Application of the biotic index IBE-IOC for water quality assessment in wadeable streams in south-east Brazil
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Abstract: Aim: This work presents the results of the first water quality assessment using the biotic index Índice Biótico Estendido – Instituto Oswaldo Cruz (IBE-IOC) for 1st to 4th order streams in the Serra dos Órgãos region, Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. The aims were to evaluate the sensitivity of IBE-IOC, verifying its ability to assess anthropogenic impacts over the stream gradient and along the year; Methods: The sensitivity of the index was evaluated according to the degree of interquartile overlap in Box-and-Whisker plots; Results: The index is able to distinguish different types of environmental integrity and different impacts by deforestation and organic pollution; Conclusions: Our results supported the recommendation of IBE-IOC as a useful tool for water quality assessment of wadeable streams in this region.
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Resumo: Objetivo: Este trabalho apresenta o resultado do primeiro biomonitoramento de qualidade da água realizado através do uso do Índice Biótico Estendido – Instituto Oswaldo Cruz (IBE-IOC), adaptado para riachos de 1ª a 4ª orde da região da Serra dos Órgãos, Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil. O objetivo principal foi avaliar a sensibilidade do IBE-IOC verificando a capacidade de detecção de impactos de origem antropogênica ao longo do gradiente do rio e nas diferentes épocas do ano; Métodos: A sensibilidade do índice foi avaliada de acordo com o grau de sobreposição do interquartil nos Box-and-Whisker plots; Resultados: O índice tem capacidade de distinguir diferentes tipologias de integridade ambiental e diferentes tipos de impactos devido ao desmatamento e poluição orgânica; Conclusões: Os resultados suportam a recomendação do IBE-IOC como ferramenta útil para o monitoramento de riachos nesta região.


1. Introduction

Around the world, several biological indices were created to assess water quality of streams and rivers: Saprobic system, Biotic and Diversity indices, Biomarkers, Toxicity Tests, Fluctuating Asymmetry, Multimetric Approaches, Multivariate Approaches, Functional Feeding Groups, Multiple Biological Traits, Benthic Secondary Production and Leaf-Litter Decay, among others, using different theoretical assumptions, types of information and costs for application (Metcalfe, 1989; Rosenberg and Resh, 1993; Barbour et al., 1996; Bonada et al., 2006; Melo, 2008). Among these, a group of biotic indices was adapted from the Trent Biotic Index (TBI) (Woodiwiiss, 1964) used mainly in Europe: the Indice Biotico Esteso (IBE), in Italy, adapted by Ghetti (1986, 1997), the Biotic Score, in England, adapted by Chandler in 1970, the Indice Biotique, in France, adapted by Tufferi and Davaline in 1970,
Extending Biotic Index, in England, adapted by Woodiwiss in 1978, the Belgian Biotic Index, adapted by DePauw and Vanhooren in 1983 (Ghetti, 1986).

Biotic indices derived from the TBI are based on two general assumptions: i) that more stable assemblages have high diversity values, while unstable ones present low diversity; ii) stability, and therefore diversity, may be used as an indication of environmental integrity (Ravera, 2001). The evaluation of the biological quality of water bodies is based on specific sensibilities of some taxa used as references, ordered according to its tolerance to stress factors, and richness value in Systematical Units (SU).

According to the application procedure, the calculation of the biological quality value of a water body is accomplished through the use of a table with two entrances: a vertical – corresponding to the value of the Richness found; and a horizontal – corresponding to the less tolerant SU to stress factors. The biological quality value can be transformed into quality class through the conversion table. Systematical units registered with only one or two specimens were excluded, avoiding a possible increment of the richness due to drift phenomenon (Ghetti and Bonazzi, 1981; Ghetti, 1986; Mugnai et al., 2008).

In the IBE, the taxonomical groups used as indicators correspond to the following scale from the less to the most tolerant: Plecoptera - Ephemeroptera - Trichoptera - Decapoda - Chironomidae. In Ephemeroptera, the families Baetidae and Caenidae possess a higher tolerance, and they are framed in the same level of the Order Trichoptera (Ghetti, 1986). In Brazil, the IBE-IOC (Índice Biótico Estendido - Instituto Oswaldo Cruz) was adapted for 1st to 4th order streams in the Serra dos Órgãos Mountain Range, State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (Mugnai et al., 2008). In the IBE-IOC the taxa showed a great variability in the tolerance degree in family and genus level (Mugnai et al., 2008). In the order Plecoptera, there are two more tolerant genera: Paraagrionopteryx (Griopterygidae), present until the “good” class, and Anacronemia (Perlidae) until the “regular” class. In Ephemeroptera, it is observed a low sensibility of some genera, such as Leptohyphes and Tricorythropsys (Leptohyphidae), Rivudiva and Zeluiza (Baetidae), which are present until the “poor” class. The genus Hylister and Parrodes (Leptoplebiidae) are present until the “regular” class. In the order Trichoptera, the families Calamoceratidae, Glossosomatidae, Helicopsychidae, Hydroptilidae, Hydropsychidae and Leptoceridae presented tolerant genera in the regular and poor classes.

Today in many countries more complex multimetric indexes are used and in the Rio de Janeiro State (Brazil) a Multimetric Index for Serra dos Órgãos Mountains (SOMI) (Baptista et al., 2007) was proposed, but its complex calculation system difficults extensive application in a development country. An adaptation of IBE’s methodology could represent a rapid and cost-effective assessment instrument and simple tools for use as routine monitoring, and could be employed routinely in large monitoring plans, while a quantitative approach to community-level analysis could be used only in cases of particular interest or like a metric in multimetric indexes (Fenoglio et al., 2002, Vlek et al., 2004, Skoulikidis et al., 2004, Baptista et al., 2007).

In general, a biotic index is applicable only in the geographical area where it was developed (Ravera, 2001), and in order to assure the appropriate sensitivity to detect small variations in the composition and structure in the aquatic community in other areas, the indices need to be tested and/or adapted.

Testing of IBE-based indices was performed in Central and South Americas (e.g., in Nicaragua) (Fenoglio et al., 2002), and IB-PAMP for Pampean rivers in Argentina – (Rodríguez Capítulo et al., 2001). According to Alba-Tercedor (1996) and Ghetti (1986), prior to the use in routine monitoring programs, biological indices must be assessed for: i) the existence of natural variation in the assemblage structure related to altitude, stream size, geological characteristics, among others; ii) the existence of temporal instabilities in assemblage organization. The incorrect interpretation of those variations can bias the application of Biotic Indices in water quality assessment programs. Therefore, the aims of this study were to evaluate the sensitivity of IBE-IOC developed by Mugnai et al. (2008), verifying its ability to assess anthropogenic impacts over spatial and temporal gradients of tributaries of the Guandu River in Rio de Janeiro State.

2. Study Area

In order to test the IBE-IOC index two study areas were selected in the Guandu River basin, a different basin from those where the index was adapted. The first area was located in the urban area of Paracambi (22° 35’ 22” S and 43° 40’ 43” W), and the second in the Biological Permanent Preservation
Area of Tinguá (22° 32’ to 22° 38’ S and 43° 31’ to 43° 31’ W).

The urban area of Paracambi has around 40,000 inhabitants (IBGE, 2000), virtually no sewage treatment, and almost all the untreated sewage from the region are discharged in the Macacos Stream. One of its tributaries, André Martins River, receives textile industry waste. All of the rivers sections chosen in this area present, in general, an accentuated deforestation and in some section there is total loss of the original rocky substratum caused by sediment accumulation, with relatively homogeneous bed and prevailing deposition areas, with unstable margins and without retention devices.

The Biological Preservation Area of Tinguá (REBIO - IBAMA) is situated approximately at 70 km of Rio de Janeiro city. The REBIO possesses an area of 26,000 ha. The rivers investigated, Santo Antônio and Douro, are partially located in the REBIO. Their sections located inside the Preservation Area present beds formed by stones, gravels and sand, margins well preserved and consolidated with stable banks and characterized by the existence of distinct meso-habitats of riffles and pools. The sections of those two rivers located outside the REBIO suffer impacts by deforestation and organic pollution.

The precipitation pattern in the area is characterized by the presence of only two seasons: a rainy season from November to February (more than 250 mm.month\(^{-1}\)), and a dry season from June to September (less than 100 mm.month\(^{-1}\)). The annual mean is more than 1,500 mm. The annual medium temperature is between 20 and 25 °C.

### 3. Material and Methods

#### 3.1. Field and laboratory procedures

Samples were taken in three periods: dry season (June 2004), beginning of rainy season (November 2004) and end of the rainy season (March 2005), at 14 sampling sites. Sites were chosen in order to represent different environmental conditions based on a priori analyses of physical-chemical and environmental integrity: i) Reference sites – Dissolved Oxygen ≥ 6 mg.L\(^{-1}\); pH between 6 and 8; no urbanized land on upstream drainage basin; no visible sign of canalizations and deforestation; “excellent” classification according to the Riparian, Channel and Environmental Inventory index (RCE) (Petersen, 1992); ii) Impaired sites: deforestation of ≥ 75% of upstream area; “poor” classification according to the RCE index. Sites with intermediate conditions were also sampled in order to test the ability of the index to distinguish these sites from the other two environmental conditions.

According to these criteria, the following sites were chosen: Reference sites (S1 and S2) located in the Permanent Preservation Area of the Biological Reserve of Tinguá, and Impaired sites (S7, S8, S9, S10, S11, S12, S13 and S14) located in the urban area of Paracambi city. Intermediate impaired sites were separated in order to represent two conditions: sites with low impairment (sites S3 and S4), located close to the limit of the Biological Reserve, but not considered as ‘reference’ sites; and sites subjected to high deforestation and dominated by pastures (S5 and S6) (Figure 1).

In order to assess the water and environmental qualities of sites, the following physical and chemical variables were measured: Hardness, Conductivity, Alkalinity, pH, Dissolved Oxygen, Cl\(^{-}\), N-Total, P-Total, N-NH\(_4\)+, according to the standard methods defined by the former environmental agency of the Rio de Janeiro State (FEEMA, 1982). We also calculated for each site the scores of the RCE index, a visual index which valued the physical conservation of bed and river channel (Table 1).

At each sampling site, six macroinvertebrate samples were taken using a Kick Net sampler (500 µm mesh size, 30 × 30 cm frame) from two meso-habitats. Three samples in riffle areas, with big and medium size stones and leafs, and three in pool areas with silt, sand, gravel and leaf litter. Each sample was performed in an area of approximately 1 m\(^2\) and samples were pooled in order to represent one composite sample per site. All samples were preserved in the field with ethanol at 80%.

In the laboratory, macroinvertebrates were sorted and identified using a stereoscopic microscope to the taxonomic level required by the IBE-IOC index. The identification was accomplished with the available taxonomic keys: Merritt and Cummins (1996), Nieser and Melo (1997); Carvalho and Calil (2000); Angrisano and Korob (2001); Olifiers et al. (2004); Salles et al. (2004a, b). Rare taxa, represented by one or two specimens, were excluded from all analyses in order to avoid accidental sampling caused by drift, as recommended by Ghetti (1986).

#### 3.2. Application of the IBE-IOC index

Biological quality values and class was calculated for each sampling site and for all three periods of year. According to the application procedure (Mugnai et al. 2008) the calculation of the biological
quality value of a water body is accomplished in three steps. Step 1 - Calculation of Richness corresponding to the sum of SU found (Systematic Unit, at family or genus level; Appendix 1). Step 2 - Calculation of biological quality class through the use of a table with two entrances (Appendix 2): a vertical - corresponding to the value of the SU Richness and a horizontal - corresponding to the less tolerant SU to the stress factor present. Step 3 - Determination of quality class using the conversion table (Appendix 3).

In this work, like recommended by Ghetti (1986), the SU registered with only one or two specimens were included in the data analysis only after compared data of all season, avoiding a possible increment of the Richness due to drift phenomenon. That is especially important when the variation due this SU can result in variation of quality class.

The calculation of IBE-IOC annual mean biological values and annual mean classes can allow to realize maps in small scale and/or to accompany index that use among the descriptors the annual mean of physiochemical variable. To calculate the mean values of biological quality it was employed a modified version of the table found in the Italian Legislative Decree No. 152/99 and described in Spiaggiari and Franceschini (2000) (Appendix 4).

To calculate the mean of intermediate values of biological quality it was used the conversion table present in Appendix 4 as $9/8 = 8.6$ and $8/9 = 8.4$; to transform the mean in value of biological quality we proceed in a contrary way. In case of fraction of 0.5 it is attributed to the smaller class for instance $8.5 = 8/9$. For fractions from 8.0 to 8.3 correspond the value 8 and from 8.7 to 9.0 correspond the value 9 (Spiaggiari and Franceschini, 2000).

3.3. Data analysis

The ability of the index to differentiate the different levels of impairment was judged based on the unpaired $t$ test and the degree of interquartile overlap in Box-and-Whisker plots. According to Barbour et al. (1996) the Box-and-Whisker plots allow the visualization of the index value variation between reference, intermediate impacted and impaired sites of the IBE-IOC values.

To estimate possible existence of seasonal variation of quality class and index values, US richness and the most sensitive US found for each sites in each sampling periods was valued. To allow the comparison between our results and the ones of other IBE indices performed in Central and South Americas (Fenoglio et al, 2002; Rodríguez Capítulo et al., 2001) the Spearman correlation
Table 1. Physical, chemical and environmental variables (minimum and maximum values) analyzed at the 14 sampling sites in the tributaries of the Guandu River, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>S4</th>
<th>S5</th>
<th>S6</th>
<th>S7</th>
<th>S8</th>
<th>S9</th>
<th>S10</th>
<th>S11</th>
<th>S12</th>
<th>S13</th>
<th>S14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity (mg.L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>12.86</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>15.71</td>
<td>17.14</td>
<td>12.86</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>18.57</td>
<td>28.57</td>
<td>35.71</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (mg.L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>11.82</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>17.73</td>
<td>35.47</td>
<td>33.50</td>
<td>21.67</td>
<td>27.59</td>
<td>23.64</td>
<td>35.47</td>
<td>45.32</td>
<td>29.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity (µS.cm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>135.0</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>156.0</td>
<td>182.6</td>
<td>119.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved oxygen (mg.L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(^-) (mg.L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td>12.89</td>
<td>14.78</td>
<td>15.77</td>
<td>14.78</td>
<td>21.68</td>
<td>16.75</td>
<td>17.74</td>
<td>22.66</td>
<td>16.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-total (mg.L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>1.005</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>2.579</td>
<td>2.435</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>1.573</td>
<td>2.055</td>
<td>2.709-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-total (mg.L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>2.271</td>
<td>2.731</td>
<td>3.399</td>
<td>3.077</td>
<td>1.287</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>2.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-NH(_4)+ (mg.L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>1.434</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCE scores</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ref. – Reference sites; Low Imp. – Low impaired sites; Def. – Deforested sites; Imp. – Impaired sites.
between the index values and the physical and chemical parameters was applied.

4. Results

The application of the IBE-IOC for each site (Table 2) revealed that the index was able to distinguish the four different levels of environmental integrity (reference, low impaired, deforested and impaired sites). The t test indicates that the values of biological quality between sites with different levels of environmental integrity are statistically different (p < 0.05). According to Barbour et al. (1996), the ability of the index to differentiate the different levels of impairment is judged based on the degree of interquartile overlap in Box-and-Whisker plots (Figure 2). An index show a decreasing sensitivity when: 1) no overlap existed in interquartile range; 2) there was some overlap in interquartile range but both medians were outside the interquartile range overlap; 3) there was moderate overlap of interquartile range but one median was outside the interquartile range overlap; 4) one range was completely overlapping the other interquartile range but one median was outside the interquartile range overlap; 5) both medians were inside interquartile range overlap.

In general calculations of the index indicated class I to the reference sites. Class II to the intermediate impaired sites and classes III-V to impaired sites located in urban area. For the intermediate impaired and impaired sites was evidenced the decrease of the water quality along the longitudinal gradient over the stream and their tributaries according to the physical, chemical and RCE variables measured (Table 1). As consequence of the waste products dejected from Engenheiro Paulo de Frontin, a city located upstream Paracambi, the waters of Macacos River inflowing in the municipal area presented quality class IV (S7). After crossing the whole extension of the urban and peri-urban area, the water quality falls to class V (S14) before the Guandú River.

The decrease of water quality along the longitudinal gradient was also detected in the tributaries of Macacos River: the André Martins River close to the spring (S5) was classified in class III and downstream after suffering the impact of the textile industry (S9) was classified in class IV; the Sabugo River in the upstream site (S6) was classified in class IV, as a consequence of deforestation and low organic pollution, and downstream (S11) with the increase of the deforestation and the elevation of the organic waste was classified in class V.
### Table 2. Results of the application of the IBE-IOC index for each site of the Serra do Mar Mountains, State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, sampled in June 2004, November 2004 and March 2005, in streams.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>June 2004</th>
<th>November 2004</th>
<th>March 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SU richness</td>
<td>Most sensitive taxa</td>
<td>Value (class)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Gripopteryx / Kempnyia</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Gripopteryx</td>
<td>10/11 (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Paragripopteryx / Caenis</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Thraulodes / Caenis</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Massartella</td>
<td>6/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Hydrobiosidae / Farrodes</td>
<td>5/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Baeitidae / Hydropsychidae</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Baeitidae / Hydropsychidae</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Hydropsyliidae / Hydropsychidae</td>
<td>4/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Chironomidae</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Chironomidae</td>
<td>2/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Chironomidae</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Chironomidae</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Chironomidae</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The evaluation of IBE-IOC biological quality values, quality class and SU richness in each sampling site in all sampling periods did not evidence a seasonal pattern. This result corroborates other studies performed in the Atlantic Rain Forest biome (Baptista et al., 2001, 2007; Silveira, 2001; Buss et al., 2002; Egler, 2002; Buss et al., 2004) that showed seasonality in the community’s structure and in the abundance of taxa, without generating significant changes in the composition.

In our study the seasonal fluctuations of the IBE-IOC biological quality values presented low intensity, with exception of Site 2, probably due of nature of sampling site with big stones and strong flow that difficult sampling activity. In function of that only in few cases such fluctuations reflected in variations in the quality class. That characteristic enable the application of index in that area throws the whole year without seasonal correction like necessary in other country (Helms et al., 2009; Rossaro and Petrangelo, 2004; Zamora-Munóz et al., 1995).

In conclusion, the ability to discriminate different degrees of impact, the seasonal stability and the good correlation between IBE-IOC values and physical and chemical parameters support the recommendation of IBE-IOC as a useful tool for the water quality assessment of 1st to 4th order rivers located in the Serra dos Órgãos, State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
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Appendix 1. Taxonomic level required for each macroinvertebrate group for the calculation of richness in Systematic Units (SU) for the application of the IBE-IOC index. Extract from Mugnai et al. (2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>Taxonomic level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLATTARIA</td>
<td>presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLEOPTERA</td>
<td>family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIPTERA</td>
<td>family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPHEMEROPTERA</td>
<td>genus family for Baetidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HETEROPTERA</td>
<td>genus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEPIDOPTERA</td>
<td>family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGALOPTERA</td>
<td>genus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEUROPTERA</td>
<td>family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODONATA</td>
<td>genus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLECOPTERA</td>
<td>genus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRICHOPTERA</td>
<td>family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRUSTACEA</td>
<td>family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIRUDINEA</td>
<td>presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOLLUSCA</td>
<td>genus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLIGOCHAETA</td>
<td>presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRICLADIDA</td>
<td>presence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix 2. Table of two entries with the values used for Biological quality value calculation in IBE-IOC index. In the lines presented the most sensitive faunistic group found in the samples. In the columns presented the richness in SU per sample, according to the taxonomic level (described in the Appendix 1). Extract from Mugnai et al. (2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faunistic groups</th>
<th>Total Taxa richness SU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SU 1-10 11-15 16-20 21-25 26-30 31-35 36-40 41-45 &gt; 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLECOPTERA</td>
<td>&gt; 1 - - 8 9 10 11 12 13 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>except Anacroneuria and Paragripopteyx</td>
<td>only 1 - - 7 8 9 10 11 12 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPHEMEROPTERA</td>
<td>&gt; 1 - - 7 8 9 10 11 12 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>except Baetidae, Leptothyphidae, Hylister, Mirocullis and Farrodes, including Paragripopteyx</td>
<td>only 1 - - 6 7 8 9 10 11 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRICHOPTERA</td>
<td>&gt; 1 - - 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>except Calamoceratidae, Glossosomatidae, Helicopsychidae, Hydropilidae, Hydropsychidae and Leptoceridae, including Anacroneuria</td>
<td>only 1 - - 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPHIPODA</td>
<td>- 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 - -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>including Baetidae, Hylister, Mirocullis, Farrodes, Leptothyphidae, Calamoceratidae, Glossosomatidae, Helicopsychidae, Hydropsychidae and Leptoceridae</td>
<td>OLIGOCHAETA / CHIRONOMIDAE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>- - - - - - - - -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IBE-IOC value</th>
<th>Quality class</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10, 11, 12, 13, 14</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Unpolluted</td>
<td>Blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8, 9</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Slightly polluted</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6, 7</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Moderately polluted</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Heavily polluted</td>
<td>Orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0, 1, 2</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Very heavily polluted</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 4. Values for the calculation of annual mean: a) to transform the value of IBE-IOC in numerical value; b) to transform the numerical value in IBE-IOC value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IBE-IOC</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>IBE-IOC</th>
<th>Valor</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>IBE-IOC</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>IBE-IOC</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14/13</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.0-1.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.4-5.5</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>10/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/14</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>7/6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.4-1.5</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6/5</td>
<td>9.7-10.3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/12</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>5.7-6.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.4-10.5</td>
<td>10/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/13</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>6/5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.7-2.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.4-6.5</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>11/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/11</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.4-2.5</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7/6</td>
<td>10.7-11.3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/12</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>5/4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>6.7-7.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.4-11.5</td>
<td>11/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/10</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.7-3.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.4-7.5</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/11</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>4/3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.4-3.5</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8/7</td>
<td>10.7-11.3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4/3</td>
<td>7.7-8.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.4-12.5</td>
<td>12/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.7-4.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.4-8.5</td>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>13/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.4-4.5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>9/8</td>
<td>12.7-13.3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5/4</td>
<td>8.7-9.3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13.4-13.5</td>
<td>13/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.7-5.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.4-9.5</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>