising that a diet that is poor in FODMAPs can reduce or even resolve gastrointestinal and extraintestinal symptoms.

An important intersection exists between FODMAPs and NCGS. Thus, after a more detailed evaluation, symptoms associated with IBS could be triggered by FODMAPs and not by gluten itself. The same thing can be true for foods rich in Ni, very numerous in the FODMAPs family, such as pears, cabbage, garlic, onion and legumes. Multiple factors have been considered to contribute to food sensitivity in patients with IBS. Investigations have centered on food specific antibodies, carbohydrate malabsorption, and gluten sensitivity. Although some IBS patients related relief of symptoms on a gluten-free diet the specific relationship between gluten and increased intestinal permeability in IBS have not yet confirmed. We reported that IBS patients have difficulties with food in general and specific foods may not be involved in IBS pathogenesis. It is reasonable to assume that IBS causes food sensitivity, rather than vice versa. The mechanisms involved in the pathophysiological alterations found in IBS seem to be multiple and are still uncertain. A unifying hypothesis for the generation of these symptoms would be the phenomenon of visceral hypersensitivity identified in most of the patients with IBS. The phenomenon of visceral hypersensitivity may be related to an increased response of the neuroimmune circuits in the nervous system or gastrointestinal tract to external stimuli (for example environmental or psychosocial stimuli) or internal ones (tissue irritation, inflammation, infection). This increased response may result in abnormalities of digestive motility, inducing symptoms compatible with the clinical picture of IBS.

In synthesis, an abnormal neuroimmune interaction (genetic and psychosocial factors, food intolerance, and bacterial microflora) may contribute to the phenomenon of visceral hypersensitivity frequently observed in the patients with IBS. This finding suggests that patients with IBS symptoms have difficulties with foods in general. It is very probable that IBS causes food intolerance and not the opposite.

**III- Gluten Related Disorders –NCGS and IBS**

Although mankind has existed for more than 2.5 million years, only in the last 10000 years have we been exposed to wheat and increased its production exponentially. By the end of the twentieth century, wheat production increased fivefold. This would be an explanation for the change in the epidemiology of celiac disease or gluten-sensitive enteropathy and the significant increase in the number of scientific publications regarding celiac disease (CD) and other related non celiac gluten sensitivity (NCGS). The increase in the global prevalence of celiac disease may be true or associated with an increase in the number of diagnostic serological tests. In the case of NCGS, the increase in prevalence could be associated with an increase in global wheat consumption in the last decades. The varied food forms of wheat contain more gluten than in the past and could be associated with digestive symptoms. The concept of a causal relationship between the ingestion of gluten and the occurrence of symptoms in absence of CD and wheat allergy was first described in the late 1970s by Cooper and Elligg. This clinical entity has been termed NCGS or NCWS. NCGS, the most famous of the GRDS and considered an adverse reaction to gluten, was recently “rediscovered” as a clinical entity without available diagnostic biomarkers. As defined by the Salerno Expert's Criteria NCGS is characterized by intestinal and extra-intestinal symptoms triggered by ingestion of gluten. This association reported by some individuals has led to the spontaneous restriction of the consumption of foods containing gluten. Some authors report that NCGS has been described in 6%-10% of the population. In contrast to allergy to wheat and celiac disease, its immunopathological process is not yet understood. Over 75% of these patients have HLA-DQ2 and/or HLA-DQ8. 75% of these patients carry HLA-DQ2 and/or HLA-DQ8. NCGS can be defined to describe individuals who complain of intestinal and extra-intestinal symptoms related to gluten intake and report rapid improvement after withdrawal of these foods from the diet, and in which both the diagnosis of CD and wheat allergy are discarded. This fact raises many unanswered questions. NCGS exist?, how it induces digestive symptoms this group of individuals is nonspecific. The most common symptoms are diarrhea, bloating and abdominal pain. The definition of NGCS has many similarities with IBS. Historically, it has been reported that patients with undetected celiac disease (CD) may present with IBS type symptoms. An overlap between IBS and NCGS has been detected. However, incomplete knowledge of the etiopathogenesis of these clinical
conditions, lack of data on their real epidemiology, as well as the absence of a gold standard for their diagnosis, make the overall picture difficult to understand. Gluten, wheat and related proteins (e.g., amylase-trypsin inhibitors, and fermentable oligo-di-mono-saccharides and polyols (FODMAPs) are the most relevant IBS symptom triggers, although the true ‘culprit(s)’ is/are still not well established. In addition, Rome IV criteria seem unable to exclude an underlying possible IBS-like disorder. The lack of specific biomarkers hampers diagnosis of both conditions. There is some evidence that the NCGS may exist, but probably only in a small number of patients. In contrast to celiac disease, patients with self-reported NCGS are heterogeneous and suggestible by media advertising and food therapies without scientific evidence, which makes them a very difficult group of patients to study. Thus, efficient diagnostic criteria are necessary to make the differential diagnosis of a medical condition from the one in which the patients simply prefer to avoid gluten. It is unclear whether gluten triggers symptoms in patients with IBS and the mechanisms by which gluten or other wheat proteins trigger the symptoms in these patients are also not defined. Some patients improve with gluten withdrawal from the diet and return to symptoms after reintroduction. However, eliminating gluten from the diet alone does not seem to be enough to control the symptoms. The dietary factors involved in IBS are high in carbohydrates, gluten and wheat are common. Therefore, identifying the most offensive FODMAPs in specific patients could attenuate dietary restrictions, such as lactose intolerance. In a retrospective case review, symptom improvement was observed in up to 85% of IBS patients with associated diagnosis of lactose malabsorption. However, prospective studies show that restriction to lactose alone is a trigger for IBS symptoms is not sufficient for the effective relief of symptoms in functional GI disease. Treatment of lactose intolerance should involve reduction of lactose intake rather than exclusion or even enzyme replacement for primary adult lactase deficiency, which has many available diagnostic tests characterized by different principles, availability, sensitivity, specificity, and cost. Finally, in spite of the controversies, small Intestine Bacterial Overgrowth (SIBO) should always be considered as a differential diagnosis in patients with IBS, since the reported prevalence of SIBO in patients with IBS is generally high, varying from 4% to 64% and involving mainly patients with IBS-D. Some studies report that treatment with SIBO seems to be associated with improvement of symptoms in patients who associate them with food intolerance.

IV- Clinical and therapeutic aspects of IBS and NCGS overlap

After the steps of the difficult diagnosis of IBD-like disorders have been overcome, including a clinical evaluation and a rigorous anamnesis, the use of restrictive diets and frequent clinical follow-up are a therapeutic option. A statistically significant clinical improvement has been described in patients with IBS and food intolerance when using restrictive diets. Among the foods reported as being associated with the symptoms of IBS, those high in carbohydrates, gluten and wheat are common. Therefore, a better understanding of the dietary factors involved in IBS and the underlying mechanisms of gluten/wheat/FODMAPs sensitivity are crucial in determining the true benefit of the exclusion diet in IBS and its subsequent standardization. This effective evaluation could be translated into new and effective new dietary strategies for the management of patients with IBS.

Double-blind placebo-controlled trials with cross-over trials represent the current gold standard to confirm what would be the dietary factor(s) involved in generating functional symptoms associated with food intolerance in IBS patients and also in those diagnosed as IBS-like -disorder, due to the lack of specificity of the symptoms. Based on the different dietary factors associated with triggering symptoms, patients may be labeled as non-celiac or non-celiac gluten sensitive with sensitivity to wheat proteins or even sensitive to FODMAPs. Diagnostic investigation will be facilitated by both the awareness of these disorders and the careful analysis of the records and food anamnesis. It is very important to emphasize that self-report of gluten sensitivity by the patient does not confirm the diagnosis of NCGS and that the prescription of a gluten-free diet for gastrointestinal and other symptoms may lead to underdiagnoses of CD. Recently, Picarelli et al. developed an oral mucosal contact test for gluten (GOMPT), which seems to be a reliable and rapid tool to confirm the diagnosis of NCGS, although additional investigations are necessary since the population evaluated was small and the tests performed in a single diagnostic center. A diet low in FODMAPs has been suggested as a strategy to improve symptoms in patients with IBS, regardless of the underlying cause. Although a small number of patients, many studies and randomized controlled trials have reported good control of IBS symptoms after a low diet in FODMAPs, with a general improvement in gastrointestinal symptoms in 68%-86% of patients with IBS. In addition, this diet appears to be superior to a gluten-free diet in patients diagnosed with NCGS. However, identifying the most offensive FODMAPs in specific patients could attenuate dietary restrictions, such as lactose intolerance. The similarity of the epidemiological clinical picture of IBS-like disorders, the absence of biomarkers for the diagnosis of IBS and NCGS, combined with the discordant results of the double-blind placebo-controlled trials, hinder to define a culprit. These facts probably create many terms for the same clinical entity.
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RESUMO – Cerca de 80% dos pacientes com síndrome do intestino irritável (SII) relatam que seus sintomas são desencadeados após a ingestão de um ou grupos específicos de alimentos. Nesse grupo, glúten, trigo e proteínas relacionadas (como inibidores de amilase-tripsina e oligo-di-mono-sacarídeos e poliós fermentáveis (FODMAPs)) são os fatores desencadeantes de sintomas mais relevantes da SII, embora o verdadeiro ‘culpado(s)’ ainda não seja conhecido. O conceito de relação causal entre a ingestão de glúten e a ocorrência de sintomas na ausência de doença celiaca e alergia ao trigo foi denominado sensibilidade ao glúten não celiaca (SGNC). A fronteira clínica entre doença celiaca, alergia ao trigo, SII e SGNC não está claramente distinguível, apesar da sobreposição entre SII e SGNC ser frequentemente relatada na literatura. O conhecimento incompleto da etiopatogenia dessas condições clínicas, a falta de dados sobre sua epidemiologia real, bem como a ausência de um padrão ouro para seu diagnóstico da associação SII/ SGNC, dificultam a compreensão dessa nova entidade. “É de suma importância definir com precisão a interação entre SII, intolerância alimentar e SGNC, já que o papel da dieta no tratamento da SII é uma ferramenta essencial no tratamento de um grande número desses pacientes”. A presente revisão tem como objetivo apresentar dados atuais a respeito da interação entre SII, intolerância alimentar e SGNC. Além disso questiona-se, com os dados disponíveis, a sensibilidade ao glúten/trigo/FODMAPs, representa ‘fato’ e não ‘ficção’ na geração de sintomas associados a SII.
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